

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 32					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 103					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	13	17	0	Class	4.469	0.621	32
	0.0	0.0	6.3	40.6	53.1	0.0	Department	4.225	0.716	102
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	10	21	0	Class	4.625	0.554	32
	0.0	0.0	3.1	31.3	65.6	0.0	Department	4.382	0.718	102
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	9	21	0	Class	4.594	0.615	32
	0.0	0.0	6.3	28.1	65.6	0.0	Department	4.235	0.798	102
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	14	15	0	Class	4.375	0.660	32
	0.0	0.0	9.4	43.8	46.9	0.0	Department	4.029	0.789	102
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	6	10	15	0	Class	4.219	0.870	32
	0.0	3.1	18.8	31.3	46.9	0.0	Department	4.079	0.821	101
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	16	13	0	Class	4.313	0.644	32
	0.0	0.0	9.4	50.0	40.6	0.0	Department	4.139	0.649	101
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	13	18	0	Class	4.531	0.567	32
	0.0	0.0	3.1	40.6	56.3	0.0	Department	4.275	0.706	102
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	12	20	0	Class	4.625	0.492	32
	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	0.0	Department	4.275	0.662	102
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	7	22	0	Class	4.594	0.665	32
	0.0	0.0	9.4	21.9	68.8	0.0	Department	4.157	0.817	102
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	16	14	0	Class	4.344	0.701	32
	0.0	3.1	3.1	50.0	43.8	0.0	Department	4.275	0.720	102
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	1	20	10	0	Class	4.219	0.659	32
	0.0	3.1	3.1	62.5	31.3	0.0	Department	4.088	0.631	102
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	4	9	19	0	Class	4.469	0.718	32
	0.0	0.0	12.5	28.1	59.4	0.0	Department	4.363	0.642	102
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	3	11	17	0	Class	4.375	0.793	32
	0.0	3.1	9.4	34.4	53.1	0.0	Department	4.275	0.677	102
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	5	12	15	0	Class	4.313	0.738	32
	0.0	0.0	15.6	37.5	46.9	0.0	Department	4.137	0.745	102
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	15	16	0	Class	4.469	0.567	32
	0.0	0.0	3.1	46.9	50.0	0.0	Department	4.317	0.582	101
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	19	12	0	Class	4.344	0.545	32
	0.0	0.0	3.1	59.4	37.5	0.0	Department	4.196	0.630	102
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# **Student Rating of Instruction** **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 56					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 103					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	0	7	33	14	1	Class	4.073	0.742	55
	1.8	0.0	12.5	58.9	25.0	1.8	Department	4.225	0.716	102
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	0	4	30	20	1	Class	4.236	0.744	55
	1.8	0.0	7.1	53.6	35.7	1.8	Department	4.382	0.718	102
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	12	28	13	1	Class	3.927	0.836	55
	1.8	1.8	21.4	50.0	23.2	1.8	Department	4.235	0.798	102
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	4	9	38	4	1	Class	3.764	0.693	55
	0.0	7.1	16.1	67.9	7.1	1.8	Department	4.029	0.789	102
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	0	13	29	11	2	Class	3.907	0.784	54
	1.8	0.0	23.2	51.8	19.6	3.6	Department	4.079	0.821	101
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	3	40	9	2	Class	4.037	0.613	54
	0.0	3.6	5.4	71.4	16.1	3.6	Department	4.139	0.649	101
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	7	33	14	1	Class	4.073	0.742	55
	1.8	0.0	12.5	58.9	25.0	1.8	Department	4.275	0.706	102
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	7	37	11	1	Class	4.073	0.573	55
	0.0	0.0	12.5	66.1	19.6	1.8	Department	4.275	0.662	102
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	13	30	11	1	Class	3.909	0.776	55
	1.8	0.0	23.2	53.6	19.6	1.8	Department	4.157	0.817	102
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	3	2	32	18	1	Class	4.182	0.748	55
	0.0	5.4	3.6	57.1	32.1	1.8	Department	4.275	0.720	102
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	6	39	10	1	Class	4.073	0.539	55
	0.0	0.0	10.7	69.6	17.9	1.8	Department	4.088	0.631	102
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	5	31	19	1	Class	4.255	0.615	55
	0.0	0.0	8.9	55.4	33.9	1.8	Department	4.363	0.642	102
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	3	36	15	1	Class	4.182	0.611	55
	0.0	1.8	5.4	64.3	26.8	1.8	Department	4.275	0.677	102
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	13	28	13	1	Class	3.964	0.744	55
	0.0	1.8	23.2	50.0	23.2	1.8	Department	4.137	0.745	102
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	36	15	1	Class	4.200	0.558	55
	0.0	0.0	7.1	64.3	26.8	1.8	Department	4.317	0.582	101
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	4	38	12	1	Class	4.109	0.599	55
	0.0	1.8	7.1	67.9	21.4	1.8	Department	4.196	0.630	102
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# **Student Rating of Instruction** **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 15					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 103					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	7	6	0	Class	4.267	0.704	15
	0.0	0.0	13.3	46.7	40.0	0.0	Department	4.225	0.716	102
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	3	3	9	0	Class	4.400	0.828	15
	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.382	0.718	102
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.235	0.798	102
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	3	2	9	0	Class	4.267	1.033	15
	0.0	6.7	20.0	13.3	60.0	0.0	Department	4.029	0.789	102
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	5	8	0	Class	4.400	0.737	15
	0.0	0.0	13.3	33.3	53.3	0.0	Department	4.079	0.821	101
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	7	5	0	Class	4.133	0.743	15
	0.0	0.0	20.0	46.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.139	0.649	101
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	6	8	0	Class	4.467	0.640	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.275	0.706	102
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	4	8	0	Class	4.267	0.961	15
	0.0	6.7	13.3	26.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.275	0.662	102
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	5	3	7	0	Class	4.133	0.915	15
	0.0	0.0	33.3	20.0	46.7	0.0	Department	4.157	0.817	102
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	6	8	0	Class	4.467	0.640	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.275	0.720	102
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	3	8	3	0	Class	3.867	0.834	15
	0.0	6.7	20.0	53.3	20.0	0.0	Department	4.088	0.631	102
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	7	8	0	Class	4.533	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.363	0.642	102
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	7	7	0	Class	4.400	0.632	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	46.7	46.7	0.0	Department	4.275	0.677	102
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	7	7	0	Class	4.400	0.632	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	46.7	46.7	0.0	Department	4.137	0.745	102
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	6	7	1	Class	4.429	0.646	14
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	46.7	6.7	Department	4.317	0.582	101
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	4	4	7	0	Class	4.200	0.862	15
	0.0	0.0	26.7	26.7	46.7	0.0	Department	4.196	0.630	102
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AEROSPACE STUDIES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 64					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 81					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	12	52	0	Class	4.813	0.393	64
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	Department	4.852	0.357	81
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	11	52	0	Class	4.797	0.443	64
	0.0	0.0	1.6	17.2	81.3	0.0	Department	4.840	0.402	81
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	12	51	0	Class	4.781	0.453	64
	0.0	0.0	1.6	18.8	79.7	0.0	Department	4.827	0.412	81
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	15	49	0	Class	4.766	0.427	64
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.4	76.6	0.0	Department	4.802	0.401	81
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	10	54	0	Class	4.844	0.366	64
	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.6	84.4	0.0	Department	4.877	0.331	81
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	9	53	2	Class	4.855	0.355	62
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.1	82.8	3.1	Department	4.886	0.320	79
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	11	52	0	Class	4.797	0.443	64
	0.0	0.0	1.6	17.2	81.3	0.0	Department	4.840	0.402	81
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	13	50	0	Class	4.766	0.463	64
	0.0	0.0	1.6	20.3	78.1	0.0	Department	4.815	0.422	81
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	13	51	0	Class	4.797	0.406	64
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.3	79.7	0.0	Department	4.840	0.369	81
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	8	55	0	Class	4.844	0.407	64
	0.0	0.0	1.6	12.5	85.9	0.0	Department	4.877	0.367	81
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	11	53	0	Class	4.828	0.380	64
	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.2	82.8	0.0	Department	4.864	0.345	81
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	11	53	0	Class	4.828	0.380	64
	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.2	82.8	0.0	Department	4.864	0.345	81
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	12	50	0	Class	4.750	0.504	64
	0.0	0.0	3.1	18.8	78.1	0.0	Department	4.790	0.467	81
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	12	51	0	Class	4.781	0.453	64
