North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 252 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 594 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P IB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.008 0.867 246 1. your satisfaction with the Department 12 49 106 4.176 0.852 579 instruction in this course. 4.8 19.4 31.0 College 4.178 1.000 42.1 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 146 0 769 246 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.271 0.779 579 0.0 2.0 16.7 44.0 34.9 2.4 College 4 242 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 110 0.838 246 3. the ability of the instructor 41 108 88 Department 578 4.208 0.832 to communicate effectively. 0.8 2.8 16.3 2.4 College 1.007 4633 42.9 4.196 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.886 246 Class 0.923 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.097 0.922 0.4 7.5 22.6 39.3 27.8 2.4 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.265 0.849 245 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 0.4 4.8 8.7 38.1 45.2 2.8 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 4.279 0.901 36685 Class 3.947 0.845 Department 4.123 6. your understanding of the 11 49 119 0.841 575 0.8 4.4 19.4 course content. 47.2 25.4 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 D N SD Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 246 4.244 0.662 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-25 130 Department 4.341 0.686 578 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.8 9.9 51.6 35.3 College 0.896 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 3 996 0 785 246 8. instructor provided well-49 122 Department 4.183 0.793 578 defined course objectives. 0.0 3.6 19.4 College 4.220 0.923 4634 48.4 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 3.919 0.891 246 9. instructor provided content/ 16 Department 4.055 0.929 578 25.0 materials clear/organized. 6.3 16.7 48.4 2.4 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 4.280 0.650 Class 246 10. I understood how grades Department 4.225 were assigned in this course. 0.0 1.2 7.1 52.4 36.9 2 4 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.069 0.707 245 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.154 0.745 1.6 16.3 objectives for this course. 0.0 53.2 26.2 2.8 College 4.210 0.821 4625 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 4.170 0.869 578 2.4 2.4 25.0 35.7 32.1 2.4 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 10 36 106 4.211 0.926 577 in a timely manner. 3.2 4.0 14.3 42.1 33.7 4.234 0.976 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.885 14 instructor provided relevant 53 107 Department 4.154 0 889 577 feedback that helped me learn. 21.0 42.5 29.8 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 151 0 705 245 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.265 39 124 578 80 0.726 College high standards for students. 0.0 0.8 15.5 49.2 31.7 4.271 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.159 0 770 245 16. physical environment 29 125 0.754 576 Department 4.300 0.8 2.0 11.5 was conducive to learning. 49.6 33.3 College 4.264 University 4.238 4621 0.899 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 313 Your Dept 594 IB=In Between...(3) Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P IB G VG OMIT MEAN AREA ______ 4.308 0.825 305 1. your satisfaction with the Department 11 32 110 151 4.176 0.852 579 instruction in this course. 3.5 10.2 4.178 1.000 35.1 48.2 College University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 364 0 783 305 2. the instructor as a teacher. 112 157 Department 4.271 0.779 28 579 0.6 1.9 8.9 35.8 50.2 2.6 College 1.004 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 283 0.832 304 3. the ability of the instructor 8 32 118 143 Department 578 4.208 0.832 to communicate effectively. 1.0 2.6 10.2 College 1.007 4.196 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.266 0.896 304 Class 113 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.097 0.922 1.3 4.5 8.3 36.1 47.0 2.9 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 4.294 Class 0.981 303 5. the fairness of procedures Department 8 6 27 2 53 7 for grading this course. 1.9 5.4 3.2 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 4.279 0.901 36685 Class 4.257 0.814 303 Department 4.123 6. your understanding of the 11 26 132 132 0.841 575 0.6 course content. 3.5 8.3 42.2 42.2 3.2 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 304 4.395 0.710 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-19 127 152 Department 4.341 0.686 578 phere conducive to learning. 0.3 1.6 6.1 40.6 48.6 College 0.896 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 329 0.760 304 8. instructor provided well-12 12 140 139 Department 4.183 0.793 578 defined course objectives. 0.3 3.8 College 4.220 0.923 4634 3.8 44.7 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.155 0.947 304 9. instructor provided content/ 15 35 118 Department 4.055 0.929 578 materials clear/organized. 1.9 4.8 11.2 37.7 2.9 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 4.171 1.058 Class 304 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 3.2 7.0 7.0 32.6 47.3 2 9 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.780 304 4.211 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.154 0.745 1.3 11.8 objectives for this course. 1.0 45.4 37.7 2.9 College 4.210 0.821 4625 0.789 4.170 12. instructor was available to Department 0.869 578 115 146 0.3 1.6 11.8 2.9 assist students outside class. 36.7 46.6 College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 13 2.6 97 4.211 0.926 577 1.0 4.2 8.3 31.0 52.7 2.9 4.234 0.976 in a timely manner. College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.267 14 instructor provided relevant 16 29 108 148 1.0 Department 4.154 0 889 577 feedback that helped me learn. 5.1 9.3 34.5 47.3 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 331 0 742 305 Department 4.265 15. instructor set + maintained 20 136 140 0.726 578 College high standards for students. 0.3 2.6 6.4 43.5 2.6 4.271 44.7 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.389 0 737 303 16. physical environment 25 116 156 0.754 576 Department 4.300 0.3 1.6 was conducive to learning. 8.0 37.1 College 4.264 University 4.238 4621 49.8 3.2 0.899 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 29 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.214 0.787 28 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 579 10 4.176 0.852 41.4 instruction in this course. 0.0 20.7 34.5 College 4.178 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 357 0.678 28 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.271 0.779 579 0.0 0.0 10.3 41.4 44.8 3.4 College 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 250 0 701 28 3. the ability of the instructor 0 Department 4.208 578 0.832 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 13.8 College 1.007 4.196 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.107 0.832 28 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.097 0.922 0.0 0.0 27.6 31.0 37.9 3.4 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.464 0.637 28 5. the fairness of procedures Department 0.912 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 6.9 37.9 51.7 3.4 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 36685 Class 0.847 4.222 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.123 0.841 575 0.0 24.1 24.1 44.8 course content. 0.0 6.9 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 4.607 0.497 28 Class 578 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.341 0.686 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 37.9 58.6 College 0.896 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 250 0 887 28 8. instructor provided well-Department 4.183 0.793 578 defined course objectives. 0.0 3.4 17.2 27.6 48.3 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.179 0.945 28 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.055 0.929 578 materials clear/organized. 0.0 6.9 13.8 31.0 44.8 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 4.321 Class 0.863 2.8 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 0.0 6.9 3.4 37.9 48.3 3 4 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 28 4.286 0.600 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.154 0.745 577 0.0 objectives for this course. 0.0 6.9 55.2 34.5 3.4 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 0.789 0.638 12. instructor was available to Department 4.170 0.869 578 0.0 0.0 6.9 34.5 55.2 3.4 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class 4.464 Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.211 0.926 577 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 51.7 4.234 0.976 4630 College University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.429 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 154 0 889 577 feedback that helped me learn. College 0.976 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 536 0.576 28 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.265 578 0.726 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 37.9 55.2 College 4.271 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.571 0 573 28 16. physical environment 576 Department 4.300 0.754 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 3.4 34.5 58.6 3.4 College 4.264 University 4.238 4621 0.899 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 273 Your Dept 682 IB=In Between...(3) 682 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P IB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.300 0.914 273 1. your satisfaction with the Department 12 31 146 4.258 0.944 682 instruction in this course. 4.4 11.4 29.7 College 1.000 53.5 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 366 0 834 273 2. the instructor as a teacher. 85 Department 4.330 682 10 150 0.904 0.4 3.7 9.9 31.1 54.9 0.0 College 4.242 1.004 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 327 0.859 272 3. the ability of the instructor 12 28 87 Department 680 4.288 0.930 to communicate effectively. 0.4 10.3 31.9 0.4 College 1.007 4.196 University 4.211 0.959 36691 273 4.399 0.803 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.287 0.924 2.6 0.4 10.3 30.4 56.4 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.421 0.833 273 5. the fairness of procedures Department 27.1 59.7 for grading this course. 0.4 3.7 9.2 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 4.279 0.901 Class 4.377 0.845 273 Department 4.265 6. your understanding of the 29 82 153 0.893 682 1.1 2.2 10.6 course content. 30.0 56.0 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 D N SD Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 271 4.365 0.795 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-30 90 144 Department 4.314 0.854 679 phere conducive to learning. 2.2 11.0 33.0 52.7 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 328 0.834 271 8. instructor provided well-12 22 98 138 Department 4.275 0.869 defined course objectives. 0.4 4.4 8.1 35.9 0.7 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.277 0.899 271 9. instructor provided content/ 11 Department 4.182 0.979 676 11.4 materials clear/organized. 4.0 32.6 50.2 0.7 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 0.777 271 Class 4.446 10. I understood how grades Department 4.349 were assigned in this course. 0.7 2.2 6.6 32.2 57.5 0.7 College 4 323 0.877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.374 0.764 270 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.295 0.805 678 1.1 1.1 objectives for this course. 7.3 39.6 49.8 1.1 College 4.210 0.821 4625 0.789 0.867 12. instructor was available to Department 4.321 0.838 679 assist students outside class. 1.1 2.9 11.7 34.4 0.7 49.1 College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 12 2.6 101 129 4.257 0.893 678 in a timely manner. 4.4 9.5 37.0 47.3 1.1 4.234 0.976 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.328 0.825 14 instructor provided relevant 35 141 Department 4.296 0.855 679 feedback that helped me learn. 12.8 31.9 51.6 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4.387 0 741 271 Department 4.367 15. instructor set + maintained 24 100 141 0.780 678 College high standards for students. 0.0 2.2 8.8 36.6 51.6 4.271 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.332 0.817 271 16. physical environment 12 138 676 Department 4.272 0.904 was conducive to learning. 0.0 4.4 8.8 35.5 50.5 0.7 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 384 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 682 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P IB G VG OMIT MEAN AREA 4.214 0.981 384 1. your satisfaction with the Department 120 191 4.258 0.944 682 instruction in this course. 5.7 11.5 College 1.000 31.3 49.7 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 289 0 968 384 2. the instructor as a teacher. 106 Department 4.330 0.904 682 1.8 4.9 10.7 27.6 54.9 0.0 College 1.004 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 242 0 989 384 3. the ability of the instructor 17 201 110 Department 4.288 0.930 680 to communicate effectively. 