<u>University Assessment Committee</u> Meeting Minutes for Friday, February 22, 2013

11:00-11:50 a.m., Peace Garden, Memorial Union

Present: Margaret (Peggy) Andersen, Jeffrey Boyer, Kevin Brooks, Jennifer DeCock, Julie Garden-Robinson, Bunnie Johnson-Messelt, Larry Peterson, Scott Pryor, Bruce Rafert, Bill Slanger, Chad Ulven, David Wittrock. Recorder: Kelly Hoyt.

Unable to Attend: Ann Clapper, Brenda Hall, Elizabeth Skoy, and Mary Wright

- 1. The minutes from January 18, 2013 meeting as distributed by email on January 18 were approved.
- 2. Updated Mini Progress Report Chart Two new reviews of reports have been submitted by UAC members since this report was sent out.
- 3. Scott Pryor reported on some of the highlights from the 13th Annual Texas A&M Assessment Conference
 - Many programs and campuses are engaged in curriculum mapping.
 - It was refreshing to be around a group that was excited about and interested in assessment.
 - Socializing new faculty about assessment is a goal NDSU should try to attain.
 - o Implementing a mentoring program to do this might be helpful.
 - Faculty need to intentionally teach students how to work in teams/groups if we expect teamwork to be a learning outcome.
 - Peterson will send out Pryor's report on the conference to all committee members.
- 4. Follow-up on assessment issues from January 18 meeting
 - Peterson received positive responses about the plan agreed to on January 18 to collect evidence for HLC Criterion 3. B. 3. ("Every degree program [emphasis added] offered by the institution engages students in collecting, analyzing, and communicating information; in mastering modes of inquiry or creative work; and in developing skills adaptable to changing environments") by having the UAC mine the capstone information collected by CULE for evidence that programs engage students in these learning activities.
 - An Assessment Luncheon is scheduled for 11:30 on Thursday, April 11 in the Hidatsa Room.
 - Presenters will be from Counselor Education, Electrical and Computer Engineering, and Pharmacy Practice
 - What questions do we want them to address?
 - ✓ What is the value of assessment for the department beyond accreditation?
 - O Why is it valuable?
 - ✓ How can the lessons they have learned and their practices be applied to
 all departments, not just those that are accredited and are required to do
 it?
 - ✓ How their assessment system developed and how did they overcome any faculty resistance to it?

- What do they do with those not who are not as willing to participate?
- ✓ How and when did they make the transition from treating assessment as an externally imposed burden to feeling that it's important and enjoying it?
 - Was it a key person, a conference, etc. that caused the change?
- ✓ How do they use curriculum mapping?
- ✓ How do they work as a team?
- ✓ What impact has assessment had on students?
- ✓ How do they use their data to close the loop?
- Kelly will send the list of questions/suggestions to committee members to get a narrowed down list as there are too many to be discussed in the allowed time of the luncheon.
- 5. Peterson distributed additional copies of the *DQP* and members discussed Peter Ewell, *The Lumina Degree Qualifications (DQP): Implications for Assessment* as an additional reading to frame our work as we think about revising and improving the assessment process later in the semester. Key themes we discussed included: aligning assignment and rubric templates to create embedded assessments that can be used for grading and collaborating in multi-institutional ratings.
- 6. Things to be thinking about for our March 22 meeting:
 - Should we create a checklist of the HLC 3.B.3 learning activities, either as part of the guidelines for departments or as part of our own reviewing process?
 - Revising the Department Assessment Guidelines and the UAC Reporting format
 - Meaningful for departments and units (#1 priority)
 - Not just one major per department because of departments (Natural Resource Sciences, ADHM, etc.) with multiple non-congruent majors
 - Greater consistency (inter-rater reliability)
 - Trend data (longitudinal and comparative) for departments and campus
 - Before the next meeting, Peterson will distribute the latest NILOA paper on creating a culture of assessment and the current discussion on the assessment listserv about rubrics for assessing programs.
 - Boyer suggested the Guidelines should encourage departments to focus on what are they trying to accomplish, rather than on just what did they do.
 - Clapper suggested previously that we should be revising our reporting form for assessment reports as a rubric.

NEXT MEETING FRIDAY, MARCH 22, 11AM IN PEACE GARDEN