

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 321					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 819					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	35	48	79	105	48	6	Class	3.263	1.214	315
	10.9	15.0	24.6	32.7	15.0	1.9	Department	3.919	1.131	803
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
2. the instructor as a teacher.	28	53	77	103	53	7	Class	3.318	1.197	314
	8.7	16.5	24.0	32.1	16.5	2.2	Department	3.965	1.102	802
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	38	60	91	78	45	9	Class	3.103	1.225	312
	11.8	18.7	28.3	24.3	14.0	2.8	Department	3.814	1.164	799
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
4. the quality of this course.	23	33	101	121	37	6	Class	3.368	1.058	315
	7.2	10.3	31.5	37.7	11.5	1.9	Department	3.899	1.026	802
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	12	36	51	127	88	7	Class	3.774	1.094	314
	3.7	11.2	15.9	39.6	27.4	2.2	Department	4.179	0.956	801
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
6. your understanding of the course content.	18	36	83	138	40	6	Class	3.463	1.038	315
	5.6	11.2	25.9	43.0	12.5	1.9	Department	3.898	0.952	801
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	13	42	71	132	57	6	Class	3.565	1.061	315
	4.0	13.1	22.1	41.1	17.8	1.9	Department	4.071	0.936	799
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	21	36	52	150	56	6	Class	3.584	1.109	315
	6.5	11.2	16.2	46.7	17.4	1.9	Department	3.980	1.002	800
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	24	51	82	104	53	7	Class	3.354	1.164	314
	7.5	15.9	25.5	32.4	16.5	2.2	Department	3.792	1.095	798
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	17	41	53	130	74	6	Class	3.644	1.135	315
	5.3	12.8	16.5	40.5	23.1	1.9	Department	4.026	1.038	800
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	6	26	79	158	45	7	Class	3.669	0.889	314
	1.9	8.1	24.6	49.2	14.0	2.2	Department	4.006	0.831	799
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	11	22	62	131	86	9	Class	3.830	1.024	312
	3.4	6.9	19.3	40.8	26.8	2.8	Department	4.156	0.910	796
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	20	26	76	124	69	6	Class	3.622	1.106	315
	6.2	8.1	23.7	38.6	21.5	1.9	Department	3.994	1.014	800
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	19	44	80	122	50	6	Class	3.444	1.100	315
	5.9	13.7	24.9	38.0	15.6	1.9	Department	3.922	1.007	799
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	14	30	83	139	48	7	Class	3.564	1.007	314
	4.4	9.3	25.9	43.3	15.0	2.2	Department	4.014	0.928	799
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	18	26	63	146	61	7	Class	3.656	1.062	314
	5.6	8.1	19.6	45.5	19.0	2.2	Department	4.114	0.935	798
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 432						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 819						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	7	11	31	159	216	8	Class	4.335	0.851	424	
	1.6	2.5	7.2	36.8	50.0	1.9	Department	3.919	1.131	803	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	5	8	40	143	228	8	Class	4.370	0.824	424	
	1.2	1.9	9.3	33.1	52.8	1.9	Department	3.965	1.102	802	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	21	44	159	196	9	Class	4.239	0.880	423	
	0.7	4.9	10.2	36.8	45.4	2.1	Department	3.814	1.164	799	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	5	11	53	165	189	9	Class	4.234	0.854	423	
	1.2	2.5	12.3	38.2	43.8	2.1	Department	3.899	1.026	802	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	7	35	144	235	9	Class	4.426	0.753	423	
	0.5	1.6	8.1	33.3	54.4	2.1	Department	4.179	0.956	801	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	12	46	216	146	9	Class	4.158	0.779	423	
	0.7	2.8	10.6	50.0	33.8	2.1	Department	3.898	0.952	801	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	2	26	190	200	12	Class	4.390	0.670	420	
	0.5	0.5	6.0	44.0	46.3	2.8	Department	4.071	0.936	799	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	11	50	178	178	11	Class	4.223	0.827	421	
	0.9	2.5	11.6	41.2	41.2	2.5	Department	3.980	1.002	800	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	5	25	68	160	162	12	Class	4.069	0.944	420	
	1.2	5.8	15.7	37.0	37.5	2.8	Department	3.792	1.095	798	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	9	40	161	206	11	Class	4.316	0.824	421	
	1.2	2.1	9.3	37.3	47.7	2.5	Department	4.026	1.038	800	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	7	41	224	148	11	Class	4.214	0.702	421	
	0.2	1.6	9.5	51.9	34.3	2.5	Department	4.006	0.831	799	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	6	38	163	211	12	Class	4.369	0.747	420	
	0.5	1.4	8.8	37.7	48.8	2.8	Department	4.156	0.910	796	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	5	14	35	183	184	11	Class	4.252	0.836	421	
	1.2	3.2	8.1	42.4	42.6	2.5	Department	3.994	1.014	800	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	8	48	187	174	12	Class	4.240	0.783	420	
	0.7	1.9	11.1	43.3	40.3	2.8	Department	3.922	1.007	799	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	8	32	201	177	11	Class	4.285	0.746	421	
	0.7	1.9	7.4	46.5	41.0	2.5	Department	4.014	0.928	799	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	2	29	175	211	12	Class	4.402	0.703	420	
	0.7	0.5	6.7	40.5	48.8	2.8	Department	4.114	0.935	798	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 66						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 819						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	9	21	34	2	Class	4.391	0.726	64	
	0.0	0.0	13.6	31.8	51.5	3.0	Department	3.919	1.131	803	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	3	29	32	2	Class	4.453	0.589	64	
	0.0	0.0	4.5	43.9	48.5	3.0	Department	3.965	1.102	802	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	5	24	35	2	Class	4.469	0.642	64	
	0.0	0.0	7.6	36.4	53.0	3.0	Department	3.814	1.164	799	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	9	24	30	2	Class	4.297	0.770	64	
	0.0	1.5	13.6	36.4	45.5	3.0	Department	3.899	1.026	802	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	8	14	42	2	Class	4.531	0.712	64	
	0.0	0.0	12.1	21.2	63.6	3.0	Department	4.179	0.956	801	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	9	25	29	3	Class	4.317	0.714	63	
	0.0	0.0	13.6	37.9	43.9	4.5	Department	3.898	0.952	801	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	4	26	34	2	Class	4.469	0.616	64	
	0.0	0.0	6.1	39.4	51.5	3.0	Department	4.071	0.936	799	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	10	17	35	2	Class	4.328	0.856	64	
	0.0	3.0	15.2	25.8	53.0	3.0	Department	3.980	1.002	800	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	2	14	18	29	2	Class	4.125	0.968	64	
	1.5	3.0	21.2	27.3	43.9	3.0	Department	3.792	1.095	798	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	2	15	12	31	2	Class	4.000	1.195	64	
	6.1	3.0	22.7	18.2	47.0	3.0	Department	4.026	1.038	800	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	12	21	31	2	Class	4.297	0.770	64	
	0.0	0.0	18.2	31.8	47.0	3.0	Department	4.006	0.831	799	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	15	12	37	2	Class	4.344	0.840	64	
	0.0	0.0	22.7	18.2	56.1	3.0	Department	4.156	0.910	796	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	1	17	11	33	2	Class	4.125	1.062	64	
	3.0	1.5	25.8	16.7	50.0	3.0	Department	3.994	1.014	800	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	16	14	32	2	Class	4.188	0.924	64	
	0.0	3.0	24.2	21.2	48.5	3.0	Department	3.922	1.007	799	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	7	22	35	2	Class	4.438	0.687	64	
	0.0	0.0	10.6	33.3	53.0	3.0	Department	4.014	0.928	799	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	6	22	36	2	Class	4.469	0.666	64	
	0.0	0.0	9.1	33.3	54.5	3.0	Department	4.114	0.935	798	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURE, GENERAL							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 187					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 187					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	7	60	116	2	Class	4.562	0.649	185
	0.5	0.5	3.7	32.1	62.0	1.1	Department	4.562	0.649	185
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	3	43	137	2	Class	4.697	0.585	185
	0.5	0.5	1.6	23.0	73.3	1.1	Department	4.697	0.585	185
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	1	4	45	132	3	Class	4.652	0.660	184
	1.1	0.5	2.1	24.1	70.6	1.6	Department	4.652	0.660	184
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	2	3	19	70	91	2	Class	4.324	0.809	185
	1.1	1.6	10.2	37.4	48.7	1.1	Department	4.324	0.809	185
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	2	4	41	137	2	Class	4.681	0.626	185
	0.5	1.1	2.1	21.9	73.3	1.1	Department	4.681	0.626	185
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	1	2	49	132	2	Class	4.676	0.583	185
	0.5	0.5	1.1	26.2	70.6	1.1	Department	4.676	0.583	185
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	3	51	131	1	Class	4.677	0.533	186
	0.0	0.5	1.6	27.3	70.1	0.5	Department	4.677	0.533	186
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	5	69	110	2	Class	4.557	0.579	185
	0.0	0.5	2.7	36.9	58.8	1.1	Department	4.557	0.579	185
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	7	66	112	2	Class	4.568	0.568	185
	0.0	0.0	3.7	35.3	59.9	1.1	Department	4.568	0.568	185
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	7	56	118	2	Class	4.551	0.698	185
	0.5	1.6	3.7	29.9	63.1	1.1	Department	4.551	0.698	185
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	67	113	3	Class	4.592	0.535	184
	0.0	0.0	2.1	35.8	60.4	1.6	Department	4.592	0.535	184
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	7	47	131	1	Class	4.651	0.608	186
	0.5	0.0	3.7	25.1	70.1	0.5	Department	4.651	0.608	186
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	4	59	120	2	Class	4.605	0.591	185
	0.0	1.1	2.1	31.6	64.2	1.1	Department	4.605	0.591	185
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	5	59	119	3	Class	4.609	0.572	184
	0.0	0.5	2.7	31.6	63.6	1.6	Department	4.609	0.572	184
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	11	63	108	4	Class	4.519	0.636	183
	0.0	0.5	5.9	33.7	57.8	2.1	Department	4.519	0.636	183
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	7	55	121	2	Class	4.589	0.646	185
	0.5	0.5	3.7	29.4	64.7	1.1	Department	4.589	0.646	185
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 605					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1315					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	8	28	184	380	3	Class	4.548	0.679	602
	0.3	1.3	4.6	30.4	62.8	0.5	Department	4.367	0.882	1309
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	6	27	163	403	4	Class	4.596	0.657	601
	0.3	1.0	4.5	26.9	66.6	0.7	Department	4.448	0.831	1307
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	7	31	173	388	4	Class	4.561	0.678	601
	0.3	1.2	5.1	28.6	64.1	0.7	Department	4.407	0.843	1308
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	2	4	41	185	370	3	Class	4.523	0.683	602
	0.3	0.7	6.8	30.6	61.2	0.5	Department	4.374	0.846	1309
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	3	21	167	408	5	Class	4.630	0.595	600
	0.2	0.5	3.5	27.6	67.4	0.8	Department	4.430	0.855	1306
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	7	33	191	367	6	Class	4.529	0.671	599
	0.2	1.2	5.5	31.6	60.7	1.0	Department	4.366	0.807	1305
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	4	28	185	385	1	Class	4.568	0.647	604
	0.3	0.7	4.6	30.6	63.6	0.2	Department	4.414	0.795	1309
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	4	32	200	366	1	Class	4.530	0.660	604
	0.3	0.7	5.3	33.1	60.5	0.2	Department	4.399	0.790	1309
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	8	36	183	374	1	Class	4.518	0.713	604
	0.5	1.3	6.0	30.2	61.8	0.2	Department	4.331	0.884	1307
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	3	29	187	382	1	Class	4.560	0.658	604
	0.5	0.5	4.8	30.9	63.1	0.2	Department	4.408	0.819	1309
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	32	225	344	1	Class	4.507	0.622	604
	0.0	0.5	5.3	37.2	56.9	0.2	Department	4.343	0.786	1309
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	6	60	194	343	2	Class	4.449	0.712	603
	0.0	1.0	9.9	32.1	56.7	0.3	Department	4.354	0.792	1304
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	10	47	177	364	3	Class	4.473	0.765	602
	0.7	1.7	7.8	29.3	60.2	0.5	Department	4.292	0.925	1307
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	8	37	186	371	1	Class	4.517	0.702	604
	0.3	1.3	6.1	30.7	61.3	0.2	Department	4.340	0.865	1309
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	37	221	344	2	Class	4.504	0.627	603
	0.2	0.0	6.1	36.5	56.9	0.3	Department	4.407	0.739	1306
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	8	31	186	373	6	Class	4.539	0.673	599
	0.2	1.3	5.1	30.7	61.7	1.0	Department	4.396	0.800	1300
