# Certificate of Analysis

#### NATURAL MATRIX CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIAL

**METALS ON SOIL #5** 

Dryhoum 5

Catalog No: CRM022-020

Lot No: D522

#### **ANALYTE CONCENTRATIONS**

Element	Reference Value	<u>S.D.</u>	Confidence Interval	Prediction Interval
Aluminum, Al	10,060.3	2,388	9,007 - 11,114	4,969 - 15,152
Antimony, Sb	(<0.2)			
Arsenic, As	5.4	2.2	4.4 - 6.5	0.8 - 10.1
Barium, Ba	109	10.8	104 -114	86.2 - 132
Beryllium, Be	0.5	0.1	0.4 - 0.5	0.2 - 0.7
Boron, B	(15.5)			
Cadmium, Cd	3.1	0.4	2.9 - 3.3	2.3 - 3.9
Calcium, Ca	27242	3862	25,535 - 28,949	19,030 - 35,454
Chromium, Cr	18.8	3.9	17.2 - 20.4	10.6 - 27.0
Cobalt, Co	5.7	0.7	5.4 - 6.0	4.2 - 7.2
Copper, Cu	12.4	1.8	11.6 - 13.2	8.6 - 16.2
Iron, Fe	13,555.3	2,585	12,473 - 14638	8,070 - 19,040
Lead, Pb	414.7	55.1	391 - 438	298 - 531
Magnesium, Mg	9,524.3	1,255	8,965 - 10,083	6,854 - 12,195
Manganese, Mn	318.3	52.2	297 - 339	208 - 429
Mercury, Hg	(0.02)			
Molybdenum, Mo	(<0.8)			
Nickel, Ni	15.8	1.6	15.1 - 16.5	12.5 - 19.1
Potassium, K	3,170.4	755	2,784 - 3,557	1,538 - 4,803
Selenium, Se	(0.3)			
Silicon, Si	(80)		war.	
Silver, Ag	(<0.5)			
Sodium, Na	267.6	55.9	240 - 295	147 - 388
Strontium, Sr	(54.4)			
Thallium, Tl	(<0.2)		V	
Vanadium, V	23.2	5.0	20.8 - 25.6	12.5 - 33.9
Zinc, Zn	45.7	8.0	42.3 - 49.0	28.7 - 62.6
Cyanide, CN	26.6	4.5	24.6 - 28.7	17.0 - 36.3

All values are expressed in mg/kg (parts per million) on a dry weight basis. Values in () are not certified and are listed for information only. The Reference Values were determined by USEPA SW846 (3rd edition) Methods 3050 and 6010, except for Arsenic (7060A), Mercury (7471A), Selenium (7740), Thallium (7841) and Cyanide (9010A). The sample is suitable for other 3000-series metals digestion procedures and 7000-series 9000-series spectroscopic methods. The Confidence Interval (C.I.) range is the 95% C.I. for the Reference Value. The Prediction Interval (P.I.) is the 95% P.I. around the Reference Value. Measurements should fall within the P.I. range 19 of 20 times. The Certified Reference Values were established through extensive interlaboratory testing. All values were calculated using the USEPA BIWEIGHT Method.

"THIS PRODUCT WAS DESIGNED, PRODUCED AND VERIFIED FOR ACCURACY AND STABILITY IN ACCORDANCE WITH USEPA/AALA RM-03, ISO GUIDES 34 AND 35."  $^{\prime\prime}$ 

Certifying Officer

Store at 18-20° C



| 2931 Soldier Springs Road Laramie, WY 82070 Phone: 800.576.5690 or 307.742.5452 Fax: 307.745.7936 web/MSDOs available upon request

### PRODUCT INFORMATION

## Instructions For Use Metals in Soil CRM022-020 Certified Reference Material

#### Caution: Please read instructions before using

#### 1. Scope and Application

The Metals plus cyanide in Soil Certified Reference Material (CRM) sample consists of a single sample jar containing approximately 30 grams of material. This soil is from a contaminated waste site in the Western United States. Being a real-world waste sample the analyst is challenged by the same preparation problems, analytical interferences, etc. as is typical for similar matrices received by the laboratory for analysis.

Rigorous analyses identified, quantified, and certified several metals and cyanide which are listed on the enclosed Certificate of Analysis. The sample has been analyzed by a minimum of twenty laboratories in a round-robin to meet the requirements specified by the EPA/AALA RM-03, ISO 34 and 35. The sample was certified by USEPA SW846, 3rd edition Method 3050, 6010 (ICP) and 7000 series (AA), and 9010A methods. The sample is suitable for use by these and other similar methods.

#### 2. Sample Preparation

The entire sample lot has been tested and certified for inter-sample homogeneity, Due to potential settling and stratification in storage, shipping and handling the sample *must be thoroughly mixed* as stated in the method. If the reference method for metals is being used, weigh out 1 gram of sample to the nearest .01 gram. For cyanide use extraction procedure SW846, Method 9013.

Weigh out additional material to determine percent moisture. All values reported on the Certificate of Analysis are reported on a *dry weight* basis.

#### 3. Analysis

Follow the analysis instructions given in the referenced method. If there are any technical questions/problems encountered, or difficulties experienced in the use of these samples, please contact:

R.T. Corporation Technical Support Tel. (307) 742-5452 Fax (307) 745-7936

#### 4. Evaluation of Results

The Reference Value, 95% confidence interval(C.I.) for the Reference Value and 95% Prediction Interval around the Reference Value were obtained by the methods identified on the Certificate of Analysis. Samples were selected in the random ra

USEPA EMSL-CINN's computer program "BIWEIGHT".

The Biweight program generates a "Student's t" like statistic for constructing confidence intervals on data sets that may have heavier tails than a classical Gaussian distribution. This is appropriate for symmetric, stretchtailed curves that are often encountered in analysis of homogenous samples via interlaboratory studies. The Biweight method is also more robust in handling data that results from determinations at or near the method detection limits. For data sets that are Gaussian, the Biweight estimates are comparable to traditional calculation methods.

The generated Biweight mean, Biweight standard deviation and Biweight standard deviation of the mean are used to calculate the 95% Confidence Interval (CI) for the mean and the 95% Prediction Interval (PI). For normally distributed data, the Biweight 95% CI compares well to the classical calculation method used to generate a 95% CI. For non-Gaussian data sets, the Biweight method is more robust in data treatment.

Biweight data are also used to calculate a 95% PI. The 95% PI compares well to a 95% tolerance limit calculated using classical methods. For normally distributed data, the Biweight 95% PI typically represents approximately a  $\pm 2$  Biweight standard deviation window around the Biweight mean. Again, the Biweight method is more robust than classical methods when handling non-Gaussian data sets.

Laboratories performing the same analytical procedures on a sample whose values have been determined by the Biweight method can assume that the true mean, as determined by the method, is within the 95% CI window. Laboratories analyzing the sample should have results within the 95% PI window 19 out of 20 analyses.

Additional information on the program may be obtained by referring to the reference or by downloading the program from the EMSL-CINN bulletin board.

Additional analytes detected, but not certified, are listed in parenthesis and can be used to determine detection and approximate values.

#### 5. Informational Values

pH - 7.79

Texture Classification - Loam

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Kafadar, K, A Biweight Approach to the One-Sample Problem, Journal of the American Statistical Association, Vol. 77, No. 378, June, 1982, pp. 416-424