2.3 4.4 12.2 0.0 College 1.007 4.196 University 4.211 0.959 36691 384 Class 4.190 1.008 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.287 0.924 682 1.8 7.0 10.9 30.7 49.5 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.203 1.012 384 5. the fairness of procedures Department 4.308 for grading this course. 2.6 5 7 10 2 31 8 49.7 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 4.279 0.901 Class 384 Department 4.265 6. your understanding of the 19 51 139 170 0.893 682 1.3 4.9 13.3 course content. 36.2 44.3 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. _______ ______ 383 4.256 0.905 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-18 42 131 188 Department 4.314 0.854 679 phere conducive to learning. 1.0 4.7 10.9 34.1 49.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 219 0 906 383 8. instructor provided well-18 46 137 178 Department 4.275 0.869 defined course objectives. 1.0 4.7 12.0 0.3 College 4.220 0.923 4634 35.7 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.100 1.042 381 9. instructor provided content/ 29 Department 4.182 0.979 676 7.6 12.5 materials clear/organized. 2.3 32.3 44.5 0.8 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 4.279 0.879 Class 383 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 1.3 3.4 10.4 35.7 49.0 0.3 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 383 4.230 0.840 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.295 0.805 2.9 11.5 objectives for this course. 1.0 41.1 43.2 0.3 College 4.210 0.821 4625 0.789 0.828 12. instructor was available to 139 Department 4.321 0.838 679 assist students outside class. 1.0 2.3 0.3 9.9 36.2 50.3 College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 51 127 185 4.257 0.893 678 1.6 3.6 13.3 0.3 4.234 0.976 in a timely manner. 33.1 48.2 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 14 instructor provided relevant 14 131 187 Department 4.296 0.855 679 feedback that helped me learn. 3.6 48.7 34.1 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 -----Class 4.346 0.814 382 197 Department 4.367 15. instructor set + maintained 38 135 0.780 678 0.8 2.3 College high standards for students. 9.9 35.2 51.3 4.271 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.205 0 975 380 16. physical environment 123 185 21 4.272 0.904 676 Department 1.8 5.5 11.5 32.0 was conducive to learning. College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 48.2 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S T.ev: 600. 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 25 IB=In Between...(3) 682 Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.480 0.586 25 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 11 4.258 0.944 682 instruction in this course. 0.0 52.0 0.0 College 1.000 4.0 44.0 4.178 University 4.199 0.929 36732 ----Class 4 560 0 507 25 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.330 682 0.904 0.0 0.0 0.0 44.0 56.0 0.0 College 4.242 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 583 0 654 24 3. the ability of the instructor 0 0 Department 0.930 680 4.288 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 8.0 College 1.007 4.196 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.560 0.583 25 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.287 0.924 0.0 0.0 4.0 36.0 60.0 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.680 0.557 25 5. the fairness of procedures Department 0.938 24.0 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 4.0 72.0 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 Class 4.480 0.653 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.265 0.893 682 0.0 36.0 56.0 course content. 0.0 8.0 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 4.640 0.490 25 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.314 0.854 679 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 36.0 64.0 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 560 0 507 25 8. instructor provided well-0 0 Department 4.275 0.869 679 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 0.0 44.0 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.417 0.654 2.4 9. instructor provided content/ Department 0.979 676 4.182 4.142 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 8.0 40.0 48.0 College 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 0.860 Class 4.360 25 10. I understood how grades Department 679 were assigned in this course. 0.0 4.0 12.0 28.0 56.0 0.0 College University 4 323 0 877 4632 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.583 25 4.440 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.295 0.805 678 0.0 4.0 objectives for this course. 0.0 48.0 48.0 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 4.219 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 4.321 0.838 679 0.0 0.0 4.0 28.0 68.0 0.0 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class 13. instructor provided feedback 0 Department 4.257 0.893 678 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 32.0 60.0 0.0 4.234 0.976 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 296 0.855 679 feedback that helped me learn. 36.0 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 480 0.653 25 Department 4.367 15. instructor set + maintained 678 0.780 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 36.0 56.0 College 4.271 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.640 0 490 25 16. physical environment 676 4.272 Department 0.904 0.0 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 36.0 64.0 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT Good....(4) IB=In Between...(3) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 71 Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 0.995 3.629 70 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 148 19 3.919 1.072 1.4 12.7 26.8 instruction in this course. 38.0 19.7 College 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 3 529 1 139 70 2. the instructor as a teacher. 148 Department 3.872 1.191 2.8 19.7 21.1 32.4 22.5 1.4 College 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 3 114 1 336 70 3. the ability of the instructor 10 15 15 Department 148 3.642 1.375 to communicate effectively. 21.1 21.1 23.9 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.652 69 Class 1.041 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.878 1.110 4.2 9.9 19.7 45.1 18.3 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 70 Class 3.986 1.042 5. the fairness of procedures Department 2.8 11.3 for grading this course. 5.6 46.5 32.4 1.4 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 Class 3.786 Department 3.932 6. your understanding of the 17 28 0.970 147 7.0 23.9 2.8 course content. 39.4 25.4 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 D N SD Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 3.900 0.819 148 70 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-12 Department 4.074 0.955 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 7.0 16.9 53.5 21.1 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 3 914 0.880 70 8. instructor provided well-Department 148 3.980 1.066 defined course objectives. 1.4 7.0 12.7 54.9 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 3.743 1.073 70 148 9. instructor provided content/ Department 3.878 1.142 29.6 29.6 materials clear/organized. 2.8 8.5 28.2 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 70 4.086 0.913 Class 10. I understood how grades Department 4.155 36.6 were assigned in this course. 1.4 4.2 15.5 40.8 1 4 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 0.778 70 Class 3.943 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.054 148 0.806 2.8 23.9 47.9 1.4 objectives for this course. 0.0 23.9 College 4.210 0.821 4625 0.789 4.182 12. instructor was available to Department 0.841 148 1.4 5.6 8.5 56.3 26.8 1.4 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.149 0.860 148 in a timely manner. 1.4 5.6 16.9 26.8 4.234 0.976 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 3.700 70 1.012 14 instructor provided relevant Department 3 926 1 089 148 feedback that helped me learn. 26.8 38.0 0.976 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 3 729 0 931 70 15. instructor set + maintained 148 18 33 Department 3.986 0.990 18.3 College high standards for students. 2.8 5.6 25.4 46.5 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 786 1 034 70 16. physical environment 147 13 Department 4.034 1.003 5.6 4.2 18.3 47.9 22.5 was conducive to learning. College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT Good....(4) IB=In Between...(3) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 8.0 151 Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.179 1.078 78 1. your satisfaction with the Department 148 2.0 3.919 1.072 51.3 instruction in this course. 7.5 11.3 25.0 College 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 179 1 159 78 2. the instructor as a teacher. 148 Department 3.872 1.191 3.8 7.5 12.5 17.5 56.3 2.5 College 4.242 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 115 1 238 78 3. the ability of the instructor 11 Department 1.375 148 3.642 to communicate effectively. 13.8 5.0 20.0 55.0 2.5 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 ----78 4.077 Class 1.137 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.878 1.110 7.5 3.8 13.8 25.0 47.5 2.5 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 78 Class 4.308 0.827 5. the fairness of procedures Department 2.5 for grading this course. 1.3 7.5 40.0 46.3 2.5 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 36685 Class 4.065 Department 6. your understanding of the 3.932 0.970 147 1.3 6.3 11.3 43.8 course content. 33.8 3.8 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 4.231 1.044 148 78 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.074 0.955 phere conducive to learning. 7.5 6.3 30.0 51.3 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 038 1 211 78 8. instructor provided well-Department 1.066 148 3.980 5.0 11.3 defined course objectives. 5.0 30.0 46.3 2.5 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.000 1.195 78 148 9. instructor provided content/ Department 3.878 1.142 5.0 11.3 42.5 materials clear/organized. 5.0 33.8 2.5 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 78 4.218 1.065 Class 10. I understood how grades Department 4.155 were assigned in this course. 6.3 1.3 5.0 37.5 47.5 2.5 College University 4 323 0 877 4632 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 78 4.154 0.823 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.054 0.806 7.5 51.3 objectives for this course. 1.3 3.8 33.8 2.5 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 4.219 0.789 0.814 12. instructor was available to Department 4.182 0.841 148 assist students outside class. 0.0 2.5 13.8 31.3 50.0 2.5 College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class 4.333 Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.149 0.860 148 in a timely manner. 0.0 11.3 35.0 48.8 2.5 4.234 0.976 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 78 4.128 14 instructor provided relevant Department 3.926 1 089 148 feedback that helped me learn. College 0.976 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4.218 0 989 78 15. instructor set + maintained Department 3.986 148 0.990 37.5 high standards for students. 6.3 5.0 46.3 College University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.260 0 923 77 16. physical environment 147 Department 1.003 4.034 was conducive to learning. 2.5 2.5 8.8 36.3 46.3 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 15 IB=In Between...(3) 80 Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S.D.}}$ is the Standard Deviation and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{\#R}}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.133 0.516 Class 15 1. your satisfaction with the Department 4.225 0.595 80 instruction in this course. 0.0 6.7 73.3 20.0 0.0 College 4.178 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 133 0 640 15 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.275 0.656 80 4640 0.0 0.0 13.3 60.0 26.7 0.0 College 4.242 1.004 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 200 0 676 15 3. the ability of the instructor 0 80 Department 4.288 0.783 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 13.3 53.3 33.3 0.0 College 1.007 4633 4.196 University 4.211 0.959 36691 15 4.067 0.799 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.250 0.684 0.0 6.7 6.7 60.0 26.7 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 15 4.200 Class 0.561 4.275 5. the fairness of procedures Department 0.811 26.7 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 6.7 66.7 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 36685 Class 4.067 0.799 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.325 0.671 80 60.0 6.7 6.7 26.7 course content. 0.0 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ -----15 3.867 0.834 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.213 0.650 80 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 20.0 53.3 20.0 0.0 College 4635 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 067 0 458 15 8. instructor provided well-Department 4.250 80 0.606 4634 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 6.7 80.0 13.3 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 University 4.263 0.851 36606 15 Class 4.000 0.845 9. instructor provided content/ Department 0.787 80 4.163 materials clear/organized. 0.0 6.7 13.3 53.3 26.7 0.0 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 15 Class 4.267 0.594 10. I understood how grades Department 4.367 0.701 79 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 6.7 60.0 33.3 0.0 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.594 15 4.267 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.253 0.630 1 6.7 0.0 60.0 33.3 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 4.163 0.818 80 0.0 6 40.0 26.7 0.0 33.3 0.0 4630 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 University 4.255 0.845 Class 13. instructor provided feedback Department 4.188 0.828 80 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 20.0 53.3 0.0 4.234 0.976 4630 College University 4.235 0.910 36593 1.060 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 200 0.818 80 feedback that helped me learn. 20.0 46.7 College 0.976 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 071 0.616 14 15. instructor set + maintained 79 Department 4.165 0.758 60.0 College high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 13.3 20.0 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 800 0.862 15 16. physical environment 0.729 79 Department 4.139 0 1 4 7 3 0.0 6.7 26.7 46.7 20.0 was conducive to learning. 0.0 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information	Nι	umber o	f St	udents:			Resp	onse Key	:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES	# Sheets	Sheets Scanned: This Level Your Dept Your College					VG= Very Good(5) G= Good(4) 34 IB=In Between(3) 80 P= Poor(2) 4679 VP= Very Poor(1)					
