

Feeding Sugarbeet Byproducts to Cattle

Reviewed by

Colin Tobin, Animal Scientist Carrington Research Extension Center

Karl Hoppe, Extension Livestock Systems Specialist

The Red River Valley region of Minnesota and North Dakota, along with the Yellowstone and Upper Missouri River Valley regions of North Dakota and Montana, are important sugarbeet-producing regions. In fact, Minnesota, North Dakota and Montana ranked 1, 3 and 6, respectively, in sugarbeet production in 2020. Together, these three states produced more than 52% of the U.S. sugarbeet crop in 2014. Processing plants in these regions refine the sugar from the beets and produce large volumes of byproducts, which are useful feed ingredients for beef cattle producers.

Many producers are seeking alternative feeds to incorporate in their beef cattle diets, yet still meet the protein and energy requirements of the animals. Producers have a variety of options to choose from in alternative feeds; however, the choice of those feeds depends on several factors, including availability and nutrient composition, as well as storage and handling characteristics.

One possibility is to incorporate sugarbeet byproducts into the diet. Beet byproducts are fed predominantly in northeastern South Dakota, western Minnesota and eastern North Dakota, as well as western North Dakota and eastern Montana. This is due to the availability and the perishable nature of the wet byproducts.

The predominant byproducts fed in this region are wet beet pulp and beet tailings. These products are high in moisture (75% to 80%), which limits storage life and the distance they can be transported economically.

Dried pulp and pelletized dried pulp also are available to producers; these dried products contain about 10% moisture. In addition, molasses and desugared molasses also are available as a result of sugarbeet processing. The purpose of this publication is to describe the byproducts that are available and to give feeding recommendations for each of the specific byproducts.

Sugarbeet Processing

To better understand the nutrient characteristics of sugarbeet byproducts, understanding the process that is used to extract sugar from sugarbeets is important. At the processing plant, foreign material, small beets and leaves are removed from the beets prior to processing. This material is known as “sugarbeet tailings,” which also is used as livestock feed.

The sugarbeets are sliced into long strips called cossettes. The cossettes are cooked in hot water to remove the sugar. This process is called diffusion. At the end of the diffusion process, the hot water and sugar mixture is further processed into bulk or bagged sugar. The cossettes are conveyed to a pulp press, which squeezes some of the water from the pulp to facilitate transportation.

Pulp that will be marketed as dry shreds or pellets is conveyed to a pulp dryer. The pulp dryer dries the pulp to approximately 10 percent moisture. After drying, the pulp usually is pelleted to facilitate storage and transportation.

Molasses is produced during the refining process. The volume of molasses produced varies, but is typically about 4% to 5% of the weight of the raw sugarbeets.

Molasses is separated from the juice (which contains the sugar) through a series

of centrifugation steps. Molasses contains 40% to 50% residual sugar. Molasses usually is refined further through a process called molecular exclusion chromatography to produce condensed separator byproduct (CSB) or desugared molasses. This process removes a portion of the residual sugar and concentrates the remaining nutrients.

Nutrient Content, Byproduct Descriptions and Storage Recommendations

The nutrient content of a variety of sugarbeet byproducts is shown in **Table 1**. The nutrient characteristics and feeding recommendations for each byproduct are discussed below.

Sugarbeets

Occasionally, processors must dispose of whole sugarbeets due to spoilage or excessively large crops. Whole beets can be fed successfully to cattle. Whole beets are low in crude protein (6.8%) but high in energy (75% to 81% total digestible nutrients, or TDN). If possible, whole beets should be broken up prior to feeding. Producers can utilize extended mixing times with a conventional mixer wagon to break

up whole beets. In addition, some producers report success using manure spreaders to spread whole beets on stubble or stalk fields and allowing cows access to the beets on the field. Choking may be a potential problem when feeding whole beets. Whole sugarbeets also can be fed by chopping in a tub grinder or forage harvester to reduce the risk of choking. Some producers have noted success by using a forage harvester to chop the beets prior to feeding.

Whole sugarbeets can be chopped and ensiled as a storage method. As with any ensiling process, good silage-making principles should be employed. These principles should include achieving the proper moisture level (typically 60% to 65% moisture is required). Since raw beets contain higher levels of moisture than is optimum for ensiling, the addition of a dry ingredient is necessary to facilitate optimum ensiling.

Depending on what class of livestock the silage will be fed to, dry ingredient choices could include chopped forage, grain screenings or other byproducts.

The sugar in the beets will provide the fermentable carbohydrate needed for successful fermentation. The pile should be packed and covered to exclude oxygen.

Beet Pulp

Beet pulp can be used effectively as a supplement for gestating or lactating cows, as an ingredient in backgrounding diets or as a replacement for roughage in finishing diets. Beet pulp is relatively low in crude protein (8%), but relatively high in TDN (72%).

Research conducted at NDSU indicates that wet beet pulp can be included at up to 40% of the diet (dry-matter, or DM, basis) in backgrounding diets (**Table 2**). However, reductions in dry-matter intake will occur at inclusions greater than 20% of the diet. In finishing diets, wet beet pulp can be used as the roughage source, having an energy value greater than corn silage (**Table 3**).