	0.0	0.0	1.6	18.8	79.7	0.0	Department	4.815	0.422	81
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	8	56	0	Class	4.875	0.333	64
	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	Department	4.901	0.300	81
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	2	11	50	0	Class	4.719	0.603	64
	0.0	1.6	3.1	17.2	78.1	0.0	Department	4.778	0.548	81
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: AEROSPACE STUDIES		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 17 Your Dept ..... 81 Your College .. 4780					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	0	17	0	Class	5.000	0.000	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.852	0.357	81
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	17	0	Class	5.000	0.000	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.840	0.402	81
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	0	17	0	Class	5.000	0.000	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.827	0.412	81
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	1	16	0	Class	4.941	0.243	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	Department	4.802	0.401	81
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	17	0	Class	5.000	0.000	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.877	0.331	81
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	0	17	0	Class	5.000	0.000	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.886	0.320	79
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	17	0	Class	5.000	0.000	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.840	0.402	81
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	0	17	0	Class	5.000	0.000	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.815	0.422	81
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	0	17	0	Class	5.000	0.000	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.840	0.369	81
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	0	17	0	Class	5.000	0.000	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.877	0.367	81
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	0	17	0	Class	5.000	0.000	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.864	0.345	81
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	0	17	0	Class	5.000	0.000	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.864	0.345	81
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	1	16	0	Class	4.941	0.243	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	Department	4.790	0.467	81
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	1	16	0	Class	4.941	0.243	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	Department	4.815	0.422	81
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	0	17	0	Class	5.000	0.000	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.901	0.300	81
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	17	0	Class	5.000	0.000	17
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.778	0.548	81
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# **Student Rating of Instruction** **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 115					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 920					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	10	58	45	0	Class	4.270	0.692	115
	0.0	1.7	8.7	50.4	39.1	0.0	Department	4.137	0.900	906
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	3	13	51	47	0	Class	4.217	0.814	115
	0.9	2.6	11.3	44.3	40.9	0.0	Department	4.144	0.948	904
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	9	60	44	0	Class	4.270	0.680	115
	0.0	1.7	7.8	52.2	38.3	0.0	Department	4.080	0.916	905
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	1	2	10	63	38	1	Class	4.184	0.736	114
	0.9	1.7	8.7	54.8	33.0	0.9	Department	4.121	0.851	904
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	6	59	50	0	Class	4.383	0.586	115
	0.0	0.0	5.2	51.3	43.5	0.0	Department	4.275	0.810	905
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	6	54	54	0	Class	4.400	0.632	115
	0.0	0.9	5.2	47.0	47.0	0.0	Department	4.190	0.759	901
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	6	65	42	1	Class	4.289	0.648	114
	0.9	0.0	5.2	56.5	36.5	0.9	Department	4.194	0.797	899
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	14	51	48	1	Class	4.281	0.710	114
	0.0	0.9	12.2	44.3	41.7	0.9	Department	4.208	0.827	899
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	14	56	40	1	Class	4.158	0.771	114
	0.0	3.5	12.2	48.7	34.8	0.9	Department	4.099	0.930	899
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	9	54	50	1	Class	4.333	0.700	114
	0.9	0.0	7.8	47.0	43.5	0.9	Department	4.290	0.772	899
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	9	60	45	1	Class	4.316	0.614	114
	0.0	0.0	7.8	52.2	39.1	0.9	Department	4.231	0.682	899
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	24	51	37	1	Class	4.079	0.777	114
	0.0	1.7	20.9	44.3	32.2	0.9	Department	4.128	0.894	897
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	5	9	53	46	1	Class	4.211	0.836	114
	0.9	4.3	7.8	46.1	40.0	0.9	Department	4.119	0.945	898
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	1	16	53	41	2	Class	4.150	0.826	113
	1.7	0.9	13.9	46.1	35.7	1.7	Department	4.109	0.868	898
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	13	55	44	2	Class	4.257	0.692	113
	0.0	0.9	11.3	47.8	38.3	1.7	Department	4.194	0.788	898
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	9	58	45	2	Class	4.292	0.690	113
	0.9	0.0	7.8	50.4	39.1	1.7	Department	4.178	0.815	897
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# **Student Rating of Instruction** **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 790					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 920					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	13	40	97	323	303	14	Class	4.112	0.928	776
	1.6	5.1	12.3	40.9	38.4	1.8	Department	4.137	0.900	906
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	17	44	88	303	322	16	Class	4.123	0.970	774
	2.2	5.6	11.1	38.4	40.8	2.0	Department	4.144	0.948	904
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	19	30	127	325	274	15	Class	4.039	0.945	775
	2.4	3.8	16.1	41.1	34.7	1.9	Department	4.080	0.916	905
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	12	24	111	350	278	15	Class	4.107	0.869	775
	1.5	3.0	14.1	44.3	35.2	1.9	Department	4.121	0.851	904
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	10	22	74	329	340	15	Class	4.248	0.839	775
	1.3	2.8	9.4	41.6	43.0	1.9	Department	4.275	0.810	905
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	7	15	94	394	261	19	Class	4.150	0.773	771
	0.9	1.9	11.9	49.9	33.0	2.4	Department	4.190	0.759	901
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	10	24	70	383	283	20	Class	4.175	0.819	770
	1.3	3.0	8.9	48.5	35.8	2.5	Department	4.194	0.797	899
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	10	27	75	355	303	20	Class	4.187	0.845	770
	1.3	3.4	9.5	44.9	38.4	2.5	Department	4.208	0.827	899
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	20	34	100	327	289	20	Class	4.079	0.954	770
	2.5	4.3	12.7	41.4	36.6	2.5	Department	4.099	0.930	899
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	8	17	61	352	332	20	Class	4.277	0.785	770
	1.0	2.2	7.7	44.6	42.0	2.5	Department	4.290	0.772	899
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	8	72	423	263	20	Class	4.212	0.692	770
	0.5	1.0	9.1	53.5	33.3	2.5	Department	4.231	0.682	899
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	12	28	122	297	309	22	Class	4.124	0.913	768
	1.5	3.5	15.4	37.6	39.1	2.8	Department	4.128	0.894	897
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	23	34	83	337	292	21	Class	4.094	0.962	769
	2.9	4.3	10.5	42.7	37.0	2.7	Department	4.119	0.945	898
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	16	17	116	352	269	20	Class	4.092	0.876	770
	2.0	2.2	14.7	44.6	34.1	2.5	Department	4.109	0.868	898
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	8	21	81	375	285	20	Class	4.179	0.804	770
	1.0	2.7	10.3	47.5	36.1	2.5	Department	4.194	0.788	898
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	13	21	75	383	277	21	Class	4.157	0.834	769
	1.6	2.7	9.5	48.5	35.1	2.7	Department	4.178	0.815	897
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# **Student Rating of Instruction** **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 15					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 920					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	5	8	0	Class	4.400	0.737	15
	0.0	0.0	13.3	33.3	53.3	0.0	Department	4.137	0.900	906
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	5	10	0	Class	4.667	0.488	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.144	0.948	904
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.080	0.916	905
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	6	7	0	Class	4.333	0.724	15
	0.0	0.0	13.3	40.0	46.7	0.0	Department	4.121	0.851	904
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	2	13	0	Class	4.867	0.352	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.0	Department	4.275	0.810	905
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.190	0.759	901
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	7	7	0	Class	4.400	0.632	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	46.7	46.7	0.0	Department	4.194	0.797	899
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.208	0.827	899
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	5	10	0	Class	4.667	0.488	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.099	0.930	899
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	5	10	0	Class	4.667	0.488	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.290	0.772	899
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.231	0.682	899
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.128	0.894	897
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.119	0.945	898