Frequencies (top row) and percents S.D. is the Standard Deviation and			are	provided	to the	right of			r(1)			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G Vario	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R		
your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 8.8		7 20.6	0	Class Department College University	4.178	0.537 0.595 1.000 0.929	34 80 4641 36732		
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0.0	2 5.9		10 29.4	0	Class Department College University	4.242	0.554 0.656 1.004 0.931	34 80 4640 36713		
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 2.9	2 5.9		15 44.1	0.0	Class Department College University	4.196	0.727 0.783 1.007 0.959	34 80 4633 36691		
4. the quality of this course.	0 0 0 . 0	1 2.9	2 5.9		9 26.5	0.0	Class Department College University	4.159	0.657 0.684 0.980 0.917	34 80 4635 36669		
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1 2.9	1 2.9	0.0		16 47.1	0	Class Department College University	4.293	0.878 0.811 0.933 0.901	34 80 4635 36685		
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 2.9	0.0		13 38.2	0	Class Department College University	4.123	0.638 0.671 0.949 0.853	34 80 4626 36641		
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R		
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 5.9		9 26.5	0	Class Department College University	4.283	0.538 0.650 0.896 0.843	34 80 4635 36613		
8. instructor provided well- defined course objectives.	0	0	1 2.9		10 29.4	0.0	Class Department College University	4.265 4.250 4.220 4.263	0.511 0.606 0.923 0.851	34 80 4634 36606		
 instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized. 	0	2 5.9	2 5.9		9 26.5	0.0	Class Department College University	4.142	0.753 0.787 1.012 0.938	34 80 4628 36602		
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0.0	1 2.9	2.9		18 52.9	0	Class Department College University	4.323	0.705 0.701 0.877 0.853	34 79 4632 36594		
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.		0.0		58.8	11 32.4	0	Class Department College University	4.210	0.606 0.630 0.821 0.789			
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.		1 2.9		. 20 58.8	11 32.4	0	Class Department College University	4.163 4.248 4.255	0.857 0.818 0.897 0.845	34 80 4630 36566		
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2.9	2.9	0.0	18 52.9	14 41.2	0.0	Class Department College University	4.265 4.188 4.234 4.235	0.864 0.828 0.976 0.910	34 80 4630 36593		
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1 2.9	0.0	4		12 35.3	0	Class Department College University	4.147 4.200 4.186	0.857 0.818 0.976	34 80 4630		
15. instructor set + maintained	0.0	1 2.9	23.5	15 44.1	10 29.4	0	Class Department College University	4.165 4.271 4.289	0.873 0.805	79 4631 36555		
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	2	25 73.5	6 17.6	0.0	Class Department College University	4.059 4.139 4.264	0.600 0.729	34 79 4621 36516		

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 31 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 80 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN ______ 4.387 31 0.667 1. your satisfaction with the Department 4.225 0.595 80 instruction in this course. 0.0 9.7 41.9 48.4 0.0 College 4.178 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 387 0 761 31 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.275 0.656 80 4640 0.0 3.2 6.5 38.7 51.6 0.0 College 1.004 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 290 0 902 31 3. the ability of the instructor 0 Department 4.288 0.783 80 to communicate effectively. 0.0 3.2 19.4 22.6 0.0 College 1.007 4633 4.196 University 4.211 0.959 36691 31 4.452 Class 0.624 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.250 0.684 0.0 0.0 6.5 41.9 51.6 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 31 4.258 Class 0.855 4.275 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 0.0 3 2 16 1 32 3 48.4 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 Class 0.624 4.452 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.325 0.671 80 0.0 41.9 course content. 0.0 6.5 51.6 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 31 4.387 0.615 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.213 0.650 80 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 6.5 48.4 45.2 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 323 0 748 31 8. instructor provided well-Department 4.250 0.606 80 4634 defined course objectives. 0.0 3.2 6.5 45.2 45.2 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 University 4.263 0.851 36606 31 Class 4.323 0.791 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.163 0.787 80 48.4 materials clear/organized. 0.0 3.2 9.7 38.7 0.0 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 30 0.758 Class 4.333 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 0.0 3.2 6.5 41.9 45.2 3 2 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.691 4.267 30 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.253 0.0 12.9 objectives for this course. 0.0 45.2 38.7 3.2 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 4.219 0.789 0.805 4.163 12. instructor was available to Department 0.818 80 0.0 0.0 22.6 32.3 45.2 0.0 4630 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.188 0.828 80 in a timely manner. 0.0 19.4 35.5 41.9 0.0 4.234 0.976 4630 College University 4.235 0.910 36593 31 4.419 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 200 0.818 80 feedback that helped me learn. College 0.976 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 387 0 715 31 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.165 0.758 79 51.6 College high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 35.5 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.400 0 724 3.0 16. physical environment 0.729 Department 4.139 79 was conducive to learning. 0.0 3.2 3.2 41.9 48.4 College 4.264 University 4.238 4621 0.899 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: ECONOMICS Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 564 Your Dept 707 IB=In Between...(3) Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN S.D. AREA 4.099 0.895 557 1. your satisfaction with the 202 Department 69 251 4.089 0.905 697 instruction in this course. 4.8 12.2 College 1.000 44.5 35.8 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 171 0 912 557 Department 4.164 2. the instructor as a teacher. 60 228 234 697 26 0.923 1.6 4.6 10.6 40.4 41.5 1.2 College 4 242 1.004 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 072 0 924 557 78 234 205 3. the ability of the instructor 33 Department 4.079 697 0.941 to communicate effectively. 1.2 5.9 13.8 College 1.007 4.196 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.982 0.859 557 Class 90 277 4. the quality of this course. 30 Department 3.986 0.886 697 0.9 5.3 16.0 49.1 27.5 1.2 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 4.158 Class 0.920 556 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 1.2 4.3 14.9 35.5 42.7 1.4 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 4.279 0.901 Class 3.841 Department 3.831 6. your understanding of the 11 44 106 254 139 1.0 0.963 694 7.8 18.8 course content. 2.0 45.0 24.6 1.8 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 556 4.243 0.755 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-12 53 267 221 Department 4.239 0.783 696 phere conducive to learning. 0.5 2.1 9.4 47.3 39.2 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 0.812 556 8. instructor provided well-20 53 287 190 Department 4.159 696 0.844 defined course objectives. 1.1 3.5 9.4 College 4.220 0.923 4634 50.9 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.065 0.908 556 9. instructor provided content/ 31 253 193 Department 0.937 696 4.068 5.5 12.6 materials clear/organized. 44.9 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 4.211 0.839 Class 555 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 0.9 3.9 9.4 43.6 40.6 1.6 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.045 0.782 554 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.014 91 0.830 2.8 16.1 objectives for this course. 0.5 50.9 27.8 1.8 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 4.219 0.789 12. instructor was available to 132 257 11 Department 3.960 0.866 693 135 0.9 4.3 assist students outside class. 23.4 45.6 23.9 2.0 College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 21 100 268 159 10 4.026 0.868 694 1.1 3.7 17.7 47.5 0.976 in a timely manner. 28.2 1.8 College 4.234 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.899 14 instructor provided relevant 3.0 101 260 156 Department 3.974 0 915 695 feedback that helped me learn. 5.3 17.9 46.1 27.7 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 -----Class 4 126 0 785 554 15. instructor set + maintained 80 Department 4.154 12 272 186 1.0 0.792 694 33.0 1.8 College high standards for students. 0.7 2.1 14.2 48.2 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.166 0 828 553 16. physical environment 58 262 207 21 693 Department 4.190 0.825 0.9 3.7 10.3 46.5 was conducive to learning. College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 36.7 2.0 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: ECONOMICS Good....(4) IB=In Between...(3) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 131 Your Dept 707 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN S.D. 4.054 0.955 129 1. your satisfaction with the Department 19 4.089 0.905 697 3.1 14.5 instruction in this course. 42.7 35.1 College 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 140 0 990 129 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.164 697 10 0.923 2.3 6.9 7.6 39.7 42.0 1.5 College 4.242 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 093 1 027 129 3. the ability of the instructor 8 20 Department 4.079 697 0.941 to communicate effectively. 6.1 15.3 31.3 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.984 1.008 129 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.986 0.886 697 3.8 3.1 18.3 38.9 34.4 1.5 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.341 0.906 129 5. the fairness of procedures Department 0.919 for grading this course. 1.5 3.8 8.4 30.5 54.2 1.5 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 4.279 0.901 Class 1.017 Department 3.831 6. your understanding of the 36 46 0.963 694 3.1 6.1 27.5 course content. 35.1 26.7 1.5 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 129 4.225 0.886 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-12 53 Department 4.239 0.783 696 phere conducive to learning. 3.8 9.2 40.5 43.5 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 225 0 978 129 8. instructor provided well-50 Department 4.159 0.844 696 4.6 defined course objectives. 3.1 6.1 46.6 College 4.220 0.923 4634 38.2 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.062 1.066 129 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.068 0.937 696 29.8 43.5 materials clear/organized. 6.1 16.0 College University 4.142 1.012 4628 4.191 0.938 36602 4.302 Class 0.924 129 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 3.1 2.3 6.1 37.4 49.6 1.5 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 3.891 1.002 129 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.014 0.830 694 8.4 20.6 objectives for this course. 1.5 36.6 31.3 1.5 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 4.219 0.789 0.882 12. instructor was available to Department 3.960 0.866 693 assist students outside class. 