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 661					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1315					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	22	30	56	226	324	3	Class	4.216	1.008	658
	3.3	4.5	8.5	34.2	49.0	0.5	Department	4.367	0.882	1309
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	17	19	61	193	367	4	Class	4.330	0.945	657
	2.6	2.9	9.2	29.2	55.5	0.6	Department	4.448	0.831	1307
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	14	27	60	215	342	3	Class	4.283	0.942	658
	2.1	4.1	9.1	32.5	51.7	0.5	Department	4.407	0.843	1308
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	18	22	65	227	326	3	Class	4.248	0.956	658
	2.7	3.3	9.8	34.3	49.3	0.5	Department	4.374	0.846	1309
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	20	32	56	200	349	4	Class	4.257	1.010	657
	3.0	4.8	8.5	30.3	52.8	0.6	Department	4.430	0.855	1306
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	11	19	76	252	299	4	Class	4.231	0.886	657
	1.7	2.9	11.5	38.1	45.2	0.6	Department	4.366	0.807	1305
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	10	27	52	247	320	5	Class	4.280	0.890	656
	1.5	4.1	7.9	37.4	48.4	0.8	Department	4.414	0.795	1309
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	11	24	51	252	318	5	Class	4.284	0.883	656
	1.7	3.6	7.7	38.1	48.1	0.8	Department	4.399	0.790	1309
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	13	37	74	225	305	7	Class	4.180	0.977	654
	2.0	5.6	11.2	34.0	46.1	1.1	Department	4.331	0.884	1307
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	16	20	53	233	334	5	Class	4.294	0.920	656
	2.4	3.0	8.0	35.2	50.5	0.8	Department	4.408	0.819	1309
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	9	27	76	258	286	5	Class	4.197	0.896	656
	1.4	4.1	11.5	39.0	43.3	0.8	Department	4.343	0.786	1309
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	6	19	84	235	308	9	Class	4.258	0.858	652
	0.9	2.9	12.7	35.6	46.6	1.4	Department	4.354	0.792	1304
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	20	36	69	233	298	5	Class	4.148	1.016	656
	3.0	5.4	10.4	35.2	45.1	0.8	Department	4.292	0.925	1307
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	13	34	70	235	304	5	Class	4.194	0.959	656
	2.0	5.1	10.6	35.6	46.0	0.8	Department	4.340	0.865	1309
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	8	15	54	254	323	7	Class	4.329	0.819	654
	1.2	2.3	8.2	38.4	48.9	1.1	Department	4.407	0.739	1306
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	14	14	65	247	312	9	Class	4.271	0.886	652
	2.1	2.1	9.8	37.4	47.2	1.4	Department	4.396	0.800	1300
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 49					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 1315					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	9	17	21	0	Class	4.163	0.874	49	
	0.0	4.1	18.4	34.7	42.9	0.0	Department	4.367	0.882	1309	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	6	21	20	0	Class	4.204	0.816	49	
	0.0	4.1	12.2	42.9	40.8	0.0	Department	4.448	0.831	1307	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	3	9	13	24	0	Class	4.184	0.950	49	
	0.0	6.1	18.4	26.5	49.0	0.0	Department	4.407	0.843	1308	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	8	18	22	0	Class	4.245	0.804	49	
	0.0	2.0	16.3	36.7	44.9	0.0	Department	4.374	0.846	1309	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	9	17	23	0	Class	4.286	0.764	49	
	0.0	0.0	18.4	34.7	46.9	0.0	Department	4.430	0.855	1306	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	3	5	22	19	0	Class	4.163	0.850	49	
	0.0	6.1	10.2	44.9	38.8	0.0	Department	4.366	0.807	1305	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	4	20	23	0	Class	4.306	0.796	49	
	0.0	4.1	8.2	40.8	46.9	0.0	Department	4.414	0.795	1309	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	3	24	21	0	Class	4.327	0.689	49	
	0.0	2.0	6.1	49.0	42.9	0.0	Department	4.399	0.790	1309	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	5	4	20	19	0	Class	4.041	1.040	49	
	2.0	10.2	8.2	40.8	38.8	0.0	Department	4.331	0.884	1307	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	13	17	18	0	Class	4.061	0.852	49	
	0.0	2.0	26.5	34.7	36.7	0.0	Department	4.408	0.819	1309	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	5	25	19	0	Class	4.286	0.645	49	
	0.0	0.0	10.2	51.0	38.8	0.0	Department	4.343	0.786	1309	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	5	17	27	0	Class	4.449	0.679	49	
	0.0	0.0	10.2	34.7	55.1	0.0	Department	4.354	0.792	1304	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	6	7	17	19	0	Class	4.000	1.021	49	
	0.0	12.2	14.3	34.7	38.8	0.0	Department	4.292	0.925	1307	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	2	7	19	20	0	Class	4.122	0.949	49	
	2.0	4.1	14.3	38.8	40.8	0.0	Department	4.340	0.865	1309	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	9	18	22	0	Class	4.265	0.758	49	
	0.0	0.0	18.4	36.7	44.9	0.0	Department	4.407	0.739	1306	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	5	21	22	0	Class	4.306	0.742	49	
	0.0	2.0	10.2	42.9	44.9	0.0	Department	4.396	0.800	1300	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 157					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 347					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	21	30	67	35	0	Class	3.688	1.043	157	
	2.5	13.4	19.1	42.7	22.3	0.0	Department	3.954	1.073	346	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	10	14	32	59	42	0	Class	3.694	1.147	157	
	6.4	8.9	20.4	37.6	26.8	0.0	Department	4.000	1.129	346	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	19	22	40	43	33	0	Class	3.312	1.285	157	
	12.1	14.0	25.5	27.4	21.0	0.0	Department	3.746	1.294	346	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	4	13	36	73	31	0	Class	3.726	0.958	157	
	2.5	8.3	22.9	46.5	19.7	0.0	Department	3.960	1.006	346	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	6	17	79	53	0	Class	4.115	0.839	157	
	1.3	3.8	10.8	50.3	33.8	0.0	Department	4.231	0.798	346	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	8	20	91	36	0	Class	3.962	0.823	157	
	1.3	5.1	12.7	58.0	22.9	0.0	Department	4.072	0.836	346	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	19	25	75	36	0	Class	3.790	0.974	157	
	1.3	12.1	15.9	47.8	22.9	0.0	Department	4.014	0.976	346	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	8	26	73	46	0	Class	3.949	0.946	157	
	2.5	5.1	16.6	46.5	29.3	0.0	Department	4.049	0.960	346	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	5	17	27	72	35	1	Class	3.737	1.029	156	
	3.2	10.8	17.2	45.9	22.3	0.6	Department	3.945	1.061	344	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	9	16	85	46	0	Class	4.057	0.826	157	
	0.6	5.7	10.2	54.1	29.3	0.0	Department	4.211	0.812	346	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	4	28	91	32	1	Class	3.955	0.739	156	
	0.6	2.5	17.8	58.0	20.4	0.6	Department	4.064	0.764	345	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	0	24	81	50	0	Class	4.127	0.757	157	
	1.3	0.0	15.3	51.6	31.8	0.0	Department	4.191	0.801	346	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	4	19	85	46	0	Class	4.064	0.830	157	
	1.9	2.5	12.1	54.1	29.3	0.0	Department	4.159	0.898	346	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	8	38	67	41	0	Class	3.860	0.930	157	
	1.9	5.1	24.2	42.7	26.1	0.0	Department	4.023	0.945	346	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	6	27	80	40	0	Class	3.930	0.900	157	
	2.5	3.8	17.2	51.0	25.5	0.0	Department	4.078	0.873	346	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	14	25	76	37	1	Class	3.821	0.980	156	
	2.5	8.9	15.9	48.4	23.6	0.6	Department	4.081	0.937	345	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)				
# Sheets Scanned: This Level 190							IB=In Between....(3)				
Your Dept 347							P= Poor....(2)				
Your College .. 9393							VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	7	12	12	68	90	1	Class	4.175	1.050	189	
	3.7	6.3	6.3	35.8	47.4	0.5	Department	3.954	1.073	346	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	7	9	17	52	104	1	Class	4.254	1.051	189	
	3.7	4.7	8.9	27.4	54.7	0.5	Department	4.000	1.129	346	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	13	12	10	61	93	1	Class	4.106	1.189	189	
	6.8	6.3	5.3	32.1	48.9	0.5	Department	3.746	1.294	346	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
4. the quality of this course.	7	7	20	71	84	1	Class	4.153	1.007	189	
	3.7	3.7	10.5	37.4	44.2	0.5	Department	3.960	1.006	346	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	4	20	75	90	1	Class	4.328	0.750	189	
	0.0	2.1	10.5	39.5	47.4	0.5	Department	4.231	0.798	346	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	2	23	90	70	1	Class	4.164	0.838	189	
	2.1	1.1	12.1	47.4	36.8	0.5	Department	4.072	0.836	346	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	11	11	80	83	1	Class	4.201	0.941	189	
	2.1	5.8	5.8	42.1	43.7	0.5	Department	4.014	0.976	346	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	7	5	20	81	76	1	Class	4.132	0.967	189	
	3.7	2.6	10.5	42.6	40.0	0.5	Department	4.049	0.960	346	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	9	7	20	69	83	2	Class	4.117	1.058	188	
	4.7	3.7	10.5	36.3	43.7	1.1	Department	3.945	1.061	344	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	3	15	78	91	1	Class	4.339	0.780	189	
	1.1	1.6	7.9	41.1	47.9	0.5	Department	4.211	0.812	346	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	2	20	102	62	1	Class	4.153	0.774	189	
	1.6	1.1	10.5	53.7	32.6	0.5	Department	4.064	0.764	345	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	3	27	72	85	1	Class	4.243	0.834	189	
	1.1	1.6	14.2	37.9	44.7	0.5	Department	4.191	0.801	346	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	5	6	19	68	91	1	Class	4.238	0.946	189	
	2.6	3.2	10.0	35.8	47.9	0.5	Department	4.159	0.898	346	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	5	7	19	80	78	1	Class	4.159	0.938	189	
	2.6	3.7	10.0	42.1	41.1	0.5	Department	4.023	0.945	346	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	6	20	85	76	1	Class	4.201	0.833	189	
	1.1	3.2	10.5	44.7	40.0	0.5	Department	4.078	0.873	346	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	2	17	77	89	1	Class	4.296	0.842	189	
	2.1	1.1	8.9	40.5	46.8	0.5	Department	4.081	0.937	345	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 31					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 144					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	2	14	14	0	Class	4.323	0.748	31
	0.0	3.2	6.5	45.2	45.2	0.0	Department	4.406	0.714	143
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	17	12	0	Class	4.323	0.599	31
	0.0	0.0	6.5	54.8	38.7	0.0	Department	4.503	0.627	143
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	14	15	0	Class	4.419	0.620	31
	0.0	0.0	6.5	45.2	48.4	0.0	Department	4.503	0.680	143
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	3	14	12	0	Class	4.161	0.860	31
	0.0	6.5	9.7	45.2	38.7	0.0	Department	4.350	0.734	143
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	3	13	13	0	Class	4.161	0.969	31
	3.2	3.2	9.7	41.9	41.9	0.0	Department	4.399	0.857	143
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	4	14	12	0	Class	4.194	0.792	31
	0.0	3.2	12.9	45.2	38.7	0.0	Department	4.392	0.760	143
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	4	14	12	0	Class	4.194	0.792	31
	0.0	3.2	12.9	45.2	38.7	0.0	Department	4.389	0.767	144
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	1	18	11	0	Class	4.258	0.682	31
	0.0	3.2	3.2	58.1	35.5	0.0	Department	4.417	0.705	144
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	4	12	14	0	Class	4.258	0.815	31
	0.0	3.2	12.9	38.7	45.2	0.0	Department	4.326	0.809	144
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	3	15	13	0	Class	4.323	0.653	31
	0.0	0.0	9.7	48.4	41.9	0.0	Department	4.403	0.787	144
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	16	11	0	Class	4.226	0.669	31
	0.0	0.0	12.9	51.6	35.5	0.0	Department	4.361	0.621	144
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	4	19	8	0	Class	4.129	0.619	31
	0.0	0.0	12.9	61.3	25.8	0.0	Department	4.333	0.710	144
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	1	15	14	0	Class	4.355	0.709	31
	0.0	3.2	3.2	48.4	45.2	0.0	Department	4.396	0.741	144
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	4	13	14	0	Class	4.323	0.702	31
	0.0	0.0	12.9	41.9	45.2	0.0	Department	4.354	0.743	144
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	17	10	0	Class	4.194	0.654	31
	0.0	0.0	12.9	54.8	32.3	0.0	Department	4.361	0.665	144
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	5	14	11	1	Class	4.200	0.714	30
	0.0	0.0	16.1	45.2	35.5	3.2	Department	4.371	0.689	143
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 41					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 144					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	0	25	14	1	Class	4.300	0.608	40
