

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 124						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 301						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	6	20	35	53	7	3	Class	3.289	0.978	121	
	4.8	16.1	28.2	42.7	5.6	2.4	Department	4.007	1.010	293	
							College	4.314	0.825	4799	
							University	4.148	0.936	4187	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	6	18	34	52	10	4	Class	3.350	1.001	120	
	4.8	14.5	27.4	41.9	8.1	3.2	Department	4.041	0.987	292	
							College	4.381	0.820	4795	
							University	4.219	0.942	41861	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	17	25	48	23	6	5	Class	2.798	1.070	119	
	13.7	20.2	38.7	18.5	4.8	4.0	Department	3.780	1.203	291	
							College	4.267	0.923	4789	
							University	4.143	0.985	41831	
4. the quality of this course.	2	10	47	55	7	3	Class	3.455	0.796	121	
	1.6	8.1	37.9	44.4	5.6	2.4	Department	4.007	0.891	293	
							College	4.274	0.804	4797	
							University	4.089	0.912	41808	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	8	17	60	35	4	Class	4.017	0.840	120	
	0.0	6.5	13.7	48.4	28.2	3.2	Department	4.370	0.764	292	
							College	4.452	0.756	4792	
							University	4.255	0.895	41817	
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	14	36	56	13	3	Class	3.529	0.895	121	
	1.6	11.3	29.0	45.2	10.5	2.4	Department	3.969	0.883	292	
							College	4.195	0.807	4792	
							University	4.076	0.875	41817	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	9	28	66	17	3	Class	3.736	0.824	121	
	0.8	7.3	22.6	53.2	13.7	2.4	Department	4.168	0.797	292	
							College	4.384	0.729	4802	
							University	4.220	0.849	40710	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	5	20	79	14	3	Class	3.793	0.795	121	
	2.4	4.0	16.1	63.7	11.3	2.4	Department	4.143	0.802	293	
							College	4.344	0.753	4802	
							University	4.189	0.860	40700	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	12	38	48	18	4	Class	3.533	0.978	120	
	3.2	9.7	30.6	38.7	14.5	3.2	Department	3.952	0.980	292	
							College	4.257	0.862	4798	
							University	4.108	0.955	40688	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	11	18	63	29	3	Class	3.909	0.866	121	
	0.0	8.9	14.5	50.8	23.4	2.4	Department	4.181	0.894	293	
							College	4.442	0.746	4797	
							University	4.286	0.841	40675	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	11	29	66	14	4	Class	3.692	0.797	120	
	0.0	8.9	23.4	53.2	11.3	3.2	Department	4.072	0.794	292	
							College	4.235	0.750	4793	
							University	4.097	0.825	40650	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	4	13	61	40	5	Class	4.134	0.802	119	
	0.8	3.2	10.5	49.2	32.3	4.0	Department	4.268	0.807	291	
							College	4.338	0.779	4790	
							University	4.206	0.844	40642	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	9	29	56	27	3	Class	3.835	0.860	121	
	0.0	7.3	23.4	45.2	21.8	2.4	Department	4.113	0.882	293	
							College	4.353	0.790	4800	
							University	4.192	0.887	40665	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	15	35	48	19	3	Class	3.521	1.009	121	
	3.2	12.1	28.2	38.7	15.3	2.4	Department	4.003	0.974	293	
							College	4.287	0.811	4791	
							University	4.123	0.916	40648	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	8	31	67	13	4	Class	3.692	0.786	120	
	0.8	6.5	25.0	54.0	10.5	3.2	Department	4.120	0.784	292	
							College	4.314	0.733	4793	
							University	4.204	0.809	40649	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	7	22	67	23	3	Class	3.843	0.856	121	
	1.6	5.6	17.7	54.0	18.5	2.4	Department	4.223	0.809	292	
							College	4.362	0.739	4784	
							University	4.184	0.868	40624	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 128					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 301					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	4	44	73	5	Class	4.528	0.644	123
	0.0	1.6	3.1	34.4	57.0	3.9	Department	4.007	1.010	293
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	4187

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	7	42	73	5	Class	4.520	0.645	123
	0.0	0.8	5.5	32.8	57.0	3.9	Department	4.041	0.987	292
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	3	11	40	69	5	Class	4.423	0.758	123
	0.0	2.3	8.6	31.3	53.9	3.9	Department	3.780	1.203	291
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831

4. the quality of this course.	0	2	10	46	65	5	Class	4.415	0.712	123
	0.0	1.6	7.8	35.9	50.8	3.9	Department	4.007	0.891	293
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	4	37	82	5	Class	4.634	0.547	123
	0.0	0.0	3.1	28.9	64.1	3.9	Department	4.370	0.764	292
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817

6. your understanding of the course content.	1	2	10	63	47	5	Class	4.244	0.739	123
	0.8	1.6	7.8	49.2	36.7	3.9	Department	3.969	0.883	292
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	7	51	64	6	Class	4.467	0.606	122
	0.0	0.0	5.5	39.8	50.0	4.7	Department	4.168	0.797	292
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	11	53	58	5	Class	4.366	0.681	123
	0.0	0.8	8.6	41.4	45.3	3.9	Department	4.143	0.802	293
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	5	15	46	56	5	Class	4.228	0.876	123
	0.8	3.9	11.7	35.9	43.8	3.9	Department	3.952	0.980	292
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	6	47	69	5	Class	4.496	0.632	123
	0.0	0.8	4.7	36.7	53.9	3.9	Department	4.181	0.894	293
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	11	61	51	5	Class	4.325	0.634	123
	0.0	0.0	8.6	47.7	39.8	3.9	Department	4.072	0.794	292
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	2	12	46	62	5	Class	4.350	0.789	123
	0.8	1.6	9.4	35.9	48.4	3.9	Department	4.268	0.807	291
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	12	52	57	5	Class	4.333	0.721	123
	0.0	1.6	9.4	40.6	44.5	3.9	Department	4.113	0.882	293
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	12	49	61	5	Class	4.382	0.696	123
	0.0	0.8	9.4	38.3	47.7	3.9	Department	4.003	0.974	293
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	7	62	54	5	Class	4.382	0.594	123
	0.0	0.0	5.5	48.4	42.2	3.9	Department	4.120	0.784	292
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	10	43	69	6	Class	4.484	0.646	122
	0.0	0.0	7.8	33.6	53.9	4.7	Department	4.223	0.809	292
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 49						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 301						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	7	12	30	0	Class	4.469	0.739	49	
	0.0	0.0	14.3	24.5	61.2	0.0	Department	4.007	1.010	293	
							College	4.314	0.825	4799	
							University	4.148	0.936	41872	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	19	28	0	Class	4.531	0.581	49	
	0.0	0.0	4.1	38.8	57.1	0.0	Department	4.041	0.987	292	
							College	4.381	0.820	4795	
							University	4.219	0.942	41861	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	4	14	31	0	Class	4.551	0.647	49	
	0.0	0.0	8.2	28.6	63.3	0.0	Department	3.780	1.203	291	
							College	4.267	0.923	4789	
							University	4.143	0.985	41831	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	7	15	26	0	Class	4.347	0.805	49	
	0.0	2.0	14.3	30.6	53.1	0.0	Department	4.007	0.891	293	
							College	4.274	0.804	4797	
							University	4.089	0.912	41808	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	6	9	34	0	Class	4.571	0.707	49	
	0.0	0.0	12.2	18.4	69.4	0.0	Department	4.370	0.764	292	
							College	4.452	0.756	4792	
							University	4.255	0.895	41817	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	6	18	24	1	Class	4.375	0.703	48	
	0.0	0.0	12.2	36.7	49.0	2.0	Department	3.969	0.883	292	
							College	4.195	0.807	4792	
							University	4.076	0.875	41817	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	4	17	28	0	Class	4.490	0.649	49	
	0.0	0.0	8.2	34.7	57.1	0.0	Department	4.168	0.797	292	
							College	4.384	0.729	4802	
							University	4.220	0.849	40710	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	6	12	30	0	Class	4.449	0.792	49	
	0.0	2.0	12.2	24.5	61.2	0.0	Department	4.143	0.802	293	
							College	4.344	0.753	4802	
							University	4.189	0.860	40700	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	10	12	26	0	Class	4.286	0.866	49	
	0.0	2.0	20.4	24.5	53.1	0.0	Department	3.952	0.980	292	
							College	4.257	0.862	4798	
							University	4.108	0.955	40688	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	2	11	6	27	0	Class	4.061	1.232	49	
	6.1	4.1	22.4	12.2	55.1	0.0	Department	4.181	0.894	293	
							College	4.442	0.746	4797	
							University	4.286	0.841	40675	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	9	13	27	0	Class	4.367	0.782	49	
	0.0	0.0	18.4	26.5	55.1	0.0	Department	4.072	0.794	292	
							College	4.235	0.750	4793	
							University	4.097	0.825	40650	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	11	8	30	0	Class	4.388	0.837	49	
	0.0	0.0	22.4	16.3	61.2	0.0	Department	4.268	0.807	291	
							College	4.338	0.779	4790	
							University	4.206	0.844	40642	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	1	10	6	30	0	Class	4.245	1.109	49	
	4.1	2.0	20.4	12.2	61.2	0.0	Department	4.113	0.882	293	
							College	4.353	0.790	4800	
							University	4.192	0.887	40665	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	13	5	29	0	Class	4.245	0.990	49	
	0.0	4.1	26.5	10.2	59.2	0.0	Department	4.003	0.974	293	
							College	4.287	0.811	4791	
							University	4.123	0.916	40648	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	6	12	31	0	Class	4.510	0.711	49	
	0.0	0.0	12.2	24.5	63.3	0.0	Department	4.120	0.784	292	
							College	4.314	0.733	4793	
							University	4.204	0.809	40649	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	5	14	30	0	Class	4.510	0.681	49	
	0.0	0.0	10.2	28.6	61.2	0.0	Department	4.223	0.809	292	
							College	4.362	0.739	4784	
							University	4.184	0.868	40624	