2.3 0.8 13.0 37.4 45.0 1.5 College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.026 0.868 694 in a timely manner. 2.3 4.6 10.7 40.5 40.5 1.5 0.976 College 4.234 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.980 4.093 14 instructor provided relevant Department 3.974 0 915 695 feedback that helped me learn. 38.2 0.976 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 279 0.819 129 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.154 15 52 59 0.792 694 high standards for students. 1.5 0.8 11.5 39.7 45.0 College 4.271 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.279 0.819 129 16. physical environment 50 15 Department 4.190 693 0.825 2.3 11.5 38.2 45.8 was conducive to learning. 0.8 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level IB=In Between...(3) 12 Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S.D.}}$ is the Standard Deviation and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{\#R}}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.000 0.894 Class 11 1. your satisfaction with the Department 697 4.089 0.905 instruction in this course. 8.3 8.3 50.0 25.0 8.3 College 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 ----Class 4 091 0 701 11 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.164 697 0.923 0.0 16.7 0.0 50.0 25.0 8.3 College 4.242 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 273 0 786 11 3. the ability of the instructor 0 Department 4.079 0.941 697 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 16.7 33.3 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.182 0.751 11 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.986 0.886 41.7 33.3 0.0 0.0 16.7 8.3 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 4.273 Class 0.905 11 5. the fairness of procedures Department 0.919 41.7 41.7 for grading this course. 0.0 8.3 0.0 8.3 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 Class 4.000 Department 6. your understanding of the 3.831 0.963 694 0.0 16.7 58.3 16.7 0.0 8.3 course content. College 4.123 0.949 4626 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R ______ ------4.182 0.982 Class 11 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.239 0.783 696 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 8.3 8.3 33.3 41.7 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 273 0 786 11 8. instructor provided well-Department 4.159 0.844 696 41.7 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 16.7 33.3 8.3 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.273 0.786 11 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.068 0.937 696 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 16.7 33.3 41.7 College University 4.142 1.012 4628 4.191 0.938 36602 4.091 Class 1.044 11 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 0.0 8.3 16.7 25.0 41 7 8 3 College University 4 323 0.877 4632 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 11 3.909 0.944 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.014 0.830 1 2 8.3 16.7 5 41.7 25.0 8.3 objectives for this course. 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 3.960 0.866 693 0.0 2 16.7 6 50.0 assist students outside class. 0.0 25.0 8.3 College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class 13. instructor provided feedback Department 4.026 0.868 694 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 25.0 33.3 4.234 0.976 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 3.909 0.944 14 instructor provided relevant Department 3 974 0 915 695 feedback that helped me learn. 41.7 College 0.976 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4.091 0 701 11 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.154 0.792 694 0.0 16.7 50.0 high standards for students. 0.0 College 4.271 4631 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.364 0 674 11 16. physical environment Department 0.825 693 5 5 41.7 41.7 4.190 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 8.3 8.3 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Tev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Good....(4) $\mbox{\tt\#}$ Sheets Scanned: This Level 5 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.600 0.548 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 23 4.217 0.850 instruction in this course. 0.0 0.0 40.0 60.0 0.0 College 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 600 0 548 5 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.391 23 0.656 4640 0.0 0.0 0.0 40.0 60.0 0.0 College 4.242 1.004 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 400 0 894 5 3. the ability of the instructor 0 23 Department 0.593 4.522 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 20.0 20.0 60.0 0.0 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.000 0.707 5 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.957 1.022 0.0 0.0 20.0 60.0 20.0 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.400 0.894 5. the fairness of procedures Department 20.0 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 20.0 60.0 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 36685 _____ Class 4.200 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.478 0.511 23 80.0 0.0 0.0 20.0 course content. 0.0 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #₽ ______ ______ 4.200 0.447 23 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.217 0.795 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 80.0 20.0 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 200 0 447 5 8. instructor provided well-0 Department 4.261 0.619 23 20.0 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 0.0 80.0 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.400 0.548 5 9. instructor provided content/ Department 0.593 4.522 40.0 4.142 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 0.0 60.0 0.0 College 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 0.894 Class 4.400 10. I understood how grades Department 4.478 23 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 20.0 20.0 60 0 0.0 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.548 4.600 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.478 0.511 0.0 40.0 60.0 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 0.789 -----0.837 12. instructor was available to Department 4.273 0.767 22 20.0 40.0 0.0 0.0 40.0 0.0 4630 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 University 4.255 0.845 Class 13. instructor provided feedback Department 4.261 0.619 2.3 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 20.0 40.0 40.0 0.0 4.234 0.976 4630 College University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.400 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 174 0 778 23 feedback that helped me learn. 60.0 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 200 0.447 15. instructor set + maintained Department 23 3.870 1.058 80.0 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 0.873 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.400 0 894 5 23 16. physical environment 3.957 Department 1.022 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 20.0 20.0 60.0 0.0 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 600. 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Good....(4) IB=In Between...(3) $\mbox{\tt\#}$ Sheets Scanned: This Level 1.8 Your Dept 23 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.111 0.900 18 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 4.217 0.850 23 instruction in this course. 5.6 16.7 38.9 38.9 0.0 College 4.178 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 333 0 686 1.8 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.391 0.656 23 4640 0.0 0.0 11.1 44.4 44.4 0.0 College 4.242 1.004 University 4.264 0.931 36713 18 Class 4 556 0 511 3. the ability of the instructor 0 0 Department 23 4.522 0.593 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 0.0 44.4 0.0 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 18 3.944 Class 1.110 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.957 1.022 5.6 11.1 44.4 33.3 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 18 Class 4.389 0.698 5. the fairness of procedures Department 0.0 11.1 38.9 50.0 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 36685 18 Class 4.556 0.511 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.478 0.511 23 0.0 0.0 44.4 4626 course content. 0.0 55.6 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 18 4.222 0.878 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.217 0.795 23 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 5.6 11.1 38.9 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 278 0 669 1.8 8. instructor provided well-Department 4.261 23 0.619 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 11.1 50.0 38.9 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 1631 University 4.263 0.851 36606 18 Class 4.556 0.616 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.522 0.593 23 61.1 4.142 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 5.6 33.3 0.0 College 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 18 0.618 Class 4.500 10. I understood how grades Department 4.478 38.9 55.6 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 5.6 0.0 College University 4 323 0 877 4632 4.319 0.853 36594 18 Class 0.511 4.444 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.478 0.511 0.0 55.6 44.4 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 4.273 0.767 22 16.7 0.0 0.0 33.3 44.4 5.6 4630 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.261 0.619 2.3 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 5.6 61.1 33.3 4.234 0.976 4630 College University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.111 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 174 0 778 23 feedback that helped me learn. 27.8 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 3 778 1 166 18 15. instructor set + maintained Department 3.870 23 1.058 College high standards for students. 11.1 11.1 44.4 0.873 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 833 1 043 1.8 16. physical environment Department 3.957 1.022 23 5.6 5.6 11.1 0.0 was conducive to learning. 55.6 22.2 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: MICROBIOLOGY Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 406 Your Dept 686 IB=In Between...(3) Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P IB G VG OMIT MEAN S.D. AREA 3.200 405 1.541 1. your satisfaction with the 82 75 Department 3.681 68 126 1.436 683 instruction in this course. 20.2 18.5 13.3 College 1.000 16.7 31.0 University 4.199 0.929 36732 404 Class 3 255 1 599 2. the instructor as a teacher. 90 65 43 64 Department 3.725 142 1.483 681 22.2 16.0 10.6 15.8 35.0 0.5 College 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 3 405 1 470 405 65 58 82 3. the ability of the instructor 65 135 Department 3.791 681 1.367 to communicate effectively. 16.0 14.3 16.0 20.2 College 1.007 4.196 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.369 404 Class 1.437 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.784 1.328 681 13.8 18.5 15.5 20.7 31.0 0.5 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 3.687 1.264 406 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 8.9 8.9 20.4 28.3 33.5 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 4.279 0.901 Class 3.453 Department 3.808 6. your understanding of the 46 5.8 79 112 111 1.220 682 course content. 11.3 14.3 19.5 27.6 27.3 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 D N SD Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. _______ ______ 406 3.414 1.425 Class 57 7. instructor created an atmos-63 69 89 128 Department 3.836 1.318 684 phere conducive to learning. 14.0 15.5 17.0 21.9 31.5 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 3 539 1 354 406 8. instructor provided well-47 50 76 103 130 Department 684 3.877 1.263 defined course objectives. 11.6 12.3 18.7 College 4.220 0.923 4634 25.4 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 3.376 1.455 404 9. instructor provided content/ 58 61 Department 3.726 1.384 682 4.142 materials clear/organized. 14.3 18.2 15.0 19.7 32.3 0.5 College 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 3.796 1.227 Class 406 10. I understood how grades Department 4.067 were assigned in this course. 7.4 9.4 15.0 32.8 35.5 0.0 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 3.795 1.075 404 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.051 0.996 6.2 21.7 objectives for this course. 4.9 38.4 28.3 0.5 College 4.210 0.821 4625 0.789 12. instructor was available to 38 53 88 100 ٥ Department 3.955 127 1.196 684 assist students outside class. 9.4 13.1 21.7 0.0 24.6 31.3 College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 103 40 55 0 3.705 1.470 684 25.4 9.9 13.5 18.7 0.0 4.234 0.976 in a timely manner. 32.5 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 14 instructor provided relevant 56 65 127 Department 3.764 1 377 682 feedback that helped me learn. 17.7 13.8 16.0 20.9 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 -----Class 3 539 1.396 406 52 52 63 15. instructor set + maintained 103 Department 3.911 136 1.265 684 College high standards for students. 12.8 12.8 15.5 25.4 33.5 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 584 1 361 406 16. physical environment 102 138 3.928 Department 1.248 683 was conducive to learning. 11.6 11.6 17.7 25.1 34.0 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information	Number of Students:					Response Key:					
