

Lifting of DG modules over DG algebras and a conjecture of Vasconcelos

Saeed Nasseh and Sean Sather-Wagstaff

Department of mathematics

NDSU

Introduction

(R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is assumed to be a local commutative noetherian ring with unity. When we say R is complete, we mean it is complete in \mathfrak{m} -adic topology.

Definition 1. Let $R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings and let M be a finitely generated S -module. Then the finitely generated R -module L is called a *lifting* of M to R if

1. $M \cong S \otimes_R L$, and
2. $\text{Tor}_i^R(S, L) = 0$ for all integers $i > 0$.

The S -module M is said to be *liftable* to R , when such an R -module L exists.

Theorem 2. (Auslander, Ding and Solberg, 1993) Suppose R is complete and $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$ is an R -regular sequence in \mathfrak{m} . Let $S = R/\mathbf{x}R$ and M be a finitely generated S -module. If $\text{Ext}_S^2(M, M) = 0$, then M is liftable to R .

Definition 3. The finitely generated R -module C is *semidualizing* if it satisfies the following:

1. The homothety map $\chi_C^R: R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$ given by $\chi_C^R(r)(c) = rc$ is an isomorphism, and
2. $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

Conjecture 4. (W. V. Vasconcelos, 1974) The number of isomorphism classes of semidualizing modules over a Cohen-Macaulay local ring is finite.

Theorem 5. (L. W. Christensen and S. Sather-Wagstaff, 2008) If R is *Cohen-Macaulay and equicharacteristic*, then the number of isomorphism classes of semidualizing modules is finite.

Sketch of Proof. Pass to the completion of R to assume that R is complete. Let \mathbf{x} be a system of parameters for R ; this is a maximal R -regular sequence. Using the Theorem of Auslander, Ding and Solberg, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of semidualizing R -modules and that of $R/\mathbf{x}R$ -modules. So we pass to the quotient $R/\mathbf{x}R$ to assume that R is artinian. Since R is equicharacteristic and artinian, Cohen's structure theorem implies R is a k -algebra. Now the theorem follows from a result in representation theory.

General form of Vasconcelos' Conjecture. The number of isomorphism classes of semidualizing modules over a local ring is finite.

To answer this question, we consider Differential Graded algebras and Differential Graded modules (DG algebras and DG modules).

DG Algebras And DG Modules

Complexes of R -modules (R -complexes for short) are indexed homologically:

$$M = \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+2}^M} M_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}^M} M_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n^M} M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}^M} \dots$$

and if $m \in M_i$ we write $|m| = i$.

Definition 6. A *commutative differential graded algebra over R* (DG R -algebra for short) is a bounded below ($A_i = 0$ for $i < 0$) R -complex A equipped with a chain map $\mu^A: A \otimes_R A \rightarrow A$ denoted $\mu^A(a \otimes b) = ab$ (which is called the product) that is

1. **Associative:** for all $a, b, c \in A$ we have $(ab)c = a(bc)$;
2. **Unital:** there is an element $1 \in A_0$ such that for all $a \in A$ we have $1a = a$;
3. **Graded Commutative:** for all $a, b \in A$ we have $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$ and $a^2 = 0$ when $|a|$ is odd. The fact that the product on A is a chain map says that ∂^A satisfies the *Leibniz rule*:

$$\partial_{|a|+|b|}^A(ab) = \partial_{|a|}^A(a)b + (-1)^{|a|}a\partial_{|b|}^A(b).$$

Example 7. For elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathfrak{m}$, the *Koszul complex* $K = K^R(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is the exterior algebra on R^n , considered as an R -complex

$$K = 0 \rightarrow K_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n^K} K_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}^K} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_2^K} K_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1^K} K_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Here $K_0 = K_n = R$ and $K_1 = R^n$. Given a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n \in K_1$, for each $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ the

module $K_i = \wedge^i(K_1)$ is a free R -module with basis

$$\{e_{j_1} \wedge e_{j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_i} \mid 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_i \leq n\}$$

and the differential ∂_i^K is given as follows:

$$\partial_i^K(e_{j_1} \wedge e_{j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_i}) = \sum_{z=1}^i (-1)^{z+1} a_{j_z} (e_{j_1} \wedge e_{j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_{z-1}} \wedge e_{j_{z+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_i}).$$

Now K is a DG R -algebra with product given by the wedge product.