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURE, GENERAL							G= Good....(4)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 187				IB=In Between....(3)			
			Your Dept 187				P= Poor....(2)			
			Your College .. 4836				VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	7	60	116	2	Class	4.562	0.649	185
	0.5	0.5	3.7	32.1	62.0	1.1	Department	4.562	0.649	185
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	3	43	137	2	Class	4.697	0.585	185
	0.5	0.5	1.6	23.0	73.3	1.1	Department	4.697	0.585	185
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	1	4	45	132	3	Class	4.652	0.660	184
	1.1	0.5	2.1	24.1	70.6	1.6	Department	4.652	0.660	184
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
4. the quality of this course.	2	3	19	70	91	2	Class	4.324	0.809	185
	1.1	1.6	10.2	37.4	48.7	1.1	Department	4.324	0.809	185
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	2	4	41	137	2	Class	4.681	0.626	185
	0.5	1.1	2.1	21.9	73.3	1.1	Department	4.681	0.626	185
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	1	2	49	132	2	Class	4.676	0.583	185
	0.5	0.5	1.1	26.2	70.6	1.1	Department	4.676	0.583	185
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	3	51	131	1	Class	4.677	0.533	186
	0.0	0.5	1.6	27.3	70.1	0.5	Department	4.677	0.533	186
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	5	69	110	2	Class	4.557	0.579	185
	0.0	0.5	2.7	36.9	58.8	1.1	Department	4.557	0.579	185
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	7	66	112	2	Class	4.568	0.568	185
	0.0	0.0	3.7	35.3	59.9	1.1	Department	4.568	0.568	185
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	7	56	118	2	Class	4.551	0.698	185
	0.5	1.6	3.7	29.9	63.1	1.1	Department	4.551	0.698	185
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	67	113	3	Class	4.592	0.535	184
	0.0	0.0	2.1	35.8	60.4	1.6	Department	4.592	0.535	184
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	7	47	131	1	Class	4.651	0.608	186
	0.5	0.0	3.7	25.1	70.1	0.5	Department	4.651	0.608	186
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	4	59	120	2	Class	4.605	0.591	185
	0.0	1.1	2.1	31.6	64.2	1.1	Department	4.605	0.591	185
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	5	59	119	3	Class	4.609	0.572	184
	0.0	0.5	2.7	31.6	63.6	1.6	Department	4.609	0.572	184
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	11	63	108	4	Class	4.519	0.636	183
	0.0	0.5	5.9	33.7	57.8	2.1	Department	4.519	0.636	183
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	7	55	121	2	Class	4.589	0.646	185
	0.5	0.5	3.7	29.4	64.7	1.1	Department	4.589	0.646	185
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 297						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 632						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	4	7	71	213	1	Class	4.659	0.629	296	
	0.3	1.3	2.4	23.9	71.7	0.3	Department	4.488	0.771	629	
							College	4.314	0.825	4799	
							University	4.148	0.936	41872	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	12	64	218	1	Class	4.682	0.583	296	
	0.0	0.7	4.0	21.5	73.4	0.3	Department	4.565	0.706	628	
							College	4.381	0.820	4795	
							University	4.219	0.942	41861	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	11	74	209	2	Class	4.661	0.584	295	
	0.3	0.0	3.7	24.9	70.4	0.7	Department	4.525	0.726	628	
							College	4.267	0.923	4789	
							University	4.143	0.985	41831	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	14	77	204	1	Class	4.635	0.590	296	
	0.0	0.3	4.7	25.9	68.7	0.3	Department	4.499	0.744	629	
							College	4.274	0.804	4797	
							University	4.089	0.912	41808	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	9	62	222	2	Class	4.705	0.575	295	
	0.3	0.3	3.0	20.9	74.7	0.7	Department	4.519	0.765	628	
							College	4.452	0.756	4792	
							University	4.255	0.895	41817	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	12	76	207	1	Class	4.652	0.574	296	
	0.0	0.3	4.0	25.6	69.7	0.3	Department	4.475	0.721	629	
							College	4.195	0.807	4792	
							University	4.076	0.875	41817	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	12	76	209	0	Class	4.663	0.552	297	
	0.0	0.0	4.0	25.6	70.4	0.0	Department	4.518	0.690	631	
							College	4.384	0.729	4802	
							University	4.220	0.849	40710	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	14	89	192	0	Class	4.586	0.615	297	
	0.0	0.7	4.7	30.0	64.6	0.0	Department	4.471	0.729	631	
							College	4.344	0.753	4802	
							University	4.189	0.860	40700	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	2	14	78	202	0	Class	4.609	0.644	297	
	0.3	0.7	4.7	26.3	68.0	0.0	Department	4.452	0.784	629	
							College	4.257	0.862	4798	
							University	4.108	0.955	40688	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	0	15	77	203	0	Class	4.613	0.648	297	
	0.7	0.0	5.1	25.9	68.4	0.0	Department	4.487	0.747	631	
							College	4.442	0.746	4797	
							University	4.286	0.841	40675	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	12	99	186	0	Class	4.586	0.570	297	
	0.0	0.0	4.0	33.3	62.6	0.0	Department	4.439	0.696	631	
							College	4.235	0.750	4793	
							University	4.097	0.825	40650	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	3	28	87	178	1	Class	4.486	0.708	296	
	0.0	1.0	9.4	29.3	59.9	0.3	Department	4.352	0.816	627	
							College	4.338	0.779	4790	
							University	4.206	0.844	40642	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	3	23	75	193	1	Class	4.534	0.740	296	
	0.7	1.0	7.7	25.3	65.0	0.3	Department	4.344	0.894	630	
							College	4.353	0.790	4800	
							University	4.192	0.887	40665	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	18	83	194	0	Class	4.579	0.638	297	
	0.0	0.7	6.1	27.9	65.3	0.0	Department	4.422	0.791	631	
							College	4.287	0.811	4791	
							University	4.123	0.916	40648	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	16	101	180	0	Class	4.552	0.597	297	
	0.0	0.0	5.4	34.0	60.6	0.0	Department	4.457	0.684	630	
							College	4.314	0.733	4793	
							University	4.204	0.809	40649	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	12	82	200	3	Class	4.639	0.560	294	
	0.0	0.0	4.0	27.6	67.3	1.0	Department	4.510	0.688	628	
							College	4.362	0.739	4784	
							University	4.184	0.868	40624	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information			Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE								G= Good....(4)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 309					IB=In Between....(3)			
			Your Dept 632					P= Poor....(2)			
			Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
							Class	4.329	0.867	307	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	12	27	104	161	2	Department	4.488	0.771	629	
	1.0	3.9	8.7	33.7	52.1	0.6	College	4.314	0.825	4799	
							University	4.148	0.936	41872	
							Class	4.461	0.798	306	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	7	20	92	184	3	Department	4.565	0.706	628	
	1.0	2.3	6.5	29.8	59.5	1.0	College	4.381	0.820	4795	
							University	4.219	0.942	41861	
							Class	4.401	0.824	307	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	9	22	101	172	2	Department	4.525	0.726	628	
	1.0	2.9	7.1	32.7	55.7	0.6	College	4.267	0.923	4789	
							University	4.143	0.985	41831	
							Class	4.368	0.858	307	
4. the quality of this course.	6	6	23	106	166	2	Department	4.499	0.744	629	
	1.9	1.9	7.4	34.3	53.7	0.6	College	4.274	0.804	4797	
							University	4.089	0.912	41808	
							Class	4.355	0.875	307	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	15	25	95	170	2	Department	4.519	0.765	628	
	0.6	4.9	8.1	30.7	55.0	0.6	College	4.452	0.756	4792	
							University	4.255	0.895	41817	
							Class	4.309	0.816	307	
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	5	30	121	147	2	Department	4.475	0.721	629	
	1.3	1.6	9.7	39.2	47.6	0.6	College	4.195	0.807	4792	
							University	4.076	0.875	41817	
							Class	4.383	0.784	308	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	8	16	122	159	1	Department	4.518	0.690	631	
	1.0	2.6	5.2	39.5	51.5	0.3	College	4.384	0.729	4802	
							University	4.220	0.849	40710	
							Class	4.360	0.821	308	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	7	23	114	160	1	Department	4.471	0.729	631	
	1.3	2.3	7.4	36.9	51.8	0.3	College	4.344	0.753	4802	
							University	4.189	0.860	40700	
							Class	4.317	0.876	306	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	13	27	104	159	3	Department	4.452	0.784	629	
	1.0	4.2	8.7	33.7	51.5	1.0	College	4.257	0.862	4798	
							University	4.108	0.955	40688	
							Class	4.393	0.810	308	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	5	25	106	168	1	Department	4.487	0.747	631	
	1.3	1.6	8.1	34.3	54.4	0.3	College	4.442	0.746	4797	
							University	4.286	0.841	40675	
							Class	4.302	0.784	308	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	9	29	126	143	1	Department	4.439	0.696	631	
	0.3	2.9	9.4	40.8	46.3	0.3	College	4.235	0.750	4793	
							University	4.097	0.825	40650	
							Class	4.210	0.897	305	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	13	40	110	139	4	Department	4.352	0.816	627	
	1.0	4.2	12.9	35.6	45.0	1.3	College	4.338	0.779	4790	
							University	4.206	0.844	40642	
							Class	4.195	0.969	308	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	5	20	30	108	145	1	Department	4.344	0.894	630	
	1.6	6.5	9.7	35.0	46.9	0.3	College	4.353	0.790	4800	
							University	4.192	0.887	40665	
							Class	4.276	0.891	308	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	5	11	28	114	150	1	Department	4.422	0.791	631	
	1.6	3.6	9.1	36.9	48.5	0.3	College	4.287	0.811	4791	
							University	4.123	0.916	40648	
							Class	4.378	0.741	307	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	5	21	126	153	2	Department	4.457	0.684	630	
	0.6	1.6	6.8	40.8	49.5	0.6	College	4.314	0.733	4793	
							University	4.204	0.809	40649	
							Class	4.386	0.781	308	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	6	21	117	161	1	Department	4.510	0.688	628	
	1.0	1.9	6.8	37.9	52.1	0.3	College	4.362	0.739	4784	
							University	4.184	0.868	40624	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 26					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 632					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	11	13	0	Class	4.423	0.643	26
	0.0	0.0	7.7	42.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.488	0.771	629
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	4187
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	10	14	0	Class	4.462	0.647	26
	0.0	0.0	7.7	38.5	53.8	0.0	Department	4.565	0.706	628
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	3	8	15	0	Class	4.462	0.706	26
	0.0	0.0	11.5	30.8	57.7	0.0	Department	4.525	0.726	628
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	11	14	0	Class	4.500	0.583	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	42.3	53.8	0.0	Department	4.499	0.744	629
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	5	7	14	0	Class	4.346	0.797	26
	0.0	0.0	19.2	26.9	53.8	0.0	Department	4.519	0.765	628
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	13	12	0	Class	4.423	0.578	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	50.0	46.2	0.0	Department	4.475	0.721	629
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	12	13	0	Class	4.462	0.582	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	46.2	50.0	0.0	Department	4.518	0.690	631
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	12	13	0	Class	4.462	0.582	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	46.2	50.0	0.0	Department	4.471	0.729	631
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	2	13	10	0	Class	4.231	0.765	26
	0.0	3.8	7.7	50.0	38.5	0.0	Department	4.452	0.784	629
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	6	10	10	0	Class	4.154	0.784	26
	0.0	0.0	23.1	38.5	38.5	0.0	Department	4.487	0.747	631
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	14	11	0	Class	4.385	0.571	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	53.8	42.3	0.0	Department	4.439	0.696	631
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	7	16	0	Class	4.500	0.707	26
	0.0	0.0	11.5	26.9	61.5	0.0	Department	4.352	0.816	627
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	4	4	7	11	0	Class	3.962	1.113	26
	0.0	15.4	15.4	26.9	42.3	0.0	Department	4.344	0.894	630
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	2	10	13	0	Class	4.346	0.797	26
	0.0	3.8	7.7	38.5	50.0	0.0	Department	4.422	0.791	631
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	5	8	13	0	Class	4.308	0.788	26
	0.0	0.0	19.2	30.8	50.0	0.0	Department	4.457	0.684	630
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	11	14	0	Class	4.500	0.583	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	42.3	53.8	0.0	Department	4.510	0.688	628
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 86					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 165					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	13	45	27	0	Class	4.140	0.706	86
							Department	4.152	0.793	165
	0.0	1.2	15.1	52.3	31.4	0.0	College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	2	12	37	34	0	Class	4.174	0.843	86
							Department	4.182	0.864	165
	1.2	2.3	14.0	43.0	39.5	0.0	College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	8	19	31	27	0	Class	3.872	1.003	86
							Department	3.933	1.037	165
	1.2	9.3	22.1	36.0	31.4	0.0	College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	7	52	25	0	Class	4.163	0.666	86
							Department	4.127	0.782	165
	0.0	2.3	8.1	60.5	29.1	0.0	College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	3	6	40	37	0	Class	4.291	0.749	86
							Department	4.333	0.684	165
	0.0	3.5	7.0	46.5	43.0	0.0	College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	4	52	28	0	Class	4.233	0.645	86
							Department	4.206	0.667	165
	0.0	2.3	4.7	60.5	32.6	0.0	College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	3	9	46	28	0	Class	4.151	0.744	86
							Department	4.194	0.748	165
	0.0	3.5	10.5	53.5	32.6	0.0	College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	8	43	35	0	Class	4.314	0.637	86
							Department	4.285	0.679	165
	0.0	0.0	9.3	50.0	40.7	0.0	College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	10	44	29	1	Class	4.176	0.727	85
							Department	4.159	0.806	164
	0.0	2.3	11.6	51.2	33.7	1.2	College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	4	44	36	0	Class	4.326	0.676	86
							Department	4.382	0.648	165
	0.0	2.3	4.7	51.2	41.9	0.0	College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	11	47	25	1	Class	4.118	0.714	85
							Department	4.201	0.675	164
	0.0	2.3	12.8	54.7	29.1	1.2	College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	9	43	34	0	Class	4.291	0.648	86
							Department	4.297	0.743	165
	0.0	0.0	10.5	50.0	39.5	0.0	College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	6	46	31	0	Class	4.209	0.769	86
							Department	4.206	0.830	165
	1.2	2.3	7.0	53.5	36.0	0.0	College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	10	42	31	0	Class	4.174	0.770	86
							Department	4.158	0.819	165
	0.0	3.5	11.6	48.8	36.0	0.0	College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	4	51	30	0	Class	4.279	0.607	86
							Department	4.255	0.669	165
	0.0	1.2	4.7	59.3	34.9	0.0	College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	6	50	26	1	Class	4.165	0.705	85
							Department	4.250	0.713	164
	0.0	3.5	7.0	58.1	30.2	1.2	College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 79					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 165					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	6	7	34	32	0	Class	4.165	0.883	79	
	0.0	7.6	8.9	43.0	40.5	0.0	Department	4.152	0.793	165	
							College	4.314	0.825	4799	
							University	4.148	0.936	41872	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	4	13	26	36	0	Class	4.190	0.893	79	
	0.0	5.1	16.5	32.9	45.6	0.0	Department	4.182	0.864	165	
							College	4.381	0.820	4795	
							University	4.219	0.942	41861	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	8	9	29	31	0	Class	4.000	1.074	79	
	2.5	10.1	11.4	36.7	39.2	0.0	Department	3.933	1.037	165	
							College	4.267	0.923	4789	
							University	4.143	0.985	41831	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	1	2	16	30	30	0	Class	4.089	0.894	79	
	1.3	2.5	20.3	38.0	38.0	0.0	Department	4.127	0.782	165	
							College	4.274	0.804	4797	
							University	4.089	0.912	41808	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	2	42	34	0	Class	4.380	0.606	79	
	0.0	1.3	2.5	53.2	43.0	0.0	Department	4.333	0.684	165	
							College	4.452	0.756	4792	
							University	4.255	0.895	41817	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	10	42	26	0	Class	4.177	0.694	79	
	0.0	1.3	12.7	53.2	32.9	0.0	Department	4.206	0.667	165	
							College	4.195	0.807	4792	
							University	4.076	0.875	41817	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	3	6	39	31	0	Class	4.241	0.755	79	
	0.0	3.8	7.6	49.4	39.2	0.0	Department	4.194	0.748	165	
							College	4.384	0.729	4802	
							University	4.220	0.849	40710	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	13	33	33	0	Class	4.253	0.724	79	
	0.0	0.0	16.5	41.8	41.8	0.0	Department	4.285	0.679	165	
							College	4.344	0.753	4802	
							University	4.189	0.860	40700	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	5	11	31	32	0	Class	4.139	0.888	79	
	0.0	6.3	13.9	39.2	40.5	0.0	Department	4.159	0.806	164	
							College	4.257	0.862	4798	
							University	4.108	0.955	40688	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	2	37	39	0	Class	4.443	0.615	79	
	0.0	1.3	2.5	46.8	49.4	0.0	Department	4.382	0.648	165	
							College	4.442	0.746	4797	
							University	4.286	0.841	40675	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	4	45	29	0	Class	4.291	0.623	79	
	0.0	1.3	5.1	57.0	36.7	0.0	Department	4.201	0.675	164	
							College	4.235	0.750	4793	
							University	4.097	0.825	40650	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	10	28	39	0	Class	4.304	0.837	79	
	1.3	1.3	12.7	35.4	49.4	0.0	Department	4.297	0.743	165	
							College	4.338	0.779	4790	
							University	4.206	0.844	40642	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	3	10	30	35	0	Class	4.203	0.897	79	
	1.3	3.8	12.7	38.0	44.3	0.0	Department	4.206	0.830	165	
							College	4.353	0.790	4800	
							University	4.192	0.887	40665	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	3	10	35	30	0	Class	4.139	0.873	79	
	1.3	3.8	12.7	44.3	38.0	0.0	Department	4.158	0.819	165	
							College	4.287	0.811	4791	
							University	4.123	0.916	40648	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	11	36	31	0	Class	4.228	0.733	79	
	0.0	1.3	13.9	45.6	39.2	0.0	Department	4.255	0.669	165	
							College	4.314	0.733	4793	
							University	4.204	0.809	40649	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	8	33	37	0	Class	4.342	0.714	79	
	0.0	1.3	10.1	41.8	46.8	0.0	Department	4.250	0.713	164	
							College	4.362	0.739	4784	
							University	4.184	0.868	40624	