	0.0	2.4	0.0	61.0	34.1	2.4	Department	4.406	0.714	143
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	1	22	16	1	Class	4.325	0.656	40
	0.0	2.4	2.4	53.7	39.0	2.4	Department	4.503	0.627	143
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	1	17	21	1	Class	4.450	0.677	40
	0.0	2.4	2.4	41.5	51.2	2.4	Department	4.503	0.680	143
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	23	14	1	Class	4.275	0.599	40
	0.0	0.0	7.3	56.1	34.1	2.4	Department	4.350	0.734	143
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	3	20	16	1	Class	4.275	0.716	40
	0.0	2.4	7.3	48.8	39.0	2.4	Department	4.399	0.857	143
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	23	15	1	Class	4.325	0.572	40
	0.0	0.0	4.9	56.1	36.6	2.4	Department	4.392	0.760	143
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	1	25	14	0	Class	4.268	0.633	41
	0.0	2.4	2.4	61.0	34.1	0.0	Department	4.389	0.767	144
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	21	17	0	Class	4.317	0.687	41
	0.0	2.4	4.9	51.2	41.5	0.0	Department	4.417	0.705	144
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	2	20	16	0	Class	4.195	0.843	41
	0.0	7.3	4.9	48.8	39.0	0.0	Department	4.326	0.809	144
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	3	18	18	0	Class	4.244	0.888	41
	2.4	2.4	7.3	43.9	43.9	0.0	Department	4.403	0.787	144
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	25	14	0	Class	4.293	0.559	41
	0.0	0.0	4.9	61.0	34.1	0.0	Department	4.361	0.621	144
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	6	23	12	0	Class	4.146	0.654	41
	0.0	0.0	14.6	56.1	29.3	0.0	Department	4.333	0.710	144
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	3	22	14	0	Class	4.171	0.771	41
	0.0	4.9	7.3	53.7	34.1	0.0	Department	4.396	0.741	144
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	2	24	12	0	Class	4.098	0.800	41
	0.0	7.3	4.9	58.5	29.3	0.0	Department	4.354	0.743	144
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	1	25	14	0	Class	4.268	0.633	41
	0.0	2.4	2.4	61.0	34.1	0.0	Department	4.361	0.665	144
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	27	13	0	Class	4.293	0.512	41
	0.0	0.0	2.4	65.9	31.7	0.0	Department	4.371	0.689	143
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 72 Your Dept 144 Your College .. 9393							
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	0	5	22	44	0	Class	4.500	0.751	72
	1.4	0.0	6.9	30.6	61.1	0.0	Department	4.406	0.714	143
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	4	15	53	0	Class	4.681	0.577	72
	0.0	0.0	5.6	20.8	73.6	0.0	Department	4.503	0.627	143
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	6	16	49	0	Class	4.569	0.709	72
	0.0	1.4	8.3	22.2	68.1	0.0	Department	4.503	0.680	143
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	1	0	4	26	41	0	Class	4.472	0.731	72
	1.4	0.0	5.6	36.1	56.9	0.0	Department	4.350	0.734	143
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	1	2	16	51	0	Class	4.569	0.853	72
	2.8	1.4	2.8	22.2	70.8	0.0	Department	4.399	0.857	143
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	0	3	21	46	0	Class	4.514	0.822	72
	2.8	0.0	4.2	29.2	63.9	0.0	Department	4.392	0.760	143
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	5	16	49	0	Class	4.542	0.804	72
	1.4	1.4	6.9	22.2	68.1	0.0	Department	4.389	0.767	144
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	3	23	45	0	Class	4.542	0.711	72
	1.4	0.0	4.2	31.9	62.5	0.0	Department	4.417	0.705	144
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	7	23	41	0	Class	4.431	0.784	72
	1.4	0.0	9.7	31.9	56.9	0.0	Department	4.326	0.809	144
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	3	21	46	0	Class	4.528	0.769	72
	1.4	1.4	4.2	29.2	63.9	0.0	Department	4.403	0.787	144
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	5	29	38	0	Class	4.458	0.627	72
	0.0	0.0	6.9	40.3	52.8	0.0	Department	4.361	0.621	144
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	7	17	47	0	Class	4.528	0.731	72
	0.0	1.4	9.7	23.6	65.3	0.0	Department	4.333	0.710	144
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	6	18	47	0	Class	4.542	0.711	72
	0.0	1.4	8.3	25.0	65.3	0.0	Department	4.396	0.741	144
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	5	22	44	0	Class	4.514	0.692	72
	0.0	1.4	6.9	30.6	61.1	0.0	Department	4.354	0.743	144
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	7	23	42	0	Class	4.486	0.671	72
	0.0	0.0	9.7	31.9	58.3	0.0	Department	4.361	0.665	144
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	5	23	43	0	Class	4.486	0.750	72
	1.4	0.0	6.9	31.9	59.7	0.0	Department	4.371	0.689	143
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ECONOMICS								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1235						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1569						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	12	45	141	517	507	13	Class	4.196	0.853	1222	
	1.0	3.6	11.4	41.9	41.1	1.1	Department	4.182	0.885	1548	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	11	41	128	463	579	13	Class	4.275	0.848	1222	
	0.9	3.3	10.4	37.5	46.9	1.1	Department	4.256	0.877	1548	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	23	55	220	437	486	14	Class	4.071	0.961	1221	
	1.9	4.5	17.8	35.4	39.4	1.1	Department	4.059	0.971	1546	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
4. the quality of this course.	10	34	166	602	406	17	Class	4.117	0.801	1218	
	0.8	2.8	13.4	48.7	32.9	1.4	Department	4.116	0.830	1544	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	10	22	82	390	718	13	Class	4.460	0.768	1222	
	0.8	1.8	6.6	31.6	58.1	1.1	Department	4.418	0.794	1548	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
6. your understanding of the course content.	16	49	227	621	305	17	Class	3.944	0.845	1218	
	1.3	4.0	18.4	50.3	24.7	1.4	Department	3.927	0.890	1543	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	7	25	101	539	550	13	Class	4.309	0.756	1222	
	0.6	2.0	8.2	43.6	44.5	1.1	Department	4.295	0.779	1547	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	22	124	563	509	13	Class	4.269	0.740	1222	
	0.3	1.8	10.0	45.6	41.2	1.1	Department	4.266	0.743	1547	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	6	33	177	531	474	14	Class	4.174	0.810	1221	
	0.5	2.7	14.3	43.0	38.4	1.1	Department	4.146	0.857	1546	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	9	21	64	452	675	14	Class	4.444	0.737	1221	
	0.7	1.7	5.2	36.6	54.7	1.1	Department	4.413	0.763	1546	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	6	23	187	603	401	15	Class	4.123	0.766	1220	
	0.5	1.9	15.1	48.8	32.5	1.2	Department	4.091	0.798	1545	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	8	28	261	482	442	14	Class	4.083	0.849	1221	
	0.6	2.3	21.1	39.0	35.8	1.1	Department	4.132	0.851	1545	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	10	23	171	546	470	15	Class	4.183	0.801	1220	
	0.8	1.9	13.8	44.2	38.1	1.2	Department	4.208	0.811	1545	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	12	29	206	536	436	16	Class	4.112	0.836	1219	
	1.0	2.3	16.7	43.4	35.3	1.3	Department	4.108	0.867	1544	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	7	21	183	588	423	13	Class	4.145	0.772	1222	
	0.6	1.7	14.8	47.6	34.3	1.1	Department	4.186	0.767	1546	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	9	36	127	542	505	16	Class	4.229	0.807	1219	
	0.7	2.9	10.3	43.9	40.9	1.3	Department	4.239	0.809	1542	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ECONOMICS								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 303						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1569						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	7	19	40	105	126	6	Class	4.091	1.011	297	
	2.3	6.3	13.2	34.7	41.6	2.0	Department	4.182	0.885	1548	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	6	21	29	105	136	6	Class	4.158	0.999	297	
	2.0	6.9	9.6	34.7	44.9	2.0	Department	4.256	0.877	1548	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	7	21	54	105	109	7	Class	3.973	1.025	296	
	2.3	6.9	17.8	34.7	36.0	2.3	Department	4.059	0.971	1546	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
4. the quality of this course.	5	17	41	121	113	6	Class	4.077	0.947	297	
	1.7	5.6	13.5	39.9	37.3	2.0	Department	4.116	0.830	1544	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	10	41	105	138	6	Class	4.229	0.882	297	
	1.0	3.3	13.5	34.7	45.5	2.0	Department	4.418	0.794	1548	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
6. your understanding of the course content.	10	27	60	114	85	7	Class	3.801	1.060	296	
	3.3	8.9	19.8	37.6	28.1	2.3	Department	3.927	0.890	1543	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	12	29	124	127	7	Class	4.209	0.877	296	
	1.3	4.0	9.6	40.9	41.9	2.3	Department	4.295	0.779	1547	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	9	34	136	117	7	Class	4.220	0.765	296	
	0.0	3.0	11.2	44.9	38.6	2.3	Department	4.266	0.743	1547	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	7	23	46	112	108	7	Class	3.983	1.023	296	
	2.3	7.6	15.2	37.0	35.6	2.3	Department	4.146	0.857	1546	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	9	32	115	137	7	Class	4.264	0.847	296	
	1.0	3.0	10.6	38.0	45.2	2.3	Department	4.413	0.763	1546	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	20	55	138	80	7	Class	3.919	0.902	296	
	1.0	6.6	18.2	45.5	26.4	2.3	Department	4.091	0.798	1545	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	7	36	105	144	8	Class	4.288	0.846	295	
	1.0	2.3	11.9	34.7	47.5	2.6	Department	4.132	0.851	1545	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	11	26	117	139	7	Class	4.277	0.850	296	
	1.0	3.6	8.6	38.6	45.9	2.3	Department	4.208	0.811	1545	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	8	13	45	114	116	7	Class	4.071	0.980	296	
	2.6	4.3	14.9	37.6	38.3	2.3	Department	4.108	0.867	1544	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	5	27	131	131	8	Class	4.308	0.735	295	
	0.3	1.7	8.9	43.2	43.2	2.6	Department	4.186	0.767	1546	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	5	5	28	127	129	9	Class	4.259	0.831	294	
	1.7	1.7	9.2	41.9	42.6	3.0	Department	4.239	0.809	1542	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction
College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 31					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1569					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	0	11	17	2	Class	4.517	0.688	29
	0.0	3.2	0.0	35.5	54.8	6.5	Department	4.182	0.885	1548
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	11	16	2	Class	4.483	0.634	29
	0.0	0.0	6.5	35.5	51.6	6.5	Department	4.256	0.877	1548
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	4	9	16	2	Class	4.414	0.733	29
	0.0	0.0	12.9	29.0	51.6	6.5	Department	4.059	0.971	1546
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	11	16	2	Class	4.483	0.634	29
	0.0	0.0	6.5	35.5	51.6	6.5	Department	4.116	0.830	1544
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	8	19	2	Class	4.586	0.628	29
	0.0	0.0	6.5	25.8	61.3	6.5	Department	4.418	0.794	1548
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	15	14	2	Class	4.483	0.509	29
	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.4	45.2	6.5	Department	3.927	0.890	1543
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	10	18	2	Class	4.586	0.568	29
	0.0	0.0	3.2	32.3	58.1	6.5	Department	4.295	0.779	1547
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	9	19	2	Class	4.621	0.561	29
	0.0	0.0	3.2	29.0	61.3	6.5	Department	4.266	0.743	1547
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	8	19	2	Class	4.586	0.628	29
	0.0	0.0	6.5	25.8	61.3	6.5	Department	4.146	0.857	1546
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	6	21	2	Class	4.621	0.728	29
	0.0	3.2	3.2	19.4	67.7	6.5	Department	4.413	0.763	1546
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	9	17	2	Class	4.483	0.688	29
	0.0	0.0	9.7	29.0	54.8	6.5	Department	4.091	0.798	1545
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	7	20	2	Class	4.621	0.622	29
	0.0	0.0	6.5	22.6	64.5	6.5	Department	4.132	0.851	1545
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	4	5	20	2	Class	4.552	0.736	29
	0.0	0.0	12.9	16.1	64.5	6.5	Department	4.208	0.811	1545
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	3	7	17	2	Class	4.345	0.936	29
	0.0	6.5	9.7	22.6	54.8	6.5	Department	4.108	0.867	1544
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	7	21	2	Class	4.690	0.541	29
	0.0	0.0	3.2	22.6	67.7	6.5	Department	4.186	0.767	1546
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	11	16	2	Class	4.483	0.634	29
	0.0	0.0	6.5	35.5	51.6	6.5	Department	4.239	0.809	1542