Remark 8. The natural map $R \rightarrow K$ is a "morphism of DG R -algebras". This morphism is our substitute for the natural surjection $R \rightarrow R/\mathbf{x}R$.

Facts 9.

1. K is like a flat ring extension of R , and for each i , $H_i(K)$ is a finite dimensional vector space over the residue field k .
2. $K \simeq T$ where T is a finite dimensional DG algebra over k .

Definition 10. Let A be a DG R -algebra. A *differential graded module over A* (DG A -module for short) is an R -complex M with a chain map $\mu^M: A \otimes_A M \rightarrow M$ with $am := \mu^M(a \otimes m)$. The map μ^M is the scalar product on M . The fact that the scalar product on M is a chain map says that ∂^M satisfies the Leibniz rule:

$$\partial_{|a|+|m|}^M(am) = \partial_{|a|}^A(a)m + (-1)^{|a|}a\partial_{|m|}^M(m)$$

for all $a \in A$, $m \in M$. A bounded below DG A -module N is *semifree* if its underlying A^{\natural} -module N^{\natural} has a basis $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$.

Facts 11.

1. If M is an R -complex, then $K \otimes_R M$ is a DG K -module.
2. $K \simeq T$ implies that DG K -modules are in one-to-one correspondence with DG T -modules.

Definition 12. Let A be a "noetherian" DG R -algebra, and let M be a DG A -module. Then M is a *semidualizing DG A -module* if M is homologically finite and the homothety morphism $\chi^M: A \rightarrow \mathbf{RHom}_A(M, M)$ induced by $X^M: A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, M)$ with $X^M(a)(m) = am$ is a quasiisomorphism. A *semidualizing R -complex* is a semidualizing DG R -module.

Facts 13.

1. M is a semidualizing R -module if and only if $K \otimes_R M$ is a semidualizing DG K -module.
2. If M and M' are semidualizing R -modules and $K \otimes_R M \simeq K \otimes_R M'$, then $M \cong M'$.

Solution To The General Form Of The Conjecture

Let ℓ be an algebraically closed field and

$$A = 0 \rightarrow \ell^{\alpha_m} \xrightarrow{\partial_m} \ell^{\alpha_{m-1}} \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \ell^{\alpha_0} \rightarrow 0$$

be a finite dimensional commutative DG algebra over ℓ . Also let $W = \bigoplus_{i=0}^s W_i$ be a graded module with $\dim_\ell(W_i) = r_i$ for every $0 \leq i \leq s$. Let $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_s)$ and $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^A$ be the set of all DG A -module structures on W . $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^A$ is the set of rational points of an algebraic scheme $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{F}}^A$ over ℓ , which is described in the functorial point of view as follows; for any finite dimensional commutative DG algebra A' over ℓ , we have

$$\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{F}}^A(A') = \left\{ \text{DG } A' \otimes_{\ell} A\text{-module structures on } A' \otimes_{\ell} W \right\}.$$

Theorem 14. There are only finitely many isomorphism classes of semidualizing DG A -modules with the fixed dimension vector $\mathbf{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_s)$.

Sketch of Proof. It can be seen that the orbit of every semidualizing DG A -module in $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^A$ under $\underline{\text{GL}}(W)$ is an open subscheme of $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{F}}^A$. Let $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be the set of these open sets, which are disjoint. $\{u_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is an open cover for the open subset $\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} u_\lambda$ of $\underline{\text{Mod}}_{\mathbb{F}}^A$. Thus it has a finite subcover. Since the open sets u_λ 's are disjoint, Λ is a finite set.

