

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 53					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 227					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	5	9	21	13	4	Class	3.816	1.014	49	
							Department	3.949	0.968	217	
	1.9	9.4	17.0	39.6	24.5	7.5	College	4.225	0.890	5090	
							University	4.166	0.933	42419	

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	4	9	23	14	3	Class	3.940	0.890	50	
							Department	4.050	0.940	219	
	0.0	7.5	17.0	43.4	26.4	5.7	College	4.293	0.898	5091	
							University	4.232	0.944	42401	

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	10	10	20	8	3	Class	3.440	1.110	50	
							Department	3.903	1.032	216	
	3.8	18.9	18.9	37.7	15.1	5.7	College	4.222	0.949	5083	
							University	4.169	0.980	42380	

4. the quality of this course.	0	3	10	24	12	4	Class	3.918	0.838	49	
							Department	3.945	0.954	218	
	0.0	5.7	18.9	45.3	22.6	7.5	College	4.201	0.869	5076	
							University	4.115	0.903	42350	

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	8	21	21	3	Class	4.260	0.723	50	
							Department	4.201	0.799	219	
	0.0	0.0	15.1	39.6	39.6	5.7	College	4.343	0.818	5089	
							University	4.271	0.891	42361	

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	11	25	12	3	Class	3.940	0.793	50	
							Department	3.872	0.922	218	
	0.0	3.8	20.8	47.2	22.6	5.7	College	4.157	0.828	5084	
							University	4.119	0.864	42325	

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	7	6	22	15	3	Class	3.900	0.995	50	
							Department	4.101	0.853	218	
	0.0	13.2	11.3	41.5	28.3	5.7	College	4.297	0.800	5083	
							University	4.251	0.838	42176	

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	3	9	22	16	3	Class	4.020	0.869	50	
							Department	4.009	0.926	218	
	0.0	5.7	17.0	41.5	30.2	5.7	College	4.254	0.829	5082	
							University	4.232	0.843	42155	

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	7	21	17	5	Class	4.083	0.871	48	
							Department	3.907	0.940	216	
	0.0	5.7	13.2	39.6	32.1	9.4	College	4.168	0.930	5079	
							University	4.150	0.943	42155	

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	9	17	20	3	Class	4.040	1.009	50	
							Department	3.972	0.993	218	
	1.9	5.7	17.0	32.1	37.7	5.7	College	4.367	0.781	5080	
							University	4.313	0.833	42143	

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	8	28	14	3	Class	4.120	0.659	50	
							Department	3.950	0.876	218	
	0.0	0.0	15.1	52.8	26.4	5.7	College	4.193	0.761	5075	
							University	4.147	0.811	42134	

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	2	11	21	14	3	Class	3.860	1.010	50	
							Department	4.009	0.871	217	
	3.8	3.8	20.8	39.6	26.4	5.7	College	4.242	0.815	5071	
							University	4.223	0.841	42093	

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	1	9	24	12	4	Class	3.837	1.028	49	
							Department	3.641	1.190	217	
	5.7	1.9	17.0	45.3	22.6	7.5	College	4.226	0.862	5074	
							University	4.217	0.882	42138	

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	0	12	21	14	4	Class	3.918	0.954	49	
							Department	3.912	0.980	217	
	3.8	0.0	22.6	39.6	26.4	7.5	College	4.195	0.862	5073	
							University	4.158	0.908	42100	

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	12	23	12	4	Class	3.918	0.812	49	
							Department	4.028	0.857	216	
	0.0	3.8	22.6	43.4	22.6	7.5	College	4.292	0.760	5077	
							University	4.254	0.790	42140	

