North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 32 IB=In Between...(3) 103 Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.469 0.621 32 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 102 13 4.225 0.716 instruction in this course. 0.0 40.6 53.1 0.0 College 4.042 0.955 4741 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 625 0 554 32 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.382 0.718 102 0.0 0.0 3.1 31.3 65.6 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 594 0 615 32 3. the ability of the instructor 0 0 Department 0.798 102 4.235 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 28.1 65.6 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.375 0.660 32 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 0.789 0.0 0.0 9.4 43.8 46.9 0.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 4.219 0.870 Class 32 Department 5. the fairness of procedures 101 for grading this course. 0.0 3.1 18.8 31.3 46.9 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 4.313 0.644 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.139 0.649 101 0.0 9.4 4722 course content. 0.0 50.0 40.6 0.0 College 4.107 0.814 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R ______ ------4.531 Class 0.567 32 102 7. instructor created an atmos-13 Department 4.275 0.706 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 40.6 56.3 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 625 0 492 32 8. instructor provided well-0 0 0 Department 4.275 0.662 102 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 0.0 37.5 0.0 College 4.133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.594 0.665 32 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.157 0.817 102 4.043 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 9.4 21.9 68.8 0.0 College University 0.969 4714 4.191 0.938 36602 0.701 Class 4.344 32 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 0.0 3.1 3.1 50.0 43.8 0.0 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.219 0.659 32 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.088 102 3.1 62.5 objectives for this course. 0.0 3.1 31.3 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 0.789 12. instructor was available to 0 Department 4.363 0.642 102 4 12.5 0.0 0.0 28.1 59.4 0.0 assist students outside class. College 4.128 0.852 4705 University 4.255 0.845 Class 13. instructor provided feedback Department 4.275 0.677 102 53.1 in a timely manner. 0.0 3.1 34.4 0.0 0.891 4709 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.313 0.738 32 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 137 0 745 102 feedback that helped me learn. College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 469 0 567 32 15. instructor set + maintained Department 101 16 4.317 0.582 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 3.1 46.9 50.0 College 0.825 4709 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.344 0 545 32 16. physical environment Department 102 4.196 0.630 0.0 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 3.1 59.4 37.5 College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG Good....(4) IB=In Between...(3) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 56 103 Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.073 0.742 55 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 102 4.225 0.716 instruction in this course. 0.0 12.5 58.9 25.0 College 4.042 0.955 4741 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 236 0 744 55 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.382 0.718 102 1.8 0.0 7.1 53.6 35.7 1.8 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 3 927 0.836 55 3. the ability of the instructor 12 28 Department 0.798 102 4.235 to communicate effectively. 21.4 50.0 23.2 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.764 0.693 55 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 0.789 7.1 16.1 0.0 67.9 7.1 1.8 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 0.784 Class 3.907 54 Department 5. the fairness of procedures 4.079 0.821 101 for grading this course. 1.8 0.0 23.2 51.8 19.6 3.6 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 4.037 0.613 Department 6. your understanding of the 40 4.139 0.649 101 3.6 71.4 16.1 4722 course content. 0.0 5.4 3.6 College 4.107 0.814 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R ______ ------4.073 Class 0.742 55 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.275 0.706 102 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 12.5 58.9 25.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 073 0 573 55 8. instructor provided well-0 Department 4.275 0.662 102 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 12.5 College 4.133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 3.909 0.776 55 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.157 0.817 102 19.6 materials clear/organized. 1.8 0.0 23.2 53.6 College University 4.043 0.969 4714 4.191 0.938 36602 4.182 0.748 Class 55 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 0.0 5.4 3.6 57.1 32.1 1.8 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.073 0.539 55 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.088 0 6 0.0 10.7 102 objectives for this course. 0.0 69.6 17.9 1.8 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 0.789 -----0.615 12. instructor was available to Department 4.363 0.642 102 0.0 0.0 8.9 55.4 33.9 1.8 assist students outside class. College 4.128 0.852 4705 University 4.255 0.845 Class 0.611 13. instructor provided feedback Department 4.275 0.677 102 in a timely manner. 0.0 64.3 26.8 0.891 4709 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.744 3.964 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 137 0 745 102 feedback that helped me learn. 50.0 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4.200 0 558 55 15. instructor set + maintained Department 101 36 4.317 0.582 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 7.1 64.3 26.8 College 0.825 4709 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.109 0 599 55 16. physical environment Department 102 4.196 0.630 was conducive to learning. 0.0 1.8 7.1 67.9 21.4 College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG Good....(4) IB=In Between...(3) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 15 103 Poor...(2) Your Dept Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.267 0.704 15 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 102 4.225 0.716 instruction in this course. 0.0 13.3 46.7 40.0 0.0 College 4.042 0.955 4741 University 4.199 0.929 36732 ----Class 4 400 0.828 15 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.382 0.718 102 0.0 0.0 20.0 20.0 60.0 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 600 0 507 15 3. the ability of the instructor 0 0.798 0 Department 4.235 102 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 0.0 40.0 60.0 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.267 1.033 Class 15 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.029 0.789 0.0 6.7 20.0 13.3 60.0 0.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 0.737 Class 4.400 15 Department 4.079 5. the fairness of procedures 0.821 101 0.0 13.3 for grading this course. 0.0 33.3 53.3 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 4.133 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.139 0.649 101 46.7 0.0 20.0 33.3 4722 course content. 0.0 0.0 College 4.107 0.814 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R ______ -----4.467 Class 0.640 15 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.275 0.706 102 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 40.0 53.3 0.0 College 4716 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 267 0 961 15 8. instructor provided well-Department 4.275 0.662 102 defined course objectives. 0.0 6.7 13.3 26.7 53.3 0.0 College 4.133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.133 0.915 15 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.157 0.817 102 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 33.3 20.0 46.7 0.0 College University 4.043 0.969 4714 4.191 0.938 36602 Class 4.467 0.640 15 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 6.7 40.0 53.3 0.0 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 36594 0.853 Class 3.867 0.834 15 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.088 102 1 6.7 3 20.0 53.3 20.0 0.0 objectives for this course. 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 0.789 _____ 12. instructor was available to Department 4.363 0.642 102 0.0 46.7 0.0 0.0 53.3 0.0 assist students outside class. College 4.128 0.852 4705 University 4.255 0.845

13. instructor provided feedback

14 instructor provided relevant

15. instructor set + maintained

16. physical environment

high standards for students.

was conducive to learning.

feedback that helped me learn.

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

0.0

46.7

40.0

0 4 4 7 0.0 26.7 26.7 46.7

46.7

in a timely manner.