Theorem 15. Let B be a DG R -algebra such that each B_i is free over R of finite rank. For an element $t \in \mathfrak{m}$, let $K^R(t)$ be the Koszul complex $0 \rightarrow K_1 \xrightarrow{t} K_0 \rightarrow 0$ and let D denote the DG R -algebra $K^R(t) \otimes_R B$. If R is complete and N is a semifree DG D -module such that $\text{Ext}_D^2(N, N) = 0$, then N is liftable to B .

Theorem 16. Let R be complete and assume that B and D are the DG R -algebras considered in Theorem 15. Let N be a semifree DG D -module such that $\text{Ext}_D^d(N, N) = 0$ for some even integer d . If M is a lifting of N to B , then $\text{Ext}_B^d(M, M) = 0$.

Theorem 17. Suppose that R is complete and $\underline{t} = t_1, \dots, t_n$ is a sequence of elements of \mathfrak{m} . If N is a semidualizing DG module over $K := K^R(\underline{t})$, then there exists a semidualizing R -complex M which is a lifting of N to R .

Sketch of Proof. We can replace N by a semifree DG resolution over K and assume that N is semifree DG K -module. The existence of an R -complex M which is a lifting of N to R follows by induction on n from the previous two theorems. But note that

$$\begin{aligned} K &\simeq \mathbf{RHom}_K(N, N) = \mathbf{RHom}_K(K \otimes_R M, K \otimes_R M) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{RHom}_R(M, K \otimes_R M) \\ &\simeq K \otimes_R \mathbf{RHom}_R(M, M), \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is a special case of tensor-evaluation. Therefore, $R \simeq \mathbf{RHom}_R(M, M)$.

Notation 18. Let A be a commutative local DG algebra. $\mathfrak{S}(A)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{S}(R)$) denotes the set of shift-isomorphism classes of semidualizing DG A -modules (resp. semidualizing R -complexes).

Corollary 19. There is a one-to-one and onto map from the set $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ to the set $\mathfrak{S}(K)$.

Theorem 20. There are only finitely many semidualizing R -complexes up to shift isomorphism.

Sketch of Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that R is complete and k is algebraically closed.

Let $\mathbf{a} = a_1, \dots, a_d$ be a minimal set of generators for \mathfrak{m} and set $K = K^R(\mathbf{a})$. We know that $K \simeq T$ where T is of the form

$$T = 0 \rightarrow k^{\alpha_m} \xrightarrow{\partial_m} k^{\alpha_{m-1}} \xrightarrow{\partial_{m-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} k^{\alpha_1} \xrightarrow{\partial_1} k \rightarrow 0$$

where each α_i is a nonnegative integer. In fact T is a finite dimensional DG algebra over k . Now by Corollary 19 and Fact 11(2) we get the following equivalences

$$\mathfrak{S}(R) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{S}(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{S}(T).$$

Now suppose that C is a semidualizing R -complex and denote by \tilde{C} the semidualizing DG T -module corresponding to C . Without loss of generality we can assume that $\inf(C) = 0$. By using a minimal semifree DG resolution, without loss of generality we can suppose that \tilde{C} is a semifree DG T -module. We also can replace \tilde{C} by its left truncation $\tau(\tilde{C})_{\leq n}$ where $n = \text{cmd } R + \text{edim } R$ and suppose that

$$\tilde{C} = 0 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_n \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \tilde{C}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{C}_0 \rightarrow 0$$

where for every i , $\tilde{C}_i = \bigoplus_{j=0}^i T_j^{\beta^{i-j}}$. Now it can be seen that

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \dim_k(\tilde{C}_i) \leq \text{length}(T) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_R^{i+\text{depth } R}(R) \right)$$

where the right-hand side is a constant. It is obvious that there are only finitely many $(r_0, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1}$ with $\sum_{i=0}^n r_i \leq \text{length}(T) \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \mu_R^{i+\text{depth } R}(R) \right)$. Therefore, Theorem 14 implies that $\mathfrak{S}(T)$ and hence $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ is finite.