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 19				IB=In Between....(3)			
			Your Dept 57				P= Poor....(2)			
			Your College .. 4836				VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	7	12	0	Class	4.632	0.496	19
	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	63.2	0.0	Department	4.333	0.787	57
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	9	10	0	Class	4.526	0.513	19
	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.4	52.6	0.0	Department	4.421	0.680	57
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	8	10	0	Class	4.474	0.612	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	42.1	52.6	0.0	Department	4.439	0.708	57
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	1	7	10	0	Class	4.368	0.831	19
	0.0	5.3	5.3	36.8	52.6	0.0	Department	4.281	0.818	57
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	2	5	10	0	Class	4.158	1.167	19
	5.3	5.3	10.5	26.3	52.6	0.0	Department	4.175	1.020	57
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	3	5	10	0	Class	4.263	0.933	19
	0.0	5.3	15.8	26.3	52.6	0.0	Department	4.281	0.861	57
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	9	9	0	Class	4.421	0.607	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	47.4	47.4	0.0	Department	4.333	0.740	57
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	1	10	7	0	Class	4.211	0.787	19
	0.0	5.3	5.3	52.6	36.8	0.0	Department	4.263	0.877	57
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	2	6	10	0	Class	4.316	0.885	19
	0.0	5.3	10.5	31.6	52.6	0.0	Department	4.211	0.940	57
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	10	9	0	Class	4.474	0.513	19
	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.6	47.4	0.0	Department	4.368	0.723	57
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	8	7	0	Class	4.158	0.765	19
	0.0	0.0	21.1	42.1	36.8	0.0	Department	4.281	0.675	57
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	4	9	6	0	Class	4.105	0.737	19
	0.0	0.0	21.1	47.4	31.6	0.0	Department	4.211	0.674	57
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	1	8	9	0	Class	4.316	0.820	19
	0.0	5.3	5.3	42.1	47.4	0.0	Department	4.351	0.719	57
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	7	10	0	Class	4.421	0.692	19
	0.0	0.0	10.5	36.8	52.6	0.0	Department	4.263	0.791	57
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	8	8	0	Class	4.263	0.733	19
	0.0	0.0	15.8	42.1	42.1	0.0	Department	4.263	0.720	57
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	9	9	0	Class	4.421	0.607	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	47.4	47.4	0.0	Department	4.351	0.612	57
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 24				IB=In Between....(3)			
			Your Dept 57				P= Poor....(2)			
			Your College .. 4836				VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	0	16	7	0	Class	4.208	0.658	24
	0.0	4.2	0.0	66.7	29.2	0.0	Department	4.333	0.787	57
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	1	13	9	0	Class	4.250	0.737	24
	0.0	4.2	4.2	54.2	37.5	0.0	Department	4.421	0.680	57
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	0	11	12	0	Class	4.417	0.717	24
	0.0	4.2	0.0	45.8	50.0	0.0	Department	4.439	0.708	57
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	14	8	0	Class	4.250	0.608	24
	0.0	0.0	8.3	58.3	33.3	0.0	Department	4.281	0.818	57
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	1	14	8	0	Class	4.208	0.721	24
	0.0	4.2	4.2	58.3	33.3	0.0	Department	4.175	1.020	57
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	14	9	0	Class	4.333	0.565	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	58.3	37.5	0.0	Department	4.281	0.861	57
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	16	7	0	Class	4.250	0.532	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	66.7	29.2	0.0	Department	4.333	0.740	57
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	10	11	0	Class	4.292	0.806	24
	0.0	4.2	8.3	41.7	45.8	0.0	Department	4.263	0.877	57
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	2	11	9	0	Class	4.125	0.900	24
	0.0	8.3	8.3	45.8	37.5	0.0	Department	4.211	0.940	57
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	1	12	10	0	Class	4.250	0.897	24
	4.2	0.0	4.2	50.0	41.7	0.0	Department	4.368	0.723	57
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	15	8	0	Class	4.292	0.550	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	62.5	33.3	0.0	Department	4.281	0.675	57
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	15	6	0	Class	4.125	0.612	24
	0.0	0.0	12.5	62.5	25.0	0.0	Department	4.211	0.674	57
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	0	15	8	0	Class	4.250	0.676	24
	0.0	4.2	0.0	62.5	33.3	0.0	Department	4.351	0.719	57
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	2	13	7	0	Class	4.042	0.859	24
	0.0	8.3	8.3	54.2	29.2	0.0	Department	4.263	0.791	57
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	1	14	8	0	Class	4.208	0.721	24
	0.0	4.2	4.2	58.3	33.3	0.0	Department	4.263	0.720	57
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	16	7	0	Class	4.250	0.532	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	66.7	29.2	0.0	Department	4.351	0.612	57
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 14					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 57					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	0	2	4	7	0	Class	4.143	1.167	14
	7.1	0.0	14.3	28.6	50.0	0.0	Department	4.333	0.787	57
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	2	10	0	Class	4.571	0.756	14
	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	0.0	Department	4.421	0.680	57
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	3	2	9	0	Class	4.429	0.852	14
	0.0	0.0	21.4	14.3	64.3	0.0	Department	4.439	0.708	57
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	1	0	1	5	7	0	Class	4.214	1.122	14
	7.1	0.0	7.1	35.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.281	0.818	57
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	1	3	8	0	Class	4.143	1.292	14
	7.1	7.1	7.1	21.4	57.1	0.0	Department	4.175	1.020	57
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	2	3	8	0	Class	4.214	1.188	14
	7.1	0.0	14.3	21.4	57.1	0.0	Department	4.281	0.861	57
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	1	3	9	0	Class	4.357	1.151	14
	7.1	0.0	7.1	21.4	64.3	0.0	Department	4.333	0.740	57
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	1	4	8	0	Class	4.286	1.139	14
	7.1	0.0	7.1	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	4.263	0.877	57
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	1	5	7	0	Class	4.214	1.122	14
	7.1	0.0	7.1	35.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.211	0.940	57
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	6	7	0	Class	4.429	0.646	14
	0.0	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	0.0	Department	4.368	0.723	57
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	4	8	0	Class	4.429	0.756	14
	0.0	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	4.281	0.675	57
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	5	8	0	Class	4.500	0.650	14
	0.0	0.0	7.1	35.7	57.1	0.0	Department	4.211	0.674	57
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	4	9	0	Class	4.571	0.646	14
	0.0	0.0	7.1	28.6	64.3	0.0	Department	4.351	0.719	57
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	4	8	0	Class	4.429	0.756	14
	0.0	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	4.263	0.791	57
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	5	7	0	Class	4.357	0.745	14
	0.0	0.0	14.3	35.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.263	0.720	57
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	4	8	0	Class	4.429	0.756	14
	0.0	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	4.351	0.612	57
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 636					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 851					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	17	63	260	286	6	Class	4.281	0.801	630
	0.6	2.7	9.9	40.9	45.0	0.9	Department	4.229	0.843	840
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
2. the instructor as a teacher.	5	16	49	234	326	6	Class	4.365	0.797	630
	0.8	2.5	7.7	36.8	51.3	0.9	Department	4.310	0.838	840
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	7	33	108	224	258	6	Class	4.100	0.939	630
	1.1	5.2	17.0	35.2	40.6	0.9	Department	4.061	0.954	839
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
4. the quality of this course.	5	12	78	315	219	7	Class	4.162	0.771	629
	0.8	1.9	12.3	49.5	34.4	1.1	Department	4.135	0.806	839
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	9	27	171	420	6	Class	4.581	0.688	630
	0.5	1.4	4.2	26.9	66.0	0.9	Department	4.495	0.748	840
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	24	128	315	158	8	Class	3.957	0.807	628
	0.5	3.8	20.1	49.5	24.8	1.3	Department	3.910	0.863	837
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	9	37	274	306	5	Class	4.374	0.730	631
	0.8	1.4	5.8	43.1	48.1	0.8	Department	4.332	0.758	841
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	11	61	274	282	5	Class	4.301	0.753	631
	0.5	1.7	9.6	43.1	44.3	0.8	Department	4.277	0.764	841
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	18	77	273	260	5	Class	4.219	0.803	631
	0.5	2.8	12.1	42.9	40.9	0.8	Department	4.159	0.850	841
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	9	26	207	384	6	Class	4.521	0.703	630
	0.6	1.4	4.1	32.5	60.4	0.9	Department	4.442	0.773	840
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	10	105	301	211	7	Class	4.127	0.761	629
	0.3	1.6	16.5	47.3	33.2	1.1	Department	4.068	0.804	839
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	12	110	231	275	6	Class	4.214	0.819	630
	0.3	1.9	17.3	36.3	43.2	0.9	Department	4.236	0.839	839
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	10	61	275	282	6	Class	4.310	0.736	630
	0.3	1.6	9.6	43.2	44.3	0.9	Department	4.299	0.783	840
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	13	89	266	257	8	Class	4.212	0.796	628
	0.5	2.0	14.0	41.8	40.4	1.3	Department	4.179	0.835	838
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	11	96	294	226	5	Class	4.152	0.784	631
	0.6	1.7	15.1	46.2	35.5	0.8	Department	4.186	0.779	840
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	12	58	275	280	7	Class	4.296	0.764	629
	0.6	1.9	9.1	43.2	44.0	1.1	Department	4.289	0.764	838
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: ECONOMICS							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 197 Your Dept 851 Your College .. 4836								
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	13	32	71	76	3	Class	4.062	0.958	194
							Department	4.229	0.843	840
	1.0	6.6	16.2	36.0	38.6	1.5	College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	14	22	73	83	3	Class	4.139	0.953	194
							Department	4.310	0.838	840
	1.0	7.1	11.2	37.1	42.1	1.5	College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	4	12	44	67	66	4	Class	3.927	1.003	193
							Department	4.061	0.954	839
	2.0	6.1	22.3	34.0	33.5	2.0	College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	3	8	35	80	68	3	Class	4.041	0.915	194
							Department	4.135	0.806	839
	1.5	4.1	17.8	40.6	34.5	1.5	College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	6	26	73	87	3	Class	4.222	0.868	194
							Department	4.495	0.748	840
	1.0	3.0	13.2	37.1	44.2	1.5	College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	24	44	73	49	4	Class	3.731	1.026	193
							Department	3.910	0.863	837
	1.5	12.2	22.3	37.1	24.9	2.0	College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	9	20	86	78	3	Class	4.191	0.839	194
							Department	4.332	0.758	841
	0.5	4.6	10.2	43.7	39.6	1.5	College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	8	24	87	75	3	Class	4.180	0.804	194
							Department	4.277	0.764	841
	0.0	4.1	12.2	44.2	38.1	1.5	College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	16	36	76	64	3	Class	3.948	0.970	194
							Department	4.159	0.850	841
	1.0	8.1	18.3	38.6	32.5	1.5	College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	8	24	74	85	3	Class	4.186	0.914	194
							Department	4.442	0.773	840
	1.5	4.1	12.2	37.6	43.1	1.5	College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	13	43	89	47	3	Class	3.856	0.899	194
							Department	4.068	0.804	839
	1.0	6.6	21.8	45.2	23.9	1.5	College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	6	24	62	98	4	Class	4.275	0.908	193
							Department	4.236	0.839	839
	1.5	3.0	12.2	31.5	49.7	2.0	College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	9	18	70	94	3	Class	4.253	0.918	194
							Department	4.299	0.783	840
	1.5	4.6	9.1	35.5	47.7	1.5	College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	9	31	77	74	3	Class	4.082	0.929	194
							Department	4.179	0.835	838
	1.5	4.6	15.7	39.1	37.6	1.5	College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	3	22	84	83	4	Class	4.269	0.764	193
							Department	4.186	0.779	840
	0.5	1.5	11.2	42.6	42.1	2.0	College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	2	20	87	82	4	Class	4.269	0.771	193
							Department	4.289	0.764	838
	1.0	1.0	10.2	44.2	41.6	2.0	College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 18					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 851					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	0	10	5	2	Class	4.188	0.750	16
	0.0	5.6	0.0	55.6	27.8	11.1	Department	4.229	0.843	840
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	9	5	2	Class	4.188	0.655	16
	0.0	0.0	11.1	50.0	27.8	11.1	Department	4.310	0.838	840
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	4	6	6	2	Class	4.125	0.806	16
	0.0	0.0	22.2	33.3	33.3	11.1	Department	4.061	0.954	839
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	9	5	2	Class	4.188	0.655	16
	0.0	0.0	11.1	50.0	27.8	11.1	Department	4.135	0.806	839
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	5	9	2	Class	4.438	0.727	16
	0.0	0.0	11.1	27.8	50.0	11.1	Department	4.495	0.748	840
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	12	4	2	Class	4.250	0.447	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	22.2	11.1	Department	3.910	0.863	837
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	8	7	2	Class	4.375	0.619	16
	0.0	0.0	5.6	44.4	38.9	11.1	Department	4.332	0.758	841
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	6	9	2	Class	4.500	0.632	16
	0.0	0.0	5.6	33.3	50.0	11.1	Department	4.277	0.764	841
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	6	8	2	Class	4.375	0.719	16
	0.0	0.0	11.1	33.3	44.4	11.1	Department	4.159	0.850	841
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	4	10	2	Class	4.438	0.892	16
	0.0	5.6	5.6	22.2	55.6	11.1	Department	4.442	0.773	840
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	5	8	2	Class	4.313	0.793	16
	0.0	0.0	16.7	27.8	44.4	11.1	Department	4.068	0.804	839
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	4	11	2	Class	4.625	0.619	16
	0.0	0.0	5.6	22.2	61.1	11.1	Department	4.236	0.839	839
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	3	3	10	2	Class	4.438	0.814	16
	0.0	0.0	16.7	16.7	55.6	11.1	Department	4.299	0.783	840
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	2	5	7	2	Class	4.063	1.063	16
	0.0	11.1	11.1	27.8	38.9	11.1	Department	4.179	0.835	838
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	6	9	2	Class	4.500	0.632	16
	0.0	0.0	5.6	33.3	50.0	11.1	Department	4.186	0.779	840
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	8	6	2	Class	4.250	0.683	16
	0.0	0.0	11.1	44.4	33.3	11.1	Department	4.289	0.764	838
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 18					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 67					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	2	16	0	Class	4.889	0.323	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	0.0	Department	3.985	0.862	67
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	3.985	0.977	67
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.106	0.862	66
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831