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Course Dept: MICROBIOLOGY		ets Scan	3	This Lev	t	244 686	G= IB=I P=	Goo n Betwee Poo	od(5) od(4) en(3) or(2)		
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation ar			are p		to the			very Poc	or(1)		
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2 0.8	6 2.5	21 8.6	66 27.0	147 60.2	2 0.8	Class Department College University	4.178	0.819 1.436 1.000 0.929	242 683 4641 36732	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3 1.2	7 2.9	20 8.2	52 21.4	159 65.4	2 0.8	Class Department College University	4.242	0.862 1.483 1.004 0.931	241 681 4640 36713	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3 1.2	8 3.3	27 11.1	53 21.8	150 61.7	2 0.8	Class Department College University	4.196	0.904 1.367 1.007 0.959	241 681 4633 36691	
4. the quality of this course.	2	6 2.5	20 8.2	71 29.2	142 58.4	2 0.8	Class Department College University	4.159	0.814 1.328 0.980 0.917	241 681 4635 36669	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1 0.4	0.4	21 8.6	54 22.2	165 67.9	10.4	Class Department College University	4.293	0.703 1.177 0.933 0.901	242 684 4635 36685	
6. your understanding of the course content.	2 0.8	5 2.1	20 8.2	91 37.4	122 50.2	3 1.2	Class Department College University	4.123	0.790 1.220 0.949 0.853	240 682 4626 36641	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	А	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	5 2.1	14 5.8	68 28.0	155 63.8	1 0.4	Class Department College University	4.283	0.700 1.318 0.896 0.843	242 684 4635 36613	
8. instructor provided well- defined course objectives.	3 1.2	11 4.5	20 8.2	59 24.3	149 61.3	1 0.4	Class Department College University	4.220	0.912 1.263 0.923 0.851	242 684 4634 36606	
9. instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized.	9 3.7	13 5.3	21 8.6	57 23.5	142 58.4	1 0.4	Class Department College University	4.142	1.072 1.384 1.012 0.938	242 682 4628 36602	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1 0.4	7 2.9	10 4.1	68 28.0	156 64.2	1 0.4	Class Department College University	4.323	0.746 1.136 0.877 0.853	242 684 4632 36594	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1 0.4	2	14 5.8	89 36.6	136 56.0	1 0.4	Class Department College University	4.051 4.210 4.219	0.996 0.821 0.789		
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0.0	0.4	13 5.3	66 27.2	162 66.7	10.4	Class Department College University	4.607 3.955 4.248	0.610 1.196 0.897	242 684 4630	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	2.5		27.6	150 61.7	1 0.4	Class Department College University	4.234 4.235	0.976 0.910	242 684 4630 36593	
	1	9	17		151	1 0.4		4.467 3.764 4.186 4.186	0.815 1.377 0.976 0.918	242 682 4630 36570	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.				64 26.3		1 0.4	Class Department College University	4.512 3.911 4.271	0.752 1.265 0.873	242 684 4631	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.				27.2		1 0.4	Class Department College University	3.928 4.264 4.238	0.899	683 4621 36516	

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Good....(4) IB=In Between...(3) $\mbox{\tt\#}$ Sheets Scanned: This Level 36 686 Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 3.944 1.170 36 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 683 3.681 1.436 instruction in this course. 8.3 11.1 36.1 38.9 0.0 College 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 ----Class 3 944 1 286 36 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 3.725 1.483 681 8.3 8.3 8.3 30.6 44.4 0.0 College 4 242 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 029 1 175 35 3. the ability of the instructor 0 Department 3.791 681 1.367 to communicate effectively. 0.0 13.9 33.3 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 0.979 36 Class 4.111 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.784 1.328 2.8 5.6 8.3 44.4 38.9 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 3.889 1.304 36 5. the fairness of procedures Department 11.1 2.8 13.9 for grading this course. 30.6 41.7 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 Class 4.139 36 Department 6. your understanding of the 3.808 1.220 682 0.0 2.8 16.7 course content. 44.4 36.1 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 1.222 3.861 36 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-13 Department 3.836 1.318 684 phere conducive to learning. 5.6 13.9 36.1 36.1 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 139 0 931 36 8. instructor provided well-16 Department 684 3.877 1.263 defined course objectives. 2.8 11.1 44.4 38.9 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 3.917 1.180 36 9. instructor provided content/ Department 3.726 1.384 682 4.142 38.9 materials clear/organized. 5.6 8.3 13.9 33.3 0.0 College 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 4.000 1.242 Class 36 10. I understood how grades Department 4.067 were assigned in this course. 11.1 0.0 8.3 38.9 41.7 0.0 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.083 0.874 36 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.051 0 6 0.0 16.7 0.996 47.2 objectives for this course. 2.8 33.3 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 3.955 1.196 684 13.9 0.0 8.3 38.9 38.9 0.0 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 3.705 1.470 684 in a timely manner. 8.3 5.6 44.4 33.3 0.0 4.234 0.976 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 1.215 3.771 14 instructor provided relevant Department 3 764 1 377 682 feedback that helped me learn. 36.1 College 4.186 0.976 University 4.186 0.918 36570 ----Class 4 056 0.826 36 15. instructor set + maintained Department 684 3.911 1.265 33.3 high standards for students. 0.0 2.8 41.7 College University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 886 1 157 35 16. physical environment 1.248 683 Department 3.928 5.6 8.3 11.1 was conducive to learning. 38.9 33.3 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 53 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 66 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.679 0.471 53 1. your satisfaction with the Department 4.697 0.463 66 instruction in this course. 0.0 0.0 32.1 67.9 0.0 College 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 755 0 477 53 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.773 0.457 66 0.0 0.0 1.9 20.8 77.4 0.0 College 4 242 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 731 0 490 52 3. the ability of the instructor 0 0 Department 65 4.723 0.484 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 1.9 22.6 73.6 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 53 4.585 0.570 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.591 0.554 0.0 0.0 3.8 34.0 62.3 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 52 4.769 Class 0.469 5. the fairness of procedures Department 77.4 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 1.9 18.9 1.9 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 Class 4.538 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.600 0.581 65 0.0 5.7 course content. 0.0 34.0 58.5 1.9 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 53 4.623 0.527 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.652 0.511 66 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 34.0 64.2 0.0 College 4635 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 660 0 517 53 8. instructor provided well-0 16 Department 4.667 0.506 66 4634 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 1.9 30.2 67.9 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 University 4.263 0.851 36606 53 Class 4.623 0.562 9. instructor provided content/ Department 0.536 4.667 66 4.142 66.0 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 30.2 0.0 College 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 0.522 Class 4.642 53 10. I understood how grades Department 4.667 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 1.9 32.1 66.0 0.0 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 0.575 Class 53 4.528 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.561 0.558 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 3.8 39.6 56.6 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 0.789 4.773 12. instructor was available to Department 0.457 66 0.0 0.0 1.9 18.9 79.2 0.0 4630 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 0 4.773 0.457 66 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 20.8 0.0 4.234 0.976 4630 College University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.517 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 667 0 506 66 feedback that helped me learn. 30.2 4.186 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 642 0 484 53 Department 4.591 15. instructor set + maintained Ω 19 Λ 0.554 66 College high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 0.0 35.8 64.2 4.271 0.873 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.509 0 639 53 16. physical environment Department 4.561 0.611 66 0.0 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 7.5 34.0 58.5 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 600 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT Good....(4) 13 # Sheets Scanned: This Level IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 66 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.769 0.439 13 1. your satisfaction with the Department 4.697 0.463 66 instruction in this course. 0.0 0.0 23.1 76.9 0.0 College 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 846 0 376 13 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.773 0.457 66 4640 0.0 0.0 0.0 15.4 84.6 0.0 College 4 242 1.004 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 692 0 480 13 3. the ability of the instructor 0 0 Department 4.723 0.484 65 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 0.0 30.8 69.2 0.0 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 13 4.615 Class 0.506 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.591 0.554 0.0 0.0 0.0 38.5 61.5 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 13 0.555 Class 4.846 5. the fairness of procedures Department 0.484 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 7.7 0.0 92.3 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 36685 Class 13 4.846 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.600 0.581 65 0.0 15.4 84.6 course content. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 13 4.769 0.439 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.652 0.511 66 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 23.1 76.9 0.0 College 4635 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 692 0 480 13 8. instructor provided well-0 Department 4.667 0.506 66 69.2 4634 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 0.0 30.8 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 University 4.263 0.851 36606 13 Class 4.846 0.376 9. instructor provided content/ Department 0.536 4.667 66 4.142 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 0.0 15.4 84.6 0.0 College 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 13 4.769 0.439 Class 10. I understood how grades Department 4.667 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 23.1 76.9 0.0 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 13 4.692 0.480 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.561 0.558 0.0 30.8 69.2 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 0.789 13 4.773 12. instructor was available to Department 0.457 66 0.0 0.0 0.0 23.1 76.9 0.0 4630 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 University 4.255 0.845 Class 13 Department 13. instructor provided feedback 0 4.773 0.457 66 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 0.0 15.4 84.6 0.0 4.234 0.976 4630 College University 4.235 0.910 36593 13 0.480 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 667 0 506 66 feedback that helped me learn. 30.8 College 4.186 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 385 0 768 13 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.591 0.554 66 30.8 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 College 4.271 0.873 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.769 0 439 13 16. physical environment Department 4.561 0.611 66 0.0 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 23.1 76.9 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: PLANT SCIENCES Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 257 Your Dept 875 IB=In Between...(3) Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.067 0.893 254 1. your satisfaction with the Department 12 39 111 4.367 0.782 870 instruction in this course. 4.7 15.2 34.6 College 1.000 43.2 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 134 0 879 254 2. the instructor as a teacher. 113 Department 4.447 0.777 871 32 1.6 3.5 12.5 44.0 37.4 1.2 College 4.242 1.004 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 197 0 839 254 3. the ability of the instructor 8 33 106 105 Department 870 4.369 0.813 12.8 to communicate effectively. 