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	8	22	16	4	Class	4.041	0.865	49	
							Department	4.166	0.817	217	
	0.0	5.7	15.1	41.5	30.2	7.5	College	4.282	0.808	5074	
							University	4.216	0.865	42060	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 132					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 227					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	11	12	65	36	5	Class	3.945	0.970	127
	2.3	8.3	9.1	49.2	27.3	3.8	Department	3.949	0.968	217
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	6	19	54	45	4	Class	4.016	0.988	128
	3.0	4.5	14.4	40.9	34.1	3.0	Department	4.050	0.940	219
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	10	19	54	39	7	Class	3.928	1.001	125
	2.3	7.6	14.4	40.9	29.5	5.3	Department	3.903	1.032	216
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	6	7	17	64	34	4	Class	3.883	1.017	128
	4.5	5.3	12.9	48.5	25.8	3.0	Department	3.945	0.954	218
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	4	13	63	46	4	Class	4.148	0.843	128
	1.5	3.0	9.8	47.7	34.8	3.0	Department	4.201	0.799	219
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	8	20	69	25	5	Class	3.795	0.962	127
	3.8	6.1	15.2	52.3	18.9	3.8	Department	3.872	0.922	218
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	4	11	73	37	5	Class	4.094	0.801	127
	1.5	3.0	8.3	55.3	28.0	3.8	Department	4.101	0.853	218
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	7	18	63	35	5	Class	3.929	0.961	127
	3.0	5.3	13.6	47.7	26.5	3.8	Department	4.009	0.926	218
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	11	21	64	28	5	Class	3.811	0.957	127
	2.3	8.3	15.9	48.5	21.2	3.8	Department	3.907	0.940	216
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	7	15	67	34	5	Class	3.945	0.945	127
	3.0	5.3	11.4	50.8	25.8	3.8	Department	3.972	0.993	218
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	8	23	67	26	5	Class	3.827	0.909	127
	2.3	6.1	17.4	50.8	19.7	3.8	Department	3.950	0.876	218
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	6	20	64	36	5	Class	4.008	0.840	127
	0.8	4.5	15.2	48.5	27.3	3.8	Department	4.009	0.871	217
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	14	18	21	50	24	5	Class	3.409	1.256	127
	10.6	13.6	15.9	37.9	18.2	3.8	Department	3.641	1.190	217
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	5	9	20	63	30	5	Class	3.819	1.003	127
	3.8	6.8	15.2	47.7	22.7	3.8	Department	3.912	0.980	217
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	8	16	68	33	5	Class	3.961	0.886	127
	1.5	6.1	12.1	51.5	25.0	3.8	Department	4.028	0.857	216
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	2	14	64	45	5	Class	4.165	0.804	127
	1.5	1.5	10.6	48.5	34.1	3.8	Department	4.166	0.817	217
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 42					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 227					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	8	14	17	1	Class	4.122	0.900	41	
	0.0	4.8	19.0	33.3	40.5	2.4	Department	3.949	0.968	217	
							College	4.225	0.890	5090	
							University	4.166	0.933	42419	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	6	14	20	1	Class	4.293	0.814	41	
	0.0	2.4	14.3	33.3	47.6	2.4	Department	4.050	0.940	219	
							College	4.293	0.898	5091	
							University	4.232	0.944	42401	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	4	14	22	1	Class	4.390	0.771	41	
	0.0	2.4	9.5	33.3	52.4	2.4	Department	3.903	1.032	216	
							College	4.222	0.949	5083	
							University	4.169	0.980	42380	
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	6	16	17	1	Class	4.171	0.863	41	
	0.0	4.8	14.3	38.1	40.5	2.4	Department	3.945	0.954	218	
							College	4.201	0.869	5076	
							University	4.115	0.903	42350	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	4	18	18	1	Class	4.293	0.750	41	
	0.0	2.4	9.5	42.9	42.9	2.4	Department	4.201	0.799	219	
							College	4.343	0.818	5089	
							University	4.271	0.891	42361	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	3	8	15	15	1	Class	4.024	0.935	41	
	0.0	7.1	19.0	35.7	35.7	2.4	Department	3.872	0.922	218	
							College	4.157	0.828	5084	
							University	4.119	0.864	42325	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	7	12	22	1	Class	4.366	0.767	41	
	0.0	0.0	16.7	28.6	52.4	2.4	Department	4.101	0.853	218	
							College	4.297	0.800	5083	
							University	4.251	0.838	42176	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	8	12	20	1	Class	4.244	0.860	41	
	0.0	2.4	19.0	28.6	47.6	2.4	Department	4.009	0.926	218	
							College	4.254	0.829	5082	
							University	4.232	0.843	42155	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	6	17	14	1	Class	4.000	0.949	41	
	0.0	9.5	14.3	40.5	33.3	2.4	Department	3.907	0.940	216	
							College	4.168	0.930	5079	
							University	4.150	0.943	42155	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	4	8	10	18	1	Class	3.976	1.129	41	
	2.4	9.5	19.0	23.8	42.9	2.4	Department	3.972	0.993	218	
							College	4.367	0.781	5080	
							University	4.313	0.833	42143	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	7	13	18	1	Class	4.122	0.954	41	
	0.0	7.1	16.7	31.0	42.9	2.4	Department	3.950	0.876	218	
							College	4.193	0.761	5075	
							University	4.147	0.811	42134	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	8	16	16	2	Class	4.200	0.758	40	
	0.0	0.0	19.0	38.1	38.1	4.8	Department	4.009	0.871	217	
							College	4.242	0.815	5071	
							University	4.223	0.841	42093	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	5	16	17	1	Class	4.122	0.980	41	
	2.4	4.8	11.9	38.1	40.5	2.4	Department	3.641	1.190	217	
							College	4.226	0.862	5074	
							University	4.217	0.882	42138	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	7	13	19	1	Class	4.195	0.901	41	
	0.0	4.8	16.7	31.0	45.2	2.4	Department	3.912	0.980	217	
							College	4.195	0.862	5073	
							University	4.158	0.908	42100	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	6	13	21	2	Class	4.375	0.740	40	
	0.0	0.0	14.3	31.0	50.0	4.8	Department	4.028	0.857	216	
							College	4.292	0.760	5077	
							University	4.254	0.790	42140	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	5	15	20	1	Class	4.317	0.789	41	
	0.0	2.4	11.9	35.7	47.6	2.4	Department	4.166	0.817	217	
							College	4.282	0.808	5074	
							University	4.216	0.865	42060	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURE, GENERAL							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 124					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 124					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	6	3	12	47	56	0	Class	4.161	1.031	124
	4.8	2.4	9.7	37.9	45.2	0.0	Department	4.161	1.031	124
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	2	8	47	65	0	Class	4.379	0.812	124
	1.6	1.6	6.5	37.9	52.4	0.0	Department	4.379	0.812	124
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	3	9	43	68	0	Class	4.403	0.796	124
	0.8	2.4	7.3	34.7	54.8	0.0	Department	4.403	0.796	124
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	13	13	27	48	23	0	Class	3.444	1.212	124
	10.5	10.5	21.8	38.7	18.5	0.0	Department	3.444	1.212	124
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	13	58	51	0	Class	4.266	0.745	124
	0.8	0.8	10.5	46.8	41.1	0.0	Department	4.266	0.745	124
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	1	8	44	68	0	Class	4.395	0.844	124
	2.4	0.8	6.5	35.5	54.8	0.0	Department	4.395	0.844	124
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	14	60	48	0	Class	4.234	0.745	124
	0.8	0.8	11.3	48.4	38.7	0.0	Department	4.234	0.745	124
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	4	11	73	34	0	Class	4.073	0.798	124
	1.6	3.2	8.9	58.9	27.4	0.0	Department	4.073	0.798	124
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	6	8	67	42	0	Class	4.153	0.807	124
	0.8	4.8	6.5	54.0	33.9	0.0	Department	4.153	0.807	124
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	2	11	53	55	1	Class	4.276	0.823	123
	1.6	1.6	8.9	42.7	44.4	0.8	Department	4.276	0.823	123
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	6	8	65	45	0	Class	4.202	0.765	124
	0.0	4.8	6.5	52.4	36.3	0.0	Department	4.202	0.765	124
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	3	20	56	43	0	Class	4.089	0.865	124
	1.6	2.4	16.1	45.2	34.7	0.0	Department	4.089	0.865	124
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	6	20	66	31	0	Class	3.968	0.826	124
	0.8	4.8	16.1	53.2	25.0	0.0	Department	3.968	0.826	124
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	3	24	62	34	0	Class	4.008	0.801	124
	0.8	2.4	19.4	50.0	27.4	0.0	Department	4.008	0.801	124
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	21	61	39	0	Class	4.097	0.759	124
	0.0	2.4	16.9	49.2	31.5	0.0	Department	4.097	0.759	124
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	4	9	59	51	0	Class	4.250	0.792	124
	0.8	3.2	7.3	47.6	41.1	0.0	Department	4.250	0.792	124
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 238					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 584					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	2	11	67	155	1	Class	4.565	0.702	237
	0.8	0.8	4.6	28.2	65.1	0.4	Department	4.412	0.771	580
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	4	4	58	170	1	Class	4.654	0.643	237
	0.4	1.7	1.7	24.4	71.4	0.4	Department	4.468	0.794	579
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	3	9	63	160	1	Class	4.586	0.706	237
	0.8	1.3	3.8	26.5	67.2	0.4	Department	4.426	0.793	578
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	2	2	6	72	155	1	Class	4.586	0.669	237
	0.8	0.8	2.5	30.3	65.1	0.4	Department	4.422	0.773	578
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	8	65	163	1	Class	4.646	0.568	237
	0.0	0.4	3.4	27.3	68.5	0.4	Department	4.482	0.689	579
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	7	72	156	2	Class	4.619	0.590	236
	0.4	0.0	2.9	30.3	65.5	0.8	Department	4.396	0.736	578
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	8	78	148	2	Class	4.576	0.604	236
	0.0	0.8	3.4	32.8	62.2	0.8	Department	4.442	0.703	575
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	2	7	85	140	3	Class	4.536	0.642	235
	0.4	0.8	2.9	35.7	58.8	1.3	Department	4.404	0.709	574
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	7	6	84	138	2	Class	4.487	0.729	236
	0.4	2.9	2.5	35.3	58.0	0.8	Department	4.350	0.802	574
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	7	80	147	2	Class	4.576	0.597	236
	0.0	0.8	2.9	33.6	61.8	0.8	Department	4.473	0.675	575
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	11	92	131	3	Class	4.498	0.630	235
	0.4	0.0	4.6	38.7	55.0	1.3	Department	4.368	0.721	573
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	4	26	84	119	2	Class	4.322	0.834	236
	1.3	1.7	10.9	35.3	50.0	0.8	Department	4.343	0.787	575
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	6	17	81	127	3	Class	4.366	0.859	235
	1.7	2.5	7.1	34.0	53.4	1.3	Department	4.274	0.906	574
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	2	15	86	130	3	Class	4.447	0.728	235
	0.8	0.8	6.3	36.1	54.6	1.3	Department	4.361	0.784	574
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	2	11	92	130	2	Class	4.475	0.668	236
	0.4	0.8	4.6	38.7	54.6	0.8	Department	4.417	0.703	575
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	2	11	81	140	3	Class	4.519	0.669	235
	0.4	0.8	4.6	34.0	58.8	1.3	Department	4.404	0.738	574
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 317						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 584						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	7	39	122	145	2	Class	4.273	0.811	315	
	0.6	2.2	12.3	38.5	45.7	0.6	Department	4.412	0.771	580	
							College	4.225	0.890	5090	
							University	4.166	0.933	42419	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	10	33	105	162	3	Class	4.309	0.878	314	
	1.3	3.2	10.4	33.1	51.1	0.9	Department	4.468	0.794	579	
							College	4.293	0.898	5091	
							University	4.232	0.944	42401	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	11	35	117	148	4	Class	4.272	0.843	313	
	0.6	3.5	11.0	36.9	46.7	1.3	Department	4.426	0.793	578	
							College	4.222	0.949	5083	
							University	4.169	0.980	42380	
4. the quality of this course.	2	11	31	123	146	4	Class	4.278	0.830	313	
	0.6	3.5	9.8	38.8	46.1	1.3	Department	4.422	0.773	578	
							College	4.201	0.869	5076	
							University	4.115	0.903	42350	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	7	24	130	152	3	Class	4.354	0.745	314	
	0.3	2.2	7.6	41.0	47.9	0.9	Department	4.482	0.689	579	
							College	4.343	0.818	5089	
							University	4.271	0.891	42361	
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	10	37	141	125	3	Class	4.207	0.794	314	
	0.3	3.2	11.7	44.5	39.4	0.9	Department	4.396	0.736	578	
							College	4.157	0.828	5084	
							University	4.119	0.864	42325	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	10	19	137	144	6	Class	4.328	0.759	311	
	0.3	3.2	6.0	43.2	45.4	1.9	Department	4.442	0.703	575	
							College	4.297	0.800	5083	
							University	4.251	0.838	42176	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	9	25	143	134	6	Class	4.293	0.737	311	
	0.0	2.8	7.9	45.1	42.3	1.9	Department	4.404	0.709	574	
							College	4.254	0.829	5082	
							University	4.232	0.843	42155	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	11	37	122	138	7	Class	4.235	0.843	310	
	0.6	3.5	11.7	38.5	43.5	2.2	Department	4.350	0.802	574	
							College	4.168	0.930	5079	
							University	4.150	0.943	42155	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	5	16	135	153	6	Class	4.389	0.718	311	
	0.6	1.6	5.0	42.6	48.3	1.9	Department	4.473	0.675	575	
							College	4.367	0.781	5080	
							University	4.313	0.833	42143	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	9	30	140	130	7	Class	4.255	0.773	310	
	0.3	2.8	9.5	44.2	41.0	2.2	Department	4.368	0.721	573	
							College	4.193	0.761	5075	
							University	4.147	0.811	42134	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	5	34	120	151	6	Class	4.334	0.760	311	
	0.3	1.6	10.7	37.9	47.6	1.9	Department	4.343	0.787	575	
							College	4.242	0.815	5071	
							University	4.223	0.841	42093	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	5	17	30	118	141	6	Class	4.199	0.936	311	
	1.6	5.4	9.5	37.2	44.5	1.9	Department	4.274	0.906	574	
							College	4.226	0.862	5074	
							University	4.217	0.882	42138	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	8	32	126	142	6	Class	4.273	0.823	311	
	0.9	2.5	10.1	39.7	44.8	1.9	Department	4.361	0.784	574	
							College	4.195	0.862	5073	
							University	4.158	0.908	42100	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	5	24	130	151	6	Class	4.367	0.723	311	
	0.3	1.6	7.6	41.0	47.6	1.9	Department	4.417	0.703	575	
							College	4.292	0.760	5077	
							University	4.254	0.790	42140	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	7	34	123	146	6	Class	4.305	0.779	311	
	0.3	2.2	10.7	38.8	46.1	1.9	Department	4.404	0.738	574	
							College	4.282	0.808	5074	
							University	4.216	0.865	42060	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 29					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 584					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	7	20	1	Class	4.679	0.548	28
	0.0	0.0	3.4	24.1	69.0	3.4	Department	4.412	0.771	580
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	7	20	1	Class	4.679	0.548	28
	0.0	0.0	3.4	24.1	69.0	3.4	Department	4.468	0.794	579
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	4	23	1	Class	4.786	0.499	28
	0.0	0.0	3.4	13.8	79.3	3.4	Department	4.426	0.793	578
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	6	20	1	Class	4.643	0.621	28
	0.0	0.0	6.9	20.7	69.0	3.4	Department	4.422	0.773	578
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	7	18	1	Class	4.536	0.693	28
	0.0	0.0	10.3	24.1	62.1	3.4	Department	4.482	0.689	579
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	8	19	1	Class	4.643	0.559	28
	0.0	0.0	3.4	27.6	65.5	3.4	Department	4.396	0.736	578
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	8	18	1	Class	4.571	0.634	28
	0.0	0.0	6.9	27.6	62.1	3.4	Department	4.442	0.703	575
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	5	19	1	Class	4.536	0.744	28
	0.0	0.0	13.8	17.2	65.5	3.4	Department	4.404	0.709	574
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	4	7	17	1	Class	4.464	0.744	28
	0.0	0.0	13.8	24.1	58.6	3.4	Department	4.350	0.802	574
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	3	7	18	1	Class	4.536	0.693	28
	0.0	0.0	10.3	24.1	62.1	3.4	Department	4.473	0.675	575
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	9	17	1	Class	4.536	0.637	28
	0.0	0.0	6.9	31.0	58.6	3.4	Department	4.368	0.721	573
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	7	19	1	Class	4.607	0.629	28
	0.0	0.0	6.9	24.1	65.5	3.4	Department	4.343	0.787	575
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	5	6	16	1	Class	4.321	0.905	28
	0.0	3.4	17.2	20.7	55.2	3.4	Department	4.274	0.906	574
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	3	5	20	1	Class	4.607	0.685	28
	0.0	0.0	10.3	17.2	69.0	3.4	Department	4.361	0.784	574
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	6	18	1	Class	4.500	0.745	28
	0.0	0.0	13.8	20.7	62.1	3.4	Department	4.417	0.703	575
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	7	18	1	Class	4.536	0.693	28
	0.0	0.0	10.3	24.1	62.1	3.4	Department	4.404	0.738	574
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 69					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 166					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	5	3	32	28	1	Class	4.221	0.844	68