Class

0.0

0.0

Department

University

Department

Department

University

Department

College 4.068 University 4.238

College

College 4.024 University 4.186

Class

Class

College

0.632

0.677

0.891

0.910

0.632

0 745

0.918

0.646

0.582

0.825

0.805

0.862

0.630

0.908

0.881

102

4709

102

14

101

4709

15

102

4708

36516

36555

36570

36593

4.275

4.119

4.235

4.400

4 137

4 429

4.317

4.289

4.200

4.196

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: AEROSPACE STUDIES Good....(4) $\mbox{\tt\#}$ Sheets Scanned: This Level 64 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 81 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.813 0.393 Class 64 1. your satisfaction with the Department 4.852 0.357 81 4741 instruction in this course. 0.0 0.0 81.3 0.0 College 0.955 18.8 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 797 0 443 64 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.840 0.402 81 0.0 0.0 1.6 17.2 81.3 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 781 0 453 64 3. the ability of the instructor 0 0 Department 4.827 0.412 81 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 1.6 79.7 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 64 4.766 Class 0.427 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.802 0.401 0.0 0.0 0.0 23.4 76.6 0.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 64 Class 4.844 0.366 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 15.6 84.4 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 4.855 0.355 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.886 0.320 79 4722 0.0 14.1 82.8 course content. 0.0 0.0 3.1 College 4.107 0.814 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ------64 4.797 0.443 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-11 Department 4.840 0.402 81 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 17.2 81.3 0.0 College 0.868 4716 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 766 0 463 64 8. instructor provided well-0 Department 4.815 0.422 81 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 1.6 20.3 78.1 0.0 College 4.133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 64 Class 4.797 0.406 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.840 0.369 81 4.043 20.3 4714 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 0.0 79.7 0.0 College 0.969 University 4.191 0.938 36602 0.407 Class 4.844 64 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 1.6 12.5 85.9 0.0 College 4 209 0.872 4714 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.828 64 0.380 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.864 0.345 0.0 17.2 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 82.8 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 0.789 0.380 12. instructor was available to 0 Department 4.864 0.345 81 0.0 0.0 0.0 17.2 82.8 0.0 4705 assist students outside class. College 4.128 0.852 University 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 0 4.790 0.467 81 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 3.1 18.8 78.1 0.0 0.891 4709 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.781 0.453 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 815 0 422 81 feedback that helped me learn. 18.8 0.929 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 875 0.333 64 15. instructor set + maintained Department 56 4.901 0.300 81 4709 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 0.0 12.5 87.5 College 0.825 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.719 0 603 64 16. physical environment 4.778 0.548 Department 81 0.0 0.908 was conducive to learning. 0.0 1.6 3.1 17.2 78.1 College 4.068 University 4.238 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: AEROSPACE STUDIES Good....(4) $\mbox{\tt\#}$ Sheets Scanned: This Level 17 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 5.000 0.000 17 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 0 4.852 0.357 81 4741 instruction in this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 College 0.955 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 5 000 0 000 17 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.840 81 0.402 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 5 000 0 000 17 3. the ability of the instructor 0 0 0 0 Department 4.827 0.412 81 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 17 4.941 0.243 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.802 0.401 94.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 5.9 0.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 17 Class 5.000 0.000 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 36685 Class 5.000 0.000 Department 6. your understanding of the Ω 4.886 0.320 79 0.0 0.0 100.0 4722 course content. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.107 0.814 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R ______ ------17 Class 5.000 0.000 7. instructor created an atmos-0 0 Department 4.840 0.402 81 4716 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 College 0.868 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 5 000 0 000 17 8. instructor provided well-0 0 0 Department 0.422 81 4.815 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 College 4.133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 17 Class 5.000 0.000 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.840 0.369 4.043 4714 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 College University 0.969 4.191 0.938 36602 5.000 0.000 17 Class 10. I understood how grades 0 Department were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 17 5.000 0.000 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.864 0.345 81 0.0 0.0 100.0 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 0.789 _____ 0.000 12. instructor was available to 0 Department 4.864 0.345 81 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 4705 assist students outside class. College 4.128 0.852 University 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 0 4.790 0.467 81 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 0.0 94.1 0.0 0.891 4709 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 17 0.243 4.941 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 815 0 422 81 feedback that helped me learn. College 0.929 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 5 000 0.000 17 15. instructor set + maintained Department 81 4.901 0.300 4709 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 College University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 5 000 0 000 17 16. physical environment 4.778 81 Department 0.548 0.0 4.068 0.908 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 College 4.068 University 4.238 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 115 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 920 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.270 0.692 115 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 10 58 4.137 0.900 906 instruction in this course. 1.7 50.4 39.1 0.0 College 0.955 4741 8.7 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 217 0 814 115 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.144 904 0.948 0.9 2.6 11.3 44.3 40.9 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 270 0.680 115 3. the ability of the instructor 0 60 Department 4.080 0.916 905 to communicate effectively. 0.0 1.7 52.2 38.3 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.184 0.736 Class 114 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.121 0.851 1.7 0.9 8.7 54.8 33.0 0.9 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 0.586 Class 4.383 115 Department 5. the fairness of procedures 0.810 0.0 for grading this course. 0.0 5.2 51.3 43.5 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 4.400 0.632 115 Department 6. your understanding of the 54 4.190 0.759 901 0.0 0.9 47.0 47.0 course content. 5.2 0.0 College 4.107 0.814 4722 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R ______ ______ 4.289 114 Class 0.648 7. instructor created an atmos-65 Department 4.194 0.797 899 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 56.5 36.5 College 4716 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 281 0 710 114 8. instructor provided well-14 51 Department 4.208 899 0.827 4.133 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.9 12.2 44.3 41.7 0.9 College 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.158 0.771 114 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.099 0.930 899 4.043 34.8 materials clear/organized. 0.0 3.5 12.2 48.7 0.9 College University 0.969 4714 4.191 0.938 36602 4.333 0.700 Class 114 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 0.9 0.0 7.8 47.0 43.5 0 9 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.614 114 4.316 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.231 899 0.0 objectives for this course. 0.0 7.8 52.2 39.1 0.9 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 4.219 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 4.128 0.894 897 0.0 1.7 20.9 44.3 32.2 0.9 assist students outside class. College 4.128 0.852 4705 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.119 0.945 898 in a timely manner. 0.9 4.3 7.8 46.1 40.0 0.891 4709 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 113 4.150 0.826 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 109 0.868 898 feedback that helped me learn. 13.9 46.1 College 0.929 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 257 0.692 113 15. instructor set + maintained 13 55 Department 4.194 898 0.788 11.3 4709 high standards for students. 0.0 0.9 47.8 38.3 College University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.292 0 690 113 16. physical environment 4.178 897 Department 0.815 was conducive to learning. 0.9 0.0 7.8 50.4 39.1 1.7 College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING Good....(4) 790 # Sheets Scanned: This Level IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 920 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 0.928 776 Class 4.112 1. your satisfaction with the Department 13 40 323 303 4.137 0.900 906 instruction in this course. 5.1 12.3 38.4 College 0.955 4741 1.6 40.9 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 123 0 970 774 2. the instructor as a teacher. 88 303 322 16 Department 4.144 904 44 0.948 2.2 5.6 11.1 38.4 40.8 2.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 039 0 945 775 3. the ability of the instructor 19 30 127 325 15 Department 0.916 905 4.080 to communicate effectively. 3.8 College 3.993 1.002 4738 16.1 University 4.211 0.959 36691 775 4.107 0.869 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.121 0.851 1.5 3.0 14.1 44.3 35.2 1.9 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.248 0.839 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 1.3 2.8 9.4 41.6 43.0 1.9 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 4.150 Department 4.190 6. your understanding of the 15 94 394 261 0.759 901 0.9 course content. 1.9 11.9 49.9 33.0 2.4 College 4.107 0.814 4722 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 770 4.175 0.819 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-10 24 70 383 283 20 Department 4.194 0.797 899 phere conducive to learning. 1.3 3.0 8.9 48.5 35.8 2.5 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 187 0 845 770 8. instructor provided well-10 27 75 355 303 20 Department 4.208 899 0.827 4.133 defined course objectives. 3.4 9.5 College 0.880 4713 44.9 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.079 0.954 770 9. instructor provided content/ 34 100 289 Department 4.099 0.930 899 4.043 materials clear/organized. 2.5 4.3 12.7 41.4 36.6 2.5 College 0.969 4714 University 4.191 0.938 36602 770 4.277 0.785 Class 10. I understood how grades Department 4.290 were assigned in this course. 1.0 2.2 7.7 44.6 42.0 2.5 College 4 209 0.872 4714 University 4.319 0.853 36594 770 Class 4.212 0.692 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.231 899 objectives for this course. 0.5 1.0 9.1 53.5 33.3 2.5 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 4.219 0.789 0.913 309 12. instructor was available to 28 122 297 2.2 Department 0.894 897 12 4.128 assist students outside class. 1.5 3.5 15.4 37.6 39.1 2.8 College 4.128 0.852 4705 University 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 2.3 83 337 292 21 4.119 0.945 898 in a timely manner. 2.9 4.3 10.5 42.7 37.0 0.891 4709 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 770 0.876 14 instructor provided relevant 16 116 352 269 20 Department 4 109 0.868 898 feedback that helped me learn. 34.1 44.6 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 179 0.804 770 81 375 15. instructor set + maintained 21 285 20 Department 4.194 898 0.788 36.1 College high standards for students. 1.0 2.7 10.3 47.5 2.5 4709 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.157 0 834 769 16. physical environment 75 383 21 897 Department 4.178 0.815