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	5	10	0	Class	4.667	0.488	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.109	0.868	898
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	8	7	0	Class	4.467	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.3	46.7	0.0	Department	4.194	0.788	898
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	7	7	0	Class	4.400	0.632	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	46.7	46.7	0.0	Department	4.178	0.815	897
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516



# **Student Rating of Instruction** **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 159					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 332					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	8	9	5	53	83	1	Class	4.228	1.094	158
	5.0	5.7	3.1	33.3	52.2	0.6	Department	3.851	1.239	329
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	8	10	5	43	92	1	Class	4.272	1.121	158
	5.0	6.3	3.1	27.0	57.9	0.6	Department	3.842	1.299	329
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	14	5	6	44	89	1	Class	4.196	1.223	158
	8.8	3.1	3.8	27.7	56.0	0.6	Department	3.781	1.312	329
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	4	6	15	57	76	1	Class	4.234	0.952	158
	2.5	3.8	9.4	35.8	47.8	0.6	Department	3.933	1.111	327
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	7	9	45	96	1	Class	4.443	0.841	158
	0.6	4.4	5.7	28.3	60.4	0.6	Department	4.122	1.081	329
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	3	17	65	70	1	Class	4.241	0.863	158
	1.9	1.9	10.7	40.9	44.0	0.6	Department	3.982	0.953	329
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	4	14	62	73	1	Class	4.228	0.944	158
	3.1	2.5	8.8	39.0	45.9	0.6	Department	3.985	1.050	330
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	6	6	64	78	1	Class	4.304	0.908	158
	2.5	3.8	3.8	40.3	49.1	0.6	Department	3.970	1.167	330
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	7	6	9	62	74	1	Class	4.203	1.021	158
	4.4	3.8	5.7	39.0	46.5	0.6	Department	3.909	1.176	330
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	8	5	8	51	86	1	Class	4.278	1.052	158
	5.0	3.1	5.0	32.1	54.1	0.6	Department	4.039	1.168	330
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	2	14	64	77	1	Class	4.354	0.749	158
	0.6	1.3	8.8	40.3	48.4	0.6	Department	4.124	0.810	330
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	5	26	57	69	1	Class	4.190	0.868	158
	0.6	3.1	16.4	35.8	43.4	0.6	Department	4.000	0.992	330
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	19	60	77	1	Class	4.335	0.762	158
	0.6	0.6	11.9	37.7	48.4	0.6	Department	4.136	0.884	330
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	7	3	10	65	73	1	Class	4.228	0.977	158
	4.4	1.9	6.3	40.9	45.9	0.6	Department	3.952	1.132	330
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	6	1	11	70	70	1	Class	4.247	0.908	158
	3.8	0.6	6.9	44.0	44.0	0.6	Department	4.006	1.002	330
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	5	14	61	74	1	Class	4.241	0.927	158
	2.5	3.1	8.8	38.4	46.5	0.6	Department	4.040	0.963	329
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# **Student Rating of Instruction** **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 166						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 332						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	14	32	19	63	36	2	Class	3.457	1.265	164	
	8.4	19.3	11.4	38.0	21.7	1.2	Department	3.851	1.239	329	
							College	4.042	0.955	4741	
							University	4.199	0.929	36732	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	20	25	29	51	39	2	Class	3.390	1.327	164	
	12.0	15.1	17.5	30.7	23.5	1.2	Department	3.842	1.299	329	
							College	4.083	0.977	4737	
							University	4.264	0.931	36713	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	17	27	38	47	35	2	Class	3.341	1.270	164	
	10.2	16.3	22.9	28.3	21.1	1.2	Department	3.781	1.312	329	
							College	3.993	1.002	4738	
							University	4.211	0.959	36691	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	11	19	32	59	41	4	Class	3.617	1.180	162	
	6.6	11.4	19.3	35.5	24.7	2.4	Department	3.933	1.111	327	
							College	4.006	0.932	4729	
							University	4.154	0.917	36669	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	12	15	21	63	53	2	Class	3.793	1.201	164	
	7.2	9.0	12.7	38.0	31.9	1.2	Department	4.122	1.081	329	
							College	4.155	0.918	4734	
							University	4.279	0.901	36685	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	6	12	36	82	28	2	Class	3.695	0.962	164	
	3.6	7.2	21.7	49.4	16.9	1.2	Department	3.982	0.953	329	
							College	4.107	0.814	4722	
							University	4.168	0.853	36641	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	7	20	26	71	41	1	Class	3.721	1.096	165	
	4.2	12.0	15.7	42.8	24.7	0.6	Department	3.985	1.050	330	
							College	4.116	0.868	4716	
							University	4.282	0.843	36613	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	16	21	22	57	49	1	Class	3.618	1.295	165	
	9.6	12.7	13.3	34.3	29.5	0.6	Department	3.970	1.167	330	
							College	4.133	0.880	4713	
							University	4.263	0.851	36606	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	16	19	22	67	41	1	Class	3.594	1.249	165	
	9.6	11.4	13.3	40.4	24.7	0.6	Department	3.909	1.176	330	
							College	4.043	0.969	4714	
							University	4.191	0.938	36602	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	15	13	18	67	52	1	Class	3.776	1.231	165	
	9.0	7.8	10.8	40.4	31.3	0.6	Department	4.039	1.168	330	
							College	4.209	0.872	4714	
							University	4.319	0.853	36594	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	9	30	92	33	1	Class	3.891	0.804	165	
	0.6	5.4	18.1	55.4	19.9	0.6	Department	4.124	0.810	330	
							College	4.146	0.739	4713	
							University	4.219	0.789	36579	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	9	7	40	63	46	1	Class	3.788	1.069	165	
	5.4	4.2	24.1	38.0	27.7	0.6	Department	4.000	0.992	330	
							College	4.128	0.852	4705	
							University	4.255	0.845	36566	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	13	24	79	46	1	Class	3.921	0.950	165	
	1.8	7.8	14.5	47.6	27.7	0.6	Department	4.136	0.884	330	
							College	4.119	0.891	4709	
							University	4.235	0.910	36593	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	14	13	35	57	46	1	Class	3.655	1.208	165	
	8.4	7.8	21.1	34.3	27.7	0.6	Department	3.952	1.132	330	
							College	4.024	0.929	4714	
							University	4.186	0.918	36570	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	5	17	32	70	41	1	Class	3.758	1.037	165	
	3.0	10.2	19.3	42.2	24.7	0.6	Department	4.006	1.002	330	
							College	4.155	0.825	4709	
							University	4.289	0.805	36555	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	10	39	69	42	2	Class	3.823	0.965	164	
	2.4	6.0	23.5	41.6	25.3	1.2	Department	4.040	0.963	329	
							College	4.068	0.908	4708	
							University	4.238	0.881	36516	

# **Student Rating of Instruction** **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 7					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 332					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	1	5	0	Class	4.571	0.787	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	0.0	Department	3.851	1.239	329
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	3.842	1.299	329
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	3.781	1.312	329
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	2	4	0	Class	4.429	0.787	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	3.933	1.111	327
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.122	1.081	329
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	3.982	0.953	329
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	3.985	1.050	330
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	3.970	1.167	330
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	3.909	1.176	330
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.039	1.168	330
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	2	4	0	Class	4.429	0.787	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	4.124	0.810	330
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.000	0.992	330
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.136	0.884	330
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	3.952	1.132	330
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	2	4	0	Class	4.429	0.787	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	4.006	1.002	330
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.040	0.963	329
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# **Student Rating of Instruction** **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 123					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 788					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	15	22	36	36	14	0	Class	3.098	1.190	123
	12.2	17.9	29.3	29.3	11.4	0.0	Department	3.959	1.016	782
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
-----										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	6	15	48	39	15	0	Class	3.341	1.007	123
	4.9	12.2	39.0	31.7	12.2	0.0	Department	4.099	0.950	781
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
-----										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	15	23	38	37	10	0	Class	3.033	1.145	123
	12.2	18.7	30.9	30.1	8.1	0.0	Department	3.978	0.996	782
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
-----										
4. the quality of this course.	11	22	31	44	15	0	Class	3.244	1.155	123
	8.9	17.9	25.2	35.8	12.2	0.0	Department	3.930	0.972	782
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
-----										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	8	13	35	39	28	0	Class	3.537	1.147	123
	6.5	10.6	28.5	31.7	22.8	0.0	Department	4.160	0.951	780
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
-----										
6. your understanding of the course content.	10	11	34	50	18	0	Class	3.447	1.103	123