	0.0	7.2	4.3	46.4	40.6	1.4	Department	3.600	1.224	165
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	3	7	25	33	1	Class	4.294	0.830	68
	0.0	4.3	10.1	36.2	47.8	1.4	Department	3.612	1.238	165
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	7	15	20	26	1	Class	3.956	1.014	68
	0.0	10.1	21.7	29.0	37.7	1.4	Department	3.400	1.273	165
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	13	30	25	1	Class	4.176	0.732	68
	0.0	0.0	18.8	43.5	36.2	1.4	Department	3.695	1.093	164
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	6	29	32	1	Class	4.353	0.707	68
	0.0	1.4	8.7	42.0	46.4	1.4	Department	3.945	0.989	165
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	7	36	24	1	Class	4.221	0.688	68
	0.0	1.4	10.1	52.2	34.8	1.4	Department	3.939	0.819	164
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	6	34	27	1	Class	4.279	0.688	68
	0.0	1.4	8.7	49.3	39.1	1.4	Department	3.745	1.046	165
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	8	31	27	1	Class	4.221	0.770	68
	0.0	2.9	11.6	44.9	39.1	1.4	Department	3.727	1.171	165
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	4	37	23	1	Class	4.162	0.784	68
	0.0	5.8	5.8	53.6	33.3	1.4	Department	3.636	1.220	165
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	2	36	29	1	Class	4.368	0.621	68
	0.0	1.4	2.9	52.2	42.0	1.4	Department	3.988	1.006	165
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	8	37	20	1	Class	4.088	0.768	68
	0.0	4.3	11.6	53.6	29.0	1.4	Department	3.958	0.735	165
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	6	29	31	1	Class	4.309	0.758	68
	0.0	2.9	8.7	42.0	44.9	1.4	Department	4.109	0.870	165
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	9	30	27	1	Class	4.206	0.783	68
	0.0	2.9	13.0	43.5	39.1	1.4	Department	3.903	1.037	165
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	9	32	24	2	Class	4.164	0.771	67
	0.0	2.9	13.0	46.4	34.8	2.9	Department	3.738	1.062	164
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	6	37	23	1	Class	4.191	0.718	68
	0.0	2.9	8.7	53.6	33.3	1.4	Department	3.885	0.984	165
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	5	34	26	1	Class	4.221	0.770	68
	0.0	4.3	7.2	49.3	37.7	1.4	Department	3.824	0.969	165
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 97					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 166					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	8	29	16	27	17	0	Class	3.165	1.264	97
	8.2	29.9	16.5	27.8	17.5	0.0	Department	3.600	1.224	165
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	8	29	19	24	17	0	Class	3.134	1.255	97
	8.2	29.9	19.6	24.7	17.5	0.0	Department	3.612	1.238	165
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	12	28	20	21	16	0	Class	3.010	1.295	97
	12.4	28.9	20.6	21.6	16.5	0.0	Department	3.400	1.273	165
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	7	15	30	25	19	1	Class	3.354	1.179	96
	7.2	15.5	30.9	25.8	19.6	1.0	Department	3.695	1.093	164
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	7	25	39	21	0	Class	3.660	1.060	97
	5.2	7.2	25.8	40.2	21.6	0.0	Department	3.945	0.989	165
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	5	29	44	17	1	Class	3.740	0.849	96
	1.0	5.2	29.9	45.4	17.5	1.0	Department	3.939	0.819	164
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	19	26	33	15	0	Class	3.371	1.093	97
	4.1	19.6	26.8	34.0	15.5	0.0	Department	3.745	1.046	165
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	11	15	16	36	19	0	Class	3.381	1.278	97
	11.3	15.5	16.5	37.1	19.6	0.0	Department	3.727	1.171	165
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	12	20	15	30	20	0	Class	3.268	1.335	97
	12.4	20.6	15.5	30.9	20.6	0.0	Department	3.636	1.220	165
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	6	7	22	35	27	0	Class	3.722	1.134	97
	6.2	7.2	22.7	36.1	27.8	0.0	Department	3.988	1.006	165
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	25	54	16	0	Class	3.866	0.702	97
	0.0	2.1	25.8	55.7	16.5	0.0	Department	3.958	0.735	165
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	5	21	39	31	0	Class	3.969	0.918	97
	1.0	5.2	21.6	40.2	32.0	0.0	Department	4.109	0.870	165
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	6	9	19	38	25	0	Class	3.691	1.140	97
	6.2	9.3	19.6	39.2	25.8	0.0	Department	3.903	1.037	165
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	14	26	33	18	0	Class	3.443	1.136	97
	6.2	14.4	26.8	34.0	18.6	0.0	Department	3.738	1.062	164
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	5	8	24	37	23	0	Class	3.670	1.087	97
	5.2	8.2	24.7	38.1	23.7	0.0	Department	3.885	0.984	165
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	13	29	36	17	0	Class	3.546	1.000	97
	2.1	13.4	29.9	37.1	17.5	0.0	Department	3.824	0.969	165
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 20					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 114					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	3	8	8	0	Class	4.150	0.875	20
	0.0	5.0	15.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.140	0.881	114
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	2	4	13	0	Class	4.450	0.887	20
	0.0	5.0	10.0	20.0	65.0	0.0	Department	4.263	0.893	114
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	1	6	12	0	Class	4.450	0.826	20
	0.0	5.0	5.0	30.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.184	0.888	114
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	1	9	9	0	Class	4.300	0.801	20
	0.0	5.0	5.0	45.0	45.0	0.0	Department	4.212	0.871	113
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	0	8	11	0	Class	4.450	0.759	20
	0.0	5.0	0.0	40.0	55.0	0.0	Department	4.211	0.877	114
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	5	7	7	0	Class	3.950	1.050	20
	5.0	0.0	25.0	35.0	35.0	0.0	Department	4.167	0.752	114
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	9	8	0	Class	4.250	0.716	20
	0.0	0.0	15.0	45.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.211	0.758	114
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	1	8	10	0	Class	4.350	0.813	20
	0.0	5.0	5.0	40.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.193	0.949	114
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	2	6	11	0	Class	4.350	0.875	20
	0.0	5.0	10.0	30.0	55.0	0.0	Department	4.018	1.105	114
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	3	6	11	0	Class	4.400	0.754	20
	0.0	0.0	15.0	30.0	55.0	0.0	Department	4.298	0.851	114
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	4	8	7	0	Class	4.050	0.887	20
	0.0	5.0	20.0	40.0	35.0	0.0	Department	4.193	0.763	114
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	12	7	0	Class	4.300	0.571	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	60.0	35.0	0.0	Department	4.158	0.826	114
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	2	10	7	0	Class	4.150	0.813	20
	0.0	5.0	10.0	50.0	35.0	0.0	Department	4.132	0.898	114
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	3	8	8	0	Class	4.150	0.875	20
	0.0	5.0	15.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.105	0.972	114
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	8	10	0	Class	4.400	0.681	20
	0.0	0.0	10.0	40.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.345	0.765	113
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	7	10	0	Class	4.350	0.745	20
	0.0	0.0	15.0	35.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.239	0.827	113
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 56					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 114					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	4	7	27	17	0	Class	3.982	0.944	56
							Department	4.140	0.881	114
	1.8	7.1	12.5	48.2	30.4	0.0	College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	2	5	27	20	0	Class	4.089	0.959	56
							Department	4.263	0.893	114
	3.6	3.6	8.9	48.2	35.7	0.0	College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	4	7	26	18	0	Class	4.000	0.953	56
							Department	4.184	0.888	114
	1.8	7.1	12.5	46.4	32.1	0.0	College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	2	3	4	27	19	1	Class	4.055	0.989	55
							Department	4.212	0.871	113
	3.6	5.4	7.1	48.2	33.9	1.8	College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	3	9	22	21	0	Class	4.054	0.961	56
							Department	4.211	0.877	114
	1.8	5.4	16.1	39.3	37.5	0.0	College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	9	32	15	0	Class	4.107	0.652	56
							Department	4.167	0.752	114
	0.0	0.0	16.1	57.1	26.8	0.0	College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	3	4	34	15	0	Class	4.089	0.745	56
							Department	4.211	0.758	114
	0.0	5.4	7.1	60.7	26.8	0.0	College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	4	5	26	19	0	Class	4.000	1.027	56
							Department	4.193	0.949	114
	3.6	7.1	8.9	46.4	33.9	0.0	College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	7	5	21	20	0	Class	3.857	1.197	56
							Department	4.018	1.105	114
	5.4	12.5	8.9	37.5	35.7	0.0	College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	4	3	27	22	0	Class	4.196	0.840	56
							Department	4.298	0.851	114
	0.0	7.1	5.4	48.2	39.3	0.0	College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	10	30	16	0	Class	4.107	0.679	56
							Department	4.193	0.763	114
	0.0	0.0	17.9	53.6	28.6	0.0	College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	3	10	30	13	0	Class	3.946	0.796	56
							Department	4.158	0.826	114
	0.0	5.4	17.9	53.6	23.2	0.0	College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	8	30	15	0	Class	4.000	0.853	56
							Department	4.132	0.898	114
	1.8	3.6	14.3	53.6	26.8	0.0	College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	4	10	25	15	0	Class	3.839	1.023	56
							Department	4.105	0.972	114
	3.6	7.1	17.9	44.6	26.8	0.0	College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	6	28	20	0	Class	4.179	0.765	56
							Department	4.345	0.765	113
	0.0	3.6	10.7	50.0	35.7	0.0	College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	0	6	32	16	0	Class	4.071	0.850	56
							Department	4.239	0.827	113
	3.6	0.0	10.7	57.1	28.6	0.0	College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 38					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 114					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	3	15	19	0	Class	4.368	0.751	38	
	0.0	2.6	7.9	39.5	50.0	0.0	Department	4.140	0.881	114	
							College	4.225	0.890	5090	
							University	4.166	0.933	42419	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	3	13	21	0	Class	4.421	0.758	38	
	0.0	2.6	7.9	34.2	55.3	0.0	Department	4.263	0.893	114	
							College	4.293	0.898	5091	
							University	4.232	0.944	42401	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	7	12	19	0	Class	4.316	0.775	38	
	0.0	0.0	18.4	31.6	50.0	0.0	Department	4.184	0.888	114	
							College	4.222	0.949	5083	
							University	4.169	0.980	42380	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	4	15	19	0	Class	4.395	0.679	38	
	0.0	0.0	10.5	39.5	50.0	0.0	Department	4.212	0.871	113	
							College	4.201	0.869	5076	
							University	4.115	0.903	42350	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	7	12	19	0	Class	4.316	0.775	38	
	0.0	0.0	18.4	31.6	50.0	0.0	Department	4.211	0.877	114	
							College	4.343	0.818	5089	
							University	4.271	0.891	42361	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	4	16	18	0	Class	4.368	0.675	38	
	0.0	0.0	10.5	42.1	47.4	0.0	Department	4.167	0.752	114	
							College	4.157	0.828	5084	
							University	4.119	0.864	42325	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	1	18	18	0	Class	4.368	0.786	38	
	2.6	0.0	2.6	47.4	47.4	0.0	Department	4.211	0.758	114	
							College	4.297	0.800	5083	
							University	4.251	0.838	42176	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	3	13	21	0	Class	4.395	0.855	38	
	2.6	0.0	7.9	34.2	55.3	0.0	Department	4.193	0.949	114	
							College	4.254	0.829	5082	
							University	4.232	0.843	42155	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	3	4	14	16	0	Class	4.079	1.050	38	
	2.6	7.9	10.5	36.8	42.1	0.0	Department	4.018	1.105	114	
							College	4.168	0.930	5079	
							University	4.150	0.943	42155	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	2	12	22	0	Class	4.395	0.916	38	
	2.6	2.6	5.3	31.6	57.9	0.0	Department	4.298	0.851	114	
							College	4.367	0.781	5080	
							University	4.313	0.833	42143	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	1	17	19	0	Class	4.395	0.790	38	
	2.6	0.0	2.6	44.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.193	0.763	114	
							College	4.193	0.761	5075	
							University	4.147	0.811	42134	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	5	9	23	0	Class	4.395	0.916	38	
	2.6	0.0	13.2	23.7	60.5	0.0	Department	4.158	0.826	114	
							College	4.242	0.815	5071	
							University	4.223	0.841	42093	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	8	6	23	0	Class	4.316	0.989	38	
	2.6	0.0	21.1	15.8	60.5	0.0	Department	4.132	0.898	114	
							College	4.226	0.862	5074	
							University	4.217	0.882	42138	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	2	12	23	0	Class	4.474	0.830	38	
	2.6	0.0	5.3	31.6	60.5	0.0	Department	4.105	0.972	114	
							College	4.195	0.862	5073	
							University	4.158	0.908	42100	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	0	12	24	1	Class	4.568	0.765	37	
	2.6	0.0	0.0	31.6	63.2	2.6	Department	4.345	0.765	113	
							College	4.292	0.760	5077	
							University	4.254	0.790	42140	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	1	15	20	1	Class	4.432	0.801	37	
	2.6	0.0	2.6	39.5	52.6	2.6	Department	4.239	0.827	113	
							College	4.282	0.808	5074	
							University	4.216	0.865	42060	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 587					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 789					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	7	24	73	233	239	11	Class	4.168	0.889	576
	1.2	4.1	12.4	39.7	40.7	1.9	Department	4.168	0.873	776
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	5	24	66	200	280	12	Class	4.263	0.883	575
	0.9	4.1	11.2	34.1	47.7	2.0	Department	4.270	0.854	775
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	24	73	223	253	12	Class	4.219	0.848	575
	0.3	4.1	12.4	38.0	43.1	2.0	Department	4.200	0.849	775
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	3	26	105	252	189	12	Class	4.040	0.860	575
	0.5	4.4	17.9	42.9	32.2	2.0	Department	4.048	0.875	774
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	7	26	75	205	263	11	Class	4.200	0.916	576
	1.2	4.4	12.8	34.9	44.8	1.9	Department	4.244	0.897	775
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	39	133	272	126	14	Class	3.836	0.864	573
	0.5	6.6	22.7	46.3	21.5	2.4	Department	3.843	0.911	772
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	22	55	232	261	13	Class	4.261	0.836	574
	0.7	3.7	9.4	39.5	44.5	2.2	Department	4.247	0.840	774
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	15	58	278	219	13	Class	4.207	0.780	574
	0.7	2.6	9.9	47.4	37.3	2.2	Department	4.224	0.770	774
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	27	76	246	219	15	Class	4.135	0.866	572
	0.7	4.6	12.9	41.9	37.3	2.6	Department	4.148	0.874	772
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	14	38	215	305	15	Class	4.418	0.724	572
	0.0	2.4	6.5	36.6	52.0	2.6	Department	4.395	0.754	772
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	24	112	285	151	15	Class	3.984	0.793	572
	0.0	4.1	19.1	48.6	25.7	2.6	Department	3.984	0.824	772
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	15	130	255	171	14	Class	4.009	0.814	573
	0.3	2.6	22.1	43.4	29.1	2.4	Department	4.096	0.799	773
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	8	25	111	245	184	14	Class	3.998	0.904	573
	1.4	4.3	18.9	41.7	31.3	2.4	Department	4.085	0.877	773
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	22	127	226	192	14	Class	4.005	0.898	573
	1.0	3.7	21.6	38.5	32.7	2.4	Department	4.063	0.877	773
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	14	73	260	224	14	Class	4.204	0.779	573
	0.3	2.4	12.4	44.3	38.2	2.4	Department	4.225	0.768	772
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	8	20	74	228	242	15	Class	4.182	0.887	572
	1.4	3.4	12.6	38.8	41.2	2.6	Department	4.207	0.871	772
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ECONOMICS								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 183						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 789						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	7	20	90	62	2	Class	4.122	0.834	181	
	1.1	3.8	10.9	49.2	33.9	1.1	Department	4.168	0.873	776	
							College	4.225	0.890	5090	
							University	4.166	0.933	42419	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	5	16	84	75	2	Class	4.254	0.776	181	
	0.5	2.7	8.7	45.9	41.0	1.1	Department	4.270	0.854	775	
							College	4.293	0.898	5091	
							University	4.232	0.944	42401	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	6	23	87	62	2	Class	4.099	0.863	181	
	1.6	3.3	12.6	47.5	33.9	1.1	Department	4.200	0.849	775	
							College	4.222	0.949	5083	
							University	4.169	0.980	42380	
4. the quality of this course.	4	6	34	76	60	3	Class	4.011	0.927	180	
	2.2	3.3	18.6	41.5	32.8	1.6	Department	4.048	0.875	774	
							College	4.201	0.869	5076	
							University	4.115	0.903	42350	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	4	15	64	94	3	Class	4.344	0.854	180	
	1.6	2.2	8.2	35.0	51.4	1.6	Department	4.244	0.897	775	
							College	4.343	0.818	5089	