As a partial or complete roughage replacement, it can be included at 5% to 15% of the diet in finishing rations (DM basis). Data collected at NDSU indicates pressed beet pulp has 94% the energy value of corn in backgrounding diets and 86% the value of corn in finishing diets (**Tables 2 and 3**). When wet beet pulp is fed at 20% of the diet DM, cattle will consume 30 to 35 pounds of wet pulp, which would be less than 1% of their body weight on a DM basis.

Table 1. Nutrient composition of various sugarbeet byproducts.

Feedstuff	DM	CP ¹	TDN ¹	NEm ¹	NEg ¹	ADF ¹	Ca ¹	P ¹
Sugarbeet Tops	17.0	15.1	58.0	0.59	0.27	14.0	1.01	0.22
Sugarbeet Top Silage, i	21.0	12.7	53.0	0.55	0.20	18.0	1.56	0.20
Sugarbeet Tailings	18.4	8.9	65.0	0.67	0.40	34.0	2.35	0.27
Sugarbeet Tailing Silage	20.0	10.0	65.0	0.66	0.40	NG	2.50	0.20
Sugarbeet Top Silage, ii	32.0	11.9	51.0	0.45	0.20	NG	1.56	0.22
Sugarbeet Pulp, Dried	90.0	9.1	72.0	0.77	0.49	31.0	0.72	0.20
Sugarbeet Pulp, Wet	25.1	9.1	72.0	0.77	0.49	31.0	0.72	0.20
Sugarbeets (Whole)	20.1	6.8	81.0	0.90	0.60	NG	0.24	0.24
Sugarbeet Molasses	77.0	10.0	75.0	0.77	0.50	0.0	0.12	0.03
Concentrated Separator Byproduct	66.0	20.0	67.0	0.75	0.42	0.0	0.05	0.03

¹ All values listed are on a dry-matter basis.

Abbreviations: DM = Dry Matter; CP = Crude Protein; TDN = Total Digestible Nutrients; NEm = Net Energy for Maintenance; NEg = Net Energy for Gain; ADF = Acid Detergent Fiber; Ca = Calcium; P = Phosphorus; NG=Not Given.

Adapted from AS1182, "Alternative Feeds."

Table 2. Effect of increasing level of pressed beet pulp and CSB in backgrounding rations on performance of steer calves (Bauer et al., 2007).

	Pressed Beet Pulp to CSB Inclusion (% of Ration DM)					
	0:0	20:0	40:0	0:10	20:10	40:10
ADG, lbs	3.98	3.39	3.12	3.67	3.50	3.17
DMI, lbs/day	21.45	19.16	17.56	22.46	20.55	18.77
F:G	5.41	5.65	5.65	6.06	6.41	5.92
Apparent Dietary NE, Mcal/lb						
Maintenance	0.91	0.89	0.90	0.89	0.86	0.86
Gain	0.61	0.59	0.60	0.54	0.59	0.57

Table 3. Effect of increasing level of pressed beet pulp and CSB in finishing rations on performance of steer calves (Bauer et al., 2007).

	Pressed Beet Pulp to CSB Inclusion (% of Ration DM)					
	5:0	12.5:0	20:0	5:10	12.5:10	20:10
ADG, lbs	3.78	3.67	3.30	3.87	3.74	3.32
DMI, lbs/day	24.16	24.16	22.66	25.54	26.05	24.20
F:G	6.37	6.58	6.85	6.85	6.94	7.30
Apparent Dietary NE, Mcal/lb						
Maintenance	1.00	0.99	0.97	1.00	0.99	0.92
Gain	0.70	0.68	0.67	0.69	0.68	0.62

As with any feedstuff, diets should be balanced to meet the protein and energy needs of the class of cattle that is being fed. There is no limitation on the amount of beet pulp that could be included in a beef cow ration from a nutritional standpoint. However, in most practical applications, no more than 50% of the ration (DM basis) as beet pulp would be fed due to the reductions in intake that may occur at high levels, as well as moisture content and bulkiness of the diet.

Beet pulp is available as either a wet (pressed shreds) or dry byproduct (shreds or pellets). Because beet pulp contains large amounts of digestible fiber, it usually does not create a problem with acidosis for cattle consuming beet pulp. The economical distance that wet beet pulp can be transported is limited by the moisture content.

Dry pelleted pulp should be stored in bins or commodity sheds to protect it from moisture and rodents. Wet pulp

can be stored effectively in silage bags or in trench or bunker silos. Research conducted at NDSU indicates wet beet pulp can be ensiled successfully with a variety of other feedstuffs as a means to facilitate storage. Best results were obtained with the addition of dry beet pulp or wheat middlings. Addition of sugarbeet tailings or dry feedstuffs such as wheat middlings or corn improved the quality of the silage through the addition of fermentable carbohydrate. Optimum moisture content for these silages is approximately 65 percent.

Beet Tops

Advancements in defoliation technology have limited the usefulness of beet tops since the beet tops essentially are mulched as they are removed. This causes difficulties in gathering the remaining residue into a windrow or for cattle to graze the material.