	8.1	8.9	27.6	40.7	14.6	0.0	Department	3.944	0.885	782
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
-----										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	10	13	34	56	10	0	Class	3.350	1.048	123
	8.1	10.6	27.6	45.5	8.1	0.0	Department	4.091	0.877	777
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
-----										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	17	15	27	50	14	0	Class	3.236	1.222	123
	13.8	12.2	22.0	40.7	11.4	0.0	Department	4.047	0.949	774
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
-----										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	14	23	30	43	13	0	Class	3.146	1.185	123
	11.4	18.7	24.4	35.0	10.6	0.0	Department	3.983	1.012	777
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
-----										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	13	21	21	44	24	0	Class	3.366	1.269	123
	10.6	17.1	17.1	35.8	19.5	0.0	Department	4.177	0.918	776
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
-----										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	3	34	66	17	0	Class	3.740	0.818	123
	2.4	2.4	27.6	53.7	13.8	0.0	Department	4.035	0.781	774
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
-----										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	10	37	54	18	1	Class	3.607	0.923	122
	2.4	8.1	30.1	43.9	14.6	0.8	Department	4.132	0.845	775
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
-----										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	21	17	31	39	15	0	Class	3.081	1.278	123
	17.1	13.8	25.2	31.7	12.2	0.0	Department	4.070	0.962	773
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
-----										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	12	15	44	40	12	0	Class	3.203	1.094	123
	9.8	12.2	35.8	32.5	9.8	0.0	Department	3.947	0.979	776
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
-----										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	5	39	60	15	0	Class	3.626	0.872	123
	3.3	4.1	31.7	48.8	12.2	0.0	Department	4.133	0.820	774
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
-----										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	14	14	34	47	14	0	Class	3.268	1.160	123
	11.4	11.4	27.6	38.2	11.4	0.0	Department	4.012	0.949	774
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 623					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 788					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	9	26	80	278	224	6	Class	4.105	0.885	617
	1.4	4.2	12.8	44.6	36.0	1.0	Department	3.959	1.016	782
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	7	24	68	246	271	7	Class	4.218	0.873	616
	1.1	3.9	10.9	39.5	43.5	1.1	Department	4.099	0.950	781
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	7	17	100	258	235	6	Class	4.130	0.860	617
	1.1	2.7	16.1	41.4	37.7	1.0	Department	3.978	0.996	782
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	7	26	108	274	202	6	Class	4.034	0.879	617
	1.1	4.2	17.3	44.0	32.4	1.0	Department	3.930	0.972	782
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	4	22	69	227	293	8	Class	4.273	0.847	615
	0.6	3.5	11.1	36.4	47.0	1.3	Department	4.160	0.951	780
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	22	103	314	174	6	Class	4.024	0.806	617
	0.6	3.5	16.5	50.4	27.9	1.0	Department	3.944	0.885	782
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	16	67	290	237	11	Class	4.216	0.765	612
	0.3	2.6	10.8	46.5	38.0	1.8	Department	4.091	0.877	777
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	19	61	298	228	14	Class	4.197	0.778	609
	0.5	3.0	9.8	47.8	36.6	2.2	Department	4.047	0.949	774
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	7	26	85	261	233	11	Class	4.123	0.882	612
	1.1	4.2	13.6	41.9	37.4	1.8	Department	3.983	1.012	777
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	13	51	269	277	12	Class	4.322	0.730	611
	0.2	2.1	8.2	43.2	44.5	1.9	Department	4.177	0.918	776
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	15	94	315	182	14	Class	4.080	0.768	609
	0.5	2.4	15.1	50.6	29.2	2.2	Department	4.035	0.781	774
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	15	91	259	245	12	Class	4.198	0.790	611
	0.2	2.4	14.6	41.6	39.3	1.9	Department	4.132	0.845	775
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	17	60	286	244	15	Class	4.242	0.754	608
	0.2	2.7	9.6	45.9	39.2	2.4	Department	4.070	0.962	773
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	7	26	102	262	214	12	Class	4.064	0.888	611
	1.1	4.2	16.4	42.1	34.3	1.9	Department	3.947	0.979	776
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	17	66	288	235	14	Class	4.207	0.780	609
	0.5	2.7	10.6	46.2	37.7	2.2	Department	4.133	0.820	774
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	7	18	77	289	218	14	Class	4.138	0.830	609
	1.1	2.9	12.4	46.4	35.0	2.2	Department	4.012	0.949	774
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 42					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 788					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	0	4	12	24	0	Class	4.333	1.004	42
	4.8	0.0	9.5	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	3.959	1.016	782
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	3	9	29	0	Class	4.571	0.737	42
	0.0	2.4	7.1	21.4	69.0	0.0	Department	4.099	0.950	781
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	3	11	27	0	Class	4.524	0.740	42
	0.0	2.4	7.1	26.2	64.3	0.0	Department	3.978	0.996	782
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	4	14	23	0	Class	4.405	0.767	42
	0.0	2.4	9.5	33.3	54.8	0.0	Department	3.930	0.972	782
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	1	4	9	26	0	Class	4.333	1.074	42
	4.8	2.4	9.5	21.4	61.9	0.0	Department	4.160	0.951	780
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	6	18	17	0	Class	4.214	0.782	42
	0.0	2.4	14.3	42.9	40.5	0.0	Department	3.944	0.885	782
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	3	14	24	0	Class	4.452	0.739	42
	0.0	2.4	7.1	33.3	57.1	0.0	Department	4.091	0.877	777
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	2	3	12	23	0	Class	4.238	1.100	42
	4.8	4.8	7.1	28.6	54.8	0.0	Department	4.047	0.949	774
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	2	4	7	28	0	Class	4.405	1.014	42
	2.4	4.8	9.5	16.7	66.7	0.0	Department	3.983	1.012	777
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	2	0	14	25	0	Class	4.429	0.914	42
	2.4	4.8	0.0	33.3	59.5	0.0	Department	4.177	0.918	776
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	5	22	15	0	Class	4.238	0.656	42
	0.0	0.0	11.9	52.4	35.7	0.0	Department	4.035	0.781	774
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	2	6	33	0	Class	4.690	0.680	42
	0.0	2.4	4.8	14.3	78.6	0.0	Department	4.132	0.845	775
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	4	11	26	0	Class	4.476	0.773	42
	0.0	2.4	9.5	26.2	61.9	0.0	Department	4.070	0.962	773
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	4	10	26	0	Class	4.429	0.859	42
	0.0	4.8	9.5	23.8	61.9	0.0	Department	3.947	0.979	776
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	15	25	0	Class	4.548	0.593	42
	0.0	0.0	4.8	35.7	59.5	0.0	Department	4.133	0.820	774
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	2	16	22	0	Class	4.357	0.879	42
	2.4	2.4	4.8	38.1	52.4	0.0	Department	4.012	0.949	774
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 23					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept ..... 23					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	4	6	11	0	1	Class	3.227	0.922	22	
	4.3	17.4	26.1	47.8	0.0	4.3	Department	3.227	0.922	22	
							College	4.042	0.955	4741	
							University	4.199	0.929	36732	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	3	10	7	0	1	Class	3.000	0.926	22	
	8.7	13.0	43.5	30.4	0.0	4.3	Department	3.000	0.926	22	
							College	4.083	0.977	4737	
							University	4.264	0.931	36713	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	1	11	8	0	1	Class	3.136	0.889	22	
	8.7	4.3	47.8	34.8	0.0	4.3	Department	3.136	0.889	22	
							College	3.993	1.002	4738	
							University	4.211	0.959	36691	
4. the quality of this course.	1	1	7	12	1	1	Class	3.500	0.859	22	
	4.3	4.3	30.4	52.2	4.3	4.3	Department	3.500	0.859	22	
							College	4.006	0.932	4729	
							University	4.154	0.917	36669	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	4	5	11	2	1	Class	3.500	0.913	22	
	0.0	17.4	21.7	47.8	8.7	4.3	Department	3.500	0.913	22	
							College	4.155	0.918	4734	
							University	4.279	0.901	36685	
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	5	13	3	1	Class	3.773	0.869	22	
	4.3	0.0	21.7	56.5	13.0	4.3	Department	3.773	0.869	22	
							College	4.107	0.814	4722	
							University	4.168	0.853	36641	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	2	16	1	1	Class	3.636	0.902	22	
	4.3	8.7	8.7	69.6	4.3	4.3	Department	3.636	0.902	22	
							College	4.116	0.868	4716	
							University	4.282	0.843	36613	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	2	1	15	3	1	Class	3.773	0.973	22	
	4.3	8.7	4.3	65.2	13.0	4.3	Department	3.773	0.973	22	
							College	4.133	0.880	4713	
							University	4.263	0.851	36606	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	3	10	5	1	3	Class	3.100	0.912	20	
	4.3	13.0	43.5	21.7	4.3	13.0	Department	3.100	0.912	20	
							College	4.043	0.969	4714	
							University	4.191	0.938	36602	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	5	14	3	1	Class	3.909	0.610	22	
	0.0	0.0	21.7	60.9	13.0	4.3	Department	3.909	0.610	22	
							College	4.209	0.872	4714	
							University	4.319	0.853	36594	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	5	12	4	1	Class	3.864	0.774	22	
	0.0	4.3	21.7	52.2	17.4	4.3	Department	3.864	0.774	22	
							College	4.146	0.739	4713	
							University	4.219	0.789	36579	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	7	12	3	1	Class	3.818	0.664	22	
	0.0	0.0	30.4	52.2	13.0	4.3	Department	3.818	0.664	22	