							University	4.271	0.891	42361	
6. your understanding of the course content.	7	14	37	72	50	3	Class	3.800	1.054	180	
	3.8	7.7	20.2	39.3	27.3	1.6	Department	3.843	0.911	772	
							College	4.157	0.828	5084	
							University	4.119	0.864	42325	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	10	19	80	71	2	Class	4.160	0.864	181	
	0.5	5.5	10.4	43.7	38.8	1.1	Department	4.247	0.840	774	
							College	4.297	0.800	5083	
							University	4.251	0.838	42176	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	6	11	95	68	2	Class	4.232	0.754	181	
	0.5	3.3	6.0	51.9	37.2	1.1	Department	4.224	0.770	774	
							College	4.254	0.829	5082	
							University	4.232	0.843	42155	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	7	26	72	73	2	Class	4.133	0.915	181	
	1.6	3.8	14.2	39.3	39.9	1.1	Department	4.148	0.874	772	
							College	4.168	0.930	5079	
							University	4.150	0.943	42155	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	6	11	76	85	2	Class	4.293	0.855	181	
	1.6	3.3	6.0	41.5	46.4	1.1	Department	4.395	0.754	772	
							College	4.367	0.781	5080	
							University	4.313	0.833	42143	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	11	45	69	55	2	Class	3.917	0.918	181	
	0.5	6.0	24.6	37.7	30.1	1.1	Department	3.984	0.824	772	
							College	4.193	0.761	5075	
							University	4.147	0.811	42134	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	3	17	82	79	2	Class	4.309	0.710	181	
	0.0	1.6	9.3	44.8	43.2	1.1	Department	4.096	0.799	773	
							College	4.242	0.815	5071	
							University	4.223	0.841	42093	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	6	9	86	79	2	Class	4.304	0.761	181	
	0.5	3.3	4.9	47.0	43.2	1.1	Department	4.085	0.877	773	
							College	4.226	0.862	5074	
							University	4.217	0.882	42138	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	6	20	84	70	2	Class	4.193	0.804	181	
	0.5	3.3	10.9	45.9	38.3	1.1	Department	4.063	0.877	773	
							College	4.195	0.862	5073	
							University	4.158	0.908	42100	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	6	15	86	73	3	Class	4.256	0.748	180	
	0.0	3.3	8.2	47.0	39.9	1.6	Department	4.225	0.768	772	
							College	4.292	0.760	5077	
							University	4.254	0.790	42140	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	6	10	87	75	2	Class	4.243	0.834	181	
	1.6	3.3	5.5	47.5	41.0	1.1	Department	4.207	0.871	772	
							College	4.282	0.808	5074	
							University	4.216	0.865	42060	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
# Sheets Scanned: This Level 19							IB=In Between....(3)			
Your Dept 789							P= Poor....(2)			
Your College .. 5132							VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	6	12	0	Class	4.579	0.607	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	31.6	63.2	0.0	Department	4.168	0.873	776
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	5	13	0	Class	4.632	0.597	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	26.3	68.4	0.0	Department	4.270	0.854	775
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	6	12	0	Class	4.579	0.607	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	31.6	63.2	0.0	Department	4.200	0.849	775
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	5	13	0	Class	4.632	0.597	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	26.3	68.4	0.0	Department	4.048	0.875	774
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	7	12	0	Class	4.632	0.496	19
	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	63.2	0.0	Department	4.244	0.897	775
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	8	10	0	Class	4.474	0.612	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	42.1	52.6	0.0	Department	3.843	0.911	772
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	5	13	0	Class	4.632	0.597	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	26.3	68.4	0.0	Department	4.247	0.840	774
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	7	12	0	Class	4.632	0.496	19
	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	63.2	0.0	Department	4.224	0.770	774
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	6	13	0	Class	4.684	0.478	19
	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.6	68.4	0.0	Department	4.148	0.874	772
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	6	13	0	Class	4.684	0.478	19
	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.6	68.4	0.0	Department	4.395	0.754	772
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	7	12	0	Class	4.632	0.496	19
	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	63.2	0.0	Department	3.984	0.824	772
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	6	13	0	Class	4.684	0.478	19
	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.6	68.4	0.0	Department	4.096	0.799	773
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	7	12	0	Class	4.632	0.496	19
	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	63.2	0.0	Department	4.085	0.877	773
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	6	12	0	Class	4.579	0.607	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	31.6	63.2	0.0	Department	4.063	0.877	773
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	8	11	0	Class	4.579	0.507	19
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.1	57.9	0.0	Department	4.225	0.768	772
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	5	13	0	Class	4.632	0.597	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	26.3	68.4	0.0	Department	4.207	0.871	772
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
# Sheets Scanned: This Level 53							IB=In Between....(3)			
Your Dept 57							P= Poor....(2)			
Your College .. 5132							VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	6	34	13	0	Class	4.132	0.590	53
	0.0	0.0	11.3	64.2	24.5	0.0	Department	4.105	0.724	57
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	33	18	0	Class	4.302	0.540	53
	0.0	0.0	3.8	62.3	34.0	0.0	Department	4.281	0.620	57
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	4	26	23	0	Class	4.358	0.623	53
	0.0	0.0	7.5	49.1	43.4	0.0	Department	4.351	0.641	57
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	13	26	12	0	Class	3.906	0.791	53
	0.0	3.8	24.5	49.1	22.6	0.0	Department	3.912	0.830	57
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	27	24	0	Class	4.415	0.570	53
	0.0	0.0	3.8	50.9	45.3	0.0	Department	4.333	0.740	57
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	11	29	12	0	Class	3.981	0.720	53
	0.0	1.9	20.8	54.7	22.6	0.0	Department	3.982	0.719	57
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	4	28	21	0	Class	4.321	0.613	53
	0.0	0.0	7.5	52.8	39.6	0.0	Department	4.281	0.675	57
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	4	26	22	0	Class	4.302	0.696	53
	0.0	1.9	7.5	49.1	41.5	0.0	Department	4.281	0.750	57
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	5	31	17	0	Class	4.226	0.609	53
	0.0	0.0	9.4	58.5	32.1	0.0	Department	4.228	0.598	57
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	27	26	0	Class	4.491	0.505	53
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.9	49.1	0.0	Department	4.404	0.753	57
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	5	35	13	0	Class	4.151	0.568	53
	0.0	0.0	9.4	66.0	24.5	0.0	Department	4.089	0.695	56
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	13	25	15	0	Class	4.038	0.733	53
	0.0	0.0	24.5	47.2	28.3	0.0	Department	4.088	0.739	57
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	8	27	18	0	Class	4.189	0.681	53
	0.0	0.0	15.1	50.9	34.0	0.0	Department	4.105	0.838	57
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	5	34	13	0	Class	4.113	0.640	53
	0.0	1.9	9.4	64.2	24.5	0.0	Department	4.088	0.714	57
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	5	33	15	0	Class	4.189	0.590	53
	0.0	0.0	9.4	62.3	28.3	0.0	Department	4.140	0.718	57
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	5	31	17	0	Class	4.226	0.609	53
	0.0	0.0	9.4	58.5	32.1	0.0	Department	4.193	0.743	57
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 4					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 57					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	0	0	1	2	0	Class	3.750	1.893	4
	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.105	0.724	57
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	0	1	2	0	Class	4.000	1.414	4
	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.281	0.620	57
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	1	2	0	Class	4.250	0.957	4
	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.351	0.641	57
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	0	1	2	0	Class	4.000	1.414	4
	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	Department	3.912	0.830	57
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	0	1	1	1	0	Class	3.250	1.708	4
	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	Department	4.333	0.740	57
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	2	1	0	Class	4.000	0.816	4
	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	Department	3.982	0.719	57
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	0	2	1	0	Class	3.750	1.258	4
	0.0	25.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	Department	4.281	0.675	57
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	0	1	2	0	Class	4.000	1.414	4
	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.281	0.750	57
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	3	1	0	Class	4.250	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	Department	4.228	0.598	57
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	0	0	2	0	Class	3.250	2.062	4
	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.404	0.753	57
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	0	2	0	1	Class	3.000	1.732	3
	25.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	Department	4.089	0.695	56
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.088	0.739	57
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	0	1	1	0	Class	3.000	1.826	4
	25.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	Department	4.105	0.838	57
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	1	0	2	0	Class	3.750	1.500	4
	0.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.088	0.714	57
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	0	2	1	0	Class	3.500	1.732	4
	25.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	Department	4.140	0.718	57
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	0	1	2	0	Class	3.750	1.893	4
	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.193	0.743	57
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MICROBIOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 284					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 872					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.403	0.734	283
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	5	21	108	148	1	Department	4.240	0.860	866
	0.4	1.8	7.4	38.0	52.1	0.4	College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
							Class	4.486	0.745	284
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	3	22	85	172	0	Department	4.335	0.866	866
	0.7	1.1	7.7	29.9	60.6	0.0	College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
							Class	4.426	0.778	284
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	5	24	92	161	0	Department	4.259	0.891	865
	0.7	1.8	8.5	32.4	56.7	0.0	College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
							Class	4.373	0.704	284
4. the quality of this course.	2	2	19	126	135	0	Department	4.284	0.773	864
	0.7	0.7	6.7	44.4	47.5	0.0	College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
							Class	4.433	0.761	284
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	3	20	100	158	0	Department	4.339	0.818	866
	1.1	1.1	7.0	35.2	55.6	0.0	College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
							Class	4.313	0.755	284
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	5	23	126	128	0	Department	4.204	0.767	867
	0.7	1.8	8.1	44.4	45.1	0.0	College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.428	0.728	283
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	4	16	110	151	1	Department	4.309	0.805	865
	0.7	1.4	5.6	38.7	53.2	0.4	College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
							Class	4.461	0.721	282
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	4	14	104	158	2	Department	4.254	0.857	865
	0.7	1.4	4.9	36.6	55.6	0.7	College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
							Class	4.375	0.800	283
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	6	21	105	148	1	Department	4.112	1.024	866
	1.1	2.1	7.4	37.0	52.1	0.4	College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
							Class	4.479	0.686	282
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	22	96	162	2	Department	4.368	0.769	865
	0.4	0.4	7.7	33.8	57.0	0.7	College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
							Class	4.305	0.730	282
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	4	27	126	124	2	Department	4.225	0.740	863
	0.4	1.4	9.5	44.4	43.7	0.7	College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
							Class	4.322	0.762	283
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	1	36	109	135	1	Department	4.271	0.803	859
	0.7	0.4	12.7	38.4	47.5	0.4	College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
							Class	4.420	0.722	283
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	2	21	108	150	1	Department	4.303	0.809	864
	0.7	0.7	7.4	38.0	52.8	0.4	College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
							Class	4.365	0.719	282
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	2	28	113	138	2	Department	4.258	0.799	860
	0.4	0.7	9.9	39.8	48.6	0.7	College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
							Class	4.389	0.698	283
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	2	17	125	137	1	Department	4.359	0.711	864
	0.7	0.7	6.0	44.0	48.2	0.4	College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
							Class	4.363	0.768	281
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	4	20	115	139	3	Department	4.295	0.812	862
	1.1	1.4	7.0	40.5	48.9	1.1	College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: MICROBIOLOGY							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 564 Your Dept 872 Your College .. 5132								
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	10	20	77	222	230	5	Class	4.148	0.913	559
	1.8	3.5	13.7	39.4	40.8	0.9	Department	4.240	0.860	866
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	8	24	63	191	272	6	Class	4.246	0.918	558
	1.4	4.3	11.2	33.9	48.2	1.1	Department	4.335	0.866	866
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	7	29	79	195	247	7	Class	4.160	0.940	557
	1.2	5.1	14.0	34.6	43.8	1.2	Department	4.259	0.891	865
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	1	20	65	235	235	8	Class	4.228	0.805	556
	0.2	3.5	11.5	41.7	41.7	1.4	Department	4.284	0.773	864
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	19	56	213	265	6	Class	4.280	0.846	558
	0.9	3.4	9.9	37.8	47.0	1.1	Department	4.339	0.818	866
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	11	87	266	193	5	Class	4.140	0.770	559
	0.4	2.0	15.4	47.2	34.2	0.9	Department	4.204	0.767	867
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	17	64	224	248	6	Class	4.242	0.839	558
	0.9	3.0	11.3	39.7	44.0	1.1	Department	4.309	0.805	865
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	7	29	61	239	223	5	Class	4.148	0.899	559
	1.2	5.1	10.8	42.4	39.5	0.9	Department	4.254	0.857	865
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	21	48	79	194	217	5	Class	3.962	1.101	559
	3.7	8.5	14.0	34.4	38.5	0.9	Department	4.112	1.024	866
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	12	54	221	267	5	Class	4.311	0.803	559
	0.9	2.1	9.6	39.2	47.3	0.9	Department	4.368	0.769	865
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	13	73	271	200	7	Class	4.181	0.743	557
	0.0	2.3	12.9	48.0	35.5	1.2	Department	4.225	0.740	863
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	9	89	204	246	12	Class	4.230	0.828	552
	0.7	1.6	15.8	36.2	43.6	2.1	Department	4.271	0.803	859
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	21	71	211	252	7	Class	4.239	0.841	557
	0.4	3.7	12.6	37.4	44.7	1.2	Department	4.303	0.809	864
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	15	85	219	233	9	Class	4.196	0.832	555
	0.5	2.7	15.1	38.8	41.3	1.6	Department	4.258	0.799	860
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	8	50	239	259	7	Class	4.341	0.716	557
	0.2	1.4	8.9	42.4	45.9	1.2	Department	4.359	0.711	864
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	5	14	62	231	245	7	Class	4.251	0.819	557
	0.9	2.5	11.0	41.0	43.4	1.2	Department	4.295	0.812	862
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MICROBIOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 24					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 872					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	9	13	0	Class	4.458	0.658	24
	0.0	0.0	8.3	37.5	54.2	0.0	Department	4.240	0.860	866
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	5	17	0	Class	4.625	0.647	24
	0.0	0.0	8.3	20.8	70.8	0.0	Department	4.335	0.866	866
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	8	15	0	Class	4.583	0.584	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	33.3	62.5	0.0	Department	4.259	0.891	865
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380