4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	2	16	0	Class	4.889	0.323	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	0.0	Department	3.955	0.928	67
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.273	0.735	66
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	6	12	0	Class	4.667	0.485	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.030	0.803	66
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	5	12	1	Class	4.706	0.470	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.8	66.7	5.6	Department	4.061	0.762	66
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	5	13	0	Class	4.722	0.461	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.8	72.2	0.0	Department	3.985	0.879	67
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.075	0.841	67
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.373	0.517	67
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	4	14	0	Class	4.778	0.428	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	0.0	Department	4.179	0.716	67
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	2	15	1	Class	4.882	0.332	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	83.3	5.6	Department	4.231	0.806	65
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.149	0.875	67
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.091	0.907	66
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	5	13	0	Class	4.722	0.461	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.8	72.2	0.0	Department	4.104	0.761	67
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	15	0	Class	4.833	0.383	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.090	0.848	67
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 44					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 67					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	13	25	3	0	Class	3.636	0.718	44
	0.0	6.8	29.5	56.8	6.8	0.0	Department	3.985	0.862	67
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	6	14	20	4	0	Class	3.500	0.849	44
	0.0	13.6	31.8	45.5	9.1	0.0	Department	3.985	0.977	67
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	4	9	26	4	1	Class	3.698	0.773	43
	0.0	9.1	20.5	59.1	9.1	2.3	Department	4.106	0.862	66
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831

4. the quality of this course.	1	3	13	23	4	0	Class	3.591	0.844	44
	2.3	6.8	29.5	52.3	9.1	0.0	Department	3.955	0.928	67
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	5	29	8	1	Class	4.023	0.636	43
	0.0	2.3	11.4	65.9	18.2	2.3	Department	4.273	0.735	66
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817