							College	4.128	0.852	4705	
							University	4.255	0.845	36566	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	6	14	0	1	Class	3.545	0.671	22	
	0.0	8.7	26.1	60.9	0.0	4.3	Department	3.545	0.671	22	
							College	4.119	0.891	4709	
							University	4.235	0.910	36593	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	9	11	0	1	Class	3.364	0.790	22	
	4.3	4.3	39.1	47.8	0.0	4.3	Department	3.364	0.790	22	
							College	4.024	0.929	4714	
							University	4.186	0.918	36570	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	4	14	3	1	Class	3.864	0.710	22	
	0.0	4.3	17.4	60.9	13.0	4.3	Department	3.864	0.710	22	
							College	4.155	0.825	4709	
							University	4.289	0.805	36555	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	1	18	2	1	Class	3.909	0.750	22	
	4.3	0.0	4.3	78.3	8.7	4.3	Department	3.909	0.750	22	
							College	4.068	0.908	4708	
							University	4.238	0.881	36516	

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL							G= Good....(4)				
# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 7							IB=In Between....(3)				
Your Dept ..... 359							P= Poor....(2)				
Your College .. 4780							VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	5	2	0	Class	4.286	0.488	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	0.0	Department	4.000	0.816	358	
							College	4.042	0.955	4741	
							University	4.199	0.929	36732	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	5	2	0	Class	4.286	0.488	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	0.0	Department	4.036	0.844	358	
							College	4.083	0.977	4737	
							University	4.264	0.931	36713	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	3	3	0	Class	4.286	0.756	7	
	0.0	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.160	0.775	357	
							College	3.993	1.002	4738	
							University	4.211	0.959	36691	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	4	2	0	Class	4.143	0.690	7	
	0.0	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	0.0	Department	3.692	0.977	357	
							College	4.006	0.932	4729	
							University	4.154	0.917	36669	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	0	4	1	0	Class	3.571	1.134	7	
	0.0	28.6	0.0	57.1	14.3	0.0	Department	4.112	0.803	357	
							College	4.155	0.918	4734	
							University	4.279	0.901	36685	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	4	2	1	Class	4.333	0.516	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	28.6	14.3	Department	4.112	0.718	357	
							College	4.107	0.814	4722	
							University	4.168	0.853	36641	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	6	1	0	Class	4.143	0.378	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	0.0	Department	4.051	0.756	356	
							College	4.116	0.868	4716	
							University	4.282	0.843	36613	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	4	3	0	Class	4.429	0.535	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	0.0	Department	4.054	0.795	355	
							College	4.133	0.880	4713	
							University	4.263	0.851	36606	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	5	1	0	Class	4.000	0.577	7	
	0.0	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	0.0	Department	4.059	0.794	356	
							College	4.043	0.969	4714	
							University	4.191	0.938	36602	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	5	0	0	Class	3.714	0.488	7	
	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0	Department	4.228	0.830	356	
							College	4.209	0.872	4714	
							University	4.319	0.853	36594	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	7	0	0	Class	4.000	0.000	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.079	0.696	356	
							College	4.146	0.739	4713	
							University	4.219	0.789	36579	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	3	3	0	Class	4.286	0.756	7	
	0.0	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	3.874	0.782	356	
							College	4.128	0.852	4705	
							University	4.255	0.845	36566	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	3	3	0	Class	4.286	0.756	7	
	0.0	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.085	0.739	355	
							College	4.119	0.891	4709	
							University	4.235	0.910	36593	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	4	2	0	Class	4.143	0.690	7	
	0.0	0.0	14.3	57.1	28.6	0.0	Department	3.857	0.825	356	
							College	4.024	0.929	4714	
							University	4.186	0.918	36570	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	3	3	0	Class	4.286	0.756	7	
	0.0	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	3.904	0.850	356	
							College	4.155	0.825	4709	
							University	4.289	0.805	36555	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	4	1	0	Class	3.857	0.690	7	
	0.0	0.0	28.6	57.1	14.3	0.0	Department	3.941	0.865	356	
							College	4.068	0.908	4708	
							University	4.238	0.881	36516	



# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 345					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 359					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	19	50	186	87	1	Class	3.980	0.820	344
	0.6	5.5	14.5	53.9	25.2	0.3	Department	4.000	0.816	358
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	18	49	175	99	1	Class	4.015	0.848	344
	0.9	5.2	14.2	50.7	28.7	0.3	Department	4.036	0.844	358
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	9	43	171	118	2	Class	4.149	0.779	343
	0.6	2.6	12.5	49.6	34.2	0.6	Department	4.160	0.775	357
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
4. the quality of this course.	11	34	73	164	61	2	Class	3.671	0.985	343
	3.2	9.9	21.2	47.5	17.7	0.6	Department	3.692	0.977	357
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	11	46	171	113	2	Class	4.114	0.796	343
	0.6	3.2	13.3	49.6	32.8	0.6	Department	4.112	0.803	357
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	9	40	197	97	1	Class	4.105	0.721	344
	0.3	2.6	11.6	57.1	28.1	0.3	Department	4.112	0.718	357
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	14	45	194	88	3	Class	4.035	0.761	342
	0.3	4.1	13.0	56.2	25.5	0.9	Department	4.051	0.756	356
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	14	49	181	95	4	Class	4.035	0.800	341
	0.6	4.1	14.2	52.5	27.5	1.2	Department	4.054	0.795	355
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	12	47	185	95	3	Class	4.044	0.797	342
	0.9	3.5	13.6	53.6	27.5	0.9	Department	4.059	0.794	356
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	12	22	161	142	3	Class	4.237	0.835	342
	1.4	3.5	6.4	46.7	41.2	0.9	Department	4.228	0.830	356
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	3	52	197	88	3	Class	4.070	0.703	342
	0.6	0.9	15.1	57.1	25.5	0.9	Department	4.079	0.696	356
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	5	118	140	79	3	Class	3.857	0.785	342
	0.0	1.4	34.2	40.6	22.9	0.9	Department	3.874	0.782	356
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	6	52	187	95	4	Class	4.082	0.723	341
	0.3	1.7	15.1	54.2	27.5	1.2	Department	4.085	0.739	355
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	15	74	186	63	3	Class	3.845	0.812	342
	1.2	4.3	21.4	53.9	18.3	0.9	Department	3.857	0.825	356
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	6	15	65	184	72	3	Class	3.880	0.850	342
	1.7	4.3	18.8	53.3	20.9	0.9	Department	3.904	0.850	356
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	9	11	55	188	79	3	Class	3.927	0.868	342
	2.6	3.2	15.9	54.5	22.9	0.9	Department	3.941	0.865	356
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 7					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept ..... 359					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.000	0.816	358	
							College	4.042	0.955	4741	
							University	4.199	0.929	36732	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.036	0.844	358	
							College	4.083	0.977	4737	
							University	4.264	0.931	36713	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.160	0.775	357	
							College	3.993	1.002	4738	
							University	4.211	0.959	36691	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	5	2	0	Class	4.286	0.488	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	0.0	Department	3.692	0.977	357	
							College	4.006	0.932	4729	
							University	4.154	0.917	36669	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.112	0.803	357	
							College	4.155	0.918	4734	
							University	4.279	0.901	36685	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	3	3	0	Class	4.286	0.756	7	
	0.0	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.112	0.718	357	
							College	4.107	0.814	4722	
							University	4.168	0.853	36641	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.051	0.756	356	
							College	4.116	0.868	4716	
							University	4.282	0.843	36613	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.054	0.795	355	
							College	4.133	0.880	4713	
							University	4.263	0.851	36606	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.059	0.794	356	
							College	4.043	0.969	4714	
							University	4.191	0.938	36602	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	3	3	0	Class	4.286	0.756	7	
	0.0	0.0	14.3	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.228	0.830	356	
							College	4.209	0.872	4714	
							University	4.319	0.853	36594	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.079	0.696	356	
							College	4.146	0.739	4713	
							University	4.219	0.789	36579	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	5	2	0	Class	4.286	0.488	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	0.0	Department	3.874	0.782	356	
							College	4.128	0.852	4705	
							University	4.255	0.845	36566	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	0	3	3	0	Class	4.000	1.414	7	
	14.3	0.0	0.0	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.085	0.739	355	
							College	4.119	0.891	4709	
							University	4.235	0.910	36593	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.143	1.464	7	