4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	8	14	0	Class	4.500	0.659	24
	0.0	0.0	8.3	33.3	58.3	0.0	Department	4.284	0.773	864
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	5	17	0	Class	4.625	0.647	24
	0.0	0.0	8.3	20.8	70.8	0.0	Department	4.339	0.818	866
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	10	12	0	Class	4.417	0.654	24
	0.0	0.0	8.3	41.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.204	0.767	867
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	7	14	0	Class	4.458	0.721	24
	0.0	0.0	12.5	29.2	58.3	0.0	Department	4.309	0.805	865
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	4	6	13	0	Class	4.292	0.908	24
	0.0	4.2	16.7	25.0	54.2	0.0	Department	4.254	0.857	865
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	1	7	15	0	Class	4.500	0.780	24
	0.0	4.2	4.2	29.2	62.5	0.0	Department	4.112	1.024	866
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	10	12	0	Class	4.375	0.770	24
	0.0	4.2	4.2	41.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.368	0.769	865
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	9	11	0	Class	4.292	0.751	24
	0.0	0.0	16.7	37.5	45.8	0.0	Department	4.225	0.740	863
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	7	16	0	Class	4.625	0.576	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	29.2	66.7	0.0	Department	4.271	0.803	859
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	3	5	15	0	Class	4.417	0.881	24
	0.0	4.2	12.5	20.8	62.5	0.0	Department	4.303	0.809	864
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	4	5	14	1	Class	4.435	0.788	23
	0.0	0.0	16.7	20.8	58.3	4.2	Department	4.258	0.799	860
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	6	14	0	Class	4.417	0.776	24
	0.0	0.0	16.7	25.0	58.3	0.0	Department	4.359	0.711	864
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	1	3	18	0	Class	4.500	1.063	24
	4.2	4.2	4.2	12.5	75.0	0.0	Department	4.295	0.812	862
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 84						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 169						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	3	29	50	0	Class	4.500	0.736	84	
							Department	4.473	0.699	169	
	1.2	1.2	3.6	34.5	59.5	0.0	College	4.225	0.890	5090	
							University	4.166	0.933	42419	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	0	4	31	48	0	Class	4.488	0.703	84	
							Department	4.503	0.665	169	
	1.2	0.0	4.8	36.9	57.1	0.0	College	4.293	0.898	5091	
							University	4.232	0.944	42401	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	3	29	51	0	Class	4.536	0.685	84	
							Department	4.512	0.701	168	
	1.2	0.0	3.6	34.5	60.7	0.0	College	4.222	0.949	5083	
							University	4.169	0.980	42380	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	1	1	5	35	42	0	Class	4.381	0.759	84	
							Department	4.314	0.733	169	
	1.2	1.2	6.0	41.7	50.0	0.0	College	4.201	0.869	5076	
							University	4.115	0.903	42350	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	2	2	27	49	1	Class	4.410	0.938	83	
							Department	4.482	0.774	168	
	3.6	2.4	2.4	32.1	58.3	1.2	College	4.343	0.818	5089	
							University	4.271	0.891	42361	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	4	30	49	0	Class	4.500	0.703	84	
							Department	4.491	0.656	169	
	1.2	0.0	4.8	35.7	58.3	0.0	College	4.157	0.828	5084	
							University	4.119	0.864	42325	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	37	45	0	Class	4.512	0.549	84	
							Department	4.479	0.598	169	
	0.0	0.0	2.4	44.0	53.6	0.0	College	4.297	0.800	5083	
							University	4.251	0.838	42176	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	38	42	0	Class	4.452	0.589	84	
							Department	4.391	0.674	169	
	0.0	0.0	4.8	45.2	50.0	0.0	College	4.254	0.829	5082	
							University	4.232	0.843	42155	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	4	35	45	0	Class	4.488	0.591	84	
							Department	4.396	0.657	169	
	0.0	0.0	4.8	41.7	53.6	0.0	College	4.168	0.930	5079	
							University	4.150	0.943	42155	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	3	29	50	0	Class	4.512	0.685	84	
							Department	4.515	0.708	169	
	0.0	2.4	3.6	34.5	59.5	0.0	College	4.367	0.781	5080	
							University	4.313	0.833	42143	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	36	44	0	Class	4.476	0.591	84	
							Department	4.473	0.588	169	
	0.0	0.0	4.8	42.9	52.4	0.0	College	4.193	0.761	5075	
							University	4.147	0.811	42134	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	11	34	37	1	Class	4.289	0.741	83	
							Department	4.423	0.713	168	
	0.0	1.2	13.1	40.5	44.0	1.2	College	4.242	0.815	5071	
							University	4.223	0.841	42093	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	8	36	38	0	Class	4.310	0.744	84	
							Department	4.385	0.715	169	
	0.0	2.4	9.5	42.9	45.2	0.0	College	4.226	0.862	5074	
							University	4.217	0.882	42138	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	10	36	38	0	Class	4.333	0.683	84	
							Department	4.349	0.665	169	
	0.0	0.0	11.9	42.9	45.2	0.0	College	4.195	0.862	5073	
							University	4.158	0.908	42100	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	14	34	36	0	Class	4.262	0.730	84	
							Department	4.296	0.695	169	
	0.0	0.0	16.7	40.5	42.9	0.0	College	4.292	0.760	5077	
							University	4.254	0.790	42140	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	7	36	41	0	Class	4.405	0.642	84	
							Department	4.402	0.701	169	
	0.0	0.0	8.3	42.9	48.8	0.0	College	4.282	0.808	5074	
							University	4.216	0.865	42060	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 52					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 169					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	22	28	0	Class	4.500	0.577	52
	0.0	0.0	3.8	42.3	53.8	0.0	Department	4.473	0.699	169
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	21	30	0	Class	4.558	0.539	52
	0.0	0.0	1.9	40.4	57.7	0.0	Department	4.503	0.665	169
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	2	14	34	1	Class	4.588	0.669	51
	0.0	1.9	3.8	26.9	65.4	1.9	Department	4.512	0.701	168
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	5	25	21	0	Class	4.269	0.717	52
	0.0	1.9	9.6	48.1	40.4	0.0	Department	4.314	0.733	169
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	23	29	0	Class	4.558	0.502	52
	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.2	55.8	0.0	Department	4.482	0.774	168
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	1	24	26	0	Class	4.442	0.639	52
	0.0	1.9	1.9	46.2	50.0	0.0	Department	4.491	0.656	169
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	1	22	28	0	Class	4.481	0.641	52
	0.0	1.9	1.9	42.3	53.8	0.0	Department	4.479	0.598	169
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	3	22	25	0	Class	4.346	0.764	52
	0.0	3.8	5.8	42.3	48.1	0.0	Department	4.391	0.674	169
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	4	23	24	0	Class	4.346	0.711	52
	0.0	1.9	7.7	44.2	46.2	0.0	Department	4.396	0.657	169
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	0	19	32	0	Class	4.558	0.698	52
	1.9	0.0	0.0	36.5	61.5	0.0	Department	4.515	0.708	169
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	0	28	23	0	Class	4.404	0.603	52
	0.0	1.9	0.0	53.8	44.2	0.0	Department	4.473	0.588	169
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	2	17	32	0	Class	4.538	0.670	52
	0.0	1.9	3.8	32.7	61.5	0.0	Department	4.423	0.713	168
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	1	23	27	0	Class	4.462	0.641	52
	0.0	1.9	1.9	44.2	51.9	0.0	Department	4.385	0.715	169
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	24	26	0	Class	4.462	0.576	52
	0.0	0.0	3.8	46.2	50.0	0.0	Department	4.349	0.665	169
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	22	26	0	Class	4.423	0.637	52
	0.0	0.0	7.7	42.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.296	0.695	169
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	3	20	27	0	Class	4.365	0.841	52
	1.9	1.9	5.8	38.5	51.9	0.0	Department	4.402	0.701	169
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 33					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 169					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	3	12	17	0	Class	4.364	0.783	33
	0.0	3.0	9.1	36.4	51.5	0.0	Department	4.473	0.699	169
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	2	11	19	0	Class	4.455	0.754	33
	0.0	3.0	6.1	33.3	57.6	0.0	Department	4.503	0.665	169
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	0	18	14	0	Class	4.333	0.777	33
	3.0	0.0	0.0	54.5	42.4	0.0	Department	4.512	0.701	168
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	2	19	11	0	Class	4.212	0.696	33
	0.0	3.0	6.1	57.6	33.3	0.0	Department	4.314	0.733	169
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	0	12	20	0	Class	4.545	0.666	33
	0.0	3.0	0.0	36.4	60.6	0.0	Department	4.482	0.774	168
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	13	19	0	Class	4.545	0.564	33
	0.0	0.0	3.0	39.4	57.6	0.0	Department	4.491	0.656	169
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	14	16	0	Class	4.394	0.659	33
	0.0	0.0	9.1	42.4	48.5	0.0	Department	4.479	0.598	169
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	16	14	0	Class	4.303	0.728	33
	0.0	3.0	6.1	48.5	42.4	0.0	Department	4.391	0.674	169
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	5	15	13	0	Class	4.242	0.708	33
	0.0	0.0	15.2	45.5	39.4	0.0	Department	4.396	0.657	169
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	0	14	18	0	Class	4.455	0.794	33
	3.0	0.0	0.0	42.4	54.5	0.0	Department	4.515	0.708	169
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	12	20	0	Class	4.576	0.561	33
	0.0	0.0	3.0	36.4	60.6	0.0	Department	4.473	0.588	169
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	0	11	21	0	Class	4.576	0.663	33
	0.0	3.0	0.0	33.3	63.6	0.0	Department	4.423	0.713	168
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	2	11	19	0	Class	4.455	0.754	33
	0.0	3.0	6.1	33.3	57.6	0.0	Department	4.385	0.715	169
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	3	17	12	0	Class	4.212	0.740	33
	0.0	3.0	9.1	51.5	36.4	0.0	Department	4.349	0.665	169
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	5	17	11	0	Class	4.182	0.683	33
	0.0	0.0	15.2	51.5	33.3	0.0	Department	4.296	0.695	169
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	14	17	0	Class	4.455	0.617	33
	0.0	0.0	6.1	42.4	51.5	0.0	Department	4.402	0.701	169
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 303					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1084					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	5	3	24	96	175	0	Class	4.429	0.814	303
	1.7	1.0	7.9	31.7	57.8	0.0	Department	4.197	0.944	1079
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	5	4	27	93	174	0	Class	4.409	0.836	303
	1.7	1.3	8.9	30.7	57.4	0.0	Department	4.195	1.012	1080
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	7	17	42	82	155	0	Class	4.191	1.024	303
	2.3	5.6	13.9	27.1	51.2	0.0	Department	4.073	1.119	1078
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	4	1	31	103	164	0	Class	4.393	0.790	303
	1.3	0.3	10.2	34.0	54.1	0.0	Department	4.236	0.860	1073
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	16	86	199	0	Class	4.587	0.639	303
	0.3	0.3	5.3	28.4	65.7	0.0	Department	4.397	0.809	1078
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	8	39	122	133	0	Class	4.248	0.802	303
	0.3	2.6	12.9	40.3	43.9	0.0	Department	4.139	0.847	1079
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	3	22	108	168	0	Class	4.442	0.730	303
	0.7	1.0	7.3	35.6	55.4	0.0	Department	4.270	0.834	1080
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	7	21	110	164	0	Class	4.416	0.749	303
	0.3	2.3	6.9	36.3	54.1	0.0	Department	4.246	0.853	1080
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	8	31	98	162	0	Class	4.340	0.865	303
	1.3	2.6	10.2	32.3	53.5	0.0	Department	4.180	0.944	1079
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	1	20	101	179	0	Class	4.498	0.695	303
	0.7	0.3	6.6	33.3	59.1	0.0	Department	4.373	0.799	1079
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	2	28	144	128	0	Class	4.307	0.692	303
	0.3	0.7	9.2	47.5	42.2	0.0	Department	4.227	0.723	1080
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	2	36	99	164	0	Class	4.389	0.772	303
	0.7	0.7	11.9	32.7	54.1	0.0	Department	4.253	0.866	1077
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	2	19	115	162	2	Class	4.432	0.730	301
	1.0	0.7	6.3	38.0	53.5	0.7	Department	4.320	0.804	1075
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	5	36	110	150	0	Class	4.323	0.798	303
	0.7	1.7	11.9	36.3	49.5	0.0	Department	4.175	0.932	1078
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	3	29	122	148	0	Class	4.363	0.723	303
	0.3	1.0	9.6	40.3	48.8	0.0	Department	4.268	0.783	1080
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	6	19	120	156	0	Class	4.393	0.751	303
	0.7	2.0	6.3	39.6	51.5	0.0	Department	4.275	0.803	1079
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 619					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1084					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	16	47	78	239	236	3	Class	4.026	1.025	616
	2.6	7.6	12.6	38.6	38.1	0.5	Department	4.197	0.944	1079
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	20	61	73	203	260	2	Class	4.008	1.109	617
	3.2	9.9	11.8	32.8	42.0	0.3	Department	4.195	1.012	1080
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	37	59	84	185	251	3	Class	3.899	1.208	616
	6.0	9.5	13.6	29.9	40.5	0.5	Department	4.073	1.119	1078
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	6	36	77	266	229	5	Class	4.101	0.901	614
	1.0	5.8	12.4	43.0	37.0	0.8	Department	4.236	0.860	1073
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	9	23	57	221	306	3	Class	4.286	0.889	616
	1.5	3.7	9.2	35.7	49.4	0.5	Department	4.397	0.809	1078
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	12	23	94	285	203	2	Class	4.044	0.897	617
	1.9	3.7	15.2	46.0	32.8	0.3	Department	4.139	0.847	1079
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	10	28	73	267	239	2	Class	4.130	0.904	617
	1.6	4.5	11.8	43.1	38.6	0.3	Department	4.270	0.834	1080
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	11	34	63	278	231	2	Class	4.109	0.920	617
	1.8	5.5	10.2	44.9	37.3	0.3	Department	4.246	0.853	1080
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	16	44	76	245	236	2	Class	4.039	1.012	617
	2.6	7.1	12.3	39.6	38.1	0.3	Department	4.180	0.944	1079
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	7	21	47	246	296	2	Class	4.301	0.839	617
	1.1	3.4	7.6	39.7	47.8	0.3	Department	4.373	0.799	1079
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	15	82	303	216	2	Class	4.164	0.754	617
	0.2	2.4	13.2	48.9	34.9	0.3	Department	4.227	0.723	1080
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	12	16	119	210	257	5	Class	4.114	0.939	614
	1.9	2.6	19.2	33.9	41.5	0.8	Department	4.253	0.866	1077
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	6	14	81	239	274	5	Class	4.239	0.837	614
	1.0	2.3	13.1	38.6	44.3	0.8	Department	4.320	0.804	1075
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	20	35	85	242	233	4	Class	4.029	1.019	615
	3.2	5.7	13.7	39.1	37.6	0.6	Department	4.175	0.932	1078
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	7	17	75	289	229	2	Class	4.160	0.825	617
	1.1	2.7	12.1	46.7	37.0	0.3	Department	4.268	0.783	1080
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	24	65	282	242	2	Class	4.190	0.823	617
	0.6	3.9	10.5	45.6	39.1	0.3	Department	4.275	0.803	1079
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 162					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1084					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	11	65	82	2	Class	4.419	0.677	160
	0.0	1.2	6.8	40.1	50.6	1.2	Department	4.197	0.944	1079
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	4	5	52	98	2	Class	4.513	0.735	160
	0.6	2.5	3.1	32.1	60.5	1.2	Department	4.195	1.012	1080
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	3	11	45	100	3	Class	4.522	0.710	159
	0.0	1.9	6.8	27.8	61.7	1.9	Department	4.073	1.119	1078
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	15	48	91	6	Class	4.462	0.722	156
	0.0	1.2	9.3	29.6	56.2	3.7	Department	4.236	0.860	1073
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	12	55	90	3	Class	4.465	0.692	159
	0.0	1.2	7.4	34.0	55.6	1.9	Department	4.397	0.809	1078
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	12	81	64	3	Class	4.302	0.663	159
	0.0	1.2	7.4	50.0	39.5	1.9	Department	4.139	0.847	1079
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	6	67	86	2	Class	4.488	0.604	160
	0.0	0.6	3.7	41.4	53.1	1.2	Department	4.270	0.834	1080
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	10	64	85	2	Class	4.456	0.643	160
	0.0	0.6	6.2	39.5	52.5	1.2	Department	4.246	0.853	1080
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	9	65	82	3	Class	4.421	0.688	159
	0.0	1.9	5.6	40.1	50.6	1.9	Department	4.180	0.944	1079
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	6	13	50	90	3	Class	4.409	0.797	159
	0.0	3.7	8.0	30.9	55.6	1.9	Department	4.373	0.799	1079
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	11	84	64	2	Class	4.319	0.628	160
	0.0	0.6	6.8	51.9	39.5	1.2	Department	4.227	0.723	1080
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	9	58	93	2	Class	4.525	0.603	160
	0.0	0.0	5.6	35.8	57.4	1.2	Department	4.253	0.866	1077
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	5	13	52	90	2	Class	4.419	0.773	160
	0.0	3.1	8.0	32.1	55.6	1.2	Department	4.320	0.804	1075
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	11	59	88	2	Class	4.456	0.681	160
	0.0	1.2	6.8	36.4	54.3	1.2	Department	4.175	0.932	1078
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	13	54	93	2	Class	4.500	0.644	160
	0.0	0.0	8.0	33.3	57.4	1.2	Department	4.268	0.783	1080
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	4	6	66	81	3	Class	4.384	0.786	159
	1.2	2.5	3.7	40.7	50.0	1.9	Department	4.275	0.803	1079
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 206					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 229					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	4	27	108	66	0	Class	4.136	0.746	206
	0.5	1.9	13.1	52.4	32.0	0.0	Department	4.170	0.744	229
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419