was conducive to learning.

1.6

2.7

9.5

48.5

35.1

2.7

College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908

0.881

4708

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING Good....(4) IB=In Between...(3) $\mbox{\tt\#}$ Sheets Scanned: This Level 15 920 Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 0.737 4.400 15 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 906 4.137 0.900 instruction in this course. 0.0 13.3 33.3 53.3 0.0 College 0.955 4741 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 667 0 488 15 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.144 904 0.948 0.0 0.0 0.0 33.3 66.7 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 733 0 458 15 3. the ability of the instructor 0 0 Department 4.080 0.916 905 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 0.0 26.7 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.333 0.724 15 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.121 0.851 0.0 0.0 13.3 40.0 46.7 0.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 0.352 Class 4.867 15 Department 5. the fairness of procedures 0.810 0.0 13.3 86.7 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 15 4.600 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.190 0.759 901 0.0 40.0 60.0 course content. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.107 0.814 4722 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R ______ ------4.400 Class 0.632 15 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.194 0.797 899 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 46.7 46.7 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 733 0 458 15 8. instructor provided well-0 0 Department 4.208 0.827 899 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 0.0 26.7 73.3 0.0 College 4.133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.667 0.488 15 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.099 0.930 899 4.043 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 0.0 33.3 66.7 0.0 College University 0.969 4714 4.191 0.938 36602 0.488 Class 4.667 15 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 33.3 66.7 0.0 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.507 15 4.600 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.231 0.682 899 0.0 40.0 60.0 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 0.789 _____ 12. instructor was available to Department 4.128 0.894 897 0.0 26.7 0.0 0.0 73.3 0.0 assist students outside class. College 4.128 0.852 4705 University 4.255 0.845 Class 13. instructor provided feedback 0 Department 4.119 0.945 898 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 0.0 26.7 73.3 0.0 0.891 4709 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.488 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 109 0.868 898 feedback that helped me learn. College 0.929 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 467 0.516 15 15. instructor set + maintained Department 898 0 4.194 0.788 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 0.0 53.3 College 4709 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.400 0 632 15 16. physical environment 897 Department 4.178 0.815 6.7 46.7 46.7 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING Good....(4) 159 # Sheets Scanned: This Level IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 332 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S.D.}}$ is the Standard Deviation and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{\#R}}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.228 158 1.094 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 3.851 1.239 329 33.3 instruction in this course. 5.7 3.1 52.2 College 0.955 4741 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 272 1 121 158 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 1.299 10 3.842 329 5.0 6.3 3.1 27.0 57.9 0.6 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 196 1 223 158 3. the ability of the instructor 14 Department 3.781 329 1.312 to communicate effectively. 3.1 27.7 0.6 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.234 0.952 Class 158 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.933 327 1.111 2.5 3.8 9.4 35.8 47.8 0.6 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.443 0.841 158 Department 5. the fairness of procedures 4.4 for grading this course. 0.6 5.7 28.3 60.4 0.6 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 36685 Class 4.241 0.863 158 Department 6. your understanding of the 17 65 70 3.982 0.953 329 1.9 1.9 10.7 4722 course content. 40.9 44.0 0.6 College 4.107 0.814 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R ______ ______ 158 4.228 Class 0.944 7. instructor created an atmos-62 Department 3.985 1.050 330 phere conducive to learning. 2.5 8.8 39.0 45.9 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 304 0 908 158 8. instructor provided well-64 Department 330 3.970 1.167 3.8 defined course objectives. 3.8 40.3 49.1 0.6 College 4 133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.203 1.021 158 9. instructor provided content/ Department 3.909 330 1.176 4.043 46.5 materials clear/organized. 3.8 39.0 0.6 College University 0.969 4714 4.191 0.938 36602 4.278 1.052 Class 158 10. I understood how grades Department 4.039 were assigned in this course. 5.0 3.1 5.0 32.1 54.1 0.6 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.354 0.749 158 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.124 0.810 330 1.3 objectives for this course. 0.6 8.8 40.3 48.4 0.6 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 0.789 0.868 12. instructor was available to Department 4.000 0.992 330 0.6 3.1 16.4 35.8 43.4 0.6 assist students outside class. College 4.128 0.852 4705 University 0.845 Class 13. instructor provided feedback Department 4.136 0.884 330 11.9 in a timely manner. 0.6 0.6 48.4 0.6 4709 College 0.891 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.228 0.977 14 instructor provided relevant 1.0 Department 3 952 1 132 330 feedback that helped me learn. 40.9 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 247 0.908 158 15. instructor set + maintained 11 70 Department 4.006 70 1.002 330 4709 high standards for students. 3.8 0.6 6.9 44.0 44.0 College 0.825 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.241 0 927 158 16. physical environment 61 Department 4.040 0.963 329 was conducive to learning. 2.5 3.1 8.8 38.4 46.5 0.6 College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 166 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 332 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses. P TB AREA VP G VG OMIT MEAN 3.457 1.265 Class 164 1. your satisfaction with the Department 32 19 63 3.851 1.239 329 instruction in this course. 8.4 19.3 11.4 21.7 College 0.955 4741 38.0 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 3 390 1 327 164 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 1.299 20 3.842 329 12.0 15.1 17.5 30.7 23.5 1.2 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 3 341 1 270 164 3. the ability of the instructor 17 27 38 Department 3.781 329 1.312 to communicate effectively. 10.2 16.3 22.9 28.3 21.1 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.617 162 Class 1.180 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.933 327 1.111 6.6 11.4 19.3 35.5 24.7 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 3.793 Class 1.201 164 5. the fairness of procedures Department 1.081 9.0 12.7 for grading this course. 7.2 38.0 31.9 1.2 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 3.695 164 Department 6. your understanding of the 12 36 82 3.982 0.953 329 3.6 7.2 21.7 4722 course content. 49.4 16.9 1.2 College 4.107 0.814 University 4.168 D N SD Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 165 3.721 1.096 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-20 26 Department 3.985 1.050 330 phere conducive to learning. 4.2 12.0 15.7 42.8 24.7 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 3 618 1 295 165 8. instructor provided well-16 21 22 Department 3.970 1.167 330 defined course objectives. 9.6 12.7 13.3 34.3 29.5 0.6 College 4 133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 3.594 1.249 165 9. instructor provided content/ 19 Department 3.909 1.176 330 4.043 9.6 11.4 40.4 materials clear/organized. 13.3 24.7 0.6 College University 0.969 4714 4.191 0.938 36602 3.776 1.231 Class 165 10. I understood how grades 13 Department 4.039 were assigned in this course. 9.0 7.8 10.8 40.4 31.3 0.6 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 3.891 0.804 165 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.124 330 5.4 18.1 objectives for this course. 0.6 55.4 19.9 0.6 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 4.219 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 4.000 0.992 330 5.4 4.2 24.1 38.0 27.7 0.6 assist students outside class. College 4.128 0.852 4705 University 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 13 4.136 0.884 330 7.8 in a timely manner. 1.8 14.5 47.6 4709 College 0.891 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 1.208 14 instructor provided relevant 13 35 Department 3 952 1 132 330 feedback that helped me learn. 7.8 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 3 758 1 037 165 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.006 32 70 1.002 330 4709 high standards for students. 