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## Lattice-theoretic background

## Definition

A lattice $L$ is a poset such that for each pair of elements $u$ and $w$

- the smallest upper bound or join $u \vee w$ exists and
- the greatest lower bound or meet $u \wedge w$ exists.


## Convention

We consider only finite lattices. We write $\hat{1}$ for the top element and $\hat{0}$ for the bottom element.

Definition
An element $j \in L$ is join-irreducible if $j=\bigvee A$ implies $j \in A$.

## The canonical join representation (CJR)

The canonical join representation of an element $w$ in $L$ is the unique lowest irredundant expression $\bigvee A=w$. More precisely:

- The expression $\bigvee A=w$ is a join-representation for $w$.
- The join $\bigvee A$ is irredundant if

$$
\bigvee A^{\prime}<\bigvee A \text { for each proper subset } A^{\prime} \subset A
$$

- Observe that if $\bigvee A$ is irredundant then $A$ is an antichain.
- For $\bigvee A$ and $\bigvee B$ irredundant, we say $A$ is "lower" than $B$ if the order ideal generated by $A$ is contained in the order ideal generated by $B$.


## Examples

What is the canonical join representation for the top element?


Figure: A Tamari lattice, a Boolean lattice, and the lattice $L_{6}$.

Observation
Each irredundant join of atoms is a canonical join representation.

## Labeling the edges of $L$

## Proposition [Barnard]

Suppose that $\bigvee A$ is the CJR of $w$. Then, for each $y \lessdot w$ there is a corresponding element $j \in A$ such that $j \vee y=w$.
Moreover, $j$ is the unique minimal element in $L$ with this property. The map $y \mapsto j$ is a bijection.


Figure: Labeling the edges in our Tamari lattice
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## The $\kappa$ operation on $L$

## Definition/Theorem [Barnard]

Define a map $\kappa: L \rightarrow L$ as follows:

- Given $w \in L$, let $A$ be the set of its down-edge labels.
- Let $\kappa(w)$ be the element whose up-edges are labeled by precisely the same set $A$.


$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa(\hat{1})=\hat{0} \\
\kappa(a)=c \quad \kappa(c)=b \quad \kappa(b)=a
\end{gathered}
$$
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The dual of the poset $\mathcal{P}$ of join-irreducibles
Apply $\kappa$ to 1

## The $\kappa$ operation "in nature"



The dual of the poset $\mathcal{P}$ of join-irreducibles

$$
\kappa(1)=4
$$

## The $\kappa$ operation "in nature"



## $1 \varphi \underbrace{}_{4} \underbrace{2}_{0}$

The dual of the poset $\mathcal{P}$ of join-irreducibles

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa(1)=4 \\
\kappa(4)=\bigvee\{2,3\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## The $\kappa$ operation "in nature"



The dual of the poset $\mathcal{P}$ of join-irreducibles

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa(1)=4 \\
\kappa(4)=\bigvee\{2,3\} \\
\kappa(\bigvee\{2,3\})=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying $\kappa=$ Rowmotion on the dual of $\mathcal{P}$

## The $\kappa$ operation "in nature"

- Reading constructed an explicit bijection from c-sortable elements to noncrossing partitions.
- This bijection is essentially $w \mapsto \operatorname{CJR}(w)$.


$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa(1 \mid 32)=2|31 \quad \kappa(2 \mid 31)=21| 3 \\
\kappa(21 \mid 3)=1 \mid 32
\end{gathered}
$$

## The $\kappa$ operation "in nature"



## Coxeter biCatalan Combinatorics

| W-Catalan | W-biCatalan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vertices of the <br> generalized associahedron | Vertices of the <br> generalized bi-associahedron |
| $c$-sortable elements in $(W, S)$ | $c$-bisortable elements in $(W, S)$ |
| Elements in $N C(W, c)$ | Certain pairs $(x, y):$ <br> $x \in N C(W, c)$ and $y \in N C\left(W, c^{-1}\right)$ |
| Elements in Camb $(W, c)$ | Elements in the $c$-biCambrian lattice, <br> denoted biCamb $(W, c)$ |
| Antichains in the root poset | Antichains in the doubled root poset |

$$
\bar{x}
$$

$$
\bar{X}
$$

$$
\bar{x}
$$

## A biCambrian fan/lattice



The type $A_{3}$ biCambrian fan/lattice


Figure: The bipartite biCambrian fan in type $A_{3}$

## When you have a hammer...

## Definition

- Let $f: \operatorname{biCamb}(W, c) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the statistic

$$
f(w)=\text { the cardinality of the } \operatorname{CJR}(w)
$$

Theorem
Let $W$ be a finite irreducible Coxeter group of rank $n$ and let biCamb $(W, c)$ be the bipartite biCambrian lattice of type $W$. Then the triple (biCamb $(W, c), f, \kappa)$ is $n / 2$-homomesic.

## When you have a hammer...

- Define $\operatorname{biNC}(W, c)$ to be the subposet of the shard intersection order induced to the set of $c$-bisortable elements.
- Let $\kappa: \operatorname{biNC}(W, c) \rightarrow \operatorname{biNC}(W, c)$ be induced from the lattice-theoretic $\kappa$ operation acting on $\mathbf{b i C a m b}(W, c)$.

Theorem
Let $c$ be a bipartite Coxeter element, and let $W$ be a finite irreducible Coxeter group of rank $n$. Then $\operatorname{biNC}(W, c)$ satisfies:
(1) $\operatorname{biNC}(W, c)$ is self-dual.
(2) $\operatorname{biNC}(W, c)$ is ranked.
(3) $\mathrm{rk}(w)=n-\mathrm{rk}(\kappa(w))$
(4) $\kappa$ is a lattice complement on $\operatorname{biNC}(W, c)$ meaning that

$$
\kappa(w) \wedge w=\hat{0} \text { and } \kappa(w) \vee w=\hat{1}
$$

## Open Questions: The Doubled Root Poset
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The root poset of type $A_{3}$
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The dual of the root poset of type $A_{3}$
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Glue together at the simples

## Open Question: Doubled Root Poset

Theorem [Armstrong, Stump, Thomas]
The Kreweras complement acting on $N C(W, c)$ has the same orbit structure as romotion acting the antichains in the root poset.

Question
How do rowmotion-orbits of the antichains in the doubled root poset compare with $\kappa$-orbits of $c$-bisortable elements?

## Thank you!