Beet Tailings

Beet tailings consist of small beets, broken or damaged beets, soil and other foreign material not suitable for sugar production. Tailings are high in moisture (75% to 85%) and can be quite variable in nutrient content.

With low levels of soil contamination and foreign material, beet tailings have a feeding value similar to or slightly greater than corn silage on a dry-matter basis. However, high levels of soil or foreign material will reduce the energy content of tailings substantially. Choking may be a problem with beet tailings. Due to the high moisture content, transportation is a major expense with beet tailings. Producers should evaluate transportation costs carefully before purchasing these byproducts. Some producers have ensiled beet tailings successfully as a means of storage. Tailings should be blended with a dry feedstuff to achieve a moisture level that limits effluent losses from the silage pile. This can be accomplished through the addition of chopped forages, grain screenings or other byproduct feeds.

Molasses

Beet molasses is used primarily as a source of energy (75% TDN) in animal feed, but it also is included in rations to improve palatability and to reduce dust. It also is added to manufactured feeds as a binder for pellets and a carrier for urea or other nonprotein-nitrogen sources, vitamins and minerals. The maximum recommended level is 15%. Beet molasses contains relatively high levels of potassium, sulfur, magnesium, sodium and chloride, compared with cereal grains.

Beet molasses must be stored in a well-insulated, heated tank. At temperatures above 110 degrees, it can be pumped easily with a 2.5- to 3-horsepower pump and 3-inch tubing.

Desugared Molasses (Concentrated Separator Byproduct, or CSB)

Desugared molasses, or CSB, is molasses that has gone through further refinement to remove remaining sugar. It is slightly lower in energy (67% TDN), compared with molasses, but is higher in protein (20% crude protein, or CP) and potassium. Research conducted at NDSU indicates that it increases intake in a wide variety of diets when fed at 5% to 15% of the diet.

Molasses and CSB are liquid byproducts. Tanks and associated pumping equipment are required to handle these byproducts. More information on handling liquid byproducts is available at www.ndsu.edu/agriculture/ag-hub/publications/handling-liquid-feed-commodities. CSB can be stored in an uninsulated tank with no additional heating required for pumping. Pump size should be 2-horsepower or larger, with a minimum tube size of 2 inches.

Obtaining Sugarbeet Byproducts

Sugarbeets typically are processed from early fall (September) through late spring (May). Availability of sugarbeet pulp and other byproducts declines through the summer months as companies sell inventory that accumulated during the processing season. In this region, Midwest Agri Commodities (www.mwagri.com) is the main supplier of sugarbeet byproducts. Producers should work with commodity brokers to establish contracts and ensure they have access to adequate amounts of byproducts for the winter feeding season.

Example Rations

Table 4 gives example rations for various classes of beef cattle. These examples should serve only as a general guide. Ranchers and feedlot operators should work with their nutritionist to determine appropriate levels for their livestock.

Table 4. Example beef cattle rations using sugarbeet byproducts.

Ingredient	Ration Type				
	Growing Steers	Finishing Steers	Bred Heifers	Gestating Beef Cows	Lactating Beef Cows
	----- % , DM Basis -----				
Corn Silage	31.5	—	—	—	—
Alfalfa Hay	10	—	—	—	63
Grass Hay	—	5	70	68	—
Corn	30	77.5	—	—	—
Pressed Beet Pulp	20	12.5	27	28	36
Supplement	8.5	5	3	4	1

SUMMARY

The sugar beet industry produces a wide variety of useful byproducts for livestock feeders.

The decision to incorporate sugar beet byproducts into diets should be based on economics, local availability, and feasibility of storage, handling and feeding.

For the wet byproducts, careful attention should be given to transportation costs and storage.

In addition, rations containing sugar beet byproducts should be balanced properly to achieve targeted livestock performance.

This publication was authored by Greg Lardy, NDSU Animal Sciences department head, and Rebecca Schafer, former South Dakota State University Extension livestock educator.

The NDSU Extension does not endorse commercial products or companies even though reference may be made to tradenames, trademarks or service names. NDSU encourages you to use and share this content, but please do so under the conditions of our Creative Commons license. You may copy, distribute, transmit and adapt this work as long as you give full attribution, don't use the work for commercial purposes and share your resulting work similarly. For more information, visit www.ag.ndsu.edu/agcomm/creative-commons.

For more information on this and other topics, see www.ag.ndsu.edu

County commissions, North Dakota State University and U.S. Department of Agriculture cooperating. NDSU does not discriminate in its programs and activities on the basis of age, color, gender expression/identity, genetic information, marital status, national origin, participation in lawful off-campus activity, physical or mental disability, pregnancy, public assistance status, race, religion, sex, sexual orientation, spousal relationship to current employee, or veteran status, as applicable. Direct inquiries to Vice Provost for Title IX/ADA Coordinator, Old Main 201, NDSU Main Campus, 701-231-7708, ndsu.eoaa@ndsu.edu. This publication will be made available in alternative formats for people with disabilities upon request, 701-231-7881.