	14.3	0.0	0.0	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	3.857	0.825	356	
							College	4.024	0.929	4714	
							University	4.186	0.918	36570	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	3.904	0.850	356	
							College	4.155	0.825	4709	
							University	4.289	0.805	36555	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	3.941	0.865	356	
							College	4.068	0.908	4708	
							University	4.238	0.881	36516	

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: INDUSTRIAL & MANUFACTURING ENG							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 636					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 642					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	9	43	123	250	203	8	Class	3.947	0.960	628
	1.4	6.8	19.3	39.3	31.9	1.3	Department	3.942	0.960	634
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	11	40	100	265	212	8	Class	3.998	0.954	628
	1.7	6.3	15.7	41.7	33.3	1.3	Department	3.986	0.963	634
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	13	51	139	218	207	8	Class	3.884	1.025	628
	2.0	8.0	21.9	34.3	32.5	1.3	Department	3.872	1.029	634
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	10	39	127	260	190	10	Class	3.928	0.946	626
	1.6	6.1	20.0	40.9	29.9	1.6	Department	3.921	0.949	632
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	6	26	79	278	238	9	Class	4.142	0.859	627
	0.9	4.1	12.4	43.7	37.4	1.4	Department	4.137	0.858	633
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	21	80	297	224	9	Class	4.139	0.820	627
	0.8	3.3	12.6	46.7	35.2	1.4	Department	4.133	0.820	633
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	7	24	93	298	207	7	Class	4.072	0.852	629
	1.1	3.8	14.6	46.9	32.5	1.1	Department	4.065	0.852	635
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	8	29	86	288	218	7	Class	4.079	0.882	629
	1.3	4.6	13.5	45.3	34.3	1.1	Department	4.068	0.890	635
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	13	44	93	261	218	7	Class	3.997	0.981	629
	2.0	6.9	14.6	41.0	34.3	1.1	Department	3.984	0.987	635
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	14	27	61	286	240	8	Class	4.132	0.914	628
	2.2	4.2	9.6	45.0	37.7	1.3	Department	4.128	0.912	634
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	10	86	322	209	7	Class	4.154	0.734	629
	0.3	1.6	13.5	50.6	32.9	1.1	Department	4.151	0.734	635
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	17	133	262	211	10	Class	4.056	0.836	626
	0.5	2.7	20.9	41.2	33.2	1.6	Department	4.049	0.838	632
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	6	32	114	260	215	9	Class	4.030	0.903	627
	0.9	5.0	17.9	40.9	33.8	1.4	Department	4.024	0.904	633
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	7	39	127	249	207	7	Class	3.970	0.938	629
	1.1	6.1	20.0	39.2	32.5	1.1	Department	3.964	0.938	635
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	10	28	112	281	197	8	Class	3.998	0.903	628
	1.6	4.4	17.6	44.2	31.0	1.3	Department	3.989	0.909	634
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	12	24	113	283	196	8	Class	3.998	0.905	628
	1.9	3.8	17.8	44.5	30.8	1.3	Department	3.995	0.902	634
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: INDUSTRIAL & MANUFACTURING ENG							G= Good....(4)				
# Sheets Scanned: This Level ....		6					IB=In Between....(3)				
Your Dept .....		642					P= Poor....(2)				
Your College ..		4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	2	3	0	0	Class	3.333	0.816	6	
	0.0	16.7	33.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.942	0.960	634	
							College	4.042	0.955	4741	
							University	4.199	0.929	36732	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	3	1	0	0	Class	2.667	1.033	6	
	16.7	16.7	50.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	Department	3.986	0.963	634	
							College	4.083	0.977	4737	
							University	4.264	0.931	36713	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	3	2	1	0	0	Class	2.667	0.816	6	
	0.0	50.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	Department	3.872	1.029	634	
							College	3.993	1.002	4738	
							University	4.211	0.959	36691	
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	1	3	0	0	Class	3.167	0.983	6	
	0.0	33.3	16.7	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.921	0.949	632	
							College	4.006	0.932	4729	
							University	4.154	0.917	36669	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	4	0	0	Class	3.667	0.516	6	
	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	Department	4.137	0.858	633	
							College	4.155	0.918	4734	
							University	4.279	0.901	36685	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	3	0	0	Class	3.500	0.548	6	
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.133	0.820	633	
							College	4.107	0.814	4722	
							University	4.168	0.853	36641	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	4	2	0	0	Class	3.333	0.516	6	
	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	Department	4.065	0.852	635	
							College	4.116	0.868	4716	
							University	4.282	0.843	36613	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	4	1	0	0	Class	2.833	0.983	6	
	16.7	0.0	66.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	Department	4.068	0.890	635	
							College	4.133	0.880	4713	
							University	4.263	0.851	36606	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	2	1	0	0	Class	2.667	0.816	6	
	0.0	50.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	Department	3.984	0.987	635	
							College	4.043	0.969	4714	
							University	4.191	0.938	36602	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	4	0	0	Class	3.667	0.516	6	
	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	Department	4.128	0.912	634	
							College	4.209	0.872	4714	
							University	4.319	0.853	36594	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	3	1	0	Class	3.833	0.753	6	
	0.0	0.0	33.3	50.0	16.7	0.0	Department	4.151	0.734	635	
							College	4.146	0.739	4713	
							University	4.219	0.789	36579	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	2	3	0	0	Class	3.333	0.816	6	
	0.0	16.7	33.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.049	0.838	632	
							College	4.128	0.852	4705	
							University	4.255	0.845	36566	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	2	3	0	0	Class	3.333	0.816	6	
	0.0	16.7	33.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.024	0.904	633	
							College	4.119	0.891	4709	
							University	4.235	0.910	36593	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	2	3	0	0	Class	3.333	0.816	6	
	0.0	16.7	33.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.964	0.938	635	
							College	4.024	0.929	4714	
							University	4.186	0.918	36570	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	0	3	0	0	Class	3.000	1.095	6	
	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.989	0.909	634	
							College	4.155	0.825	4709	
							University	4.289	0.805	36555	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	4	0	0	Class	3.667	0.516	6	
	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	0.0	Department	3.995	0.902	634	
							College	4.068	0.908	4708	
							University	4.238	0.881	36516	

# **Student Rating of Instruction** **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 556					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 1438					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	9	41	112	271	122	1	Class	3.822	0.913	555
	1.6	7.4	20.1	48.7	21.9	0.2	Department	4.024	0.913	1435
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
2. the instructor as a teacher.	9	49	113	230	154	1	Class	3.849	0.979	555
	1.6	8.8	20.3	41.4	27.7	0.2	Department	4.043	0.969	1434
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	10	46	140	234	124	2	Class	3.751	0.954	554
	1.8	8.3	25.2	42.1	22.3	0.4	Department	3.895	1.008	1434
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
4. the quality of this course.	14	30	107	284	118	3	Class	3.835	0.909	553
	2.5	5.4	19.2	51.1	21.2	0.5	Department	4.012	0.882	1430
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	12	49	105	250	139	1	Class	3.820	0.978	555
	2.2	8.8	18.9	45.0	25.0	0.2	Department	4.027	0.976	1434
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
6. your understanding of the course content.	7	14	109	293	129	4	Class	3.947	0.803	552
	1.3	2.5	19.6	52.7	23.2	0.7	Department	4.067	0.782	1428
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	10	25	86	285	141	9	Class	3.954	0.873	547
	1.8	4.5	15.5	51.3	25.4	1.6	Department	4.054	0.895	1422
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	26	79	303	133	9	Class	3.971	0.823	547
	1.1	4.7	14.2	54.5	23.9	1.6	Department	4.128	0.816	1423
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	18	48	107	251	123	9	Class	3.755	1.005	547
	3.2	8.6	19.2	45.1	22.1	1.6	Department	3.999	0.956	1422
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	21	63	293	165	10	Class	4.088	0.794	546
	0.7	3.8	11.3	52.7	29.7	1.8	Department	4.166	0.832	1422
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	13	89	324	119	9	Class	3.996	0.714	547
	0.4	2.3	16.0	58.3	21.4	1.6	Department	4.092	0.735	1422
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	9	21	110	269	137	10	Class	3.923	0.866	546
	1.6	3.8	19.8	48.4	24.6	1.8	Department	4.148	0.819	1418
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	9	27	108	270	133	9	Class	3.898	0.882	547
	1.6	4.9	19.4	48.6	23.9	1.6	Department	4.103	0.865	1423
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	14	30	113	281	109	9	Class	3.806	0.905	547
	2.5	5.4	20.3	50.5	19.6	1.6	Department	3.999	0.910	1422
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	6	16	74	292	159	9	Class	4.064	0.799	547
	1.1	2.9	13.3	52.5	28.6	1.6	Department	4.216	0.752	1421
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	7	31	88	286	135	9	Class	3.934	0.864	547