3.0 10.2 19.3 42.2 24.7 College 4.155 0.825 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 823 0 965 164 16. physical environment 10 39 69 0.963 Department 4.040 329 2.4 6.0 23.5 41.6 25.3 was conducive to learning. College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.571 0.787 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 329 3.851 1.239 instruction in this course. 0.0 14.3 14.3 71.4 0.0 College 0.955 4741 University 4.199 0.929 36732 ----Class 4 714 0 488 2. the instructor as a teacher. 329 Department 1.299 3.842 0.0 0.0 0.0 28.6 71.4 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 714 0 488 3. the ability of the instructor 0 329 0 Department 3.781 1.312 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 0.0 28.6 71.4 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.429 0.787 Class 327 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.933 1.111 0.0 0.0 14.3 28.6 57.1 0.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 4.571 Class 0.535 5. the fairness of procedures Department 1.081 329 0.0 42.9 57.1 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 4.857 Department 6. your understanding of the 3.982 0.953 329 0.0 14.3 85.7 course content. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.107 0.814 4722 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #₽ ______ ------4.714 Class 0.488 330 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 3.985 1.050 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 28.6 0.0 College 0.868 University 4.282 0.843 36613 ----Class 4 714 0 488 330 8. instructor provided well-0 Department 3.970 1.167 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 0.0 28.6 71.4 0.0 College 4.133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.714 0.488 9. instructor provided content/ Department 3.909 330 1.176 4.043 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 0.0 28.6 71.4 0.0 College 0.969 4714 University 4.191 0.938 36602 4.857 0.378 Class 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 14.3 85.7 0 0 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 36594 0.853 Class 4.429 0.787 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.124 330 0.810 0.0 14.3 28.6 57.1 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 0.789 _____ 12. instructor was available to Department 4.000 0.992 330 0.0 0.0 0.0 28.6 71.4 0.0 assist students outside class. College 4.128 0.852 4705 University 0.845 ----Class 13. instructor provided feedback Department 4.136 0.884 330 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 0.0 28.6 0.0 0.891 4709 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.714 0.488 14 instructor provided relevant Department 3 952 1 132 330 feedback that helped me learn. College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 429 0.787 15. instructor set + maintained 330 Department 4.006 1.002 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 College 0.825 4709 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.571 0 535 16. physical environment 329 Department 4.040 0.963 42.9 57.1 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 123 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 788 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 3.098 123 Class 1.190 1. your satisfaction with the Department 2.2 36 3.959 1.016 782 instruction in this course. 12.2 17.9 29.3 29.3 11.4 College 0.955 4741 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 3 341 1 007 123 2. the instructor as a teacher. 15 Department 4.099 0.950 781 4.9 12.2 39.0 31.7 12.2 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 3 033 1 145 123 3. the ability of the instructor 15 23 38 10 Department 3.978 782 0.996 to communicate effectively. 12.2 18.7 30.9 30.1 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.244 123 Class 1.155 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.930 0.972 8.9 17.9 25.2 35.8 12.2 0.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 1.147 Class 3.537 123 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 6.5 10.6 28.5 31.7 22.8 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 6. your understanding of the 1.0 11 3.4 5.0 Department 3.944 0.885 782 8.1 8.9 27.6 4722 course content. 40.7 14.6 0.0 College 4.107 0.814 University 4.168 D N SD Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 3.350 1.048 123 777 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-10 13 34 56 Department 4.091 0.877 phere conducive to learning. 8.1 10.6 27.6 45.5 8.1 0.0 College 4716 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 3 236 1 222 123 8. instructor provided well-17 15 Department 0.949 4.047 defined course objectives. 13.8 12.2 22.0 40.7 0.0 College 4.133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 123 Class 3.146 1.185 9. instructor provided content/ 23 3.0 Department 3.983 1.012 4.043 10.6 4714 materials clear/organized. 11.4 18.7 24.4 35.0 0.0 College University 0.969 4.191 0.938 36602 3.366 1.269 Class 123 10. I understood how grades 13 Department were assigned in this course. 10.6 17.1 17.1 35.8 19.5 0 0 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 3.740 0.818 123 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.035 0.781 774 2.4 2.4 27.6 objectives for this course. 53.7 13.8 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 4.219 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 4.132 0.845 775 2.4 8.1 30.1 43.9 14.6 0.8 College University 4705 assist students outside class. 4.128 0.852 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 4.070 0.962 773 in a timely manner. 17.1 13.8 25.2 31.7 0.0 0.891 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 3.203 1.094 123 14 instructor provided relevant 12 15 44 Department 3 947 0 979 776 feedback that helped me learn. 35.8 9.8 0.929 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 -----Class 3 626 0.872 123 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.133 39 60 774 0.820 4709 high standards for students. 3.3 4.1 31.7 48.8 12.2 College 0.825 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 268 1 160 123 16. physical environment 774 Department 4.012 0.949 11.4 11.4 27.6 38.2 11.4 was conducive to learning. College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR Good....(4) 623 # Sheets Scanned: This Level IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 788 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P IB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.105 617 0.885 1. your satisfaction with the 80 278 Department 26 224 3.959 1.016 782 instruction in this course. 4.2 12.8 College 0.955 4741 44.6 36.0 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 218 0 873 616 2. the instructor as a teacher. 68 246 Department 4.099 24 0.950 781 1.1 3.9 10.9 39.5 43.5 1.1 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 130 0.860 617 3. the ability of the instructor 17 100 258 235 Department 782 3.978 0.996 to communicate effectively. 1.1 2.7 16.1 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.034 0.879 617 Class 274 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.930 0.972 782 1.1 4.2 17.3 44.0 32.4 1.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 4.273 Class 0.847 615 5. the fairness of procedures Department 3.5 11.1 36.4 for grading this course. 0.6 47.0 1.3 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 4.279 0.901 Class 4.024 Department 3.944 6. your understanding of the 22 103 314 174 0.885 782 0.6 3.5 16.5 4722 course content. 50.4 27.9 1.0 College 4.107 0.814 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 4.216 0.765 612 777 Class 67 7. instructor created an atmos-16 290 237 11 Department 4.091 0.877 phere conducive to learning. 0.3 2.6 10.8 46.5 38.0 1.8 College 4716 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 197 0 778 609 8. instructor provided well-19 61 298 228 14 Department 4.047 0.949 774 defined course objectives. 0.5 3.0 9.8 College 4.133 0.880 4713 47.8 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.123 0.882 612 9. instructor provided content/ 26 261 233 Department 3.983 777 1.012 4.043 4714 materials clear/organized. 4.2 13.6 41.9 37.4 College University 0.969 4.191 0.938 36602 0.730 4.322 Class 611 10. I understood how grades Department 4.177 were assigned in this course. 0.2 2.1 8.2 43.2 44.5 1.9 College 4 209 0.872 4714 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.768 609 4.080 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.035 0.781 774 2.4 15.1 objectives for this course. 0.5 50.6 29.2 2.2 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 4.219 0.789 245 12. instructor was available to 15 91 259 12 Department 4.132 0.845 775 0.2 2.4 14.6 4705 assist students outside class. 41.6 39.3 1.9 College 4.128 0.852 University Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 60 286 244 15 4.070 0.962 773 in a timely manner. 0.2 2.7 9.6 2.4 4709 45.9 39.2 College 4.119 0.891 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.888 14 instructor provided relevant 26 102 262 214 12 Department 3.947 0 979 776 feedback that helped me learn. 16.4 42.1 34.3 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 -----Class 4.207 0.780 609 17 66 Department 4.133 15. instructor set + maintained 288 235 14 774 0.820 College 4709 high standards for students. 0.5 2.7 10.6 46.2 37.7 0.825 University 4.289 0.805 36555