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 18					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 85					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	2	16	0	Class	4.889	0.323	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	0.0	Department	4.094	0.840	85
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.129	0.936	85
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.214	0.822	84
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	2	16	0	Class	4.889	0.323	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	0.0	Department	3.965	0.892	85
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.337	0.720	83
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	6	12	0	Class	4.667	0.485	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.095	0.770	84
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	5	12	1	Class	4.706	0.470	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.8	66.7	5.6	Department	4.143	0.747	84
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	5	13	0	Class	4.722	0.461	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.8	72.2	0.0	Department	4.035	0.837	85
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.129	0.799	85
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.459	0.547	85
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	4	14	0	Class	4.778	0.428	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	0.0	Department	4.165	0.687	85
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	2	15	1	Class	4.882	0.332	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	83.3	5.6	Department	4.349	0.772	83
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.294	0.843	85
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	1	17	0	Class	4.944	0.236	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.190	0.871	84
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	5	13	0	Class	4.722	0.461	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.8	72.2	0.0	Department	4.129	0.784	85
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	15	0	Class	4.833	0.383	18
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.165	0.829	85
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 44					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 85					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	13	25	3	0	Class	3.636	0.718	44
	0.0	6.8	29.5	56.8	6.8	0.0	Department	4.094	0.840	85
							College	4.254	0.904	932
							University	4.158	0.934	78508

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	6	14	20	4	0	Class	3.500	0.849	44
	0.0	13.6	31.8	45.5	9.1	0.0	Department	4.129	0.936	85
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	4	9	26	4	1	Class	3.698	0.773	43
	0.0	9.1	20.5	59.1	9.1	2.3	Department	4.214	0.822	84
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440

4. the quality of this course.	1	3	13	23	4	0	Class	3.591	0.844	44
	2.3	6.8	29.5	52.3	9.1	0.0	Department	3.965	0.892	85
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	5	29	8	1	Class	4.023	0.636	43
	0.0	2.3	11.4	65.9	18.2	2.3	Department	4.337	0.720	83
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407

6. your understanding of the course content.	1	2	7	30	3	1	Class	3.744	0.759	43
	2.3	4.5	15.9	68.2	6.8	2.3	Department	4.095	0.770	84
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	11	26	5	0	Class	3.773	0.711	44
	0.0	4.5	25.0	59.1	11.4	0.0	Department	4.143	0.747	84
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	2	8	28	4	0	Class	3.682	0.883	44
	4.5	4.5	18.2	63.6	9.1	0.0	Department	4.035	0.837	85
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	10	27	4	0	Class	3.727	0.727	44
	0.0	6.8	22.7	61.4	9.1	0.0	Department	4.129	0.799	85
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	36	7	0	Class	4.136	0.409	44
	0.0	0.0	2.3	81.8	15.9	0.0	Department	4.459	0.547	85
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	8	28	7	0	Class	3.932	0.661	44
	0.0	2.3	18.2	63.6	15.9	0.0	Department	4.165	0.687	85
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	9	23	9	1	Class	3.907	0.781	43
	0.0	4.5	20.5	52.3	20.5	2.3	Department	4.349	0.772	83
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	3	9	24	8	0	Class	3.841	0.805	44
	0.0	6.8	20.5	54.5	18.2	0.0	Department	4.294	0.843	85
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	14	21	6	1	Class	3.698	0.832	43
	2.3	2.3	31.8	47.7	13.6	2.3	Department	4.190	0.871	84
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	10	28	4	0	Class	3.773	0.677	44
	0.0	4.5	22.7	63.6	9.1	0.0	Department	4.129	0.784	85
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	3	6	30	4	0	Class	3.750	0.811	44
	2.3	6.8	13.6	68.2	9.1	0.0	Department	4.165	0.829	85
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 23					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 85					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	1	10	11	0	Class	4.348	0.775	23
	0.0	4.3	4.3	43.5	47.8	0.0	Department	4.094	0.840	85
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	7	16	0	Class	4.696	0.470	23
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.4	69.6	0.0	Department	4.129	0.936	85
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	9	14	0	Class	4.609	0.499	23
	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.1	60.9	0.0	Department	4.214	0.822	84
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	7	10	6	0	Class	3.957	0.767	23
	0.0	0.0	30.4	43.5	26.1	0.0	Department	3.965	0.892	85
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	1	7	13	1	Class	4.455	0.800	22
	0.0	4.3	4.3	30.4	56.5	4.3	Department	4.337	0.720	83
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	12	9	0	Class	4.304	0.635	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	52.2	39.1	0.0	Department	4.095	0.770	84
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	11	11	0	Class	4.435	0.590	23
	0.0	0.0	4.3	47.8	47.8	0.0	Department	4.143	0.747	84
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	15	6	0	Class	4.174	0.576	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	65.2	26.1	0.0	Department	4.035	0.837	85
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	11	9	0	Class	4.261	0.689	23
	0.0	0.0	13.0	47.8	39.1	0.0	Department	4.129	0.799	85
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	5	17	0	Class	4.696	0.559	23
	0.0	0.0	4.3	21.7	73.9	0.0	Department	4.459	0.547	85
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	14	6	0	Class	4.130	0.626	23
	0.0	0.0	13.0	60.9	26.1	0.0	Department	4.165	0.687	85
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	5	18	0	Class	4.783	0.422	23
	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.7	78.3	0.0	Department	4.349	0.772	83
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	0	5	17	0	Class	4.652	0.714	23
	0.0	4.3	0.0	21.7	73.9	0.0	Department	4.294	0.843	85
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	7	14	0	Class	4.522	0.665	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	30.4	60.9	0.0	Department	4.190	0.871	84
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	2	8	12	0	Class	4.348	0.832	23
	0.0	4.3	8.7	34.8	52.2	0.0	Department	4.129	0.784	85
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	9	12	0	Class	4.435	0.662	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	39.1	52.2	0.0	Department	4.165	0.829	85
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MICROBIOLOGY								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 678						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1403						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	7	43	194	430	2	Class	4.543	0.688	676	
	0.3	1.0	6.3	28.6	63.4	0.3	Department	4.335	0.852	1391	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	8	33	153	479	2	Class	4.623	0.676	676	
	0.4	1.2	4.9	22.6	70.6	0.3	Department	4.420	0.845	1390	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	4	15	28	155	473	3	Class	4.597	0.727	675	
	0.6	2.2	4.1	22.9	69.8	0.4	Department	4.350	0.915	1386	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	2	10	48	237	379	2	Class	4.451	0.717	676	
	0.3	1.5	7.1	35.0	55.9	0.3	Department	4.306	0.825	1391	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	11	18	57	186	403	3	Class	4.410	0.876	675	
	1.6	2.7	8.4	27.4	59.4	0.4	Department	4.375	0.843	1388	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	15	69	302	288	2	Class	4.271	0.756	676	
	0.3	2.2	10.2	44.5	42.5	0.3	Department	4.199	0.782	1390	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	1	29	219	425	2	Class	4.574	0.613	676	
	0.3	0.1	4.3	32.3	62.7	0.3	Department	4.436	0.719	1394	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	6	29	245	394	2	Class	4.513	0.652	676	
	0.3	0.9	4.3	36.1	58.1	0.3	Department	4.338	0.813	1395	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	12	36	217	409	2	Class	4.507	0.707	676	
	0.3	1.8	5.3	32.0	60.3	0.3	Department	4.229	0.966	1394	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	10	28	214	419	4	Class	4.537	0.689	674	
	0.4	1.5	4.1	31.6	61.8	0.6	Department	4.424	0.780	1392	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	10	67	309	286	3	Class	4.281	0.737	675	
	0.4	1.5	9.9	45.6	42.2	0.4	Department	4.227	0.746	1389	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	2	89	217	363	3	Class	4.382	0.768	675	
	0.6	0.3	13.1	32.0	53.5	0.4	Department	4.292	0.811	1392	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	4	42	219	408	3	Class	4.521	0.668	675	
	0.3	0.6	6.2	32.3	60.2	0.4	Department	4.337	0.849	1394	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	11	50	228	383	2	Class	4.442	0.751	676	
	0.6	1.6	7.4	33.6	56.5	0.3	Department	4.293	0.843	1394	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	4	43	264	365	2	Class	4.464	0.642	676	
	0.0	0.6	6.3	38.9	53.8	0.3	Department	4.376	0.728	1393	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	10	35	238	387	6	Class	4.485	0.693	672	
	0.3	1.5	5.2	35.1	57.1	0.9	Department	4.402	0.749	1389	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MICROBIOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 685					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1403					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	8	37	105	243	283	9	Class	4.118	0.942	676
	1.2	5.4	15.3	35.5	41.3	1.3	Department	4.335	0.852	1391
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
2. the instructor as a teacher.	9	37	81	224	324	10	Class	4.210	0.947	675
	1.3	5.4	11.8	32.7	47.3	1.5	Department	4.420	0.845	1390
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	12	44	114	202	300	13	Class	4.092	1.016	672
	1.8	6.4	16.6	29.5	43.8	1.9	Department	4.350	0.915	1386
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
4. the quality of this course.	5	33	101	255	282	9	Class	4.148	0.899	676
	0.7	4.8	14.7	37.2	41.2	1.3	Department	4.306	0.825	1391
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	6	17	63	254	334	11	Class	4.325	0.816	674
	0.9	2.5	9.2	37.1	48.8	1.6	Department	4.375	0.843	1388
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	20	104	324	223	10	Class	4.099	0.805	675
	0.6	2.9	15.2	47.3	32.6	1.5	Department	4.199	0.782	1390
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	17	75	270	314	6	Class	4.289	0.794	679
	0.4	2.5	10.9	39.4	45.8	0.9	Department	4.436	0.719	1394
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	10	29	95	258	288	5	Class	4.154	0.918	680
	1.5	4.2	13.9	37.7	42.0	0.7	Department	4.338	0.813	1395
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	22	64	108	221	264	6	Class	3.944	1.102	679
	3.2	9.3	15.8	32.3	38.5	0.9	Department	4.229	0.966	1394
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	6	26	60	253	334	6	Class	4.300	0.851	679
	0.9	3.8	8.8	36.9	48.8	0.9	Department	4.424	0.780	1392
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	11	99	332	231	10	Class	4.154	0.747	675
	0.3	1.6	14.5	48.5	33.7	1.5	Department	4.227	0.746	1389
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	16	115	257	286	7	Class	4.187	0.840	678
	0.6	2.3	16.8	37.5	41.8	1.0	Department	4.292	0.811	1392
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	12	37	87	248	296	5	Class	4.146	0.959	680
	1.8	5.4	12.7	36.2	43.2	0.7	Department	4.337	0.849	1394
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	34	115	245	281	6	Class	4.127	0.906	679
	0.6	5.0	16.8	35.8	41.0	0.9	Department	4.293	0.843	1394
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	16	78	272	309	7	Class	4.280	0.792	678
	0.4	2.3	11.4	39.7	45.1	1.0	Department	4.376	0.728	1393
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	5	14	72	265	322	7	Class	4.305	0.799	678
	0.7	2.0	10.5	38.7	47.0	1.0	Department	4.402	0.749	1389
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MICROBIOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 40					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1403					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.487	0.854	39