2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	3	27	94	80	0	Class	4.199	0.793	206
	1.0	1.5	13.1	45.6	38.8	0.0	Department	4.249	0.786	229
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	5	29	91	80	0	Class	4.184	0.799	206
	0.5	2.4	14.1	44.2	38.8	0.0	Department	4.227	0.790	229
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380

4. the quality of this course.	1	4	31	110	58	2	Class	4.078	0.745	204
	0.5	1.9	15.0	53.4	28.2	1.0	Department	4.115	0.744	227
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	5	19	98	83	0	Class	4.248	0.760	206
	0.5	2.4	9.2	47.6	40.3	0.0	Department	4.258	0.760	229
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	3	38	122	43	0	Class	3.995	0.674	206
	0.0	1.5	18.4	59.2	20.9	0.0	Department	4.026	0.688	229
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	3	20	112	69	1	Class	4.195	0.708	205
	0.5	1.5	9.7	54.4	33.5	0.5	Department	4.224	0.707	228
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	5	18	121	58	2	Class	4.118	0.740	204
	1.0	2.4	8.7	58.7	28.2	1.0	Department	4.141	0.739	227
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	8	22	111	61	1	Class	4.068	0.831	205
	1.5	3.9	10.7	53.9	29.6	0.5	Department	4.075	0.849	228
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	7	10	109	79	1	Class	4.268	0.708	205
	0.0	3.4	4.9	52.9	38.3	0.5	Department	4.307	0.698	228
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	29	120	55	1	Class	4.117	0.646	205
	0.0	0.5	14.1	58.3	26.7	0.5	Department	4.149	0.653	228
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	2	52	86	64	1	Class	4.024	0.807	205
	0.5	1.0	25.2	41.7	31.1	0.5	Department	4.083	0.811	228
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	4	23	109	68	1	Class	4.166	0.736	205
	0.5	1.9	11.2	52.9	33.0	0.5	Department	4.206	0.731	228
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	2	30	106	66	1	Class	4.141	0.731	205
	0.5	1.0	14.6	51.5	32.0	0.5	Department	4.158	0.746	228
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	2	18	111	73	1	Class	4.234	0.689	205
	0.5	1.0	8.7	53.9	35.4	0.5	Department	4.250	0.698	228
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	3	18	115	67	2	Class	4.196	0.695	204
	0.5	1.5	8.7	55.8	32.5	1.0	Department	4.220	0.694	227
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 23					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 229					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	8	13	0	Class	4.478	0.665	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	34.8	56.5	0.0	Department	4.170	0.744	229
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	5	17	0	Class	4.696	0.559	23
	0.0	0.0	4.3	21.7	73.9	0.0	Department	4.249	0.786	229
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	7	15	0	Class	4.609	0.583	23
	0.0	0.0	4.3	30.4	65.2	0.0	Department	4.227	0.790	229
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	9	12	0	Class	4.435	0.662	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	39.1	52.2	0.0	Department	4.115	0.744	227
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	4	7	12	0	Class	4.348	0.775	23
	0.0	0.0	17.4	30.4	52.2	0.0	Department	4.258	0.760	229
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	4	8	11	0	Class	4.304	0.765	23
	0.0	0.0	17.4	34.8	47.8	0.0	Department	4.026	0.688	229
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	8	13	0	Class	4.478	0.665	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	34.8	56.5	0.0	Department	4.224	0.707	228
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	3	9	11	0	Class	4.348	0.714	23
	0.0	0.0	13.0	39.1	47.8	0.0	Department	4.141	0.739	227
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	4	8	10	0	Class	4.130	1.014	23
	4.3	0.0	17.4	34.8	43.5	0.0	Department	4.075	0.849	228
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	8	15	0	Class	4.652	0.487	23
	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.8	65.2	0.0	Department	4.307	0.698	228
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	9	12	0	Class	4.435	0.662	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	39.1	52.2	0.0	Department	4.149	0.653	228
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	5	16	0	Class	4.609	0.656	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	21.7	69.6	0.0	Department	4.083	0.811	228
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	8	14	0	Class	4.565	0.590	23
	0.0	0.0	4.3	34.8	60.9	0.0	Department	4.206	0.731	228
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	3	7	12	0	Class	4.304	0.876	23
	0.0	4.3	13.0	30.4	52.2	0.0	Department	4.158	0.746	228
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	1	9	12	0	Class	4.391	0.783	23
	0.0	4.3	4.3	39.1	52.2	0.0	Department	4.250	0.698	228
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	9	12	0	Class	4.435	0.662	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	39.1	52.2	0.0	Department	4.220	0.694	227
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: RANGE SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 14					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 102					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	0	1	7	5	0	Class	4.071	1.072	14	
	7.1	0.0	7.1	50.0	35.7	0.0	Department	4.198	0.788	101	
							College	4.225	0.890	5090	
							University	4.166	0.933	42419	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	0	1	6	6	0	Class	4.143	1.099	14	
	7.1	0.0	7.1	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.317	0.774	101	
							College	4.293	0.898	5091	
							University	4.232	0.944	42401	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	0	8	5	0	Class	4.143	1.027	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	57.1	35.7	0.0	Department	4.257	0.808	101	
							College	4.222	0.949	5083	
							University	4.169	0.980	42380	
4. the quality of this course.	1	0	2	6	5	0	Class	4.000	1.109	14	
	7.1	0.0	14.3	42.9	35.7	0.0	Department	4.000	0.837	101	
							College	4.201	0.869	5076	
							University	4.115	0.903	42350	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	0	2	6	5	0	Class	4.000	1.109	14	
	7.1	0.0	14.3	42.9	35.7	0.0	Department	4.059	0.947	101	
							College	4.343	0.818	5089	
							University	4.271	0.891	42361	
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	1	6	6	0	Class	4.143	1.099	14	
	7.1	0.0	7.1	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.059	0.785	101	
							College	4.157	0.828	5084	
							University	4.119	0.864	42325	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	1	7	5	0	Class	4.071	1.072	14	
	7.1	0.0	7.1	50.0	35.7	0.0	Department	4.228	0.719	101	
							College	4.297	0.800	5083	
							University	4.251	0.838	42176	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	1	6	6	0	Class	4.143	1.099	14	
	7.1	0.0	7.1	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.069	0.774	102	
							College	4.254	0.829	5082	
							University	4.232	0.843	42155	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	4	4	5	0	Class	3.857	1.167	14	
	7.1	0.0	28.6	28.6	35.7	0.0	Department	3.971	0.873	102	
							College	4.168	0.930	5079	
							University	4.150	0.943	42155	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	0	8	4	0	Class	3.929	1.141	14	
	7.1	7.1	0.0	57.1	28.6	0.0	Department	4.137	0.758	102	
							College	4.367	0.781	5080	
							University	4.313	0.833	42143	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	1	7	5	0	Class	4.071	1.072	14	
	7.1	0.0	7.1	50.0	35.7	0.0	Department	3.902	0.764	102	
							College	4.193	0.761	5075	
							University	4.147	0.811	42134	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	3	5	5	0	Class	3.929	1.141	14	
	7.1	0.0	21.4	35.7	35.7	0.0	Department	4.157	0.767	102	
							College	4.242	0.815	5071	
							University	4.223	0.841	42093	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	5	5	3	0	Class	3.643	1.082	14	
	7.1	0.0	35.7	35.7	21.4	0.0	Department	3.961	0.832	102	
							College	4.226	0.862	5074	
							University	4.217	0.882	42138	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	4	5	4	0	Class	3.786	1.122	14	
	7.1	0.0	28.6	35.7	28.6	0.0	Department	3.941	0.854	102	
							College	4.195	0.862	5073	
							University	4.158	0.908	42100	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	2	5	6	0	Class	4.071	1.141	14	
	7.1	0.0	14.3	35.7	42.9	0.0	Department	4.137	0.833	102	
							College	4.292	0.760	5077	
							University	4.254	0.790	42140	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	1	7	5	0	Class	4.071	1.072	14	
	7.1	0.0	7.1	50.0	35.7	0.0	Department	4.147	0.883	102	
							College	4.282	0.808	5074	
							University	4.216	0.865	42060	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: RANGE SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 79					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 102					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	13	35	29	1	Class	4.179	0.752	78
	0.0	1.3	16.5	44.3	36.7	1.3	Department	4.198	0.788	101
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	12	30	36	1	Class	4.308	0.726	78
	0.0	0.0	15.2	38.0	45.6	1.3	Department	4.317	0.774	101
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	10	33	33	1	Class	4.244	0.776	78
	0.0	2.5	12.7	41.8	41.8	1.3	Department	4.257	0.808	101
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	0	3	16	37	22	1	Class	4.000	0.806	78
	0.0	3.8	20.3	46.8	27.8	1.3	Department	4.000	0.837	101
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	4	16	32	25	1	Class	3.974	0.925	78
	1.3	5.1	20.3	40.5	31.6	1.3	Department	4.059	0.947	101
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	1	12	46	18	1	Class	4.013	0.747	78
	1.3	1.3	15.2	58.2	22.8	1.3	Department	4.059	0.785	101
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	6	45	26	1	Class	4.231	0.643	78
	0.0	1.3	7.6	57.0	32.9	1.3	Department	4.228	0.719	101
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	12	44	21	0	Class	4.063	0.722	79
	0.0	2.5	15.2	55.7	26.6	0.0	Department	4.069	0.774	102
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	6	12	42	19	0	Class	3.937	0.837	79
	0.0	7.6	15.2	53.2	24.1	0.0	Department	3.971	0.873	102
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	3	5	50	21	0	Class	4.127	0.686	79
	0.0	3.8	6.3	63.3	26.6	0.0	Department	4.137	0.758	102
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	20	46	11	0	Class	3.835	0.687	79
	0.0	2.5	25.3	58.2	13.9	0.0	Department	3.902	0.764	102
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	10	42	26	0	Class	4.177	0.694	79
	0.0	1.3	12.7	53.2	32.9	0.0	Department	4.157	0.767	102
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	4	10	46	19	0	Class	4.013	0.759	79
	0.0	5.1	12.7	58.2	24.1	0.0	Department	3.961	0.832	102
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	6	11	44	18	0	Class	3.937	0.822	79
	0.0	7.6	13.9	55.7	22.8	0.0	Department	3.941	0.854	102
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	7	41	28	0	Class	4.190	0.752	79
	0.0	3.8	8.9	51.9	35.4	0.0	Department	4.137	0.833	102
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	11	35	31	0	Class	4.190	0.818	79
	1.3	1.3	13.9	44.3	39.2	0.0	Department	4.147	0.883	102
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: RANGE SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 9					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 102					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	4	5	0	Class	4.556	0.527	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	0.0	Department	4.198	0.788	101	
							College	4.225	0.890	5090	
							University	4.166	0.933	42419	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	3	6	0	Class	4.667	0.500	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.317	0.774	101	
							College	4.293	0.898	5091	
							University	4.232	0.944	42401	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	2	6	0	Class	4.556	0.726	9	
	0.0	0.0	11.1	22.2	66.7	0.0	Department	4.257	0.808	101	
							College	4.222	0.949	5083	
							University	4.169	0.980	42380	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	5	2	0	Class	4.000	0.707	9	
	0.0	0.0	22.2	55.6	22.2	0.0	Department	4.000	0.837	101	
							College	4.201	0.869	5076	
							University	4.115	0.903	42350	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	8	0	Class	4.889	0.333	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	0.0	Department	4.059	0.947	101	
							College	4.343	0.818	5089	
							University	4.271	0.891	42361	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	6	3	0	Class	4.333	0.500	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.059	0.785	101	
							College	4.157	0.828	5084	
							University	4.119	0.864	42325	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	3	5	0	Class	4.444	0.726	9	
	0.0	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	0.0	Department	4.228	0.719	101	
							College	4.297	0.800	5083	
							University	4.251	0.838	42176	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	5	2	0	Class	4.000	0.707	9	
	0.0	0.0	22.2	55.6	22.2	0.0	Department	4.069	0.774	102	
							College	4.254	0.829	5082	
							University	4.232	0.843	42155	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	5	4	0	Class	4.444	0.527	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	0.0	Department	3.971	0.873	102	
							College	4.168	0.930	5079	
							University	4.150	0.943	42155	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	4	5	0	Class	4.556	0.527	9	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	0.0	Department	4.137	0.758	102	
							College	4.367	0.781	5080	
							University	4.313	0.833	42143	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	3	4	0	Class	4.222	0.833	9	
	0.0	0.0	22.2	33.3	44.4	0.0	Department	3.902	0.764	102	
							College	4.193	0.761	5075	
							University	4.147	0.811	42134	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	4	4	0	Class	4.333	0.707	9	
	0.0	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	0.0	Department	4.157	0.767	102	
							College	4.242	0.815	5071	
							University	4.223	0.841	42093	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	1	4	3	0	Class	4.000	1.000	9	
	0.0	11.1	11.1	44.4	33.3	0.0	Department	3.961	0.832	102	
							College	4.226	0.862	5074	
							University	4.217	0.882	42138	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	5	3	0	Class	4.222	0.667	9	
	0.0	0.0	11.1	55.6	33.3	0.0	Department	3.941	0.854	102	
							College	4.195	0.862	5073	
							University	4.158	0.908	42100	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	2	4	2	0	Class	3.778	0.972	9	
	0.0	11.1	22.2	44.4	22.2	0.0	Department	4.137	0.833	102	
							College	4.292	0.760	5077	
							University	4.254	0.790	42140	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	0	4	3	0	Class	3.889	1.167	9	
	0.0	22.2	0.0	44.4	33.3	0.0	Department	4.147	0.883	102	
							College	4.282	0.808	5074	
							University	4.216	0.865	42060	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: FOOD SAFETY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 65					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 66					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	11	15	38	0	Class	4.385	0.823	65
	0.0	1.5	16.9	23.1	58.5	0.0	Department	4.394	0.820	66
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	7	14	42	0	Class	4.477	0.812	65
	0.0	3.1	10.8	21.5	64.6	0.0	Department	4.485	0.808	66