	1.3	5.6	15.8	51.4	24.3	1.6	Department	4.002	0.947	1421
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 863					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 1438					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	9	41	113	350	348	2	Class	4.146	0.894	861
	1.0	4.8	13.1	40.6	40.3	0.2	Department	4.024	0.913	1435
							College	4.042	0.955	4741
							University	4.199	0.929	36732
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	15	44	108	317	376	3	Class	4.157	0.949	860
	1.7	5.1	12.5	36.7	43.6	0.3	Department	4.043	0.969	1434
							College	4.083	0.977	4737
							University	4.264	0.931	36713
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	21	68	140	309	323	2	Class	3.981	1.036	861
	2.4	7.9	16.2	35.8	37.4	0.2	Department	3.895	1.008	1434
							College	3.993	1.002	4738
							University	4.211	0.959	36691
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	4	36	133	364	321	5	Class	4.121	0.852	858
	0.5	4.2	15.4	42.2	37.2	0.6	Department	4.012	0.882	1430
							College	4.006	0.932	4729
							University	4.154	0.917	36669
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	16	41	116	310	378	2	Class	4.153	0.953	861
	1.9	4.8	13.4	35.9	43.8	0.2	Department	4.027	0.976	1434
							College	4.155	0.918	4734
							University	4.279	0.901	36685
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	22	116	429	289	5	Class	4.143	0.759	858
	0.2	2.5	13.4	49.7	33.5	0.6	Department	4.067	0.782	1428
							College	4.107	0.814	4722
							University	4.168	0.853	36641
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	10	48	108	364	326	7	Class	4.107	0.909	856
	1.2	5.6	12.5	42.2	37.8	0.8	Department	4.054	0.895	1422
							College	4.116	0.868	4716
							University	4.282	0.843	36613
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	7	26	79	403	342	6	Class	4.222	0.799	857
	0.8	3.0	9.2	46.7	39.6	0.7	Department	4.128	0.816	1423
							College	4.133	0.880	4713
							University	4.263	0.851	36606
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	10	40	109	350	347	7	Class	4.150	0.896	856
	1.2	4.6	12.6	40.6	40.2	0.8	Department	3.999	0.956	1422
							College	4.043	0.969	4714
							University	4.191	0.938	36602
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	11	32	80	376	358	6	Class	4.211	0.856	857
	1.3	3.7	9.3	43.6	41.5	0.7	Department	4.166	0.832	1422
							College	4.209	0.872	4714
							University	4.319	0.853	36594
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	14	123	428	289	7	Class	4.154	0.738	856
	0.2	1.6	14.3	49.6	33.5	0.8	Department	4.092	0.735	1422
							College	4.146	0.739	4713
							University	4.219	0.789	36579
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	15	102	357	377	10	Class	4.280	0.758	853
	0.2	1.7	11.8	41.4	43.7	1.2	Department	4.148	0.819	1418
							College	4.128	0.852	4705
							University	4.255	0.845	36566
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	8	25	98	359	367	6	Class	4.228	0.832	857
	0.9	2.9	11.4	41.6	42.5	0.7	Department	4.103	0.865	1423
							College	4.119	0.891	4709
							University	4.235	0.910	36593
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	11	40	115	365	325	7	Class	4.113	0.897	856
	1.3	4.6	13.3	42.3	37.7	0.8	Department	3.999	0.910	1422
							College	4.024	0.929	4714
							University	4.186	0.918	36570
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	15	77	392	371	8	Class	4.309	0.707	855
	0.0	1.7	8.9	45.4	43.0	0.9	Department	4.216	0.752	1421
							College	4.155	0.825	4709
							University	4.289	0.805	36555
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	24	50	117	342	322	8	Class	4.039	1.000	855
	2.8	5.8	13.6	39.6	37.3	0.9	Department	4.002	0.947	1421
							College	4.068	0.908	4708
							University	4.238	0.881	36516

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 19					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept ..... 1438					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	9	9	0	Class	4.421	0.607	19	
	0.0	0.0	5.3	47.4	47.4	0.0	Department	4.024	0.913	1435	
							College	4.042	0.955	4741	
							University	4.199	0.929	36732	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	9	10	0	Class	4.526	0.513	19	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.4	52.6	0.0	Department	4.043	0.969	1434	
							College	4.083	0.977	4737	
							University	4.264	0.931	36713	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	4	8	7	0	Class	4.158	0.765	19	
	0.0	0.0	21.1	42.1	36.8	0.0	Department	3.895	1.008	1434	
							College	3.993	1.002	4738	
							University	4.211	0.959	36691	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	11	6	0	Class	4.211	0.631	19	
	0.0	0.0	10.5	57.9	31.6	0.0	Department	4.012	0.882	1430	
							College	4.006	0.932	4729	
							University	4.154	0.917	36669	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	1	6	10	1	Class	4.389	0.850	18	
	0.0	5.3	5.3	31.6	52.6	5.3	Department	4.027	0.976	1434	
							College	4.155	0.918	4734	
							University	4.279	0.901	36685	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	4	8	6	1	Class	4.111	0.758	18	
	0.0	0.0	21.1	42.1	31.6	5.3	Department	4.067	0.782	1428	
							College	4.107	0.814	4722	
							University	4.168	0.853	36641	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	9	10	0	Class	4.526	0.513	19	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.4	52.6	0.0	Department	4.054	0.895	1422	
							College	4.116	0.868	4716	
							University	4.282	0.843	36613	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	7	10	0	Class	4.421	0.692	19	
	0.0	0.0	10.5	36.8	52.6	0.0	Department	4.128	0.816	1423	
							College	4.133	0.880	4713	
							University	4.263	0.851	36606	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	10	7	0	Class	4.263	0.653	19	
	0.0	0.0	10.5	52.6	36.8	0.0	Department	3.999	0.956	1422	
							College	4.043	0.969	4714	
							University	4.191	0.938	36602	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	10	8	0	Class	4.368	0.597	19	
	0.0	0.0	5.3	52.6	42.1	0.0	Department	4.166	0.832	1422	
							College	4.209	0.872	4714	
							University	4.319	0.853	36594	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	1	12	5	0	Class	4.053	0.911	19	
	5.3	0.0	5.3	63.2	26.3	0.0	Department	4.092	0.735	1422	
							College	4.146	0.739	4713	
							University	4.219	0.789	36579	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	6	13	0	Class	4.684	0.478	19	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.6	68.4	0.0	Department	4.148	0.819	1418	
							College	4.128	0.852	4705	
							University	4.255	0.845	36566	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	8	9	0	Class	4.368	0.684	19	
	0.0	0.0	10.5	42.1	47.4	0.0	Department	4.103	0.865	1423	
							College	4.119	0.891	4709	
							University	4.235	0.910	36593	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	8	9	0	Class	4.368	0.684	19	
	0.0	0.0	10.5	42.1	47.4	0.0	Department	3.999	0.910	1422	
							College	4.024	0.929	4714	
							University	4.186	0.918	36570	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	9	9	0	Class	4.421	0.607	19	
	0.0	0.0	5.3	47.4	47.4	0.0	Department	4.216	0.752	1421	
							College	4.155	0.825	4709	
							University	4.289	0.805	36555	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	11	7	0	Class	4.316	0.582	19	
	0.0	0.0	5.3	57.9	36.8	0.0	Department	4.002	0.947	1421	
							College	4.068	0.908	4708	
							University	4.238	0.881	36516	

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MATERIALS & NANOTECHNOLOGY								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 15						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 15						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 4780						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	5	7	2	Class	4.462	0.660	13	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	33.3	46.7	13.3	Department	4.462	0.660	13	
							College	4.042	0.955	4741	
							University	4.199	0.929	36732	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	5	8	2	Class	4.615	0.506	13	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	53.3	13.3	Department	4.615	0.506	13	
							College	4.083	0.977	4737	
							University	4.264	0.931	36713	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	2	11	2	Class	4.846	0.376	13	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	73.3	13.3	Department	4.846	0.376	13	
							College	3.993	1.002	4738	
							University	4.211	0.959	36691	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	6	7	2	Class	4.538	0.519	13	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	46.7	13.3	Department	4.538	0.519	13	
							College	4.006	0.932	4729	
							University	4.154	0.917	36669	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	2	11	2	Class	4.846	0.376	13	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	73.3	13.3	Department	4.846	0.376	13	
							College	4.155	0.918	4734	
							University	4.279	0.901	36685	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	5	8	2	Class	4.615	0.506	13	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	53.3	13.3	Department	4.615	0.506	13	
							College	4.107	0.814	4722	
							University	4.168	0.853	36641	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	4	8	2	Class	4.538	0.660	13	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	26.7	53.3	13.3	Department	4.538	0.660	13	
							College	4.116	0.868	4716	
							University	4.282	0.843	36613	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	5	8	2	Class	4.615	0.506	13	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	53.3	13.3	Department	4.615	0.506	13	
							College	4.133	0.880	4713	
							University	4.263	0.851	36606	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	3	9	2	Class	4.615	0.650	13	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	20.0	60.0	13.3	Department	4.615	0.650	13	