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	0	11	25	1	Department	4.335	0.852	1391
	0.0	7.5	0.0	27.5	62.5	2.5	College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
							Class	4.538	0.756	39
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	3	9	26	1	Department	4.420	0.845	1390
	0.0	2.5	7.5	22.5	65.0	2.5	College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
							Class	4.513	0.790	39
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	4	8	26	1	Department	4.350	0.915	1386
	0.0	2.5	10.0	20.0	65.0	2.5	College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
							Class	4.538	0.720	39
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	2	11	25	1	Department	4.306	0.825	1391
	0.0	2.5	5.0	27.5	62.5	2.5	College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
							Class	4.641	0.628	39
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	0	11	27	1	Department	4.375	0.843	1388
	0.0	2.5	0.0	27.5	67.5	2.5	College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
							Class	4.692	0.468	39
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	12	27	1	Department	4.199	0.782	1390
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	67.5	2.5	College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.615	0.544	39
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	13	25	1	Department	4.436	0.719	1394
	0.0	0.0	2.5	32.5	62.5	2.5	College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
							Class	4.513	0.644	39
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	3	13	23	1	Department	4.338	0.813	1395
	0.0	0.0	7.5	32.5	57.5	2.5	College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
							Class	4.359	0.903	39
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	5	9	23	1	Department	4.229	0.966	1394
	0.0	5.0	12.5	22.5	57.5	2.5	College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
							Class	4.615	0.633	39
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	3	9	27	1	Department	4.424	0.780	1392
	0.0	0.0	7.5	22.5	67.5	2.5	College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
							Class	4.538	0.720	39
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	2	11	25	1	Department	4.227	0.746	1389
	0.0	2.5	5.0	27.5	62.5	2.5	College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
							Class	4.564	0.788	39
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	1	11	26	1	Department	4.292	0.811	1392
	2.5	0.0	2.5	27.5	65.0	2.5	College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
							Class	4.487	0.885	39
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	3	1	9	26	1	Department	4.337	0.849	1394
	0.0	7.5	2.5	22.5	65.0	2.5	College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
							Class	4.615	0.633	39
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	3	9	27	1	Department	4.293	0.843	1394
	0.0	0.0	7.5	22.5	67.5	2.5	College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
							Class	4.513	0.756	39
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	3	10	25	1	Department	4.376	0.728	1393
	0.0	2.5	7.5	25.0	62.5	2.5	College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
							Class	4.641	0.537	39
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	12	26	1	Department	4.402	0.749	1389
	0.0	0.0	2.5	30.0	65.0	2.5	College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 40						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 143						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	11	27	1	Class	4.667	0.530	39	
	0.0	0.0	2.5	27.5	67.5	2.5	Department	4.577	0.708	142	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	12	25	1	Class	4.590	0.595	39	
	0.0	0.0	5.0	30.0	62.5	2.5	Department	4.638	0.577	141	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	9	28	1	Class	4.667	0.577	39	
	0.0	0.0	5.0	22.5	70.0	2.5	Department	4.688	0.523	141	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	11	27	1	Class	4.667	0.530	39	
	0.0	0.0	2.5	27.5	67.5	2.5	Department	4.496	0.723	141	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	0	8	30	1	Class	4.718	0.605	39	
	0.0	2.5	0.0	20.0	75.0	2.5	Department	4.709	0.555	141	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	8	31	1	Class	4.795	0.409	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	77.5	2.5	Department	4.624	0.592	141	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	11	28	1	Class	4.718	0.456	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.5	70.0	2.5	Department	4.634	0.589	142	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	11	28	1	Class	4.718	0.456	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.5	70.0	2.5	Department	4.570	0.646	142	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	10	28	1	Class	4.692	0.521	39	
	0.0	0.0	2.5	25.0	70.0	2.5	Department	4.556	0.690	142	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	9	30	1	Class	4.769	0.427	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.5	75.0	2.5	Department	4.662	0.606	142	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	10	28	1	Class	4.692	0.521	39	
	0.0	0.0	2.5	25.0	70.0	2.5	Department	4.667	0.530	141	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	3	9	26	1	Class	4.538	0.756	39	
	0.0	2.5	7.5	22.5	65.0	2.5	Department	4.634	0.658	142	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	8	29	1	Class	4.692	0.569	39	
	0.0	0.0	5.0	20.0	72.5	2.5	Department	4.592	0.716	142	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	9	28	1	Class	4.667	0.577	39	
	0.0	0.0	5.0	22.5	70.0	2.5	Department	4.549	0.659	142	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	11	26	1	Class	4.615	0.590	39	
	0.0	0.0	5.0	27.5	65.0	2.5	Department	4.486	0.660	142	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	10	29	1	Class	4.744	0.442	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	72.5	2.5	Department	4.620	0.580	142	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 72					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 143					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	4	18	48	0	Class	4.556	0.729	72
	0.0	2.8	5.6	25.0	66.7	0.0	Department	4.577	0.708	142
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	4	17	51	0	Class	4.653	0.585	72
	0.0	0.0	5.6	23.6	70.8	0.0	Department	4.638	0.577	141
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	19	51	0	Class	4.681	0.526	72
	0.0	0.0	2.8	26.4	70.8	0.0	Department	4.688	0.523	141
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	1	2	3	26	40	0	Class	4.417	0.818	72
	1.4	2.8	4.2	36.1	55.6	0.0	Department	4.496	0.723	141
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	15	54	0	Class	4.708	0.542	72
	0.0	0.0	4.2	20.8	75.0	0.0	Department	4.709	0.555	141
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	1	28	42	0	Class	4.528	0.671	72
	1.4	0.0	1.4	38.9	58.3	0.0	Department	4.624	0.592	141
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	24	46	0	Class	4.611	0.545	72
	0.0	0.0	2.8	33.3	63.9	0.0	Department	4.634	0.589	142
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	29	40	0	Class	4.500	0.628	72
	0.0	1.4	2.8	40.3	55.6	0.0	Department	4.570	0.646	142
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	5	22	45	0	Class	4.556	0.625	72
	0.0	0.0	6.9	30.6	62.5	0.0	Department	4.556	0.690	142
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	3	19	50	0	Class	4.653	0.561	72
	0.0	0.0	4.2	26.4	69.4	0.0	Department	4.662	0.606	142
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	23	47	0	Class	4.625	0.542	72
	0.0	0.0	2.8	31.9	65.3	0.0	Department	4.667	0.530	141
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	20	51	0	Class	4.694	0.493	72
	0.0	0.0	1.4	27.8	70.8	0.0	Department	4.634	0.658	142
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	2	17	51	0	Class	4.625	0.680	72
	0.0	2.8	2.8	23.6	70.8	0.0	Department	4.592	0.716	142
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	5	26	41	0	Class	4.500	0.628	72
	0.0	0.0	6.9	36.1	56.9	0.0	Department	4.549	0.659	142
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	34	35	0	Class	4.444	0.579	72
	0.0	0.0	4.2	47.2	48.6	0.0	Department	4.486	0.660	142
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	29	42	0	Class	4.569	0.526	72
	0.0	0.0	1.4	40.3	58.3	0.0	Department	4.620	0.580	142
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 31					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 143					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.516	0.851	31
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	0	1	9	20	0	Department	4.577	0.708	142
	3.2	0.0	3.2	29.0	64.5	0.0	College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
							Class	4.667	0.547	30
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	8	21	1	Department	4.638	0.577	141
	0.0	0.0	3.2	25.8	67.7	3.2	College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
							Class	4.733	0.450	30
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	8	22	1	Department	4.688	0.523	141
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.8	71.0	3.2	College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
							Class	4.467	0.681	30
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	10	17	1	Department	4.496	0.723	141
	0.0	0.0	9.7	32.3	54.8	3.2	College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
							Class	4.700	0.535	30
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	7	22	1	Department	4.709	0.555	141
	0.0	0.0	3.2	22.6	71.0	3.2	College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
							Class	4.633	0.556	30
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	9	20	1	Department	4.624	0.592	141
	0.0	0.0	3.2	29.0	64.5	3.2	College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.581	0.807	31
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	0	9	21	0	Department	4.634	0.589	142
	3.2	0.0	0.0	29.0	67.7	0.0	College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
							Class	4.548	0.850	31
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	1	8	21	0	Department	4.570	0.646	142
	3.2	0.0	3.2	25.8	67.7	0.0	College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
							Class	4.387	0.955	31
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	1	1	10	18	0	Department	4.556	0.690	142
	3.2	3.2	3.2	32.3	58.1	0.0	College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
							Class	4.548	0.850	31
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	1	8	21	0	Department	4.662	0.606	142
	3.2	0.0	3.2	25.8	67.7	0.0	College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
							Class	4.733	0.521	30
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	6	23	1	Department	4.667	0.530	141
	0.0	0.0	3.2	19.4	74.2	3.2	College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
							Class	4.613	0.844	31
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	1	6	23	0	Department	4.634	0.658	142
	3.2	0.0	3.2	19.4	74.2	0.0	College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
							Class	4.387	0.919	31
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	3	9	18	0	Department	4.592	0.716	142
	3.2	0.0	9.7	29.0	58.1	0.0	College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
							Class	4.516	0.811	31
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	0	11	19	0	Department	4.549	0.659	142
	3.2	0.0	0.0	35.5	61.3	0.0	College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
							Class	4.419	0.886	31
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	2	10	18	0	Department	4.486	0.660	142
	3.2	0.0	6.5	32.3	58.1	0.0	College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
							Class	4.581	0.807	31
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	0	9	21	0	Department	4.620	0.580	142
	3.2	0.0	0.0	29.0	67.7	0.0	College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 478					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1941					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	23	60	171	221	1	Class	4.229	0.877	477
	0.4	4.8	12.6	35.8	46.2	0.2	Department	4.300	0.844	1937
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	20	56	149	248	1	Class	4.294	0.892	477
	0.8	4.2	11.7	31.2	51.9	0.2	Department	4.361	0.853	1935
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	4	29	66	145	233	1	Class	4.203	0.952	477
	0.8	6.1	13.8	30.3	48.7	0.2	Department	4.205	0.974	1936
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	4	19	55	192	207	1	Class	4.214	0.860	477
	0.8	4.0	11.5	40.2	43.3	0.2	Department	4.251	0.829	1933
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	25	33	164	248	3	Class	4.316	0.894	475
	1.0	5.2	6.9	34.3	51.9	0.6	Department	4.427	0.806	1929
