LECTURE THREE

ENRICO CARLINI

In the last lecture we showed the solution the big Waring problem, that is we showed how to determine the Waring rank rk(F) for F a generic form. We will now focus on the general question: given any form F what can we say on rk(F)?

The main tool we will use is *Apolarity* and in order to do this we will need the following setting. Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ and $T = \mathbb{C}[y_0, \ldots, y_n]$. We make T act on S via differentiation, i.e. we define

$$y_i \circ x_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_j,$$

i.e. $y_i \circ x_j = 1$ if i = j and it is zero otherwise. We then extend the action to all T so that $\partial \in T$ is seen as a differential operator on element of S; from now on we will omit \circ .

Definition 0.1. Given $F \in S_d$ we define the *annihilator*, or *perp ideal*, of F as follows:

$$F^{\perp} = \{ \partial \in T : \partial F = 0 \}.$$

Exercise 0.2. Show that $F^{\perp} \subset T$ is an ideal and that it also is artinian, i.e. $(T/F^{\perp})_i$ is zero for $i \geq d$.

Exercise 0.3. Show that the map

$$S_i \times T_i \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

 $(F, \partial) \mapsto \partial F$

is a perfect pairing, i.e.

$$(F, \partial_0) \mapsto 0, \forall F \in S_i \Longrightarrow \partial_0 = 0$$

and

$$(F_0, \partial) \mapsto 0, \forall \partial \in T_i \Longrightarrow F_0 = 0$$

Remark 0.4. Actually even more is true, and $A = T/F^{\perp}$ is artinian and Gorenstein with socle degree d. Using the perfect pairing $S_i \times T_i \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we see that dim $A_d = \dim A_0 = 1$ and that A_d is the socle of A.

In what follows we will make use of Hilbert functions, thus we define them here.

Definition 0.5. For an ideal $I \subset T$ we define the Hilbert function of T/I as

$$HF(T/I,t) = \dim(T/I)_t.$$

Example 0.6. Let $F \in S_d$. We see that $HF(T/F^{\perp}, t) = 0$ for all t > d, in fact all partials of degree t > 0 will annihilate the degree d form F and hence $(T/F^{\perp})_t = 0$. From the remak above we also see that $HF(T/F^{\perp}, d) = 1$.

Exercise 0.7. Given $F \in S_d$ show that $HF(T/F^{\perp}, t)$ is a symmetric function of t.

An interesting property of the ideal F^{\perp} is described by Macaulay's Theorem

E. CARLINI

Theorem 0.8. If $F \in S_d$, then T/F^{\perp} is an artinian Gorenstein ring with socle degree d. Conversely, if T/I is an artinian Gorenstein ring with socle degree d, then $I = F^{\perp}$ for some $F \in S_d$.

Let's now see how a polarity relates to the Waring rank. Recall that $s = \operatorname{rk}(F)$ if and only if $F = \sum_{i=1}^{s} L_{i}^{d}$ and no shorter presentation exists.

Example 0.9. We now compute the possible Waring ranks for a binary cubic, i.e. for $F \in S_3$ where $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1]$. We begin by describing the Hilbert function of F^{\perp} . There are only two possibilities:

case 1

2

case 2

We want to show that in **case** 1 we have $F = L^3$. From the Hilbert function we see that $(F^{\perp})_1 = \langle \partial_1 \rangle$. From the perfect pairing property we see that

$$\{L \in S_1 : \partial_1 L = 0\} = \langle L_1 \rangle.$$

Thus we can find $L_0 \in S_1$ such that $\partial_1 L_0 = 1$ and

$$S_1 = \langle x_0, x_1 \rangle = \langle L_0, L_1 \rangle.$$

We now perform a liner change of variables and we obtain a polynomial $G(L_0, L_1) = aL_0^3 + bL_0^2L_1 + cL_0L_1^2 + dL_1^3$ such that

$$G(L_0, L_1) = F(x_0, x_1).$$

As $\partial_1 L_0 \neq 0$ and $\partial_1 L_1 = 0$ we get

$$0 = \partial_1 G = 2bL_0L_1 + cL_1^2 + 3dL_1^2$$

and hence $G = F = aL_0^3$ thus rk(F) = 1.

We want now to show that in case 2 we have $\operatorname{rk}(F)=2$ or $\operatorname{rk}(F)=3$. We note that $\operatorname{rk}(F)\neq 1$, otherwise $(F^{\perp})_1\neq 0$. As in this case $(F^{\perp})_1=0$, we consider the degree two piece, $(F^{\perp})_2=\langle Q\rangle$. We have to possibilities

$$Q = \partial \partial'$$
 or $Q = \partial^2$.

If $Q = \partial \partial'$ we can construct a basis for $S_1 = \langle L, L' \rangle$ in such a way that

$$\partial L = \partial' L' = 1$$

and

$$\partial' L = \partial L' = 0.$$

Then we perform a change of variables and we get

$$F(x_0, x_1) = G(L_0, L_1) = aL_0^3 + bL_0^2 L_1 + cL_0 L_1^2 + dL_1^3.$$

We want to show that $F(x_0, x_1) = aL_0^3 + dL_1^3$. To do this we define

$$H(x_0, x_1) = G(L_0, L_1) - aL_0^3 - dL_1^3$$

and we show that the degree 3 polynomial H is the zero polynomial. To do this, it is enough to show that $(H^{\perp})_3 = T_3$. We now compute

$$\partial^3 H = 6aL - 6aL = 0,$$

$$\partial'^3 H = 6dL' - 6dL' = 0,$$

then we notice that $\partial^2 \partial' = \partial Q \in F^{\perp}$ and then $\partial^2 \partial' H = 0$, similarly for $\partial \partial'^2$. Thus H = 0 and $F(x_0, x_1) = aL_0^3 + dL_1^3$. As $(F^{\perp})_1 = 0$ this means that $\operatorname{rk}(F) = 2$.