6. your understanding of the course content.	1	2	7	30	3	1	Class	3.744	0.759	43
	2.3	4.5	15.9	68.2	6.8	2.3	Department	4.030	0.803	66
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	11	26	5	0	Class	3.773	0.711	44
	0.0	4.5	25.0	59.1	11.4	0.0	Department	4.061	0.762	66
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	2	8	28	4	0	Class	3.682	0.883	44
	4.5	4.5	18.2	63.6	9.1	0.0	Department	3.985	0.879	67
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	10	27	4	0	Class	3.727	0.727	44
	0.0	6.8	22.7	61.4	9.1	0.0	Department	4.075	0.841	67
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	36	7	0	Class	4.136	0.409	44
	0.0	0.0	2.3	81.8	15.9	0.0	Department	4.373	0.517	67
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	8	28	7	0	Class	3.932	0.661	44
	0.0	2.3	18.2	63.6	15.9	0.0	Department	4.179	0.716	67
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	9	23	9	1	Class	3.907	0.781	43
	0.0	4.5	20.5	52.3	20.5	2.3	Department	4.231	0.806	65
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	3	9	24	8	0	Class	3.841	0.805	44
	0.0	6.8	20.5	54.5	18.2	0.0	Department	4.149	0.875	67
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	14	21	6	1	Class	3.698	0.832	43
	2.3	2.3	31.8	47.7	13.6	2.3	Department	4.091	0.907	66
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	10	28	4	0	Class	3.773	0.677	44
	0.0	4.5	22.7	63.6	9.1	0.0	Department	4.104	0.761	67
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	3	6	30	4	0	Class	3.750	0.811	44
	2.3	6.8	13.6	68.2	9.1	0.0	Department	4.090	0.848	67
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 5					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 67					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	0	3	1	0	Class	3.800	1.095	5
	0.0	20.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	3.985	0.862	67
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	1	4	0	Class	4.800	0.447	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	3.985	0.977	67
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	2	3	0	Class	4.600	0.548	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.106	0.862	66
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	2	1	0	Class	3.800	0.837	5
	0.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	Department	3.955	0.928	67
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	0	2	2	0	Class	4.000	1.225	5
	0.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.273	0.735	66
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	2	2	0	Class	4.200	0.837	5
	0.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.030	0.803	66
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	2	0	Class	4.400	0.548	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.061	0.762	66
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	5	0	0	Class	4.000	0.000	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.985	0.879	67
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	1	2	0	Class	4.000	1.000	5
	0.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.075	0.841	67
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	3	2	0	Class	4.400	0.548	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.373	0.517	67
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	2	2	0	Class	4.200	0.837	5
	0.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.179	0.716	67
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1	4	0	Class	4.800	0.447	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.231	0.806	65
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	0	2	2	0	Class	4.000	1.225	5
	0.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.149	0.875	67
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	1	3	0	Class	4.400	0.894	5
	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.091	0.907	66
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	1	4	0	Class	4.800	0.447	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.104	0.761	67
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	2	0	Class	4.400	0.548	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.090	0.848	67
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: MICROBIOLOGY			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 376 Your Dept 794 Your College .. 4836				VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	15	94	264	0	Class	4.646	0.598	376
	0.0	0.8	4.0	25.0	70.2	0.0	Department	4.387	0.803	788
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	3	13	72	288	0	Class	4.715	0.567	376
	0.0	0.8	3.5	19.1	76.6	0.0	Department	4.454	0.803	788
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	6	14	67	287	1	Class	4.688	0.647	375
	0.3	1.6	3.7	17.8	76.3	0.3	Department	4.377	0.896	786
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
4. the quality of this course.	0	4	11	130	231	0	Class	4.564	0.608	376
	0.0	1.1	2.9	34.6	61.4	0.0	Department	4.380	0.747	789
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	5	22	96	253	0	Class	4.588	0.664	376
	0.0	1.3	5.9	25.5	67.3	0.0	Department	4.477	0.736	788
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	4	25	163	183	0	Class	4.391	0.684	376
	0.3	1.1	6.6	43.4	48.7	0.0	Department	4.260	0.725	789
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	13	114	249	0	Class	4.628	0.551	376
	0.0	0.0	3.5	30.3	66.2	0.0	Department	4.443	0.700	790
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	13	120	242	0	Class	4.604	0.570	376
	0.0	0.3	3.5	31.9	64.4	0.0	Department	4.382	0.767	791
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	6	13	107	250	0	Class	4.598	0.637	376
	0.0	1.6	3.5	28.5	66.5	0.0	Department	4.295	0.892	791
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	10	107	256	1	Class	4.645	0.561	375
	0.0	0.5	2.7	28.5	68.1	0.3	Department	4.487	0.715	789
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	4	33	162	176	0	Class	4.351	0.707	376
	0.3	1.1	8.8	43.1	46.8	0.0	Department	4.244	0.725	787
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	36	118	221	1	Class	4.493	0.666	375
	0.0	0.0	9.6	31.4	58.8	0.3	Department	4.342	0.752	789
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	20	101	255	0	Class	4.625	0.585	376
	0.0	0.0	5.3	26.9	67.8	0.0	Department	4.422	0.762	791
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	23	118	233	0	Class	4.548	0.635	376
	0.0	0.5	6.1	31.4	62.0	0.0	Department	4.341	0.788	790
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	22	139	215	0	Class	4.513	0.606	376
	0.0	0.0	5.9	37.0	57.2	0.0	Department	4.387	0.704	789
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	8	15	128	223	2	Class	4.513	0.678	374
	0.0	2.1	4.0	34.0	59.3	0.5	Department	4.404	0.729	787
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MICROBIOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 409					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 794					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	20	64	151	166	6	Class	4.139	0.893	403
	0.5	4.9	15.6	36.9	40.6	1.5	Department	4.387	0.803	788
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	22	50	143	185	6	Class	4.203	0.911	403
	0.7	5.4	12.2	35.0	45.2	1.5	Department	4.454	0.803	788
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	4	32	65	129	172	7	Class	4.077	0.997	402
	1.0	7.8	15.9	31.5	42.1	1.7	Department	4.377	0.896	786
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	16	56	163	169	5	Class	4.200	0.823	404
	0.0	3.9	13.7	39.9	41.3	1.2	Department	4.380	0.747	789
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	11	33	148	209	6	Class	4.367	0.788	403
	0.5	2.7	8.1	36.2	51.1	1.5	Department	4.477	0.736	788
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	8	52	217	125	5	Class	4.126	0.740	404
	0.5	2.0	12.7	53.1	30.6	1.2	Department	4.260	0.725	789
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	11	44	172	177	4	Class	4.267	0.779	405
	0.2	2.7	10.8	42.1	43.3	1.0	Department	4.443	0.700	790
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	16	50	171	165	3	Class	4.175	0.864	406
	1.0	3.9	12.2	41.8	40.3	0.7	Department	4.382	0.767	791
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	6	33	65	150	152	3	Class	4.007	0.998	406
	1.5	8.1	15.9	36.7	37.2	0.7	Department	4.295	0.892	791
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	13	35	153	202	4	Class	4.333	0.805	405
	0.5	3.2	8.6	37.4	49.4	1.0	Department	4.487	0.715	789
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	7	55	211	128	7	Class	4.139	0.727	402
	0.2	1.7	13.4	51.6	31.3	1.7	Department	4.244	0.725	787
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	10	68	160	167	4	Class	4.195	0.802	405
	0.0	2.4	16.6	39.1	40.8	1.0	Department	4.342	0.752	789
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	13	52	155	183	3	Class	4.236	0.848	406
	0.7	3.2	12.7	37.9	44.7	0.7	Department	4.422	0.762	791
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	16	72	152	164	4	Class	4.141	0.863	405
	0.2	3.9	17.6	37.2	40.1	1.0	Department	4.341	0.788	790
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	7	52	167	177	5	Class	4.267	0.767	404
	0.2	1.7	12.7	40.8	43.3	1.2	Department	4.387	0.704	789
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	8	45	167	183	5	Class	4.295	0.762	404
	0.2	2.0	11.0	40.8	44.7	1.2	Department	4.404	0.729	787
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MICROBIOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 9					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 794					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	3	6	0	Class	4.667	0.500	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.387	0.803	788
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	2	7	0	Class	4.778	0.441	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	0.0	Department	4.454	0.803	788
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	2	7	0	Class	4.778	0.441	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	0.0	Department	4.377	0.896	786
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831