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	16	63	207	186	1	Class	4.159	0.852	477
	1.0	3.3	13.2	43.3	38.9	0.2	Department	4.184	0.840	1931
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	7	57	168	243	1	Class	4.348	0.778	477
	0.4	1.5	11.9	35.1	50.8	0.2	Department	4.375	0.743	1937
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	15	49	183	228	2	Class	4.307	0.796	476
	0.2	3.1	10.3	38.3	47.7	0.4	Department	4.343	0.767	1935
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	6	33	49	161	227	2	Class	4.197	0.966	476
	1.3	6.9	10.3	33.7	47.5	0.4	Department	4.281	0.878	1934
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	13	37	196	227	2	Class	4.326	0.785	476
	0.6	2.7	7.7	41.0	47.5	0.4	Department	4.435	0.761	1932
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	13	56	227	177	1	Class	4.174	0.803	477
	0.8	2.7	11.7	47.5	37.0	0.2	Department	4.223	0.777	1934
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	8	51	162	255	1	Class	4.388	0.760	477
	0.2	1.7	10.7	33.9	53.3	0.2	Department	4.377	0.770	1932
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	10	46	178	242	1	Class	4.363	0.759	477
	0.2	2.1	9.6	37.2	50.6	0.2	Department	4.388	0.779	1934
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	10	63	175	227	2	Class	4.296	0.791	476
	0.2	2.1	13.2	36.6	47.5	0.4	Department	4.303	0.816	1930
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	9	55	198	215	1	Class	4.298	0.744	477
	0.0	1.9	11.5	41.4	45.0	0.2	Department	4.318	0.743	1933
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	10	54	181	229	2	Class	4.313	0.787	476
	0.4	2.1	11.3	37.9	47.9	0.4	Department	4.347	0.760	1929
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction
College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT SCIENCES								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1232						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1941						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	14	41	119	462	593	3	Class	4.285	0.857	1229	
	1.1	3.3	9.7	37.5	48.1	0.2	Department	4.300	0.844	1937	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	13	41	119	405	649	5	Class	4.333	0.863	1227	
	1.1	3.3	9.7	32.9	52.7	0.4	Department	4.361	0.853	1935	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	31	64	180	385	568	4	Class	4.136	1.013	1228	
	2.5	5.2	14.6	31.3	46.1	0.3	Department	4.205	0.974	1936	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
4. the quality of this course.	12	28	172	487	527	6	Class	4.215	0.839	1226	
	1.0	2.3	14.0	39.5	42.8	0.5	Department	4.251	0.829	1933	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	10	25	80	386	724	7	Class	4.460	0.775	1225	
	0.8	2.0	6.5	31.3	58.8	0.6	Department	4.427	0.806	1929	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
6. your understanding of the course content.	10	48	152	537	479	6	Class	4.164	0.848	1226	
	0.8	3.9	12.3	43.6	38.9	0.5	Department	4.184	0.840	1931	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	26	106	495	598	3	Class	4.348	0.752	1229	
	0.3	2.1	8.6	40.2	48.5	0.2	Department	4.375	0.743	1937	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	10	17	119	510	573	3	Class	4.317	0.769	1229	
	0.8	1.4	9.7	41.4	46.5	0.2	Department	4.343	0.767	1935	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	13	37	133	454	591	4	Class	4.281	0.853	1228	
	1.1	3.0	10.8	36.9	48.0	0.3	Department	4.281	0.878	1934	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	10	16	71	418	713	4	Class	4.472	0.736	1228	
	0.8	1.3	5.8	33.9	57.9	0.3	Department	4.435	0.761	1932	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	32	142	550	499	5	Class	4.229	0.777	1227	
	0.3	2.6	11.5	44.6	40.5	0.4	Department	4.223	0.777	1934	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	5	7	179	405	630	6	Class	4.344	0.776	1226	
	0.4	0.6	14.5	32.9	51.1	0.5	Department	4.377	0.770	1932	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	8	23	124	434	639	4	Class	4.362	0.790	1228	
	0.6	1.9	10.1	35.2	51.9	0.3	Department	4.388	0.779	1934	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	11	29	143	484	560	5	Class	4.266	0.823	1227	
	0.9	2.4	11.6	39.3	45.5	0.4	Department	4.303	0.816	1930	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	6	12	135	544	531	4	Class	4.288	0.737	1228	
	0.5	1.0	11.0	44.2	43.1	0.3	Department	4.318	0.743	1933	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	5	30	105	513	572	7	Class	4.320	0.765	1225	
	0.4	2.4	8.5	41.6	46.4	0.6	Department	4.347	0.760	1929	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 231					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1941					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	2	7	84	137	0	Class	4.532	0.644	231
	0.4	0.9	3.0	36.4	59.3	0.0	Department	4.300	0.844	1937
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	1	5	60	163	0	Class	4.649	0.635	231
	0.9	0.4	2.2	26.0	70.6	0.0	Department	4.361	0.853	1935
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	1	10	67	151	0	Class	4.576	0.680	231
	0.9	0.4	4.3	29.0	65.4	0.0	Department	4.205	0.974	1936
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	1	1	10	83	135	1	Class	4.522	0.645	230
	0.4	0.4	4.3	35.9	58.4	0.4	Department	4.251	0.829	1933
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	5	17	67	139	2	Class	4.476	0.764	229
	0.4	2.2	7.4	29.0	60.2	0.9	Department	4.427	0.806	1929
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	2	21	93	110	3	Class	4.346	0.756	228
	0.9	0.9	9.1	40.3	47.6	1.3	Department	4.184	0.840	1931
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	10	78	143	0	Class	4.576	0.576	231
	0.0	0.0	4.3	33.8	61.9	0.0	Department	4.375	0.743	1937
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	2	9	74	144	1	Class	4.557	0.657	230
	0.4	0.9	3.9	32.0	62.3	0.4	Department	4.343	0.767	1935
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	6	13	73	136	1	Class	4.457	0.790	230
	0.9	2.6	5.6	31.6	58.9	0.4	Department	4.281	0.878	1934
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	2	19	62	141	3	Class	4.465	0.826	228
	1.7	0.9	8.2	26.8	61.0	1.3	Department	4.435	0.761	1932
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	4	23	104	99	1	Class	4.296	0.718	230
	0.0	1.7	10.0	45.0	42.9	0.4	Department	4.223	0.777	1934
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	3	13	65	146	2	Class	4.528	0.741	229
	0.9	1.3	5.6	28.1	63.2	0.9	Department	4.377	0.770	1932
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	2	10	58	156	2	Class	4.581	0.737	229
	1.3	0.9	4.3	25.1	67.5	0.9	Department	4.388	0.779	1934
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	3	17	55	149	4	Class	4.515	0.800	227
	1.3	1.3	7.4	23.8	64.5	1.7	Department	4.303	0.816	1930
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	3	14	64	145	3	Class	4.522	0.748	228
	0.9	1.3	6.1	27.7	62.8	1.3	Department	4.318	0.743	1933
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	9	73	143	3	Class	4.561	0.637	228
	0.0	1.3	3.9	31.6	61.9	1.3	Department	4.347	0.760	1929
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 140						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 213						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	10	78	50	0	Class	4.250	0.680	140	
	0.7	0.7	7.1	55.7	35.7	0.0	Department	4.296	0.708	213	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	3	10	67	59	0	Class	4.286	0.752	140	
	0.7	2.1	7.1	47.9	42.1	0.0	Department	4.357	0.736	213	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	3	11	72	52	1	Class	4.230	0.745	139	
	0.7	2.1	7.9	51.4	37.1	0.7	Department	4.283	0.776	212	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	1	3	16	75	45	0	Class	4.143	0.755	140	
	0.7	2.1	11.4	53.6	32.1	0.0	Department	4.207	0.736	213	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	11	72	55	0	Class	4.279	0.700	140	
	0.7	0.7	7.9	51.4	39.3	0.0	Department	4.380	0.694	213	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	8	17	84	29	0	Class	3.929	0.828	140	
	1.4	5.7	12.1	60.0	20.7	0.0	Department	4.052	0.790	213	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	1	10	81	46	0	Class	4.200	0.722	140	
	1.4	0.7	7.1	57.9	32.9	0.0	Department	4.296	0.728	213	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	2	13	80	44	0	Class	4.171	0.709	140	
	0.7	1.4	9.3	57.1	31.4	0.0	Department	4.230	0.758	213	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	3	10	83	43	0	Class	4.171	0.709	140	
	0.7	2.1	7.1	59.3	30.7	0.0	Department	4.169	0.801	213	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	8	75	56	0	Class	4.321	0.649	140	
	0.7	0.0	5.7	53.6	40.0	0.0	Department	4.423	0.673	213	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	1	22	79	36	1	Class	4.065	0.714	139	
	0.7	0.7	15.7	56.4	25.7	0.7	Department	4.133	0.769	211	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	3	40	58	36	2	Class	3.906	0.836	138	
	0.7	2.1	28.6	41.4	25.7	1.4	Department	4.190	0.835	211	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	16	72	49	1	Class	4.201	0.724	139	
	0.7	0.7	11.4	51.4	35.0	0.7	Department	4.283	0.770	212	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	19	75	44	0	Class	4.143	0.725	140	
	0.7	0.7	13.6	53.6	31.4	0.0	Department	4.235	0.728	213	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	1	11	74	52	1	Class	4.259	0.695	139	
	0.7	0.7	7.9	52.9	37.1	0.7	Department	4.288	0.727	212	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	10	79	50	0	Class	4.264	0.653	140	
	0.7	0.0	7.1	56.4	35.7	0.0	Department	4.274	0.716	212	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 73						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 213						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	6	27	38	0	Class	4.384	0.757	73	
	0.0	2.7	8.2	37.0	52.1	0.0	Department	4.296	0.708	213	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	5	24	43	0	Class	4.493	0.690	73	
	0.0	1.4	6.8	32.9	58.9	0.0	Department	4.357	0.736	213	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	10	19	42	0	Class	4.384	0.827	73	
	0.0	2.7	13.7	26.0	57.5	0.0	Department	4.283	0.776	212	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	3	37	31	0	Class	4.329	0.688	73	
	0.0	2.7	4.1	50.7	42.5	0.0	Department	4.207	0.736	213	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	3	22	47	0	Class	4.575	0.644	73	
	0.0	1.4	4.1	30.1	64.4	0.0	Department	4.380	0.694	213	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	5	39	28	0	Class	4.288	0.656	73	
	0.0	1.4	6.8	53.4	38.4	0.0	Department	4.052	0.790	213	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	6	23	43	0	Class	4.479	0.709	73	
	0.0	1.4	8.2	31.5	58.9	0.0	Department	4.296	0.728	213	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	4	5	26	38	0	Class	4.342	0.837	73	
	0.0	5.5	6.8	35.6	52.1	0.0	Department	4.230	0.758	213	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	5	7	28	32	0	Class	4.164	0.958	73	
	1.4	6.8	9.6	38.4	43.8	0.0	Department	4.169	0.801	213	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	2	18	51	0	Class	4.616	0.680	73	
	0.0	2.7	2.7	24.7	69.9	0.0	Department	4.423	0.673	213	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	2	7	29	33	1	Class	4.264	0.856	72	
	1.4	2.7	9.6	39.7	45.2	1.4	Department	4.133	0.769	211	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	16	55	0	Class	4.726	0.507	73	
	0.0	0.0	2.7	21.9	75.3	0.0	Department	4.190	0.835	211	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	4	23	43	0	Class	4.438	0.833	73	
	1.4	2.7	5.5	31.5	58.9	0.0	Department	4.283	0.770	212	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	9	25	39	0	Class	4.411	0.704	73	
	0.0	0.0	12.3	34.2	53.4	0.0	Department	4.235	0.728	213	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	5	29	36	0	Class	4.342	0.786	73	
	0.0	4.1	6.8	39.7	49.3	0.0	Department	4.288	0.727	212	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	8	26	35	1	Class	4.292	0.830	72	
	0.0	4.1	11.0	35.6	47.9	1.4	Department	4.274	0.716	212	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: RANGE SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 127					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 156					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	10	53	62	1	Class	4.397	0.670	126
	0.0	0.8	7.9	41.7	48.8	0.8	Department	4.316	0.779	155
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	6	45	73	1	Class	4.500	0.666	126
	0.0	1.6	4.7	35.4	57.5	0.8	Department	4.439	0.774	155
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	6	47	71	1	Class	4.476	0.701	126
	0.8	0.8	4.7	37.0	55.9	0.8	Department	4.413	0.812	155
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
4. the quality of this course.	0	3	12	62	49	1	Class	4.246	0.723	126
	0.0	2.4	9.4	48.8	38.6	0.8	Department	4.213	0.798	155