0.8 3.1 College 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.980 253 Class 0.940 107 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.282 0.844 1.6 5.8 17.5 41.6 31.9 1.6 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 4.107 Class 0.935 253 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 0.8 6.2 14.8 36.6 40.1 1.6 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 4.279 0.901 Class 0.872 4.012 Department 4.272 6. your understanding of the 14 3.4 127 0.837 869 1.2 5.4 13.2 course content. 49.4 28.8 1.9 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 D N SD Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 253 4.269 0.717 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-19 128 100 Department 4.463 0.689 869 phere conducive to learning. 1.9 7.4 49.8 38.9 College 0.896 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 0.803 253 8. instructor provided well-8 34 116 Department 4.384 0.769 868 0.4 3.1 defined course objectives. 13.2 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.047 0.929 253 9. instructor provided content/ 20 31 111 Department 4.307 0.852 867 4.142 7.8 12.1 materials clear/organized. 0.8 43.2 34.6 College 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 0.721 Class 4.281 253 10. I understood how grades Department 4.495 were assigned in this course. 0.4 1.9 7.4 48.6 40.1 1.6 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.770 252 4.063 11. I met/exceeded the course 40 Department 4.304 0.772 866 objectives for this course. 0.0 3.5 15.6 50.2 28.8 1.9 College 4.210 0.821 4625 0.789 0.693 12. instructor was available to 104 121 Department 4.480 0.710 25 868 0.0 0.8 1.9 assist students outside class. 9.7 40.5 47.1 College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 18 115 115 4.535 0.650 868 in a timely manner. 0.0 1.9 7.0 44.7 1.6 4.234 0.976 44.7 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.794 14 instructor provided relevant 31 122 Department 4.402 0 757 869 feedback that helped me learn. College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 249 0 716 253 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.446 32 117 101 0.678 869 College high standards for students. 0.0 1.2 12.5 45.5 39.3 4.271 0.873 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.217 0 732 253 28 16. physical environment 126 0.721 868 Department 4.411 0.4 1.6 10.9 was conducive to learning. 49.0 36.6 College 4.264 University 4.238 4621 0.899 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: PLANT SCIENCES Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 522 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 875 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN AREA 4.478 0.710 521 1. your satisfaction with the Department 10 18 194 296 4.367 0.782 870 instruction in this course. College 1.000 1.9 3.4 37.2 56.7 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 559 0 708 521 2. the instructor as a teacher. 143 Department 4.447 0.777 871 341 0.6 1.3 5.2 27.4 65.3 0.2 College 1.004 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 428 0.808 521 3. the ability of the instructor 34 168 300 Department 870 14 4.369 0.813 to communicate effectively. 1.0 2.7 6.5 0.2 College 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 0.798 521 Class 4.382 193 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.282 0.844 1.0 1.5 7.9 37.0 52.5 0.2 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.583 0.666 521 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 0.2 1.5 4.2 27.8 66.1 0.2 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 4.279 0.901 Class 4.374 0.823 Department 4.272 6. your understanding of the 13 40 183 279 0.837 869 1.1 2.5 7.7 course content. 35.1 53.4 0.2 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 520 4.527 0.677 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-21 173 316 Department 4.463 0.689 869 phere conducive to learning. 1.7 4.0 33.1 60.5 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 456 0 754 520 8. instructor provided well-11 26 182 297 Department 4.384 0.769 868 defined course objectives. 0.8 2.1 0.4 College 4.220 0.923 4634 5.0 34.9 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.420 0.796 519 9. instructor provided content/ 35 173 293 Department 4.307 0.852 867 materials clear/organized. 0.8 2.7 6.7 33.1 56.1 0.6 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 Class 4.604 0.634 520 10. I understood how grades Department 4.495 were assigned in this course. 0.2 1.0 4.0 27.8 66.7 0 4 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.765 519 4.426 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.304 0.772 1.7 objectives for this course. 1.0 5.9 36.2 54.6 0.6 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 4.219 0.789 12. instructor was available to 137 323 Department 4.480 0.710 868 0.4 0.4 10.7 0.4 assist students outside class. 26.2 61.9 College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 2.6 145 346 4.535 0.650 868 in a timely manner. 0.4 0.2 5.0 27.8 0.4 4.234 0.976 66.3 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.741 14 instructor provided relevant 45 164 304 Department 4.402 0 757 869 feedback that helped me learn. 8.6 31.4 58.2 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 508 0.654 520 Department 4.446 15. instructor set + maintained 22 192 300 869 0.678 College high standards for students. 0.8 4.2 36.8 57.5 0.873 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.484 0 691 519 16. physical environment 29 184 298 0.721 Department 4.411 868 was conducive to learning. 0.4 1.1 5.6 35.2 57.1 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S T.ev: 600. 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: PLANT SCIENCES Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 96 IB=In Between...(3) 875 Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.558 95 Class 0.614 1. your satisfaction with the Department 870 4.367 0.782 instruction in this course. 1.0 3.1 34.4 60.4 College 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 667 0 592 96 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.447 0.777 871 0.0 0.0 6.3 20.8 72.9 0.0 College 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 505 0 698 95 3. the ability of the instructor 0 28 58 Department 870 4.369 0.813 to communicate effectively. 0.0 1.0 8.3 29.2 60.4 College 1.007 4.196 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.537 0.561 95 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.282 0.844 0.0 0.0 3.1 39.6 56.3 1.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.537 0.649 95 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 8.3 29.2 61.5 1.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 Class 0.657 4.396 Department 6. your understanding of the 43 4.272 0.837 869 47.9 course content. 0.0 1.0 6.3 44.8 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 4.625 0.567 96 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.463 0.689 869 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 4.2 29.2 66.7 0.0 College 0.896 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 579 0 629 95 8. instructor provided well-29 Department 4.384 868 0.769 defined course objectives. 0.0 1.0 4.2 30.2 63.5 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.379 0.788 95 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.307 0.852 867 4.142 33.3 53.1 materials clear/organized. 0.0 3.1 9.4 College 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 4.474 0.727 Class 10. I understood how grades Department 4.495 were assigned in this course. 0.0 1.0 10.4 28.1 59.4 1.0 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.274 0.659 95 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.304 0.772 0.0 11.5 objectives for this course. 0.0 49.0 38.5 1.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 4.480 0.710 868 0.0 0.0 5.2 18.8 76.0 0.0 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.535 0.650 868 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 1.0 29.2 68.8 1.0 4.234 0.976 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.656 0.577 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 402 0 757 869 feedback that helped me learn. 24.0 70.8 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4.635 0 583 96 15. instructor set + maintained 25 Department 4.446 869 66 0.678 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 5.2 26.0 68.8 College 4.271 0.873 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.531 0 753 96 16. physical environment 0.721 868 Department 4.411 0.0 was conducive to learning. 2.1 0.0 3.1 32.3 62.5 College 4.264 University 4.238 4621 0.899

0.881

36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY Good....(4) $\mbox{\tt\#}$ Sheets Scanned: This Level 1.8 IB=In Between...(3) 76 Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 1.056 3.944 18 1. your satisfaction with the Department 3.878 0.964 74 4641 instruction in this course. 0.0 22.2 38.9 33.3 0.0 College 1.000 4.178 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 222 0.808 1.8 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.122 74 0.875 4640 0.0 0.0 22.2 33.3 44.4 0.0 College 4.242 1.004 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 167 0 707 1.8 3. the ability of the instructor 0 72 Department 4.167 0.904 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 16.7 50.0 33.3 0.0 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 18 4.056 0.725 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.068 0.896 0.0 0.0 22.2 50.0 27.8 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 18 Class 3.889 0.900 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 0.0 5.6 27.8 38.9 27.8 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 36685 Class 3.944 18 Department 6. your understanding of the 3.892 0.900 74 0.0 27.8 50.0 22.2 4626 course content. 0.0 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 18 3.778 1.060 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 3.959 1.013 74 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 22.2 0.0 55.6 22.2 0.0 College 4635 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 000 1 029 1.8 8. instructor provided well-Department 4.108 0.869 74 44.4 defined course objectives. 0.0 16.7 33.3 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 1631 University 4.263 0.851 36606 18 Class 4.056 0.802 9. instructor provided content/ Department 3.986 0.914 27.8 materials clear/organized. 0.0 5.6 11.1 55.6 0.0 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 18 0.514 Class 4.167 10. I understood how grades Department 4.135 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 5.6 72.2 22 2 0.0 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 3.889 0.676 18 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.041 0.784 72.2 11.1 5.6 11.1 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 0.789 0.840 12. instructor was available to Department 4.284 0.929 74 16.7 0.0 5.6 50.0 27.8 0.0 4630 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.270 0.969 74 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 5.6 61.1 33.3 0.0 4.234 0.976 4630 College University 4.235 0.910 36593 3.778 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 000 1 194 74 feedback that helped me learn. 44.4 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4.333 0.485 18 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.297 0.716 74 College high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 0.0 66.7 33.3 4.271 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 833 0 924 1.8 16. physical environment 0.898 74 Department 4.041 0.0 11.1 16.7 50.0 22.2 0.0 was conducive to learning. College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S T.ev: 600. 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY Good....(4) $\mbox{\tt\#}$ Sheets Scanned: This Level 5.8 IB=In Between...(3) 76 Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 3.857 0.943 56 1. your satisfaction with the Department 17 3.878 0.964 74 6.9 29.3 4641 instruction in this course. 31.0 29.3 College 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 089 0 900 56 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.122 0.875 74 1.7 3.4 13.8 43.1 34.5 3.4 College 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 167 0 966 54 3. the ability of the instructor Department 0.904 72 4.167 to communicate effectively. 0.0 15.5 25.9 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 56 4.071 0.951 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.068 0.896 0.0 8.6 13.8 36.2 37.9 3.4 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 56 1.176 Class 3.875 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 5.2 8.6 15.5 31.0 36.2 3.4 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 36685 Class 3.875 56 Department 3.892 6. your understanding of the 26 0.900 74 0.0 12.1 13.8 4626 course content. 44.8 25.9 College 4.123 0.949 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 56 4.018 1.000 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 3.959 1.013 74 phere conducive to learning. 