4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	2	7	0	Class	4.778	0.441	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	0.0	Department	4.380	0.747	789
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	2	7	0	Class	4.778	0.441	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	0.0	Department	4.477	0.736	788
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	2	7	0	Class	4.778	0.441	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	0.0	Department	4.260	0.725	789
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	6	0	Class	4.667	0.500	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.443	0.700	790
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	3	5	0	Class	4.444	0.726	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	0.0	Department	4.382	0.767	791
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	2	6	0	Class	4.556	0.726	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	22.2	66.7	0.0	Department	4.295	0.892	791
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	0	8	0	Class	4.778	0.667	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0	88.9	0.0	Department	4.487	0.715	789
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	3	5	0	Class	4.444	0.726	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	0.0	Department	4.244	0.725	787
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	3	6	0	Class	4.667	0.500	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.342	0.752	789
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	1	1	6	0	Class	4.333	1.118	9
	0.0	11.1	11.1	11.1	66.7	0.0	Department	4.422	0.762	791
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	1	7	0	Class	4.667	0.707	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	11.1	77.8	0.0	Department	4.341	0.788	790
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	3	5	0	Class	4.444	0.726	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	0.0	Department	4.387	0.704	789
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	7	0	Class	4.778	0.441	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	0.0	Department	4.404	0.729	787
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 40					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 61					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	11	27	1	Class	4.667	0.530	39
	0.0	0.0	2.5	27.5	67.5	2.5	Department	4.483	0.748	60
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	4187
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	12	25	1	Class	4.590	0.595	39
	0.0	0.0	5.0	30.0	62.5	2.5	Department	4.517	0.651	60
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	9	28	1	Class	4.667	0.577	39
	0.0	0.0	5.0	22.5	70.0	2.5	Department	4.600	0.588	60
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	11	27	1	Class	4.667	0.530	39
	0.0	0.0	2.5	27.5	67.5	2.5	Department	4.333	0.896	60
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	0	8	30	1	Class	4.718	0.605	39
	0.0	2.5	0.0	20.0	75.0	2.5	Department	4.650	0.633	60
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	8	31	1	Class	4.795	0.409	39
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	77.5	2.5	Department	4.550	0.723	60
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	11	28	1	Class	4.718	0.456	39
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.5	70.0	2.5	Department	4.600	0.558	60
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	11	28	1	Class	4.718	0.456	39
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.5	70.0	2.5	Department	4.533	0.676	60
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	10	28	1	Class	4.692	0.521	39
	0.0	0.0	2.5	25.0	70.0	2.5	Department	4.450	0.723	60
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	9	30	1	Class	4.769	0.427	39
	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.5	75.0	2.5	Department	4.633	0.551	60
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	10	28	1	Class	4.692	0.521	39
	0.0	0.0	2.5	25.0	70.0	2.5	Department	4.617	0.555	60
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	3	9	26	1	Class	4.538	0.756	39
	0.0	2.5	7.5	22.5	65.0	2.5	Department	4.567	0.698	60
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	8	29	1	Class	4.692	0.569	39
	0.0	0.0	5.0	20.0	72.5	2.5	Department	4.483	0.770	60
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	9	28	1	Class	4.667	0.577	39
	0.0	0.0	5.0	22.5	70.0	2.5	Department	4.533	0.623	60
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	11	26	1	Class	4.615	0.590	39
	0.0	0.0	5.0	27.5	65.0	2.5	Department	4.483	0.651	60
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	10	29	1	Class	4.744	0.442	39
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	72.5	2.5	Department	4.650	0.515	60
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 9					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 61					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	1	1	5	0	Class	4.000	1.323	9	
	0.0	22.2	11.1	11.1	55.6	0.0	Department	4.483	0.748	60	
							College	4.314	0.825	4799	
							University	4.148	0.936	41872	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	1	6	0	Class	4.444	0.882	9	
	0.0	0.0	22.2	11.1	66.7	0.0	Department	4.517	0.651	60	
							College	4.381	0.820	4795	
							University	4.219	0.942	41861	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	3	5	0	Class	4.444	0.726	9	
	0.0	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	0.0	Department	4.600	0.588	60	
							College	4.267	0.923	4789	
							University	4.143	0.985	41831	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	1	2	1	2	3	0	Class	3.444	1.509	9	
	11.1	22.2	11.1	22.2	33.3	0.0	Department	4.333	0.896	60	
							College	4.274	0.804	4797	
							University	4.089	0.912	41808	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	1	6	0	Class	4.444	0.882	9	
	0.0	0.0	22.2	11.1	66.7	0.0	Department	4.650	0.633	60	
							College	4.452	0.756	4792	
							University	4.255	0.895	41817	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	1	4	3	0	Class	3.889	1.269	9	
	11.1	0.0	11.1	44.4	33.3	0.0	Department	4.550	0.723	60	
							College	4.195	0.807	4792	
							University	4.076	0.875	41817	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	2	5	0	Class	4.333	0.866	9	
	0.0	0.0	22.2	22.2	55.6	0.0	Department	4.600	0.558	60	
							College	4.384	0.729	4802	
							University	4.220	0.849	40710	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	2	4	0	Class	4.000	1.118	9	
	0.0	11.1	22.2	22.2	44.4	0.0	Department	4.533	0.676	60	
							College	4.344	0.753	4802	
							University	4.189	0.860	40700	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	3	3	0	Class	4.000	0.866	9	
	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	Department	4.450	0.723	60	
							College	4.257	0.862	4798	
							University	4.108	0.955	40688	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	3	4	0	Class	4.222	0.833	9	
	0.0	0.0	22.2	33.3	44.4	0.0	Department	4.633	0.551	60	
							College	4.442	0.746	4797	
							University	4.286	0.841	40675	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	3	5	0	Class	4.444	0.726	9	
	0.0	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	0.0	Department	4.617	0.555	60	
							College	4.235	0.750	4793	
							University	4.097	0.825	40650	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	2	6	0	Class	4.556	0.726	9	
	0.0	0.0	11.1	22.2	66.7	0.0	Department	4.567	0.698	60	
							College	4.338	0.779	4790	
							University	4.206	0.844	40642	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	2	0	6	0	Class	4.222	1.202	9	
	0.0	11.1	22.2	0.0	66.7	0.0	Department	4.483	0.770	60	
							College	4.353	0.790	4800	
							University	4.192	0.887	40665	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	3	4	0	Class	4.222	0.833	9	
	0.0	0.0	22.2	33.3	44.4	0.0	Department	4.533	0.623	60	
							College	4.287	0.811	4791	
							University	4.123	0.916	40648	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	4	4	0	Class	4.333	0.707	9	
	0.0	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	0.0	Department	4.483	0.651	60	
							College	4.314	0.733	4793	
							University	4.204	0.809	40649	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	2	6	0	Class	4.556	0.726	9	
	0.0	0.0	11.1	22.2	66.7	0.0	Department	4.650	0.515	60	
							College	4.362	0.739	4784	
							University	4.184	0.868	40624	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 12					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 61					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	7	4	0	Class	4.250	0.622	12
	0.0	0.0	8.3	58.3	33.3	0.0	Department	4.483	0.748	60
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	4187
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	6	5	0	Class	4.333	0.651	12
	0.0	0.0	8.3	50.0	41.7	0.0	Department	4.517	0.651	60
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	6	6	0	Class	4.500	0.522	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.600	0.588	60
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	7	2	0	Class	3.917	0.669	12
	0.0	0.0	25.0	58.3	16.7	0.0	Department	4.333	0.896	60
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	5	7	0	Class	4.583	0.515	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.0	Department	4.650	0.633	60
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	7	4	0	Class	4.250	0.622	12
	0.0	0.0	8.3	58.3	33.3	0.0	Department	4.550	0.723	60
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	7	5	0	Class	4.417	0.515	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	0.0	Department	4.600	0.558	60
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	6	5	0	Class	4.333	0.651	12
	0.0	0.0	8.3	50.0	41.7	0.0	Department	4.533	0.676	60
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	1	7	3	0	Class	4.000	0.853	12
	0.0	8.3	8.3	58.3	25.0	0.0	Department	4.450	0.723	60
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	6	6	0	Class	4.500	0.522	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.633	0.551	60
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	6	6	0	Class	4.500	0.522	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.617	0.555	60
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	4	8	0	Class	4.667	0.492	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.567	0.698	60
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	3	6	3	0	Class	4.000	0.739	12
	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	Department	4.483	0.770	60
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	8	4	0	Class	4.333	0.492	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.533	0.623	60
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	6	4	0	Class	4.167	0.718	12
	0.0	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	0.0	Department	4.483	0.651	60
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	7	5	0	Class	4.417	0.515	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	0.0	Department	4.650	0.515	60
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 251					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 953					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	9	23	87	132	0	Class	4.363	0.795	251
	0.0	3.6	9.2	34.7	52.6	0.0	Department	4.326	0.814	951
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	4187
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	8	25	72	146	0	Class	4.418	0.798	251
	0.0	3.2	10.0	28.7	58.2	0.0	Department	4.393	0.823	949
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	4	16	35	63	133	0	Class	4.215	1.013	251
	1.6	6.4	13.9	25.1	53.0	0.0	Department	4.254	0.945	950
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
4. the quality of this course.	0	5	19	103	124	0	Class	4.378	0.713	251
	0.0	2.0	7.6	41.0	49.4	0.0	Department	4.265	0.820	948
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	5	15	84	144	1	Class	4.452	0.766	250
	0.8	2.0	6.0	33.5	57.4	0.4	Department	4.429	0.785	946
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	8	22	109	111	0	Class	4.279	0.786	251
	0.4	3.2	8.8	43.4	44.2	0.0	Department	4.151	0.830	947
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	4	26	80	141	0	Class	4.426	0.741	251
	0.0	1.6	10.4	31.9	56.2	0.0	Department	4.380	0.733	951
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	23	93	132	1	Class	4.420	0.691	250
	0.0	0.8	9.2	37.1	52.6	0.4	Department	4.352	0.745	949
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	14	23	83	130	1	Class	4.316	0.860	250
	0.0	5.6	9.2	33.1	51.8	0.4	Department	4.274	0.877	949
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	3	15	93	139	1	Class	4.472	0.666	250
	0.0	1.2	6.0	37.1	55.4	0.4	Department	4.445	0.764	946
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	6	17	119	108	0	Class	4.303	0.735	251
	0.4	2.4	6.8	47.4	43.0	0.0	Department	4.207	0.770	950
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	5	27	75	144	0	Class	4.426	0.763	251
	0.0	2.0	10.8	29.9	57.4	0.0	Department	4.397	0.746	949
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	4	22	91	133	0	Class	4.398	0.749	251
	0.4	1.6	8.8	36.3	53.0	0.0	Department	4.394	0.780	949
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	4	31	95	120	1	Class	4.324	0.752	250
	0.0	1.6	12.4	37.8	47.8	0.4	Department	4.286	0.815	944
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	5	25	102	119	0	Class	4.335	0.737	251
	0.0	2.0	10.0	40.6	47.4	0.0	Department	4.278	0.762	948
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	5	25	89	131	1	Class	4.384	0.748	250
	0.0	2.0	10.0	35.5	52.2	0.4	Department	4.324	0.757	944
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT SCIENCES								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 578						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 953						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	7	17	60	223	269	2	Class	4.267	0.853	576	
	1.2	2.9	10.4	38.6	46.5	0.3	Department	4.326	0.814	951	
							College	4.314	0.825	4799	
							University	4.148	0.936	41872	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	7	17	58	191	301	4	Class	4.328	0.865	574	
	1.2	2.9	10.0	33.0	52.1	0.7	Department	4.393	0.823	949	
							College	4.381	0.820	4795	
							University	4.219	0.942	41861	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	10	27	78	185	275	3	Class	4.197	0.960	575	
	1.7	4.7	13.5	32.0	47.6	0.5	Department	4.254	0.945	950	
							College	4.267	0.923	4789	
							University	4.143	0.985	41831	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	9	15	81	235	234	4	Class	4.167	0.876	574	
	1.6	2.6	14.0	40.7	40.5	0.7	Department	4.265	0.820	948	
							College	4.274	0.804	4797	
							University	4.089	0.912	41808	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	13	39	192	325	4	Class	4.427	0.789	574	
	0.9	2.2	6.7	33.2	56.2	0.7	Department	4.429	0.785	946	
							College	4.452	0.756	4792	
							University	4.255	0.895	41817	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	28	81	276	184	4	Class	4.056	0.856	574	
	0.9	4.8	14.0	47.8	31.8	0.7	Department	4.151	0.830	947	
							College	4.195	0.807	4792	
							University	4.076	0.875	41817	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	13	47	250	264	2	Class	4.321	0.748	576	
	0.3	2.2	8.1	43.3	45.7	0.3	Department	4.380	0.733	951	
							College	4.384	0.729	4802	
							University	4.220	0.849	40710	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	8	57	254	251	2	Class	4.278	0.780	576	
	1.0	1.4	9.9	43.9	43.4	0.3	Department	4.352	0.745	949	
							College	4.344	0.753	4802	
							University	4.189	0.860	40700	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	10	16	75	217	258	2	Class	4.210	0.896	576	
	1.7	2.8	13.0	37.5	44.6	0.3	Department	4.274	0.877	949	
							College	4.257	0.862	4798	
							University	4.108	0.955	40688	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	6	11	34	200	324	3	Class	4.435	0.778	575	
	1.0	1.9	5.9	34.6	56.1	0.5	Department	4.445	0.764	946	
							College	4.442	0.746	4797	
							University	4.286	0.841	40675	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	15	79	277	202	2	Class	4.146	0.787	576	
	0.5	2.6	13.7	47.9	34.9	0.3	Department	4.207	0.770	950	
							College	4.235	0.750	4793	
							University	4.097	0.825	40650	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	6	67	212	290	2	Class	4.361	0.740	576	
	0.2	1.0	11.6	36.7	50.2	0.3	Department	4.397	0.746	949	
							College	4.338	0.779	4790	
							University	4.206	0.844	40642	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	5	14	43	220	293	3	Class	4.360	0.795	575	
	0.9	2.4	7.4	38.1	50.7	0.5	Department	4.394	0.780	949	
							College	4.353	0.790	4800	
							University	4.192	0.887	40665	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	16	62	242	248	4	Class	4.237	0.831	574	
	1.0	2.8	10.7	41.9	42.9	0.7	Department	4.286	0.815	944	
							College	4.287	0.811	4791	
							University	4.123	0.916	40648	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	7	75	274	216	2	Class	4.200	0.760	576	
	0.7	1.2	13.0	47.4	37.4	0.3	Department	4.278	0.762	948	
							College	4.314	0.733	4793	
							University	4.204	0.809	40649	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	14	59	257	241	5	Class	4.258	0.766	573	
	0.3	2.4	10.2	44.5	41.7	0.9	Department	4.324	0.757	944	
							College	4.362	0.739	4784	
							University	4.184	0.868	40624	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 124					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 953					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	4	48	71	0	Class	4.524	0.604	124
	0.0	0.8	3.2	38.7	57.3	0.0	Department	4.326	0.814	951
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	5	31	87	0	Class	4.645	0.601	124
	0.0	0.8	4.0	25.0	70.2	0.0	Department	4.393	0.823	949
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	8	34	82	0	Class	4.597	0.610	124
	0.0	0.0	6.5	27.4	66.1	0.0	Department	4.254	0.945	950
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831