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	7	43	75	1	Class	4.524	0.641	126
	0.0	0.8	5.5	33.9	59.1	0.8	Department	4.494	0.716	154
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	13	69	42	1	Class	4.198	0.681	126
	0.0	1.6	10.2	54.3	33.1	0.8	Department	4.209	0.675	153
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	4	76	44	1	Class	4.286	0.605	126
	0.0	1.6	3.1	59.8	34.6	0.8	Department	4.284	0.652	155
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	7	69	48	1	Class	4.294	0.646	126
	0.0	1.6	5.5	54.3	37.8	0.8	Department	4.299	0.678	154
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	9	56	58	1	Class	4.341	0.717	126
	0.0	2.4	7.1	44.1	45.7	0.8	Department	4.312	0.788	154
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	4	53	69	1	Class	4.516	0.562	126
	0.0	0.0	3.1	41.7	54.3	0.8	Department	4.497	0.618	155
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	14	74	36	1	Class	4.143	0.666	126
	0.0	1.6	11.0	58.3	28.3	0.8	Department	4.168	0.672	155
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	23	47	56	1	Class	4.262	0.750	126
	0.0	0.0	18.1	37.0	44.1	0.8	Department	4.271	0.732	155
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	4	14	55	53	1	Class	4.246	0.777	126
	0.0	3.1	11.0	43.3	41.7	0.8	Department	4.232	0.780	155
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	17	57	50	2	Class	4.248	0.715	125
	0.0	0.8	13.4	44.9	39.4	1.6	Department	4.260	0.730	154
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	4	69	52	1	Class	4.365	0.588	126
	0.0	0.8	3.1	54.3	40.9	0.8	Department	4.335	0.637	155
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	10	57	58	1	Class	4.365	0.665	126
	0.0	0.8	7.9	44.9	45.7	0.8	Department	4.335	0.705	155
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: RANGE SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 29					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 156					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	5	2	11	11	0	Class	3.966	1.085	29
	0.0	17.2	6.9	37.9	37.9	0.0	Department	4.316	0.779	155
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	6	5	16	0	Class	4.172	1.104	29
	3.4	3.4	20.7	17.2	55.2	0.0	Department	4.439	0.774	155
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	2	5	5	16	0	Class	4.138	1.156	29
	3.4	6.9	17.2	17.2	55.2	0.0	Department	4.413	0.812	155
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	4	3	9	13	0	Class	4.069	1.067	29
	0.0	13.8	10.3	31.0	44.8	0.0	Department	4.213	0.798	155
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	0	4	6	17	1	Class	4.357	0.989	28
	3.4	0.0	13.8	20.7	58.6	3.4	Department	4.494	0.716	154
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	14	10	2	Class	4.259	0.656	27
	0.0	0.0	10.3	48.3	34.5	6.9	Department	4.209	0.675	153
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	1	13	13	0	Class	4.276	0.841	29
	0.0	6.9	3.4	44.8	44.8	0.0	Department	4.284	0.652	155
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	6	7	15	1	Class	4.321	0.819	28
	0.0	0.0	20.7	24.1	51.7	3.4	Department	4.299	0.678	154
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	4	6	15	1	Class	4.179	1.056	28
	0.0	10.3	13.8	20.7	51.7	3.4	Department	4.312	0.788	154
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	3	8	17	0	Class	4.414	0.825	29
	0.0	3.4	10.3	27.6	58.6	0.0	Department	4.497	0.618	155
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	13	12	0	Class	4.276	0.702	29
	0.0	0.0	13.8	44.8	41.4	0.0	Department	4.168	0.672	155
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	14	12	0	Class	4.310	0.660	29
	0.0	0.0	10.3	48.3	41.4	0.0	Department	4.271	0.732	155
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	4	13	11	0	Class	4.172	0.805	29
	0.0	3.4	13.8	44.8	37.9	0.0	Department	4.232	0.780	155
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	3	11	14	0	Class	4.310	0.806	29
	0.0	3.4	10.3	37.9	48.3	0.0	Department	4.260	0.730	154
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	7	9	13	0	Class	4.207	0.819	29
	0.0	0.0	24.1	31.0	44.8	0.0	Department	4.335	0.637	155
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	2	13	12	0	Class	4.207	0.861	29
	0.0	6.9	6.9	44.8	41.4	0.0	Department	4.335	0.705	155
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: FOOD SAFETY							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 67					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 71					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	7	20	24	13	0	Class	3.552	1.063	67	
	4.5	10.4	29.9	35.8	19.4	0.0	Department	3.606	1.062	71	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	8	13	25	17	1	Class	3.682	1.125	66	
	4.5	11.9	19.4	37.3	25.4	1.5	Department	3.729	1.115	70	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	5	10	12	21	19	0	Class	3.582	1.257	67	
	7.5	14.9	17.9	31.3	28.4	0.0	Department	3.634	1.245	71	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	4	6	18	27	12	0	Class	3.552	1.077	67	
	6.0	9.0	26.9	40.3	17.9	0.0	Department	3.592	1.063	71	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	4	5	14	25	19	0	Class	3.746	1.133	67	
	6.0	7.5	20.9	37.3	28.4	0.0	Department	3.761	1.114	71	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	5	18	25	16	1	Class	3.727	1.016	66	
	3.0	7.5	26.9	37.3	23.9	1.5	Department	3.757	0.999	70	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	8	17	24	15	0	Class	3.597	1.102	67	
	4.5	11.9	25.4	35.8	22.4	0.0	Department	3.634	1.085	71	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	5	13	30	13	0	Class	3.582	1.157	67	
	9.0	7.5	19.4	44.8	19.4	0.0	Department	3.620	1.138	71	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	14	12	22	16	0	Class	3.507	1.198	67	
	4.5	20.9	17.9	32.8	23.9	0.0	Department	3.549	1.181	71	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	6	5	9	25	22	0	Class	3.776	1.241	67	
	9.0	7.5	13.4	37.3	32.8	0.0	Department	3.803	1.214	71	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	3	17	31	15	0	Class	3.836	0.881	67	
	1.5	4.5	25.4	46.3	22.4	0.0	Department	3.859	0.867	71	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	9	19	20	16	0	Class	3.552	1.132	67	
	4.5	13.4	28.4	29.9	23.9	0.0	Department	3.592	1.129	71	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	6	6	11	22	22	0	Class	3.716	1.265	67	
	9.0	9.0	16.4	32.8	32.8	0.0	Department	3.775	1.256	71	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	8	16	24	16	0	Class	3.627	1.112	67	
	4.5	11.9	23.9	35.8	23.9	0.0	Department	3.662	1.095	71	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	1	23	23	18	0	Class	3.806	0.957	67	
	3.0	1.5	34.3	34.3	26.9	0.0	Department	3.831	0.941	71	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	8	2	20	24	13	0	Class	3.478	1.198	67	
	11.9	3.0	29.9	35.8	19.4	0.0	Department	3.535	1.193	71	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: FOOD SAFETY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 4					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 71					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	2	2	0	Class	4.500	0.577	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	3.606	1.062	71
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	2	2	0	Class	4.500	0.577	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	3.729	1.115	70
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	2	2	0	Class	4.500	0.577	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	3.634	1.245	71
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	3	1	0	Class	4.250	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	Department	3.592	1.063	71
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	2	1	0	Class	4.000	0.816	4
	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	Department	3.761	1.114	71
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	3	1	0	Class	4.250	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	Department	3.757	0.999	70
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	1	0	Class	4.250	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	Department	3.634	1.085	71
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	3	1	0	Class	4.250	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	Department	3.620	1.138	71
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	3	1	0	Class	4.250	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	Department	3.549	1.181	71
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	3	1	0	Class	4.250	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	Department	3.803	1.214	71
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	3	1	0	Class	4.250	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	Department	3.859	0.867	71
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	1	2	0	Class	4.250	0.957	4
	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	Department	3.592	1.129	71
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	3.775	1.256	71
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	3	1	0	Class	4.250	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	Department	3.662	1.095	71
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	3	1	0	Class	4.250	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	Department	3.831	0.941	71
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	2	0	Class	4.500	0.577	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	3.535	1.193	71
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 173					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 370					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	2	23	146	0	Class	4.803	0.535	173
	0.6	0.6	1.2	13.3	84.4	0.0	Department	4.347	0.952	369
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	0	22	149	0	Class	4.832	0.495	173
	0.6	0.6	0.0	12.7	86.1	0.0	Department	4.347	0.975	369
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	4	38	129	0	Class	4.699	0.572	173
	0.0	1.2	2.3	22.0	74.6	0.0	Department	4.147	1.129	368
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	3	3	44	122	1	Class	4.657	0.606	172
	0.0	1.7	1.7	25.4	70.5	0.6	Department	4.369	0.806	366
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	6	35	129	1	Class	4.686	0.626	172
	0.6	0.6	3.5	20.2	74.6	0.6	Department	4.489	0.771	368
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	3	12	86	70	1	Class	4.285	0.722	172
	0.6	1.7	6.9	49.7	40.5	0.6	Department	4.144	0.784	368
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	44	126	0	Class	4.711	0.491	173
	0.0	0.0	1.7	25.4	72.8	0.0	Department	4.379	0.796	369
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	4	55	113	0	Class	4.618	0.565	173
	0.0	0.6	2.3	31.8	65.3	0.0	Department	4.350	0.780	369
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	5	47	119	0	Class	4.636	0.601	173
	0.0	1.2	2.9	27.2	68.8	0.0	Department	4.252	0.899	369
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	2	57	112	0	Class	4.613	0.576	173
	0.0	1.2	1.2	32.9	64.7	0.0	Department	4.425	0.723	369
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	12	81	77	0	Class	4.341	0.686	173
	0.0	1.7	6.9	46.8	44.5	0.0	Department	4.154	0.759	369
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	10	58	103	1	Class	4.529	0.635	172
	0.0	0.6	5.8	33.5	59.5	0.6	Department	4.418	0.722	368
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	5	57	109	0	Class	4.578	0.611	173
	0.0	1.2	2.9	32.9	63.0	0.0	Department	4.493	0.647	369
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	9	56	106	0	Class	4.538	0.651	173
	0.0	1.2	5.2	32.4	61.3	0.0	Department	4.318	0.825	368
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	7	61	103	0	Class	4.532	0.634	173
	0.0	1.2	4.0	35.3	59.5	0.0	Department	4.314	0.747	369
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	41	130	0	Class	4.740	0.466	173
	0.0	0.0	1.2	23.7	75.1	0.0	Department	4.439	0.735	369
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 151					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 370					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	17	40	47	44	1	Class	3.760	1.041	150
	1.3	11.3	26.5	31.1	29.1	0.7	Department	4.347	0.952	369
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	20	41	45	42	1	Class	3.700	1.060	150
	1.3	13.2	27.2	29.8	27.8	0.7	Department	4.347	0.975	369
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	9	32	38	30	40	2	Class	3.403	1.257	149
	6.0	21.2	25.2	19.9	26.5	1.3	Department	4.147	1.129	368
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
4. the quality of this course.	1	7	26	74	41	2	Class	3.987	0.838	149
	0.7	4.6	17.2	49.0	27.2	1.3	Department	4.369	0.806	366
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	5	17	62	65	1	Class	4.233	0.831	150
	0.7	3.3	11.3	41.1	43.0	0.7	Department	4.489	0.771	368
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	5	31	81	32	1	Class	3.920	0.782	150