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	7	16	41	0	Class	4.492	0.753	65
	0.0	1.5	10.8	24.6	63.1	0.0	Department	4.500	0.749	66
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	1	0	7	15	42	0	Class	4.492	0.812	65
	1.5	0.0	10.8	23.1	64.6	0.0	Department	4.500	0.809	66
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	0	9	17	38	0	Class	4.400	0.844	65
	1.5	0.0	13.8	26.2	58.5	0.0	Department	4.409	0.841	66
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	7	19	38	1	Class	4.484	0.690	64
	0.0	0.0	10.8	29.2	58.5	1.5	Department	4.492	0.687	65
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	9	19	37	0	Class	4.431	0.728	65
	0.0	0.0	13.8	29.2	56.9	0.0	Department	4.439	0.726	66
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	5	17	43	0	Class	4.585	0.635	65
	0.0	0.0	7.7	26.2	66.2	0.0	Department	4.591	0.632	66
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	8	18	38	0	Class	4.415	0.827	65
	1.5	0.0	12.3	27.7	58.5	0.0	Department	4.424	0.824	66
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	9	14	40	0	Class	4.415	0.846	65
	0.0	3.1	13.8	21.5	61.5	0.0	Department	4.424	0.842	66
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	10	21	34	0	Class	4.369	0.741	65
	0.0	0.0	15.4	32.3	52.3	0.0	Department	4.379	0.739	66
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	10	18	36	0	Class	4.354	0.856	65
	1.5	0.0	15.4	27.7	55.4	0.0	Department	4.364	0.853	66
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	6	15	41	1	Class	4.469	0.854	64
	1.5	1.5	9.2	23.1	63.1	1.5	Department	4.477	0.850	65
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	7	15	41	0	Class	4.446	0.867	65
	1.5	1.5	10.8	23.1	63.1	0.0	Department	4.455	0.863	66
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	10	17	38	0	Class	4.431	0.749	65
	0.0	0.0	15.4	26.2	58.5	0.0	Department	4.439	0.747	66
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	9	18	37	0	Class	4.400	0.787	65
	0.0	1.5	13.8	27.7	56.9	0.0	Department	4.409	0.784	66
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: FOOD SAFETY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 66					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.394	0.820	66
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.485	0.808	66
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.500	0.749	66
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.500	0.809	66
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.409	0.841	66
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.492	0.687	65
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.439	0.726	66
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.591	0.632	66
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.424	0.824	66
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.424	0.842	66
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.379	0.739	66
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.364	0.853	66
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.477	0.850	65
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.455	0.863	66
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.439	0.747	66
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class	5.000	.	1
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.409	0.784	66
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 84					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 171					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	24	58	0	Class	4.667	0.523	84
	0.0	0.0	2.4	28.6	69.0	0.0	Department	4.269	0.860	171
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	17	65	0	Class	4.750	0.488	84
	0.0	0.0	2.4	20.2	77.4	0.0	Department	4.409	0.852	171
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	4	18	62	0	Class	4.690	0.559	84
	0.0	0.0	4.8	21.4	73.8	0.0	Department	4.304	0.971	171
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	7	22	55	0	Class	4.571	0.645	84
	0.0	0.0	8.3	26.2	65.5	0.0	Department	4.298	0.825	171
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	5	28	51	0	Class	4.548	0.609	84
	0.0	0.0	6.0	33.3	60.7	0.0	Department	4.433	0.728	171
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	9	39	35	0	Class	4.286	0.704	84
	0.0	1.2	10.7	46.4	41.7	0.0	Department	4.006	0.857	171
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	32	51	0	Class	4.595	0.518	84
	0.0	0.0	1.2	38.1	60.7	0.0	Department	4.409	0.692	171
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	3	4	24	53	0	Class	4.512	0.752	84
	0.0	3.6	4.8	28.6	63.1	0.0	Department	4.304	0.862	171
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	4	27	52	0	Class	4.548	0.648	84
	0.0	1.2	4.8	32.1	61.9	0.0	Department	4.105	0.988	171
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	5	24	55	0	Class	4.595	0.604	84
	0.0	0.0	6.0	28.6	65.5	0.0	Department	4.392	0.731	171
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	10	36	35	1	Class	4.253	0.763	83
	0.0	2.4	11.9	42.9	41.7	1.2	Department	4.000	0.828	170
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	9	32	43	0	Class	4.405	0.679	84
	0.0	0.0	10.7	38.1	51.2	0.0	Department	4.456	0.634	171
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	5	33	46	0	Class	4.488	0.611	84
	0.0	0.0	6.0	39.3	54.8	0.0	Department	4.409	0.648	171
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	7	32	45	0	Class	4.452	0.648	84
	0.0	0.0	8.3	38.1	53.6	0.0	Department	4.322	0.741	171
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	34	47	0	Class	4.524	0.570	84
	0.0	0.0	3.6	40.5	56.0	0.0	Department	4.374	0.642	171
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	35	46	0	Class	4.512	0.570	84
	0.0	0.0	3.6	41.7	54.8	0.0	Department	4.351	0.723	171
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 58					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 171					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	5	14	22	16	0	Class	3.810	0.999	58	
	1.7	8.6	24.1	37.9	27.6	0.0	Department	4.269	0.860	171	
							College	4.225	0.890	5090	
							University	4.166	0.933	42419	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	2	10	25	19	0	Class	3.983	0.982	58	
	3.4	3.4	17.2	43.1	32.8	0.0	Department	4.409	0.852	171	
							College	4.293	0.898	5091	
							University	4.232	0.944	42401	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	5	12	18	21	0	Class	3.879	1.109	58	
	3.4	8.6	20.7	31.0	36.2	0.0	Department	4.304	0.971	171	
							College	4.222	0.949	5083	
							University	4.169	0.980	42380	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	2	2	8	27	19	0	Class	4.017	0.964	58	
	3.4	3.4	13.8	46.6	32.8	0.0	Department	4.298	0.825	171	
							College	4.201	0.869	5076	
							University	4.115	0.903	42350	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	8	18	30	0	Class	4.310	0.842	58	
	0.0	3.4	13.8	31.0	51.7	0.0	Department	4.433	0.728	171	
							College	4.343	0.818	5089	
							University	4.271	0.891	42361	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	4	17	27	8	0	Class	3.603	0.935	58	
	3.4	6.9	29.3	46.6	13.8	0.0	Department	4.006	0.857	171	
							College	4.157	0.828	5084	
							University	4.119	0.864	42325	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	7	27	22	0	Class	4.190	0.783	58	
	0.0	3.4	12.1	46.6	37.9	0.0	Department	4.409	0.692	171	
							College	4.297	0.800	5083	
							University	4.251	0.838	42176	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	4	8	24	22	0	Class	4.103	0.892	58	
	0.0	6.9	13.8	41.4	37.9	0.0	Department	4.304	0.862	171	
							College	4.254	0.829	5082	
							University	4.232	0.843	42155	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	7	15	20	14	0	Class	3.638	1.087	58	
	3.4	12.1	25.9	34.5	24.1	0.0	Department	4.105	0.988	171	
							College	4.168	0.930	5079	
							University	4.150	0.943	42155	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	10	27	19	0	Class	4.086	0.801	58	
	0.0	3.4	17.2	46.6	32.8	0.0	Department	4.392	0.731	171	
							College	4.367	0.781	5080	
							University	4.313	0.833	42143	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	5	21	25	7	0	Class	3.586	0.817	58	
	0.0	8.6	36.2	43.1	12.1	0.0	Department	4.000	0.828	170	
							College	4.193	0.761	5075	
							University	4.147	0.811	42134	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	4	24	30	0	Class	4.448	0.626	58	
	0.0	0.0	6.9	41.4	51.7	0.0	Department	4.456	0.634	171	
							College	4.242	0.815	5071	
							University	4.223	0.841	42093	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	5	26	26	0	Class	4.328	0.711	58	
	0.0	1.7	8.6	44.8	44.8	0.0	Department	4.409	0.648	171	
							College	4.226	0.862	5074	
							University	4.217	0.882	42138	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	7	26	22	0	Class	4.155	0.834	58	
	0.0	5.2	12.1	44.8	37.9	0.0	Department	4.322	0.741	171	
							College	4.195	0.862	5073	
							University	4.158	0.908	42100	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	9	26	23	0	Class	4.241	0.709	58	
	0.0	0.0	15.5	44.8	39.7	0.0	Department	4.374	0.642	171	
							College	4.292	0.760	5077	
							University	4.254	0.790	42140	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	2	6	27	22	0	Class	4.155	0.875	58	
	1.7	3.4	10.3	46.6	37.9	0.0	Department	4.351	0.723	171	
							College	4.282	0.808	5074	
							University	4.216	0.865	42060	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 29					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 171					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	6	13	9	0	Class	4.034	0.823	29	
	0.0	3.4	20.7	44.8	31.0	0.0	Department	4.269	0.860	171	
							College	4.225	0.890	5090	
							University	4.166	0.933	42419	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	0	5	7	16	0	Class	4.276	0.996	29	
	3.4	0.0	17.2	24.1	55.2	0.0	Department	4.409	0.852	171	
							College	4.293	0.898	5091	
							University	4.232	0.944	42401	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	2	7	4	15	0	Class	4.034	1.180	29	
	3.4	6.9	24.1	13.8	51.7	0.0	Department	4.304	0.971	171	
							College	4.222	0.949	5083	
							University	4.169	0.980	42380	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	7	13	9	0	Class	4.069	0.753	29	
	0.0	0.0	24.1	44.8	31.0	0.0	Department	4.298	0.825	171	
							College	4.201	0.869	5076	
							University	4.115	0.903	42350	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	5	9	15	0	Class	4.345	0.769	29	
	0.0	0.0	17.2	31.0	51.7	0.0	Department	4.433	0.728	171	
							College	4.343	0.818	5089	
							University	4.271	0.891	42361	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	6	14	8	0	Class	4.000	0.802	29	
	0.0	3.4	20.7	48.3	27.6	0.0	Department	4.006	0.857	171	
							College	4.157	0.828	5084	
							University	4.119	0.864	42325	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	3	11	14	0	Class	4.310	0.806	29	
	0.0	3.4	10.3	37.9	48.3	0.0	Department	4.409	0.692	171	
							College	4.297	0.800	5083	
							University	4.251	0.838	42176	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	3	3	11	12	0	Class	4.103	0.976	29	
	0.0	10.3	10.3	37.9	41.4	0.0	Department	4.304	0.862	171	
							College	4.254	0.829	5082	
							University	4.232	0.843	42155	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	3	5	13	7	0	Class	3.759	1.057	29	
	3.4	10.3	17.2	44.8	24.1	0.0	Department	4.105	0.988	171	
							College	4.168	0.930	5079	
							University	4.150	0.943	42155	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	12	15	0	Class	4.414	0.733	29	
	0.0	3.4	3.4	41.4	51.7	0.0	Department	4.392	0.731	171	
							College	4.367	0.781	5080	
							University	4.313	0.833	42143	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	6	14	9	0	Class	4.103	0.724	29	
	0.0	0.0	20.7	48.3	31.0	0.0	Department	4.000	0.828	170	
							College	4.193	0.761	5075	
							University	4.147	0.811	42134	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	11	18	0	Class	4.621	0.494	29	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.9	62.1	0.0	Department	4.456	0.634	171	
							College	4.242	0.815	5071	
							University	4.223	0.841	42093	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	15	12	0	Class	4.345	0.614	29	
	0.0	0.0	6.9	51.7	41.4	0.0	Department	4.409	0.648	171	
							College	4.226	0.862	5074	
							University	4.217	0.882	42138	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	2	14	12	0	Class	4.276	0.751	29	
	0.0	3.4	6.9	48.3	41.4	0.0	Department	4.322	0.741	171	
							College	4.195	0.862	5073	
							University	4.158	0.908	42100	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	17	9	0	Class	4.207	0.620	29	
	0.0	0.0	10.3	58.6	31.0	0.0	Department	4.374	0.642	171	
							College	4.292	0.760	5077	
							University	4.254	0.790	42140	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	4	13	12	0	Class	4.276	0.702	29	
	0.0	0.0	13.8	44.8	41.4	0.0	Department	4.351	0.723	171	
							College	4.282	0.808	5074	
							University	4.216	0.865	42060	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 254					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 352					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	4	15	80	150	2	Class	4.468	0.780	252
	1.2	1.6	5.9	31.5	59.1	0.8	Department	4.491	0.752	350
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	2	20	63	165	2	Class	4.536	0.743	252
	0.8	0.8	7.9	24.8	65.0	0.8	Department	4.534	0.712	350
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	1	19	62	168	2	Class	4.560	0.720	252
	0.8	0.4	7.5	24.4	66.1	0.8	Department	4.549	0.723	350
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	3	4	12	75	159	1	Class	4.514	0.764	253
	1.2	1.6	4.7	29.5	62.6	0.4	Department	4.541	0.727	351
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	3	15	67	165	1	Class	4.534	0.764	253
	1.2	1.2	5.9	26.4	65.0	0.4	Department	4.538	0.735	351
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	1	13	89	148	2	Class	4.516	0.653	252
	0.4	0.4	5.1	35.0	58.3	0.8	Department	4.546	0.621	350
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	4	12	78	157	2	Class	4.532	0.699	252
	0.4	1.6	4.7	30.7	61.8	0.8	Department	4.543	0.670	350
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	11	79	161	2	Class	4.583	0.616	252
	0.4	0.0	4.3	31.1	63.4	0.8	Department	4.583	0.608	350
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	3	14	76	156	2	Class	4.504	0.760	252
	1.2	1.2	5.5	29.9	61.4	0.8	Department	4.491	0.756	350
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	3	84	164	2	Class	4.627	0.554	252
	0.4	0.0	1.2	33.1	64.6	0.8	Department	4.614	0.559	350
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	1	19	92	138	2	Class	4.440	0.720	252
	0.8	0.4	7.5	36.2	54.3	0.8	Department	4.476	0.667	349
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	18	72	159	3	Class	4.542	0.682	251
	0.4	0.4	7.1	28.3	62.6	1.2	Department	4.536	0.667	349
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	19	76	154	2	Class	4.508	0.706	252
	0.4	0.8	7.5	29.9	60.6	0.8	Department	4.526	0.671	350
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	3	17	76	155	2	Class	4.512	0.711	252
	0.4	1.2	6.7	29.9	61.0	0.8	Department	4.529	0.675	350
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	11	77	162	3	Class	4.594	0.595	251
	0.0	0.4	4.3	30.3	63.8	1.2	Department	4.596	0.572	349
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	5	13	74	158	2	Class	4.512	0.755	252
	0.8	2.0	5.1	29.1	62.2	0.8	Department	4.531	0.704	350
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Fall 2014