289

46.4

35.0

18

1.1 2.9 12.4

16. physical environment

was conducive to learning.

Class

Department

College 4.068 University 4.238

4.138

4.012

0 830

0.949

0.908

0.881

609

774

4708

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 42 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.333 1.004 Class 42 1. your satisfaction with the Department 782 3.959 1.016 instruction in this course. 0.0 9.5 28.6 57.1 0.0 College 0.955 4741 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 571 0 737 42 781 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.099 0.950 0.0 2.4 7.1 21.4 69.0 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 524 0 740 42 3. the ability of the instructor 0 782 Department 3.978 0.996 to communicate effectively. 0.0 2.4 26.2 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.405 0.767 42 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.930 0.972 0.0 2.4 9.5 33.3 54.8 0.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 1.074 Class 4.333 42 Department 5. the fairness of procedures 780 2.4 for grading this course. 4.8 9.5 21.4 61.9 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 4.214 Department 6. your understanding of the 3.944 0.885 782 0.0 2.4 14.3 4722 course content. 42.9 40.5 0.0 College 4.107 0.814 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R ______ ------4.452 Class 0.739 42 777 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.091 0.877 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 2.4 33.3 57.1 0.0 College 0.868 4716 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 238 1 100 42 8. instructor provided well-Department 4.047 0.949 774 4.8 defined course objectives. 4.8 28.6 0.0 College 4.133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.405 1.014 42 9. instructor provided content/ Department 3.983 1.012 4.043 materials clear/organized. 4.8 9.5 16.7 66.7 0.0 College 0.969 4714 University 4.191 0.938 36602 0.914 Class 4.429 42 10. I understood how grades Department 4.177 were assigned in this course. 2.4 4.8 0.0 33.3 59.5 0.0 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 0.656 42 4.238 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.035 774 0.781 0.0 11.9 52.4 objectives for this course. 0.0 35.7 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 0.789 _____ 0.680 12. instructor was available to Department 4.132 0.845 775 0.0 2.4 4.8 14.3 78.6 0.0 4705 assist students outside class. College 4.128 0.852 University 4.255 0.845 Class 13. instructor provided feedback 0 Department 4.070 0.962 773 in a timely manner. 0.0 9.5 26.2 61.9 0.0 0.891 4709 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.429 42 0.859 14 instructor provided relevant 10 Department 3 947 0 979 776 feedback that helped me learn. 23.8 College 0.929 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4.548 0 593 42 15. instructor set + maintained 774 Department 4.133 0.820 59.5 4709 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 35.7 College 0.825 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.357 0 879 42 774 16. physical environment Department 4.012 0.949 0.0 0.908 was conducive to learning. 2.4 4.8 38.1 52.4 College 4.068 University 4.238 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level IB=In Between...(3) 23 Your Dept Poor...(2) 23 Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 0.922 3.227 22 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 3.227 0.922 2.2 4741 instruction in this course. 4.3 17.4 26.1 47.8 0.0 College 4.042 0.955 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 3 000 0 926 22 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 0.926 22 3.000 8.7 13.0 43.5 30.4 0.0 4.3 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 3 136 0.889 22 3. the ability of the instructor Department 0.889 22 11 3.136 47.8 to communicate effectively. 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 22 3.500 0.859 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.500 0.859 4.3 4.3 30.4 52.2 4.3 4.3 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 22 0.913 Class 3.500 22 5. the fairness of procedures Department 0.0 17.4 21.7 47.8 4.3 for grading this course. 8.7 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 36685 Class 0.869 Department 6. your understanding of the 3.773 0.869 22 0.0 21.7 4.3 56.5 13.0 4722 course content. 4.3 College 4.107 0.814 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R ______ -----22 Class 3.636 0.902 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 3.636 0.902 22 phere conducive to learning. 8.7 69.6 4.3 College 0.868 University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 3 773 0 973 22 8. instructor provided well-Department 3.773 0.973 22 13.0 defined course objectives. 8.7 4.3 4.3 College 4 133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 20 Class 3.100 0.912 9. instructor provided content/ Department 3.100 0.912 20 4.3 13.0 43.5 4.043 4714 materials clear/organized. 21.7 4.3 13.0 College University 0.969 4.191 0.938 36602 3.909 0.610 Class 2.2 10. I understood how grades Department 3.909 0.610 22 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 21.7 60.9 13 0 4.3 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 3.864 0.774 22 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 0.774 1 5 4.3 21.7 3.864 22 52.2 17.4 4.3 objectives for this course. 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 0.789 0.664 12. instructor was available to Department 3.818 0.664 22 assist students outside class. 0.0 0.0 30.4 52.2 13.0 4.3 College University 4705 4.128 0.852 4.255 0.845 Class 13. instructor provided feedback Department 3.545 0.671 22 in a timely manner. 0.0 26.1 60.9 4.3 0.891 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 22 0.790 3.364 14 instructor provided relevant Department 3 364 0 790 22 feedback that helped me learn. 47.8 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 3.864 0 710 22 15. instructor set + maintained 22 Department 3.864 0.710 4709 high standards for students. 0.0 4.3 60.9 College University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 909 0 750 22 16. physical environment 0.750 Department 3.909 22 0.908 was conducive to learning. 4.3 0.0 4.3 78.3 8.7 4.3 College 4.068 University 4.238 4708 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.286 0.488 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 358 4.000 0.816 instruction in this course. 0.0 0.0 71.4 28.6 0.0 College 0.955 4741 University 4.199 0.929 36732 ----Class 4 286 0 488 2. the instructor as a teacher. 358 Department 4.036 0.844 0.0 0.0 0.0 71.4 28.6 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 286 0 756 3. the ability of the instructor 0 357 Department 0.775 4.160 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 42.9 42.9 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.143 0.690 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.692 0.977 57.1 28.6 0.0 0.0 14.3 0.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 3.571 1.134 Class Department 5. the fairness of procedures 0.0 28.6 57.1 14.3 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 0.516 4.333 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.112 0.718 357 57.1 0.0 0.0 28.6 14.3 4722 course content. 