Finally, if $Q = \partial^2$ we assume by contradiction that $\operatorname{rk}(F) = 2$, thus $F = N^3 + M^3$ for some linear forms N and M. There exist partial linearly independent ∂_N , $\partial_M \in$ S_1 such that

$$\partial_N N = \partial_M M = 1$$

and

$$\partial_N M = \partial_M N = 0.$$

And then $\partial_N \partial_M \in F^{\perp}$ and this is a contradiction as Q is the only element in $(F^{\perp})_2$ and it is a square.

Remark 0.10. We consider again the case of binary cubic forms. We want to make a connection between the Waring rank of F and ceratin ideals contained in F^{\perp} . If $\operatorname{rk}(F) = 1$ we saw that $F^{\perp} \subset (\partial_1)$ and this is the ideal of one point in \mathbb{P}^1 . If $\operatorname{rk}(F) = 2$ then $F^{\perp} \subset (\partial \partial')$ and this the ideal of two distinct points in \mathbb{P}^1 ; as $(F^{\perp})_1 = 0$ there is no ideal of one point contained in the annihilator. Finally, if $\operatorname{rk}(F) = 3$, then $F^{\perp} \subset (\partial^2)$ and there is no ideal of two points, or one point, contained in the annihilator. However, $(F^{\perp})_3 = T_3$ and we can find many ideal of three points.

There is connection between rk(F) and set of points whose ideal I is such that $I \subset F^{\perp}$. This connection is the content of the Apolarity Lemma.

Lemma 0.11. Let $F \in S_d$ be a degree d form in n+1 variables. Then the following facts are equivalent:

- $F = L_1^d + \ldots + L_s^d$; $F^{\perp} \supset I$ such that I is the ideal of a set of s distinct points in \mathbb{P}^n .

Example 0.12. We use the Apolarity Lemma to explain the Alexander-Hirschowitz defective case n=2 and d=4. Given a generic $F \in S_4$ we want to show that rk(F) = 6 and not 5 as expected. To do this we use Hilbert functions. Clearly, if $I \subset F^{\perp}$ then $HF(T/I,t) \geq HF(T/F^{\perp},t)$ for all t. Thus by computing $HF(T/F^{\perp},t)$ we get information on the Hilbert function of any ideal contained in the annihilator, and in particular for ideal of sets of points.

In particular, $HF(T/F^{\perp},2)=6$ means that for no set of 5 points its defining ideal I could be such that $I \subset F^{\perp}$.

Exercise 0.13. Use the Apolarity Lemma to compute $\operatorname{rk}(x_0x_1^2)$. Then try the binary forms $x_0x_1^d$.

Exercise 0.14. Use the Apolarity Lemma to explain Alexander-Hirschowitz exceptional cases.

It is in general very difficult to compute the Waring rank of a given form and no algorithm exists which can compute it for you in all cases. However, we know rk(F) when F is a quadratic form, and we do have an efficient algorithm when F is a binary form.

E. CARLINI

Remark 0.15. There is an algorithm, attributed to Sylvester, to compute $\operatorname{rk}(F)$ for a binary form and it uses the Apolarity Lemma. The idea is to notice that $F^{\perp} = (\partial_1, \partial_2)$, i.e. the annihilator is a complete intersection ideal, say, with generators in degree $d_1 = \deg \partial_1 \leq d_2 = \deg \partial_2$. If ∂_1 is square free, we are done, and $\operatorname{rk}(F) = d_1$. If not, as ∂_1 and ∂_2 do not have common factors, there is a square free degree d_2 element in F^{\perp} . Hence, $\operatorname{rk}(F) = d_2$.

Exercise 0.16. Compute rk(F) when F is a quadratic form.

Remark 0.17. The Waring rank was recently for a monomials in 2011 paper by Carlini, Catalisano e Geramita. In particular, it shown that

$$\operatorname{rk}(x_0^{a_0} \dots x_n^{a_n}) = \frac{1}{(a_0 + 1)} \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i + 1),$$

where $1 \le a_0 \le a_1 \le \ldots \le a_n$.

4

We conclude by studying the Waring rank of degree d forms of the kind $L_1^d + \dots L_s^d$. Clearly, $\operatorname{rk}(L_1^d) = 1$ and $\operatorname{rk}(L_1^d + L_2^d) = 2$, if L_1 and L_2 are linearly independent. If the linear forms L_i are not linearly independent, then the situation is more interesting.

Example 0.18. Consider the binary cubic form $F = ax_0^3 + bx_1^3 + (x_0 + x_1)^3$. We want to know rk(F). For a generic choice of a and b, we have rk(F) = 2, but for special values of a and b rk(F) = 3. The idea is that the rank three element of $\mathbb{P}S_3$ lie on the tangent developable of the twisted cubic curve, which is an irreducible surface. Hence, the general element of the plane

$$\langle [x_0^3], [x_1^3], [(x_0 + x_1)^3] \rangle$$

has rank two, but there are rank three elements.

Exercise 0.19. Prove that $\operatorname{rk}(L^d + M^d + N^d) = 3$ whenever L, M and N are linearly independent linear forms.

(E. Carlini) DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZE MATEMATICHE, POLITECNICO DI TORINO, TURIN, ITALY $E\text{-}mail\ address:}$ enrico.carlini@polito.it