4. the quality of this course.	0	1	9	42	71	1	Class	4.488	0.670	123
	0.0	0.8	7.3	33.9	57.3	0.8	Department	4.265	0.820	948
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	2	13	38	68	2	Class	4.393	0.809	122
	0.8	1.6	10.5	30.6	54.8	1.6	Department	4.429	0.785	946
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	12	51	57	2	Class	4.336	0.723	122
	0.0	1.6	9.7	41.1	46.0	1.6	Department	4.151	0.830	947
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	7	41	76	0	Class	4.556	0.602	124
	0.0	0.0	5.6	33.1	61.3	0.0	Department	4.380	0.733	951
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	6	39	77	1	Class	4.561	0.629	123
	0.0	0.8	4.8	31.5	62.1	0.8	Department	4.352	0.745	949
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	5	7	34	77	1	Class	4.488	0.783	123
	0.0	4.0	5.6	27.4	62.1	0.8	Department	4.274	0.877	949
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	2	14	26	77	3	Class	4.438	0.884	121
	1.6	1.6	11.3	21.0	62.1	2.4	Department	4.445	0.764	946
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	14	52	55	1	Class	4.301	0.735	123
	0.0	1.6	11.3	41.9	44.4	0.8	Department	4.207	0.770	950
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	11	32	77	2	Class	4.508	0.730	122
	0.0	1.6	8.9	25.8	62.1	1.6	Department	4.397	0.746	949
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	8	30	82	1	Class	4.545	0.760	123
	0.8	1.6	6.5	24.2	66.1	0.8	Department	4.394	0.780	949
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	3	13	28	75	4	Class	4.442	0.848	120
	0.8	2.4	10.5	22.6	60.5	3.2	Department	4.286	0.815	944
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	2	8	30	80	3	Class	4.537	0.764	121
	0.8	1.6	6.5	24.2	64.5	2.4	Department	4.278	0.762	948
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	8	37	74	3	Class	4.512	0.697	121
	0.0	1.6	6.5	29.8	59.7	2.4	Department	4.324	0.757	944
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 136						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 176						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	10	75	49	0	Class	4.250	0.686	136	
	0.7	0.7	7.4	55.1	36.0	0.0	Department	4.261	0.693	176	
							College	4.314	0.825	4799	
							University	4.148	0.936	41872	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	3	10	64	58	0	Class	4.287	0.759	136	
	0.7	2.2	7.4	47.1	42.6	0.0	Department	4.324	0.735	176	
							College	4.381	0.820	4795	
							University	4.219	0.942	41861	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	3	11	69	51	1	Class	4.230	0.753	135	
	0.7	2.2	8.1	50.7	37.5	0.7	Department	4.246	0.775	175	
							College	4.267	0.923	4789	
							University	4.143	0.985	41831	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	1	3	15	74	43	0	Class	4.140	0.752	136	
	0.7	2.2	11.0	54.4	31.6	0.0	Department	4.170	0.713	176	
							College	4.274	0.804	4797	
							University	4.089	0.912	41808	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	11	70	53	0	Class	4.272	0.704	136	
	0.7	0.7	8.1	51.5	39.0	0.0	Department	4.330	0.696	176	
							College	4.452	0.756	4792	
							University	4.255	0.895	41817	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	8	17	81	28	0	Class	3.919	0.835	136	
	1.5	5.9	12.5	59.6	20.6	0.0	Department	4.000	0.793	176	
							College	4.195	0.807	4792	
							University	4.076	0.875	41817	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	1	10	79	44	0	Class	4.191	0.725	136	
	1.5	0.7	7.4	58.1	32.4	0.0	Department	4.267	0.711	176	
							College	4.384	0.729	4802	
							University	4.220	0.849	40710	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	2	13	77	43	0	Class	4.169	0.715	136	
	0.7	1.5	9.6	56.6	31.6	0.0	Department	4.188	0.736	176	
							College	4.344	0.753	4802	
							University	4.189	0.860	40700	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	3	10	80	42	0	Class	4.169	0.715	136	
	0.7	2.2	7.4	58.8	30.9	0.0	Department	4.193	0.746	176	
							College	4.257	0.862	4798	
							University	4.108	0.955	40688	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	7	74	54	0	Class	4.324	0.643	136	
	0.7	0.0	5.1	54.4	39.7	0.0	Department	4.409	0.626	176	
							College	4.442	0.746	4797	
							University	4.286	0.841	40675	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	1	21	76	36	1	Class	4.074	0.719	135	
	0.7	0.7	15.4	55.9	26.5	0.7	Department	4.132	0.760	174	
							College	4.235	0.750	4793	
							University	4.097	0.825	40650	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	3	38	56	36	2	Class	3.918	0.841	134	
	0.7	2.2	27.9	41.2	26.5	1.5	Department	4.115	0.846	174	
							College	4.338	0.779	4790	
							University	4.206	0.844	40642	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	16	70	47	1	Class	4.193	0.728	135	
	0.7	0.7	11.8	51.5	34.6	0.7	Department	4.280	0.708	175	
							College	4.353	0.790	4800	
							University	4.192	0.887	40665	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	19	72	43	0	Class	4.140	0.732	136	
	0.7	0.7	14.0	52.9	31.6	0.0	Department	4.205	0.735	176	
							College	4.287	0.811	4791	
							University	4.123	0.916	40648	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	1	11	72	50	1	Class	4.252	0.699	135	
	0.7	0.7	8.1	52.9	36.8	0.7	Department	4.269	0.713	175	
							College	4.314	0.733	4793	
							University	4.204	0.809	40649	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	10	76	49	0	Class	4.265	0.658	136	
	0.7	0.0	7.4	55.9	36.0	0.0	Department	4.286	0.668	175	
							College	4.362	0.739	4784	
							University	4.184	0.868	40624	

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: RANGE SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 81				IB=In Between....(3)			
			Your Dept 93				P= Poor....(2)			
			Your College .. 4836				VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	1	32	46	1	Class	4.538	0.594	80
	0.0	1.2	1.2	39.5	56.8	1.2	Department	4.543	0.582	92
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	3	25	51	1	Class	4.575	0.632	80
	0.0	1.2	3.7	30.9	63.0	1.2	Department	4.630	0.606	92
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	2	25	52	1	Class	4.588	0.669	80
	1.2	0.0	2.5	30.9	64.2	1.2	Department	4.641	0.639	92
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	4	40	35	1	Class	4.363	0.641	80
	0.0	1.2	4.9	49.4	43.2	1.2	Department	4.402	0.630	92
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	4	28	47	1	Class	4.513	0.656	80
	0.0	1.2	4.9	34.6	58.0	1.2	Department	4.565	0.634	92
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	7	41	31	1	Class	4.275	0.675	80
	0.0	1.2	8.6	50.6	38.3	1.2	Department	4.304	0.659	92
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	1	46	32	1	Class	4.363	0.579	80
	0.0	1.2	1.2	56.8	39.5	1.2	Department	4.391	0.573	92
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	45	32	1	Class	4.350	0.597	80
	0.0	1.2	2.5	55.6	39.5	1.2	Department	4.407	0.596	91
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	3	34	41	1	Class	4.425	0.689	80
	0.0	2.5	3.7	42.0	50.6	1.2	Department	4.473	0.672	91
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	33	45	1	Class	4.538	0.550	80
	0.0	0.0	2.5	40.7	55.6	1.2	Department	4.565	0.599	92
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	8	48	23	1	Class	4.163	0.645	80
	0.0	1.2	9.9	59.3	28.4	1.2	Department	4.174	0.640	92
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	15	31	34	1	Class	4.238	0.750	80
	0.0	0.0	18.5	38.3	42.0	1.2	Department	4.261	0.724	92
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	10	35	35	1	Class	4.313	0.686	80
	0.0	0.0	12.3	43.2	43.2	1.2	Department	4.326	0.681	92
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	11	35	32	2	Class	4.241	0.738	79
	0.0	1.2	13.6	43.2	39.5	2.5	Department	4.286	0.719	91
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	41	36	1	Class	4.413	0.567	80
	0.0	0.0	3.7	50.6	44.4	1.2	Department	4.402	0.594	92
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	5	34	41	1	Class	4.450	0.614	80
	0.0	0.0	6.2	42.0	50.6	1.2	Department	4.457	0.601	92
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: RANGE SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 12				IB=In Between....(3)			
			Your Dept 93				P= Poor....(2)			
			Your College .. 4836				VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	5	7	0	Class	4.583	0.515	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.0	Department	4.543	0.582	92
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class	5.000	0.000	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.630	0.606	92
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class	5.000	0.000	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.641	0.639	92
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831