0.0 College 4.107 0.814 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #₽ ______ ------4.143 Class 0.378 356 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.051 0.756 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 85.7 14.3 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 429 0 535 8. instructor provided well-0 Department 4.054 0.795 355 42.9 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 0.0 57.1 0.0 College 4.133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.000 0.577 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.059 0.794 356 4.043 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 14.3 71.4 14.3 0.0 College University 0.969 4714 4.191 0.938 36602 3.714 0.488 Class 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 28.6 71 4 0.0 0 0 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 36594 0.853 Class 4.000 0.000 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.079 356 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 objectives for this course. 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 0.789 _____ 12. instructor was available to Department 3.874 0.782 356 14.3 42.9 0.0 0.0 42.9 0.0 College University assist students outside class. 4.128 0.852 4705 0.845 ____ Class 13. instructor provided feedback Department 4.085 0.739 355 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 14.3 0.0 0.891 4709 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.690 14 instructor provided relevant Department 3 857 0.825 356 feedback that helped me learn. 57.1 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 286 0 756 15. instructor set + maintained 356 Department 3.904 0.850 42.9 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 College 0.825 4709 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 857 0 690 16. physical environment 356 Department 0 2 4 1 0.0 28.6 57.1 14.3 3.941 0.865 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 345 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 359 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 3.980 0.820 Class 344 1. your satisfaction with the Department 19 50 186 4.000 0.816 358 instruction in this course. 5.5 14.5 0.3 College 0.955 4741 53.9 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 015 0 848 344 2. the instructor as a teacher. 49 Department 4.036 18 0.844 358 0.9 5.2 14.2 50.7 28.7 0.3 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 0 779 Class 4 149 343 3. the ability of the instructor 171 43 118 Department 0.775 357 4.160 to communicate effectively. 0.6 2.6 12.5 0.6 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.671 0.985 343 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.692 0.977 357 3.2 9.9 21.2 47.5 17.7 0.6 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 4.114 0.796 Class 343 Department 5. the fairness of procedures 3 2 13 3 49 6 for grading this course. 0.6 32.8 0.6 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 4.279 0.901 Class 4.105 Department 4.112 6. your understanding of the 40 197 0.718 357 0.3 2.6 11.6 course content. 57.1 28.1 0.3 College 4.107 0.814 4722 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 342 4.035 0.761 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-14 45 194 Department 4.051 0.756 356 phere conducive to learning. 4.1 13.0 56.2 25.5 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 035 0.800 341 8. instructor provided well-14 49 181 Department 4.054 0.795 355 4.1 14.2 defined course objectives. 0.6 27.5 College 4.133 0.880 4713 52.5 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.044 0.797 342 9. instructor provided content/ 185 Department 4.059 0.794 356 4.043 13.6 27.5 4714 materials clear/organized. 0.9 3.5 53.6 0.9 College University 0.969 4.191 0.938 36602 4.237 0.835 Class 342 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 1.4 3.5 6.4 46.7 41.2 0 9 College 4.209 University 4.319 0.872 4714 0.853 36594 Class 4.070 0.703 342 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.079 0.696 0.9 15.1 objectives for this course. 0.6 57.1 25.5 0.9 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 4.219 0.789 12. instructor was available to Department 3.874 0.782 356 118 140 0.0 1.4 22.9 0.9 assist students outside class. 34.2 40.6 College 4.128 0.852 4705 University 4.255 0.845 Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 52 187 4.085 0.739 355 15.1 in a timely manner. 0.3 1.7 54.2 27.5 0.891 4709 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.812 3.845 14 instructor provided relevant 15 186 Department 3 857 0.825 356 feedback that helped me learn. 4.3 53.9 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 3 880 0.850 342 15. instructor set + maintained 65 15 184 Department 3.904 0.850 356 20.9 4709 high standards for students. 1.7 4.3 18.8 53.3 College 0.825 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 927 0 868 342 16. physical environment 55 188 11 3.941 Department 0.865 356 2.6 3.2 15.9 54.5 22.9 was conducive to learning. 0.9 College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 4.714 0.488 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 358 4.000 0.816 instruction in this course. 0.0 0.0 28.6 71.4 0.0 College 0.955 4741 University 4.199 0.929 36732 ----Class 4 857 0 378 2. the instructor as a teacher. 358 Department 4.036 0.844 0.0 0.0 0.0 14.3 85.7 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 571 0 535 3. the ability of the instructor 0 357 0 Department 0.775 4.160 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 0.0 42.9 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.286 0.488 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.692 0.977 0.0 0.0 0.0 71.4 28.6 0.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 4.571 Class 0.535 Department 5. the fairness of procedures 0.0 42.9 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 57.1 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 4.286 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.112 0.718 357 42.9 0.0 14.3 42.9 course content. 0.0 0.0 College 4.107 0.814 4722 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #₽ ______ ------Class 4.714 0.488 356 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.051 0.756 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 28.6 71.4 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 571 0 535 8. instructor provided well-0 Department 4.054 0.795 355 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 0.0 42.9 57.1 0.0 College 4.133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.857 0.378 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.059 0.794 356 4.043 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 0.0 14.3 85.7 0.0 College University 0.969 4714 4.191 0.938 36602 0.756 4.286 Class 10. I understood how grades Department 4.228 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 14.3 42.9 42 9 0 0 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 36594 0.853 Class 4.571 0.535 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.079 356 0.696 0.0 0.0 42.9 57.1 0.0 objectives for this course. 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 0.789 _____ 12. instructor was available to Department 3.874 0.782 356 71.4 0.0 0.0 0.0 28.6 0.0 assist students outside class. College 4.128 0.852 4705 University 0.845 ____ Class 1.414 13. instructor provided feedback Department 4.085 0.739 355 in a timely manner. 14.3 0.0 0.0 0.891 4709 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.143 1.464 14 instructor provided relevant Department 3 857 0.825 356 feedback that helped me learn. College 0.929 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 714 0.488 15. instructor set + maintained 356 Department 3.904 0.850 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 0.825 4709 University 4.289 0.805 36555

16. physical environment

was conducive to learning.