6.9 15.5 36.2 36.2 College 4635 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 0.819 56 8. instructor provided well-Department 4.108 74 0.869 defined course objectives. 0.0 3.4 15.5 41.4 College 4.220 0.923 1631 University 4.263 0.851 36606 56 Class 3.964 0.953 9. instructor provided content/ Department 3.986 0.914 74 materials clear/organized. 5.2 19.0 39.7 31.0 3.4 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 4.125 0.854 Class 56 10. I understood how grades Department 4.135 were assigned in this course. 0.0 3.4 19.0 36.2 37.9 3 4 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.089 0.815 56 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.041 0.784 3.4 17.2 objectives for this course. 0.0 43.1 32.8 3.4 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 4.219 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 4.284 0.929 74 3.4 1.7 5.2 31.0 55.2 3.4 4630 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.270 0.969 74 in a timely manner. 5.2 1.7 8.6 27.6 53.4 3.4 4.234 0.976 4630 College University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.071 1.204 14 instructor provided relevant 11 Department 4.000 1 194 74 feedback that helped me learn. 19.0 19.0 4.186 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 ----Class 4 286 0.780 56 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.297 11 18 0.716 74 College high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 19.0 31.0 46.6 4.271 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.107 0 888 56 16. physical environment 16 74 Department 4.041 0.898 0.0 1.7 27.6 25.9 41.4 was conducive to learning. College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: RANGE SCIENCE Good....(4) $\mbox{\tt\#}$ Sheets Scanned: This Level 50 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 81 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.580 0.673 50 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 4.444 0.791 81 instruction in this course. 0.0 10.0 22.0 68.0 0.0 College 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 660 0 557 50 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.506 0.691 81 0.0 0.0 4.0 26.0 70.0 0.0 College 4.242 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 740 0 487 50 3. the ability of the instructor 0 Department 38 4.556 0.671 81 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 2.0 22.0 76.0 0.0 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 50 4.500 0.789 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.395 0.801 0.0 2.0 12.0 20.0 66.0 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 50 Class 4.680 0.513 5. the fairness of procedures Department 70.0 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 2.0 28.0 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 Class 0.538 50 4.580 Department 6. your understanding of the 19 4.383 0.768 81 0.0 course content. 0.0 2.0 38.0 60.0 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 50 4.560 0.577 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.420 0.739 81 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 4.0 36.0 60.0 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 540 0 613 50 8. instructor provided well-0 30 Department 4.296 81 0.813 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 6.0 60.0 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 1631 University 4.263 0.851 36606 50 Class 4.620 0.490 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.457 0.672 81 4.142 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 0.0 38.0 62.0 0.0 College 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 50 0.471 Class 4.680 10. I understood how grades Department 4.531 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 32.0 68.0 0.0 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.613 50 4.460 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.309 0.718 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 6.0 42.0 52.0 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 0.789 0.677 12. instructor was available to Department 4.494 0.709 81 10.0 0.0 0.0 28.0 62.0 0.0 4630 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.506 0.727 81 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 0.0 32.0 68.0 0.0 4.234 0.976 4630 College University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.560 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 395 0.801 81 feedback that helped me learn. 32.0 0.976 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 ----Class 4.600 0.535 50 Department 4.383 15. instructor set + maintained 18 31 0.751 81 College high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 36.0 62.0 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.560 0 611 50 16. physical environment 0.768 Department 4.383 81 0.0 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 6.0 32.0 62.0 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S T.ev: 600. 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: RANGE SCIENCE Good....(4) IB=In Between...(3) $\mbox{\tt\#}$ Sheets Scanned: This Level 31 Your Dept 81 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.226 0.920 31 1. your satisfaction with the Department 4.444 0.791 81 instruction in this course. 9.7 3.2 41.9 45.2 0.0 College 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 258 0.815 31 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.506 0.691 81 0.0 3.2 12.9 38.7 45.2 0.0 College 4.242 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 258 0.815 31 3. the ability of the instructor 0 Department 4.556 0.671 81 to communicate effectively. 0.0 6.5 3.2 0.0 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 31 4.226 0.805 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.395 0.801 0.0 0.0 22.6 32.3 45.2 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 31 Class 4.387 0.882 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 3.2 0.0 6.5 35.5 54.8 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 4.279 0.901 Class 4.065 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.383 0.768 81 9.7 12.9 0.0 4626 course content. 38.7 38.7 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 31 4.194 0.910 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.420 0.739 81 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 6.5 12.9 35.5 45.2 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 3 903 0 944 31 8. instructor provided well-Department 0.813 81 4.296 41.9 29.0 4634 defined course objectives. 0.0 9.7 19.4 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 University 4.263 0.851 36606 31 Class 4.194 0.833 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.457 0.672 81 41.9 materials clear/organized. 0.0 3.2 16.1 38.7 0.0 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 31 4.290 0.902 Class 10. I understood how grades Department 4.531 were assigned in this course. 0.0 6.5 9.7 32.3 51.6 0.0 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.065 0.814 31 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.309 0.718 3.2 19.4 objectives for this course. 0.0 45.2 32.3 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 4.219 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 4.494 0.709 81 0.0 3.2 6.5 32.3 58.1 0.0 4630 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.506 0.727 81 in a timely manner. 3.2 3.2 6.5 41.9 0.0 4.234 0.976 4630 College University 4.235 0.910 36593 31 0.991 4.129 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 395 0.801 81 feedback that helped me learn. 48.4 4.186 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 ----Class 4 032 0.912 31 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.383 0.751 81 high standards for students. 54.8 College 4.271 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.097 0 908 31 16. physical environment 0.768 Department 4.383 81 0.0 6.5 16.1 38.7 38.7 0.0 was conducive to learning. College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number				Response Key:					
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: FOOD SAFETY # Sheets Scanned: This Level Your Dept Your College						41 41 4679	G= IB=I P=	Goo In Betwee Poo	od(5) od(4) en(3) or(2) or(1)		
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation an			are p	provided	to the	right of		_	,		
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2 4.9	2 4.9	7	15 36.6	15 36.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	3.951 3.951 4.178 4.199	1.094 1.094 1.000 0.929	4 4 4 464 3673	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2 4.9	2 4.9	7	15 36.6	15 36.6	0	Class Department College University	3.951 3.951 4.242	1.094 1.094 1.004 0.931	464 3671	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2 4.9	1 2.4	7	13 31.7	18 43.9	0	Class Department College University	4.196	1.081 1.081 1.007 0.959	4 4 463 3669	
4. the quality of this course.	1 2.4	2 4.9	6	17 41.5	15 36.6	0	Class Department College University	4.159	0.973 0.973 0.980 0.917	4 4 463 3666	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1 2.4	1 2.4	9 22.0	14 34.1	16 39.0	0	Class Department College University	4.293	0.973 0.973 0.933 0.901	4 4 463 3668	
6. your understanding of the course content.	2 4.9	0 0.0	11 26.8	16 39.0	12 29.3	0	Class Department College University	4.123	1.005 1.005 0.949 0.853	4 4 462 3664	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1 2.4	1 2.4	11 26.8	12 29.3	16 39.0	0	Class Department College University	4.000 4.000 4.283	1.000 1.000 0.896 0.843	===== 4 4 463 3661	
8. instructor provided well- defined course objectives.	1 2.4	3 7.3	6 14.6	12 29.3	19 46.3	0	Class Department College University	4.220	1.068 1.068 0.923 0.851	4 4 463 3660	
9. instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized.	2 4.9	2 4.9	7	13 31.7	17 41.5	0	Class Department College University	4.142	1.118 1.118 1.012 0.938	4 4 462 3660	
O. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1 2.4	2 4.9	7	13 31.7	18 43.9	0	Class Department College University	4.323	1.020 1.020 0.877 0.853	4 4 463 3659	
1. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.				36.6	34.1		Class Department College University	3.976 4.210 4.219	0.961 0.821 0.789	4 462 3657	
instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	2	9	12	17	0	Department College University	4.024 4.024 4.248 4.255	1.037 1.037 0.897 0.845	4 4 463 3656	
3. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2.4	7.3		26.8	46.3		Class Department College University	4.073 4.073 4.234 4.235	1.081 1.081 0.976 0.910	4 4 463 3659	
4. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1 2.4	2 4.9	5 12.2	15 36.6	17	1 2.4	Class Department College University	4.125 4.125 4.186 4.186	0.992 0.992 0.976 0.918	4 4 463 3657	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.					15 36.6	0	Class Department College University	4.073 4.073 4.271 4.289	0.905 0.905 0.873 0.805	4 4 463 3655	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.				12 29.3		2 4.9	Class Department College University	4.000 4.000 4.264	0.899	3 3 462	

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: SOIL SCIENCE Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 211 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 326 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.711 0.566 211 1. your satisfaction with the Department 160 4.420 0.924 326 instruction in this course. 0.9 2.8 20.4 0.0 College 1.000 75.8 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 730 0.608 211 2. the instructor as a teacher. 167 Department 4.429 326 35 0.944 0.5 0.9 2.8 16.6 79.1 0.0 College 1.004 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 664 0 614 211 3. the ability of the instructor 50 152 Department 326 4.313 1.017 to communicate effectively. 0.5 0.5 3.3 23.7 0.0 College 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.657 210 Class 0.600 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.446 0.832 0.5 0.5 2.4 26.1 70.1 0.5 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 211 Class 4.664 0.666 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 0.5 1.4 3.8 19.9 74.4 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 36685 Class 208 4.428 Department 4.270 6. your understanding of the 14 78 112 0.816 322 1.4 0.5 37.0 53.1 course content. 6.6 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 211 4.749 0.496 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-163 Department 4.485 0.833 326 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.5 20.9 77.3 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 616 0 625 211 8. instructor provided well-60 142 Department 4.316 326 0.962 defined course objectives. 0.5 0.5 3.3 28.4 0.0 College 4 220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.607 0.626 211 9. instructor provided content/ 10 Department 4.405 0.824 326 4.142 67.3 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.9 4.7 27.0 0.0 College 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 0.575 Class 4.687 211 10. I understood how grades Department 4.457 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.9 2.8 22.7 73.5 0.0 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.493 0.686 211 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.319 0.758 objectives for this course. 