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 98					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 352					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5132					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	1	33	61	0	Class	4.551	0.675	98
	0.0	3.1	1.0	33.7	62.2	0.0	Department	4.491	0.752	350
							College	4.225	0.890	5090
							University	4.166	0.933	42419
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	4	35	58	0	Class	4.531	0.629	98
	0.0	1.0	4.1	35.7	59.2	0.0	Department	4.534	0.712	350
							College	4.293	0.898	5091
							University	4.232	0.944	42401
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	5	30	61	0	Class	4.520	0.735	98
	1.0	1.0	5.1	30.6	62.2	0.0	Department	4.549	0.723	350
							College	4.222	0.949	5083
							University	4.169	0.980	42380
4. the quality of this course.	1	0	1	32	64	0	Class	4.612	0.620	98
	1.0	0.0	1.0	32.7	65.3	0.0	Department	4.541	0.727	351
							College	4.201	0.869	5076
							University	4.115	0.903	42350
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	3	32	61	0	Class	4.551	0.660	98
	0.0	2.0	3.1	32.7	62.2	0.0	Department	4.538	0.735	351
							College	4.343	0.818	5089
							University	4.271	0.891	42361
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	33	63	0	Class	4.622	0.528	98
	0.0	0.0	2.0	33.7	64.3	0.0	Department	4.546	0.621	350
							College	4.157	0.828	5084
							University	4.119	0.864	42325
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	2	35	60	0	Class	4.571	0.592	98
	0.0	1.0	2.0	35.7	61.2	0.0	Department	4.543	0.670	350
							College	4.297	0.800	5083
							University	4.251	0.838	42176
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	5	31	62	0	Class	4.582	0.591	98
	0.0	0.0	5.1	31.6	63.3	0.0	Department	4.583	0.608	350
							College	4.254	0.829	5082
							University	4.232	0.843	42155
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	3	35	56	0	Class	4.459	0.748	98
	0.0	4.1	3.1	35.7	57.1	0.0	Department	4.491	0.756	350
							College	4.168	0.930	5079
							University	4.150	0.943	42155
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	36	60	0	Class	4.582	0.573	98
	0.0	1.0	1.0	36.7	61.2	0.0	Department	4.614	0.559	350
							College	4.367	0.781	5080
							University	4.313	0.833	42143
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	42	55	1	Class	4.567	0.498	97
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	56.1	1.0	Department	4.476	0.667	349
							College	4.193	0.761	5075
							University	4.147	0.811	42134
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	4	36	57	0	Class	4.520	0.630	98
	0.0	1.0	4.1	36.7	58.2	0.0	Department	4.536	0.667	349
							College	4.242	0.815	5071
							University	4.223	0.841	42093
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	4	34	60	0	Class	4.571	0.574	98
	0.0	0.0	4.1	34.7	61.2	0.0	Department	4.526	0.671	350
							College	4.226	0.862	5074
							University	4.217	0.882	42138
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	4	34	60	0	Class	4.571	0.574	98
	0.0	0.0	4.1	34.7	61.2	0.0	Department	4.529	0.675	350
							College	4.195	0.862	5073
							University	4.158	0.908	42100
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	37	60	0	Class	4.602	0.513	98
	0.0	0.0	1.0	37.8	61.2	0.0	Department	4.596	0.572	349
							College	4.292	0.760	5077
							University	4.254	0.790	42140
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	0	38	59	0	Class	4.582	0.555	98
	0.0	1.0	0.0	38.8	60.2	0.0	Department	4.531	0.704	350
							College	4.282	0.808	5074
							University	4.216	0.865	42060