	0.7	3.3	20.5	53.6	21.2	0.7	Department	4.144	0.784	368
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	9	35	66	39	1	Class	3.887	0.886	150
	0.7	6.0	23.2	43.7	25.8	0.7	Department	4.379	0.796	369
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	9	28	73	40	1	Class	3.960	0.834	150
	0.0	6.0	18.5	48.3	26.5	0.7	Department	4.350	0.780	369
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	16	36	63	33	1	Class	3.727	0.969	150
	1.3	10.6	23.8	41.7	21.9	0.7	Department	4.252	0.899	369
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	7	20	73	50	1	Class	4.107	0.804	150
	0.0	4.6	13.2	48.3	33.1	0.7	Department	4.425	0.723	369
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	7	34	81	28	1	Class	3.867	0.766	150
	0.0	4.6	22.5	53.6	18.5	0.7	Department	4.154	0.759	369
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	3	29	57	61	1	Class	4.173	0.809	150
	0.0	2.0	19.2	37.7	40.4	0.7	Department	4.418	0.722	368
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	12	74	62	1	Class	4.307	0.675	150
	0.0	1.3	7.9	49.0	41.1	0.7	Department	4.493	0.647	369
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	5	42	54	46	2	Class	3.919	0.919	149
	1.3	3.3	27.8	35.8	30.5	1.3	Department	4.318	0.825	368
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	4	34	72	40	1	Class	3.987	0.777	150
	0.0	2.6	22.5	47.7	26.5	0.7	Department	4.314	0.747	369
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	9	23	73	45	1	Class	4.027	0.835	150
	0.0	6.0	15.2	48.3	29.8	0.7	Department	4.439	0.735	369
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction
College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600 & 700 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 46					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 370					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	0	5	7	33	0	Class	4.543	0.862	46
	2.2	0.0	10.9	15.2	71.7	0.0	Department	4.347	0.952	369
							College	4.254	0.904	9324
							University	4.158	0.934	78508
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	2	6	36	0	Class	4.630	0.853	46
	2.2	2.2	4.3	13.0	78.3	0.0	Department	4.347	0.975	369
							College	4.322	0.896	9315
							University	4.227	0.941	78485
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	7	6	32	0	Class	4.478	0.913	46
	2.2	0.0	15.2	13.0	69.6	0.0	Department	4.147	1.129	368
							College	4.209	0.982	9305
							University	4.158	0.978	78440
4. the quality of this course.	1	1	2	10	31	1	Class	4.533	0.869	45
	2.2	2.2	4.3	21.7	67.4	2.2	Department	4.369	0.806	366
							College	4.220	0.867	9310
							University	4.105	0.913	78401
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	1	10	33	0	Class	4.587	0.832	46
	2.2	2.2	2.2	21.7	71.7	0.0	Department	4.489	0.771	368
							College	4.389	0.830	9303
							University	4.259	0.894	78407
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	5	16	24	0	Class	4.348	0.849	46
	2.2	0.0	10.9	34.8	52.2	0.0	Department	4.144	0.784	368
							College	4.163	0.845	9300
							University	4.092	0.869	78386
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	8	36	0	Class	4.739	0.535	46
	0.0	0.0	4.3	17.4	78.3	0.0	Department	4.379	0.796	369
							College	4.336	0.795	9320
							University	4.233	0.847	77230
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	2	10	33	0	Class	4.609	0.774	46
	2.2	0.0	4.3	21.7	71.7	0.0	Department	4.350	0.780	369
							College	4.298	0.811	9319
							University	4.210	0.853	77221
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	4	11	30	0	Class	4.522	0.752	46
	0.0	2.2	8.7	23.9	65.2	0.0	Department	4.252	0.899	369
							College	4.202	0.925	9311
							University	4.128	0.947	77190
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	7	37	0	Class	4.761	0.524	46
	0.0	0.0	4.3	15.2	80.4	0.0	Department	4.425	0.723	369
							College	4.384	0.806	9314
							University	4.299	0.837	77168
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	3	19	23	0	Class	4.391	0.714	46
	0.0	2.2	6.5	41.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.154	0.759	369
							College	4.209	0.777	9306
							University	4.124	0.813	77125
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	9	37	0	Class	4.804	0.401	46
	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.6	80.4	0.0	Department	4.418	0.722	368
							College	4.289	0.817	9300
							University	4.211	0.843	77111
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	6	38	0	Class	4.783	0.513	46
	0.0	0.0	4.3	13.0	82.6	0.0	Department	4.493	0.647	369
							College	4.297	0.846	9314
							University	4.201	0.888	77132
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	8	37	0	Class	4.783	0.467	46
	0.0	0.0	2.2	17.4	80.4	0.0	Department	4.318	0.825	368
							College	4.237	0.861	9307
							University	4.138	0.910	77122
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	12	30	0	Class	4.565	0.655	46
	0.0	0.0	8.7	26.1	65.2	0.0	Department	4.314	0.747	369
							College	4.291	0.771	9307
							University	4.225	0.804	77136
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	12	32	0	Class	4.652	0.566	46
	0.0	0.0	4.3	26.1	69.6	0.0	Department	4.439	0.735	369
							College	4.321	0.800	9289
							University	4.196	0.869	77068

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 349						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 630						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
							Class	4.393	0.772	349	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	8	38	112	191	0	Department	4.281	0.856	627	
	0.0	2.3	10.9	32.1	54.7	0.0	College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
							Class	4.457	0.745	348	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	7	32	104	205	1	Department	4.327	0.843	626	
	0.0	2.0	9.2	29.8	58.7	0.3	College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
							Class	4.500	0.702	348	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	4	30	102	212	1	Department	4.348	0.841	626	
	0.0	1.1	8.6	29.2	60.7	0.3	College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
							Class	4.466	0.705	348	
4. the quality of this course.	0	5	28	115	200	1	Department	4.324	0.827	626	
	0.0	1.4	8.0	33.0	57.3	0.3	College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
							Class	4.441	0.727	349	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	5	34	112	198	0	Department	4.337	0.819	627	
	0.0	1.4	9.7	32.1	56.7	0.0	College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
							Class	4.427	0.651	349	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	3	22	147	177	0	Department	4.356	0.730	627	
	0.0	0.9	6.3	42.1	50.7	0.0	College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
Please rate:		SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.448	0.716	348	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	4	34	112	198	1	Department	4.362	0.787	624	
	0.0	1.1	9.7	32.1	56.7	0.3	College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
							Class	4.539	0.604	347	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	17	123	206	2	Department	4.425	0.719	624	
	0.0	0.3	4.9	35.2	59.0	0.6	College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
							Class	4.468	0.685	348	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	26	121	197	1	Department	4.256	0.892	625	
	0.0	1.1	7.4	34.7	56.4	0.3	College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
							Class	4.549	0.636	348	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	21	109	216	1	Department	4.440	0.751	625	
	0.0	0.6	6.0	31.2	61.9	0.3	College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
							Class	4.359	0.708	348	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	4	35	141	168	1	Department	4.342	0.712	625	
	0.0	1.1	10.0	40.4	48.1	0.3	College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
							Class	4.353	0.777	348	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	5	44	118	180	1	Department	4.328	0.812	625	
	0.3	1.4	12.6	33.8	51.6	0.3	College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
							Class	4.425	0.685	348	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	33	128	185	1	Department	4.402	0.710	625	
	0.0	0.6	9.5	36.7	53.0	0.3	College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
							Class	4.374	0.727	348	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	5	36	131	176	1	Department	4.342	0.752	625	
	0.0	1.4	10.3	37.5	50.4	0.3	College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
							Class	4.463	0.667	348	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	28	125	193	1	Department	4.427	0.683	623	
	0.0	0.6	8.0	35.8	55.3	0.3	College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
							Class	4.435	0.691	347	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	2	28	130	186	2	Department	4.397	0.718	624	
	0.3	0.6	8.0	37.2	53.3	0.6	College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 14JUL14 Using Data from Fall 2013 & Spring 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 281						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 630						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9393						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	18	32	109	116	3	Class	4.140	0.934	278	
	1.1	6.4	11.4	38.8	41.3	1.1	Department	4.281	0.856	627	
							College	4.254	0.904	9324	
							University	4.158	0.934	78508	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	14	34	106	120	3	Class	4.165	0.928	278	
	1.4	5.0	12.1	37.7	42.7	1.1	Department	4.327	0.843	626	
							College	4.322	0.896	9315	
							University	4.227	0.941	78485	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	5	15	33	103	122	3	Class	4.158	0.956	278	
	1.8	5.3	11.7	36.7	43.4	1.1	Department	4.348	0.841	626	
							College	4.209	0.982	9305	
							University	4.158	0.978	78440	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	7	8	36	113	114	3	Class	4.147	0.929	278	
	2.5	2.8	12.8	40.2	40.6	1.1	Department	4.324	0.827	626	
							College	4.220	0.867	9310	
							University	4.105	0.913	78401	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	4	11	34	104	125	3	Class	4.205	0.906	278	
	1.4	3.9	12.1	37.0	44.5	1.1	Department	4.337	0.819	627	
							College	4.389	0.830	9303	
							University	4.259	0.894	78407	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	9	25	119	123	3	Class	4.266	0.811	278	
	0.7	3.2	8.9	42.3	43.8	1.1	Department	4.356	0.730	627	
							College	4.163	0.845	9300	
							University	4.092	0.869	78386	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	9	30	107	127	5	Class	4.254	0.858	276	
	1.1	3.2	10.7	38.1	45.2	1.8	Department	4.362	0.787	624	
							College	4.336	0.795	9320	
							University	4.233	0.847	77230	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	13	26	108	130	4	Class	4.282	0.821	277	
	0.0	4.6	9.3	38.4	46.3	1.4	Department	4.425	0.719	624	
							College	4.298	0.811	9319	
							University	4.210	0.853	77221	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	5	28	37	102	105	4	Class	3.989	1.041	277	
	1.8	10.0	13.2	36.3	37.4	1.4	Department	4.256	0.892	625	
							College	4.202	0.925	9311	
							University	4.128	0.947	77190	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	8	24	105	136	4	Class	4.303	0.857	277	
	1.4	2.8	8.5	37.4	48.4	1.4	Department	4.440	0.751	625	
							College	4.384	0.806	9314	
							University	4.299	0.837	77168	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	1	26	125	123	4	Class	4.321	0.718	277	
	0.7	0.4	9.3	44.5	43.8	1.4	Department	4.342	0.712	625	
							College	4.209	0.777	9306	
							University	4.124	0.813	77125	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	12	18	111	133	4	Class	4.296	0.855	277	
	1.1	4.3	6.4	39.5	47.3	1.4	Department	4.328	0.812	625	
							College	4.289	0.817	9300	
							University	4.211	0.843	77111	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	6	19	114	137	4	Class	4.372	0.739	277	
	0.4	2.1	6.8	40.6	48.8	1.4	Department	4.402	0.710	625	
							College	4.297	0.846	9314	
							University	4.201	0.888	77132	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	10	25	113	129	4	Class	4.303	0.781	277	
	0.0	3.6	8.9	40.2	45.9	1.4	Department	4.342	0.752	625	
							College	4.237	0.861	9307	
							University	4.138	0.910	77122	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	2	23	114	135	6	Class	4.382	0.702	275	
	0.4	0.7	8.2	40.6	48.0	2.1	Department	4.427	0.683	623	
							College	4.291	0.771	9307	
							University	4.225	0.804	77136	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	5	25	111	135	4	Class	4.350	0.749	277	
	0.4	1.8	8.9	39.5	48.0	1.4	Department	4.397	0.718	624	
							College	4.321	0.800	9289	
							University	4.196	0.869	77068	