0.0 1.4 6.6 33.2 58.8 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 4.219 0.789 12. instructor was available to ٥ Department 4.472 0.755 326 0.0 0.0 4.3 25.6 70.1 0.0 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 0 4.534 0.713 326 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 24.2 73.9 0.0 4.234 0.976 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.649 0.586 14 instructor provided relevant 148 Department 4.429 0 807 326 feedback that helped me learn. 25.1 70.1 0.976 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 573 0 716 211 Department 4.396 15. instructor set + maintained 61 139 0.852 326 1.4 College high standards for students. 0.5 28.9 65.9 0.873 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.687 0 575 211 16. physical environment 154 Department 4.460 0.832 326 0.0 was conducive to learning. 0.5 0.0 2.8 23.7 73.0 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: SOIL SCIENCE Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 85 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 326 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 3.659 1.240 Class 85 1. your satisfaction with the Department 12 18 4.420 0.924 326 instruction in this course. 14.1 21.2 25.9 0.0 College 1.000 32.9 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 3 612 1 196 85 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.429 0.944 326 4.7 14.1 27.1 23.5 30.6 0.0 College 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 3 365 1 290 85 3. the ability of the instructor 22 18 Department 4.313 1.017 326 to communicate effectively. 25.9 21.2 20.0 27.1 0.0 College 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.894 85 Class 1.091 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.446 0.832 2.4 10.6 18.8 31.8 36.5 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 3.953 0.962 85 5. the fairness of procedures Department 326 4.7 20.0 for grading this course. 2.4 41.2 31.8 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 Class 3.833 Department 6. your understanding of the 47 4.270 0.816 322 4.7 3.5 15.3 course content. 55.3 20.0 1.2 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 1.093 3.741 85 Class 326 7. instructor created an atmos-11 19 28 Department 4.485 0.833 phere conducive to learning. 12.9 22.4 32.9 29.4 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 3 553 1 180 85 8. instructor provided well-15 23 20 Department 0.962 326 4.316 3.5 17.6 defined course objectives. 27.1 23.5 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 3.906 0.946 85 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.405 0.824 326 8.2 17.6 materials clear/organized. 44.7 28.2 0.0 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 3.906 1.151 Class 85 10. I understood how grades Department 4.457 were assigned in this course. 4.7 10.6 10.6 37.6 36.5 0.0 College 4 323 0.877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 3.894 0.787 85 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.319 0.758 326 3.5 18.8 57.6 objectives for this course. 1.2 18.8 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 4.472 0.755 326 assist students outside class. 1.2 8.2 16.5 40.0 34.1 0.0 College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.534 0.713 326 in a timely manner. 1.2 21.2 37.6 37.6 0.0 4.234 0.976 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.994 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 429 0 807 326 feedback that helped me learn. 0.976 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 3.965 1 017 85 15. instructor set + maintained 12 35 Department 4.396 0.852 326 College high standards for students. 8.2 14.1 41.2 34.1 0.873 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 918 1 082 85 16. physical environment 326 11 Department 4.460 0.832 3.5 9.4 12.9 0.0 was conducive to learning. 40.0 34.1 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S T.ev: 600. 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: SOIL SCIENCE Good....(4) $\mbox{\tt\#}$ Sheets Scanned: This Level 3.0 IB=In Between...(3) 326 Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.533 0.681 30 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 326 4.420 0.924 instruction in this course. 0.0 10.0 26.7 63.3 0.0 College 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 633 0 718 3.0 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.429 0.944 326 0.0 3.3 3.3 20.0 73.3 0.0 College 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 533 0 681 3.0 3. the ability of the instructor 0 0 Department 326 4.313 1.017 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 10.0 26.7 63.3 0.0 College 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.533 0.681 3.0 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.446 0.832 0.0 0.0 10.0 26.7 63.3 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.533 0.681 30 5. the fairness of procedures Department 326 26.7 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 10.0 63.3 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 Class 30 4.400 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.270 0.816 322 0.0 60.0 40.0 course content. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R ______ ______ 4.733 0.583 3.0 Class 326 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.485 0.833 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 13.3 80.0 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 367 1 066 3.0 8. instructor provided well-Department 4.316 0.962 326 defined course objectives. 3.3 3.3 13.3 13.3 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.400 1.070 3.0 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.405 0.824 326 materials clear/organized. 3.3 6.7 3.3 20.0 66.7 0.0 College 4.142 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 0.968 Class 4.400 3.0 10. I understood how grades Department 4.457 were assigned in this course. 0.0 10.0 3.3 23.3 63.3 0.0 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.702 30 4.300 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.319 0.758 326 3.3 objectives for this course. 0.0 3.3 53.3 40.0 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 4.219 0.789 0.626 12. instructor was available to Department 4.472 0.755 326 2 6.7 0.0 0.0 30.0 63.3 0.0 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 0 4.534 0.713 326 16.7 in a timely manner. 0.0 70.0 0.0 4.234 0.976 College 4630 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.776 30 4.533 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 429 0 807 326 feedback that helped me learn. College 0.976 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4.367 0 809 3.0 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.396 326 0.852 high standards for students. 0.0 3.3 10.0 33.3 53.3 College 4.271 0.873 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.400 0.855 3.0 16. physical environment 326 Department 4.460 0.832 0.0 3.3 13.3 23.3 60.0 0.0 was conducive to learning. College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 99 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.525 0.690 99 1. your satisfaction with the Department 291 4.577 0.646 31.3 instruction in this course. 2.0 5.1 61.6 0.0 College 4.178 1.000 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 626 0 694 99 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.598 0.675 291 0.0 3.0 3.0 22.2 71.7 0.0 College 4.242 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 616 0 710 99 3. the ability of the instructor 0 Department 4.574 0.703 291 to communicate effectively. 0.0 3.0 21.2 71.7 0.0 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.566 0.731 99 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.598 1.0 2.0 2.0 29.3 65.7 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.418 0.896 98 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 1.0 4.0 9.1 23.2 61.6 1.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 0.901 36685 Class 4.455 Department 6. your understanding of the 3.0 4.550 0.680 291 4.0 course content. 0.0 6.1 30.3 59.6 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 4.636 0.562 aa Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.621 0.589 290 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 4.0 28.3 67.7 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 525 0 719 99 8. instructor provided well-Department 4.555 290 0.665 defined course objectives. 0.0 2.0 27.3 63.6 0.0 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.556 0.717 99 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.534 0.730 290 4.142 materials clear/organized. 0.0 2.0 24.2 66.7 0.0 College 1.012 4628 University 4.191 0.938 36602 0.679 Class 4.626 99 10. I understood how grades Department 4.638 were assigned in this course. 1.0 1.0 2.0 26.3 69.7 0.0 College 4 323 0 877 4632 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.719 99 4.465 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.576 290 2.0 7.1 objectives for this course. 0.0 33.3 57.6 0.0 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 4.219 0.789 0.643 12. instructor was available to 0 Department 4.555 0.649 290 0.0 1.0 5.1 32.3 61.6 0.0 assist students outside class. College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 0 4.603 0.610 290 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 5.1 31.3 63.6 0.0 0.976 4630 College 4.234 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.703 99 4.556 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 590 0 661 290 feedback that helped me learn. 26.3 4.186 0.976 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 646 0 594 99 Department 4.652 15. instructor set + maintained 0.576 290 26 69 26.3 high standards for students. 0.0 1.0 3.0 69.7 College University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.596 0 605 99 16. physical environment 28 290 0.555 Department 4.638 0.0 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 6.1 28.3 65.7 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE Good....(4) IB=In Between...(3) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 192 Your Dept 291 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4679 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.604 0.622 192 1. your satisfaction with the Department 128 4.577 0.646 291 instruction in this course. 1.0 28.1 College 4.178 1.000 4.2 66.7 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 583 0 666 192 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.598 291 10 51 128 0.675 0.0 1.6 5.2 26.6 66.7 0.0 College 4.242 1.004 4640 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 552 0 700 192 3. the ability of the instructor 0 49 126 Department 4.574 0.703 291 to communicate effectively. 0.0 1.6 7.3 25.5 0.0 College 4.196 1.007 4633 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.615 192 Class 0.620 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.598 0.659 0.5 0.5 2.6 29.7 66.7 0.0 College 4.159 0.980 4635 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.625 0.610 192 5. the fairness of procedures Department 27.1 68.2 for grading this course. 0.0 1.0 3.6 0.0 College 4.293 0.933 4635 University 4.279 0.901 36685 Class 0.614 192 4.599 Department 4.550 6. your understanding of the 57 126 Ω 0.680 291 course content. 0.0 1.0 3.6 29.7 65.6 0.0 College 4.123 0.949 4626 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 191 4.613 0.604 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-56 127 Department 4.621 0.589 290 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 1.0 3.1 29.2 66.1 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 571 0.636 191 8. instructor provided well-61 Department 4.555 290 0.665 1.6 defined course objectives. 0.0 3.1 31.8 0.5 College 4.220 0.923 4634 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.524 0.739 191 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.534 0.730 290 4.142 3.6 materials clear/organized. 0.0 3.6 29.2 63.0 0.5 College University 1.012 4628 4.191 0.938 36602 0.542 191 Class 4.644 10. I understood how grades Department 4.638 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.5 1.6 30.7 66.7 0.5 College 4.323 University 4.319 0 877 4632 0.853 36594 Class 0.554 191 4.634 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.576 0.619 290 0.5 objectives for this course. 0.0 2.1 30.7 66.1 0.5 College 4.210 0.821 4625 University 4.219 0.789 0.653 12. instructor was available to Department 4.555 0.649 290 121 0.0 1.6 4.2 30.7 0.5 assist students outside class. 63.0 College 4.248 0.897 4630 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.603 0.610 290 in a timely manner. 0.0 1.0 4.2 0.5 4.234 0.976 4630 College University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.607 0.639 14 instructor provided relevant 127 Department 4.590 0 661 290 feedback that helped me learn. 29.7 4.186 0.976 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 654 0.568 191 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.652 290 132 0.576 high standards for students. 0.0 1.0 1.6 28.1 68.8 College University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.660 0 528 191 16. physical environment 55 131 0.555 290 Department 4.638 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 2.6 28.6 College 4.264 University 4.238 0.899 4621 68.2

0.881

36516