4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	4	8	0	Class	4.667	0.492	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.402	0.630	92
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.565	0.634	92
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	6	6	0	Class	4.500	0.522	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.304	0.659	92
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	5	7	0	Class	4.583	0.515	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.0	Department	4.391	0.573	92
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	2	9	1	Class	4.818	0.405	11
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	75.0	8.3	Department	4.407	0.596	91
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	2	9	1	Class	4.818	0.405	11
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	75.0	8.3	Department	4.473	0.672	91
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	0	0	11	0	Class	4.750	0.866	12
	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	91.7	0.0	Department	4.565	0.599	92
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	7	4	0	Class	4.250	0.622	12
	0.0	0.0	8.3	58.3	33.3	0.0	Department	4.174	0.640	92
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	7	5	0	Class	4.417	0.515	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.3	41.7	0.0	Department	4.261	0.724	92
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	5	6	0	Class	4.417	0.669	12
	0.0	0.0	8.3	41.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.326	0.681	92
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	5	7	0	Class	4.583	0.515	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.0	Department	4.286	0.719	91
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	4	6	0	Class	4.333	0.778	12
	0.0	0.0	16.7	33.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.402	0.594	92
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	6	6	0	Class	4.500	0.522	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.457	0.601	92
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: FOOD SAFETY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 22					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 22					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	5	11	3	0	Class	3.636	0.902	22
	0.0	13.6	22.7	50.0	13.6	0.0	Department	3.636	0.902	22
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	2	4	10	5	0	Class	3.727	1.077	22
	4.5	9.1	18.2	45.5	22.7	0.0	Department	3.727	1.077	22
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	3	4	10	4	0	Class	3.591	1.098	22
	4.5	13.6	18.2	45.5	18.2	0.0	Department	3.591	1.098	22
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	1	2	5	11	3	0	Class	3.591	1.008	22
	4.5	9.1	22.7	50.0	13.6	0.0	Department	3.591	1.008	22
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	6	9	6	0	Class	3.909	0.868	22
	0.0	4.5	27.3	40.9	27.3	0.0	Department	3.909	0.868	22
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	7	11	2	0	Class	3.591	0.796	22
	0.0	9.1	31.8	50.0	9.1	0.0	Department	3.591	0.796	22
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	5	10	5	0	Class	3.773	1.020	22
	4.5	4.5	22.7	45.5	22.7	0.0	Department	3.773	1.020	22
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	1	4	13	3	0	Class	3.727	0.935	22
	4.5	4.5	18.2	59.1	13.6	0.0	Department	3.727	0.935	22
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	5	6	8	3	0	Class	3.409	1.008	22
	0.0	22.7	27.3	36.4	13.6	0.0	Department	3.409	1.008	22
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	0	3	11	6	0	Class	3.864	1.125	22
	9.1	0.0	13.6	50.0	27.3	0.0	Department	3.864	1.125	22
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	8	11	3	0	Class	3.773	0.685	22
	0.0	0.0	36.4	50.0	13.6	0.0	Department	3.773	0.685	22
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	8	6	6	0	Class	3.727	0.985	22
	0.0	9.1	36.4	27.3	27.3	0.0	Department	3.727	0.985	22
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	5	9	7	0	Class	4.000	0.873	22
	0.0	4.5	22.7	40.9	31.8	0.0	Department	4.000	0.873	22
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	9	7	4	0	Class	3.591	0.908	22
	0.0	9.1	40.9	31.8	18.2	0.0	Department	3.591	0.908	22
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	12	6	4	0	Class	3.636	0.790	22
	0.0	0.0	54.5	27.3	18.2	0.0	Department	3.636	0.790	22
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	1	6	8	3	0	Class	3.227	1.307	22
	18.2	4.5	27.3	36.4	13.6	0.0	Department	3.227	1.307	22
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 41				IB=In Between....(3)			
			Your Dept 69				P= Poor....(2)			
			Your College .. 4836				VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	7	19	14	0	Class	4.122	0.781	41
							Department	4.203	0.884	69
	0.0	2.4	17.1	46.3	34.1	0.0	College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	4	24	13	0	Class	4.220	0.613	41
							Department	4.290	0.806	69
	0.0	0.0	9.8	58.5	31.7	0.0	College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	3	8	16	14	0	Class	4.000	0.922	41
							Department	4.072	0.975	69
	0.0	7.3	19.5	39.0	34.1	0.0	College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	6	23	12	0	Class	4.146	0.654	41
							Department	4.217	0.820	69
	0.0	0.0	14.6	56.1	29.3	0.0	College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	16	24	0	Class	4.561	0.550	41
							Department	4.478	0.759	69
	0.0	0.0	2.4	39.0	58.5	0.0	College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	10	20	11	0	Class	4.024	0.724	41
							Department	4.087	0.818	69
	0.0	0.0	24.4	48.8	26.8	0.0	College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	2	26	12	0	Class	4.195	0.641	41
							Department	4.377	0.666	69
	0.0	2.4	4.9	63.4	29.3	0.0	College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	6	22	12	0	Class	4.098	0.735	41
							Department	4.217	0.820	69
	0.0	2.4	14.6	53.7	29.3	0.0	College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	14	13	10	0	Class	3.707	0.955	41
							Department	3.957	0.962	69
	0.0	9.8	34.1	31.7	24.4	0.0	College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	4	20	17	0	Class	4.317	0.650	41
							Department	4.464	0.655	69
	0.0	0.0	9.8	48.8	41.5	0.0	College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	5	26	9	0	Class	4.049	0.669	41
							Department	4.145	0.713	69
	0.0	2.4	12.2	63.4	22.0	0.0	College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	13	28	0	Class	4.683	0.471	41
							Department	4.725	0.450	69
	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.7	68.3	0.0	College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	17	23	0	Class	4.537	0.552	41
							Department	4.609	0.574	69
	0.0	0.0	2.4	41.5	56.1	0.0	College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	3	19	19	0	Class	4.390	0.628	41
							Department	4.507	0.609	69
	0.0	0.0	7.3	46.3	46.3	0.0	College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	22	15	0	Class	4.268	0.633	41
							Department	4.333	0.657	69
	0.0	0.0	9.8	53.7	36.6	0.0	College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	26	15	0	Class	4.366	0.488	41
							Department	4.464	0.558	69
	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.4	36.6	0.0	College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 28				IB=In Between....(3)			
			Your Dept 69				P= Poor....(2)			
			Your College .. 4836				VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	0	5	5	17	0	Class	4.321	1.020	28
	3.6	0.0	17.9	17.9	60.7	0.0	Department	4.203	0.884	69
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	2	6	18	0	Class	4.393	1.031	28
	3.6	3.6	7.1	21.4	64.3	0.0	Department	4.290	0.806	69
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	7	5	15	0	Class	4.179	1.056	28
	3.6	0.0	25.0	17.9	53.6	0.0	Department	4.072	0.975	69
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831
4. the quality of this course.	1	1	2	8	16	0	Class	4.321	1.020	28
	3.6	3.6	7.1	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	4.217	0.820	69
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	1	9	16	0	Class	4.357	0.989	28
	3.6	3.6	3.6	32.1	57.1	0.0	Department	4.478	0.759	69
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	4	11	12	0	Class	4.179	0.945	28
	3.6	0.0	14.3	39.3	42.9	0.0	Department	4.087	0.818	69
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	6	20	0	Class	4.643	0.621	28
	0.0	0.0	7.1	21.4	71.4	0.0	Department	4.377	0.666	69
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	2	9	16	0	Class	4.393	0.916	28
	3.6	0.0	7.1	32.1	57.1	0.0	Department	4.217	0.820	69
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	4	8	15	0	Class	4.321	0.863	28
	0.0	3.6	14.3	28.6	53.6	0.0	Department	3.957	0.962	69
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	5	21	0	Class	4.679	0.612	28
	0.0	0.0	7.1	17.9	75.0	0.0	Department	4.464	0.655	69
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	2	13	12	0	Class	4.286	0.763	28
	0.0	3.6	7.1	46.4	42.9	0.0	Department	4.145	0.713	69
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	6	22	0	Class	4.786	0.418	28
	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.4	78.6	0.0	Department	4.725	0.450	69
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	4	22	0	Class	4.714	0.600	28
	0.0	0.0	7.1	14.3	78.6	0.0	Department	4.609	0.574	69
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	7	20	0	Class	4.679	0.548	28
	0.0	0.0	3.6	25.0	71.4	0.0	Department	4.507	0.609	69
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	10	15	0	Class	4.429	0.690	28
	0.0	0.0	10.7	35.7	53.6	0.0	Department	4.333	0.657	69
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	7	19	0	Class	4.607	0.629	28
	0.0	0.0	7.1	25.0	67.9	0.0	Department	4.464	0.558	69
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 273					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 408					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	6	28	88	151	0	Class	4.407	0.762	273
	0.0	2.2	10.3	32.2	55.3	0.0	Department	4.279	0.835	405
							College	4.314	0.825	4799
							University	4.148	0.936	41872

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	6	21	82	163	1	Class	4.478	0.734	272
	0.0	2.2	7.7	30.0	59.7	0.4	Department	4.319	0.826	405
							College	4.381	0.820	4795
							University	4.219	0.942	41861

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	4	20	75	173	1	Class	4.533	0.697	272
	0.0	1.5	7.3	27.5	63.4	0.4	Department	4.368	0.806	405
							College	4.267	0.923	4789
							University	4.143	0.985	41831

4. the quality of this course.	0	2	21	90	160	0	Class	4.495	0.670	273
	0.0	0.7	7.7	33.0	58.6	0.0	Department	4.357	0.765	406
							College	4.274	0.804	4797
							University	4.089	0.912	41808

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	23	88	160	0	Class	4.487	0.681	273
	0.0	0.7	8.4	32.2	58.6	0.0	Department	4.362	0.773	406
							College	4.452	0.756	4792
							University	4.255	0.895	41817

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	16	116	139	0	Class	4.436	0.639	273
	0.0	0.7	5.9	42.5	50.9	0.0	Department	4.333	0.717	406
							College	4.195	0.807	4792
							University	4.076	0.875	41817

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	3	22	87	161	0	Class	4.487	0.692	273
	0.0	1.1	8.1	31.9	59.0	0.0	Department	4.384	0.731	404
							College	4.384	0.729	4802
							University	4.220	0.849	40710

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	11	93	168	0	Class	4.568	0.591	273
	0.0	0.4	4.0	34.1	61.5	0.0	Department	4.417	0.711	405
							College	4.344	0.753	4802
							University	4.189	0.860	40700

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	19	91	159	0	Class	4.484	0.692	273
	0.0	1.5	7.0	33.3	58.2	0.0	Department	4.247	0.872	405
							College	4.257	0.862	4798
							University	4.108	0.955	40688

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	15	80	178	0	Class	4.597	0.593	273
	0.0	0.0	5.5	29.3	65.2	0.0	Department	4.447	0.725	405
							College	4.442	0.746	4797
							University	4.286	0.841	40675

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	4	26	106	137	0	Class	4.377	0.718	273
	0.0	1.5	9.5	38.8	50.2	0.0	Department	4.326	0.709	405
							College	4.235	0.750	4793
							University	4.097	0.825	40650

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	26	95	151	0	Class	4.451	0.679	273
	0.0	0.4	9.5	34.8	55.3	0.0	Department	4.388	0.721	405
							College	4.338	0.779	4790
							University	4.206	0.844	40642

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	22	97	152	0	Class	4.462	0.675	273
	0.0	0.7	8.1	35.5	55.7	0.0	Department	4.388	0.711	405
							College	4.353	0.790	4800
							University	4.192	0.887	40665

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	5	24	96	148	0	Class	4.418	0.729	273
	0.0	1.8	8.8	35.2	54.2	0.0	Department	4.346	0.744	405
							College	4.287	0.811	4791
							University	4.123	0.916	40648

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	17	97	157	0	Class	4.498	0.648	273
	0.0	0.7	6.2	35.5	57.5	0.0	Department	4.423	0.662	404
							College	4.314	0.733	4793
							University	4.204	0.809	40649

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	21	99	150	1	Class	4.456	0.686	272
	0.4	0.4	7.7	36.3	54.9	0.4	Department	4.399	0.688	404
							College	4.362	0.739	4784
							University	4.184	0.868	40624

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 135						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 408						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4836						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	10	18	60	43	3	Class	4.015	0.916	132	
							Department	4.279	0.835	405	
	0.7	7.4	13.3	44.4	31.9	2.2	College	4.314	0.825	4799	
							University	4.148	0.936	41872	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	9	22	59	42	2	Class	3.992	0.909	133	
							Department	4.319	0.826	405	
	0.7	6.7	16.3	43.7	31.1	1.5	College	4.381	0.820	4795	
							University	4.219	0.942	41861	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	9	19	60	44	2	Class	4.030	0.904	133	
							Department	4.368	0.806	405	
	0.7	6.7	14.1	44.4	32.6	1.5	College	4.267	0.923	4789	
							University	4.143	0.985	41831	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	1	6	21	59	46	2	Class	4.075	0.867	133	
							Department	4.357	0.765	406	
	0.7	4.4	15.6	43.7	34.1	1.5	College	4.274	0.804	4797	
							University	4.089	0.912	41808	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	6	21	55	50	2	Class	4.105	0.881	133	
							Department	4.362	0.773	406	
	0.7	4.4	15.6	40.7	37.0	1.5	College	4.452	0.756	4792	
							University	4.255	0.895	41817	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	7	16	64	46	2	Class	4.120	0.817	133	
							Department	4.333	0.717	406	
	0.0	5.2	11.9	47.4	34.1	1.5	College	4.195	0.807	4792	
							University	4.076	0.875	41817	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	20	62	47	4	Class	4.168	0.766	131	
							Department	4.384	0.731	404	
	0.7	0.7	14.8	45.9	34.8	3.0	College	4.384	0.729	4802	
							University	4.220	0.849	40710	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	8	15	64	45	3	Class	4.106	0.831	132	
							Department	4.417	0.711	405	
	0.0	5.9	11.1	47.4	33.3	2.2	College	4.344	0.753	4802	
							University	4.189	0.860	40700	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	19	22	59	31	3	Class	3.758	0.997	132	
							Department	4.247	0.872	405	
	0.7	14.1	16.3	43.7	23.0	2.2	College	4.257	0.862	4798	
							University	4.108	0.955	40688	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	5	14	63	48	3	Class	4.136	0.863	132	
							Department	4.447	0.725	405	
	1.5	3.7	10.4	46.7	35.6	2.2	College	4.442	0.746	4797	
							University	4.286	0.841	40675	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	16	68	47	3	Class	4.220	0.680	132	
							Department	4.326	0.709	405	
	0.0	0.7	11.9	50.4	34.8	2.2	College	4.235	0.750	4793	
							University	4.097	0.825	40650	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	4	10	62	55	3	Class	4.258	0.788	132	
							Department	4.388	0.721	405	
	0.7	3.0	7.4	45.9	40.7	2.2	College	4.338	0.779	4790	
							University	4.206	0.844	40642	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	4	14	61	53	3	Class	4.235	0.760	132	
							Department	4.388	0.711	405	
	0.0	3.0	10.4	45.2	39.3	2.2	College	4.353	0.790	4800	
							University	4.192	0.887	40665	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	4	15	64	49	3	Class	4.197	0.756	132	
							Department	4.346	0.744	405	
	0.0	3.0	11.1	47.4	36.3	2.2	College	4.287	0.811	4791	
							University	4.123	0.916	40648	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	16	64	51	4	Class	4.267	0.666	131	
							Department	4.423	0.662	404	
	0.0	0.0	11.9	47.4	37.8	3.0	College	4.314	0.733	4793	
							University	4.204	0.809	40649	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	14	64	53	3	Class	4.280	0.680	132	
							Department	4.399	0.688	404	
	0.0	0.7	10.4	47.4	39.3	2.2	College	4.362	0.739	4784	
							University	4.184	0.868	40624	