							College	4.043	0.969	4714	
							University	4.191	0.938	36602	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	3	8	2	Class	4.462	0.776	13	
	0.0	0.0	13.3	20.0	53.3	13.3	Department	4.462	0.776	13	
							College	4.209	0.872	4714	
							University	4.319	0.853	36594	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	5	8	2	Class	4.615	0.506	13	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	53.3	13.3	Department	4.615	0.506	13	
							College	4.146	0.739	4713	
							University	4.219	0.789	36579	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	2	10	2	Class	4.692	0.630	13	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	13.3	66.7	13.3	Department	4.692	0.630	13	
							College	4.128	0.852	4705	
							University	4.255	0.845	36566	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	3	9	2	Class	4.615	0.650	13	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	20.0	60.0	13.3	Department	4.615	0.650	13	
							College	4.119	0.891	4709	
							University	4.235	0.910	36593	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	4	9	2	Class	4.692	0.480	13	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	60.0	13.3	Department	4.692	0.480	13	
							College	4.024	0.929	4714	
							University	4.186	0.918	36570	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	6	6	2	Class	4.385	0.650	13	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	40.0	13.3	Department	4.385	0.650	13	
							College	4.155	0.825	4709	
							University	4.289	0.805	36555	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	0	4	7	2	Class	4.231	1.092	13	
	0.0	13.3	0.0	26.7	46.7	13.3	Department	4.231	1.092	13	
							College	4.068	0.908	4708	
							University	4.238	0.881	36516	



# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: MILITARY SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 42					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept ..... 79					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	1	41	0	Class	4.976	0.154	42	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	97.6	0.0	Department	4.987	0.113	79	
							College	4.042	0.955	4741	
							University	4.199	0.929	36732	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	1	41	0	Class	4.976	0.154	42	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	97.6	0.0	Department	4.987	0.113	79	
							College	4.083	0.977	4737	
							University	4.264	0.931	36713	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	1	41	0	Class	4.976	0.154	42	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	97.6	0.0	Department	4.987	0.113	79	
							College	3.993	1.002	4738	
							University	4.211	0.959	36691	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	2	40	0	Class	4.952	0.216	42	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	95.2	0.0	Department	4.975	0.158	79	
							College	4.006	0.932	4729	
							University	4.154	0.917	36669	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	41	0	Class	4.976	0.154	42	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	97.6	0.0	Department	4.962	0.250	79	
							College	4.155	0.918	4734	
							University	4.279	0.901	36685	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	2	38	2	Class	4.950	0.221	40	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	90.5	4.8	Department	4.974	0.160	77	
							College	4.107	0.814	4722	
							University	4.168	0.853	36641	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	41	0	Class	4.976	0.154	42	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	97.6	0.0	Department	4.975	0.158	79	
							College	4.116	0.868	4716	
							University	4.282	0.843	36613	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	0	1	40	0	Class	4.905	0.484	42	
	0.0	2.4	0.0	2.4	95.2	0.0	Department	4.949	0.354	79	
							College	4.133	0.880	4713	
							University	4.263	0.851	36606	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	1	40	0	Class	4.929	0.342	42	
	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.4	95.2	0.0	Department	4.949	0.273	79	
							College	4.043	0.969	4714	
							University	4.191	0.938	36602	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	2	40	0	Class	4.952	0.216	42	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	95.2	0.0	Department	4.911	0.365	79	
							College	4.209	0.872	4714	
							University	4.319	0.853	36594	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	4	38	0	Class	4.905	0.297	42	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.5	90.5	0.0	Department	4.949	0.221	79	
							College	4.146	0.739	4713	
							University	4.219	0.789	36579	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	1	40	0	Class	4.929	0.342	42	
	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.4	95.2	0.0	Department	4.949	0.273	79	
							College	4.128	0.852	4705	
							University	4.255	0.845	36566	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	1	40	0	Class	4.929	0.342	42	
	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.4	95.2	0.0	Department	4.937	0.293	79	
							College	4.119	0.891	4709	
							University	4.235	0.910	36593	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	2	40	0	Class	4.952	0.216	42	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	95.2	0.0	Department	4.937	0.293	79	
							College	4.024	0.929	4714	
							University	4.186	0.918	36570	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	0	41	0	Class	4.952	0.309	42	
	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	97.6	0.0	Department	4.975	0.225	79	
							College	4.155	0.825	4709	
							University	4.289	0.805	36555	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	0	41	0	Class	4.952	0.309	42	
	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	97.6	0.0	Department	4.975	0.225	79	
							College	4.068	0.908	4708	
							University	4.238	0.881	36516	

# **Student Rating of Instruction** **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: MILITARY SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 37					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept ..... 79					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 4780					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	0	37	0	Class	5.000	0.000	37	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.987	0.113	79	
							College	4.042	0.955	4741	
							University	4.199	0.929	36732	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	37	0	Class	5.000	0.000	37	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.987	0.113	79	
							College	4.083	0.977	4737	
							University	4.264	0.931	36713	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	0	37	0	Class	5.000	0.000	37	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.987	0.113	79	
							College	3.993	1.002	4738	
							University	4.211	0.959	36691	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	0	37	0	Class	5.000	0.000	37	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.975	0.158	79	
							College	4.006	0.932	4729	
							University	4.154	0.917	36669	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	0	36	0	Class	4.946	0.329	37	
	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	97.3	0.0	Department	4.962	0.250	79	
							College	4.155	0.918	4734	
							University	4.279	0.901	36685	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	0	37	0	Class	5.000	0.000	37	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.974	0.160	77	
							College	4.107	0.814	4722	
							University	4.168	0.853	36641	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	36	0	Class	4.973	0.164	37	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	97.3	0.0	Department	4.975	0.158	79	
							College	4.116	0.868	4716	
							University	4.282	0.843	36613	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	0	37	0	Class	5.000	0.000	37	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.949	0.354	79	
							College	4.133	0.880	4713	
							University	4.263	0.851	36606	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	1	36	0	Class	4.973	0.164	37	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	97.3	0.0	Department	4.949	0.273	79	
							College	4.043	0.969	4714	
							University	4.191	0.938	36602	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	1	34	0	Class	4.865	0.481	37	
	0.0	0.0	5.4	2.7	91.9	0.0	Department	4.911	0.365	79	
							College	4.209	0.872	4714	
							University	4.319	0.853	36594	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	0	37	0	Class	5.000	0.000	37	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.949	0.221	79	
							College	4.146	0.739	4713	
							University	4.219	0.789	36579	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1	36	0	Class	4.973	0.164	37	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	97.3	0.0	Department	4.949	0.273	79	
							College	4.128	0.852	4705	
							University	4.255	0.845	36566	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	2	35	0	Class	4.946	0.229	37	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	94.6	0.0	Department	4.937	0.293	79	
							College	4.119	0.891	4709	
							University	4.235	0.910	36593	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	1	35	0	Class	4.919	0.363	37	
	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.7	94.6	0.0	Department	4.937	0.293	79	
							College	4.024	0.929	4714	
							University	4.186	0.918	36570	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	0	37	0	Class	5.000	0.000	37	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.975	0.225	79	
							College	4.155	0.825	4709	
							University	4.289	0.805	36555	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	37	0	Class	5.000	0.000	37	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.975	0.225	79	
							College	4.068	0.908	4708	
							University	4.238	0.881	36516	