0.0

0.0

0.0

28.6 71.4

0.0

Class

Department

College 4.068 University 4.238

4.714

3.941

0 488

0.865

0.908

0.881

356

4708

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: INDUSTRIAL & MANUFACTURING ENG Good....(4) 636 # Sheets Scanned: This Level IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 642 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 0.960 3.947 628 1. your satisfaction with the 203 Department 43 123 250 3.942 0.960 634 instruction in this course. 0.955 4741 6.8 19.3 39.3 31.9 College University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 3 998 0 954 628 2. the instructor as a teacher. 40 100 265 Department 3.986 0.963 634 1.7 6.3 15.7 41.7 33.3 1.3 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 3 884 1 025 628 3. the ability of the instructor 13 51 139 218 207 Department 3.872 634 1.029 to communicate effectively. 8.0 21.9 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.928 0.946 626 Class 127 260 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.921 0.949 632 1.6 6.1 20.0 40.9 29.9 1.6 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 Class 4.142 0.859 627 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 0.9 4.1 12.4 43.7 37.4 1.4 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class 4.139 Department 4.133 6. your understanding of the 21 80 297 224 0.820 633 0.8 course content. 3.3 12.6 46.7 35.2 College 4.107 0.814 4722 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 629 4.072 0.852 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-24 93 298 207 Department 4.065 0.852 635 phere conducive to learning. 1.1 3.8 14.6 46.9 32.5 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 079 0.882 629 8. instructor provided well-29 86 288 218 Department 4.068 635 0.890 13.5 defined course objectives. 1.3 4.6 College 4.133 0.880 4713 45.3 34.3 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 3.997 0.981 629 9. instructor provided content/ 261 Department 3.984 0.987 635 4.043 4714 materials clear/organized. 2.0 6.9 14.6 41.0 34.3 College 0.969 University 4.191 0.938 36602 0.914 Class 4.132 628 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 2.2 4.2 9.6 45.0 37.7 1 3 College 4 209 0.872 4714 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.154 0.734 629 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 0.734 objectives for this course. 0.3 1.6 13.5 50.6 32.9 1.1 College 4.146 0.739 4713 0.789 0.836 12. instructor was available to 17 133 262 211 10 Department 4.049 0.838 632 0.5 2.7 assist students outside class. 20.9 41.2 33.2 1.6 College 4.128 0.852 4705 University Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 32 114 260 215 4.024 0.904 633 in a timely manner. 0.9 5.0 17.9 1.4 0.891 4709 40.9 33.8 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 3.970 0.938 629 127 14 instructor provided relevant 39 249 207 Department 3 964 0 938 635 feedback that helped me learn. 20.0 39.2 32.5 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 -----Class 3 998 0 903 628 15. instructor set + maintained 112 281 10 28 197 Department 3.989 0.909 634 1.6 31.0 College 4709 high standards for students. 4.4 17.6 44.2 1.3 0.825 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 998 0 905 628 283 16. physical environment 24 113 196 3.995 0.902 Department 634 was conducive to learning. 1.9 3.8 17.8 44.5 College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708 30.8

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: INDUSTRIAL & MANUFACTURING ENG Good....(4) IB=In Between...(3) # Sheets Scanned: This Level Your Dept Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P TB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN 3.333 0.816 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 634 3.942 0.960 instruction in this course. 0.0 16.7 33.3 50.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.042 0.955 4741 University 4.199 0.929 36732 ----Class 2 667 1 033 6 2. the instructor as a teacher. 634 Department 3.986 0.963 16.7 16.7 50.0 16.7 0.0 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 2 667 0.816 6 3. the ability of the instructor 634 Department 3.872 1.029 to communicate effectively. 0.0 50.0 33.3 16.7 0.0 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.167 0.983 Class 6 4. the quality of this course. Department 3.921 0.949 0.0 33.3 16.7 50.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 0.516 Class 3.667 Department 5. the fairness of procedures 0.0 0.0 33.3 66.7 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 ____ Class 3.500 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.133 0.820 633 50.0 0.0 0.0 50.0 0.0 course content. 0.0 College 4.107 0.814 4722 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #₽ ______ ------Class 3.333 0.516 635 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.065 0.852 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 66.7 0.0 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 2 833 0 983 6 8. instructor provided well-0 Department 4.068 0.890 635 defined course objectives. 16 7 0.0 66.7 16.7 0.0 0.0 College 4.133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 2.667 0.816 6 9. instructor provided content/ Department 3.984 0.987 4.043 0.0 50.0 33.3 materials clear/organized. 16.7 0.0 0.0 College University 0.969 4714 4.191 0.938 36602 3.667 0.516 Class 10. I understood how grades Department 4.128 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 33.3 66.7 0.0 0 0 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 36594 0.853 Class 0.753 3.833 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.151 635 0.734 0.0 33.3 50.0 16.7 0.0 objectives for this course. 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 4.219 0.789 _____ 0.816 12. instructor was available to Department 4.049 0.838 632 1 2 16.7 33.3 assist students outside class. 0.0 50.0 0.0 0.0 College University 4.128 0.852 4705 0.845 -----Class 13. instructor provided feedback Department 4.024 0.904 633 in a timely manner. 33.3 50.0 0.0 0.891 4709 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 3.333 0.816 14 instructor provided relevant Department 3 964 0 938 635 feedback that helped me learn. 50.0 College 0.929 University 4.186 0.918 36570 ----Class 3 000 1 095 15. instructor set + maintained 634 Department 3.989 0.909 50.0 50.0 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 College 0.825 4709 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 667 0 516 6 16. physical environment 634 0.0 0.0 2 4 0.0 0.0 33.3 66.7 Department 3.995 0.902 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 556 Your Dept 1438 IB=In Between...(3) Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. G VG VP P TB OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. 3.822 0.913 Class 555 1. your satisfaction with the Department 41 112 271 122 4.024 0.913 1435 instruction in this course. 7.4 20.1 College 0.955 4741 48.7 21.9 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 3 849 0 979 555 2. the instructor as a teacher. 49 113 230 Department 4.043 0.969 154 1434 1.6 8.8 20.3 41.4 27.7 0.2 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 3 751 0 954 554 3. the ability of the instructor 10 46 140 234 124 Department 1.008 3.895 1434 to communicate effectively. 8.3 25.2 0.4 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 3.835 0.909 553 Class 107 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.012 0.882 1430 2.5 5.4 19.2 51.1 21.2 0.5 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 0.978 Class 3.820 555 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 2.2 8.8 18.9 45.0 25.0 0.2 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 4.279 0.901 Class 3.947 0.803 552 Department 4.067 6. your understanding of the 14 109 293 129 0.782 1428 1.3 2.5 19.6 course content. 52.7 23.2 0.7 College 4.107 0.814 4722 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 3.954 0.873 547 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-10 25 86 285 141 Department 4.054 0.895 1422 phere conducive to learning. 1.8 4.5 15.5 51.3 25.4 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 3 971 0.823 547 8. instructor provided well-26 79 303 133 Department 1423 4.128 0.816 defined course objectives. 1.1 4.7 14.2 College 4 133 0.880 4713 54.5 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 3.755 1.005 547 9. instructor provided content/ 48 251 Department 3.999 0.956 1422 4.043 materials clear/organized. 3.2 8.6 19.2 45.1 22.1 1.6 College University 0.969 4714 4.191 0.938 36602 4.088 0.794 546 Class 10. I understood how grades Department 4.166 were assigned in this course. 0.7 3.8 11.3 52.7 29.7 1.8 College 4.209 University 4.319 0.872 4714 0.853 36594 Class 547 3.996 0.714 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.092 89 0.735 1422 0.4 2.3 16.0 objectives for this course. 58.3 21.4 1.6 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 4.219 0.866 12. instructor was available to 21 110 269 137 10 Department 4.148 0.819 1418 assist students outside class. 1.6 3.8 19.8 48.4 24.6 1.8 College 4.128 0.852 4705 University Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 2.7 108 270 133 4.103 0.865 1423 1.6 in a timely manner. 4.9 19.4 23.9 1.6 4709 48.6 College 0.891 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.905 3.806 14 instructor provided relevant 14 3.0 113 281 109 Department 3 999 0 910 1422 feedback that helped me learn. 5.4 20.3 50.5 19.6 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 -----Class 4.064 0 799 547 15. instructor set + maintained 16 Department 4.216 74 292 159 0.752 1421 high standards for students. 1.1 2.9 13.3 52.5 28.6 College 4709 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 3 934 0 864 547 16. physical environment 88 286 135 31 1421 Department 4.002 0.947 1.3 5.6 15.8 51.4 was conducive to learning. College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708 24.3 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 863 Your Dept 1438 IB=In Between...(3) Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. OMIT AREA MEAN 0.894 Class 4.146 861 1. your satisfaction with the Department 41 113 350 348 4.024 0.913 1435 instruction in this course. 4.8 13.1 College 0.955 4741 40.6 40.3 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 157 0 949 860 2. the instructor as a teacher. 44 108 317 Department 4.043 0.969 15 1434 1.7 5.1 12.5 36.7 43.6 0.3 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 3 981 1 036 861 3. the ability of the instructor 21 68 140 309 323 Department 1.008 3.895 1434 to communicate effectively. 2.4 7.9 0.2 College 3.993 1.002 4738 16.2 University 4.211 0.959 36691 4.121 0.852 858 Class 133 1430 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.012 0.882 0.5 4.2 15.4 42.2 37.2 0.6 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 4.153 Class 0.953 861 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 1.9 4.8 13.4 35.9 43.8 0.2 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 Class Department 4.067 6. your understanding of the 22 116 429 289 0.782 1428 0.2 2.5 13.4 course content. 49.7 33.5 0.6 College 4.107 0.814 4722 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ______ 4.107 0.909 856 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-10 48 108 364 326 Department 4.054 0.895 1422 phere conducive to learning. 5.6 12.5 42.2 37.8 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 222 0 799 857 8. instructor provided well-26 79 403 342 Department 4.128 0.816 1423 defined course objectives. 0.8 3.0 9.2 0.7 College 4 133 0.880 4713 46.7 University 4.263 0.851 36606 Class 4.150 0.896 856 9. instructor provided content/ 40 109 350 Department 3.999 0.956 1422 4.043 materials clear/organized. 1.2 4.6 12.6 40.6 40.2 0.8 College 0.969 4714 University 4.191 0.938 36602 4.211 0.856 857 Class 10. I understood how grades Department were assigned in this course. 1.3 3.7 9.3 43.6 41.5 0.7 College 4 209 0.872 4714 University 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.154 0.738 856 11. I met/exceeded the course 428 Department 4.092 0.735 0.2 1.6 14.3 objectives for this course. 49.6 33.5 0.8 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 4.219 0.789 12. instructor was available to 15 102 357 377 10 Department 0.819 1418 4.148 0.2 1.7 assist students outside class. 11.8 41.4 43.7 1.2 College 4.128 0.852 4705 University Class Department 13. instructor provided feedback 25 98 359 367 4.103 0.865 1423 in a timely manner. 0.9 2.9 11.4 41.6 42.5 0.7 4709 College 0.891 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 4.113 0.897 14 instructor provided relevant 40 115 365 325 Department 3.999 0 910 1422 feedback that helped me learn. 4.6 13.3 42.3 College University 4.186 0.918 36570 -----Class 4 309 0 707 855 Department 4.216 15. instructor set + maintained 15 392 371 0.752 1421 high standards for students. 0.0 1.7 8.9 45.4 43.0 College 4709 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.039 1 000 855 117 16. physical environment 50 342 322 1421 Department 4.002 0.947 was conducive to learning. 2.8 5.8 13.6 39.6 37.3 College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Key:

Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation an							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0.0	0	1 5.3	9 47.4	9 47.4	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.042	0.607 0.913 0.955 0.929	143 143 474 3673
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0.0	0.0	9 47.4	10 52.6	0	Class Department College University	4.083	0.513 0.969 0.977 0.931	1 143 473 3671
the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0.0	0.0	4 21.1	8 42.1	7 36.8	0.0	Class Department College University	3.993	0.765 1.008 1.002 0.959	143 473 3669
. the quality of this course.	0.0	0.0	2 10.5	11 57.9	6 31.6	0.0	Class Department College University	4.006	0.631 0.882 0.932 0.917	143 472 3666
. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0.0	1 5.3	1 5.3	6 31.6	10 52.6	1 5.3	Class Department College University	4.155	0.850 0.976 0.918 0.901	1 143 473 3668
. your understanding of the course content.	0.0	0 0.0	4 21.1	8 42.1	6 31.6	1 5.3	Class Department College University	4.107	0.758 0.782 0.814 0.853	142 472 3664
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
. instructor created an atmos- phere conducive to learning.	0	0	0.0	9 47.4	10 52.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.116	0.513 0.895 0.868 0.843	142 147 471 3661
. instructor provided well- defined course objectives.	0	0.0	2	7 36.8	10 52.6	0	Class Department College University	4.133	0.692 0.816 0.880 0.851	142 471 3660
. instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized.	0	0.0	2	10 52.6	7 36.8	0	Class Department College University	4.043	0.653 0.956 0.969 0.938	142 471 3660
). I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0.0	1 5.3	10 52.6	8 42.1	0	Class Department College University	4.209	0.597 0.832 0.872 0.853	142 471 3659
. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1 5.3	0.0	1 5.3	12 63.2	5 26.3	0	Class Department College University	4.146	0.911 0.735 0.739 0.789	142 471 3657
. instructor was available to assist students outside class.						0	Class Department College University	4.255		141 470 3656
. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0.0	0.0	2 10.5	8	9	0	Class Department College University	4.368 4.103 4.119 4.235	0.684 0.865 0.891 0.910	3659
. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0.0	0.0	2 10.5	42.1	47.4	0		4.368 3.999 4.024 4.186	0.684 0.910 0.929 0.918	142 471 3657
. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0.0	0.0	1 5.3	9 47.4	9 47.4	0	Class Department College University	4.421 4.216 4.155 4.289	0.607 0.752 0.825 0.805	142 470 3655
5. physical environment was conducive to learning.						0	Class Department College University	4.316 4.002 4.068	0.582 0.947 0.908	142 470

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: MATERIALS & NANOTECHNOLOGY Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 15 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 15 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. ${\tt S.D.}$ is the Standard Deviation and ${\tt \#R}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. P TB AREA VP G VG OMIT MEAN 4.462 13 0.660 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Department 4.462 0.660 13 4741 instruction in this course. 0.0 33.3 46.7 13.3 College 0.955 University 4.199 0.929 36732 13 Class 4 615 0 506 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.615 0.506 13 0.0 0.0 0.0 33.3 53.3 13.3 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 13 Class 4 846 0 376 3. the ability of the instructor 0 0 Department 0.376 13 4.846 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 0.0 73.3 13.3 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 13 4.538 0.519 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.538 0.519 0.0 0.0 0.0 40.0 46.7 13.3 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 13 0.376 Class 4.846 Department 5. the fairness of procedures 0.0 13.3 73.3 13.3 for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 36685 13 Class 4.615 Department 6. your understanding of the 4.615 0.506 13 0.0 33.3 53.3 13.3 4722 course content. 0.0 0.0 College 4.107 0.814 University 4.168 SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ------13 4.538 Class 0.660 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.538 0.660 13 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 26.7 53.3 College 0.868 University 4.282 0.843 36613 13 Class 4 615 0 506 8. instructor provided well-0 Department 4.615 0.506 13 53.3 4713 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.0 0.0 13.3 College 4.133 0.880 University 4.263 0.851 36606 13 Class 4.615 0.650 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.615 0.650 13 4714 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 6.7 20.0 60.0 13.3 College University 4.043 0.969 4.191 0.938 36602 0.776 Class 4.462 13 10. I understood how grades Department 4.462 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 13.3 20.0 53.3 13.3 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 0.853 36594 13 Class 4.615 0.506 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.615 0.506 0.0 33.3 53.3 13.3 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 4713 University 4.219 0.789 -----13 0.630 12. instructor was available to 2 13.3 Department 4.692 0.630 13 6.7 0.0 0.0 66.7 13.3 College University 4705 assist students outside class. 4.128 0.852 0.845 13 Class 13. instructor provided feedback Department 4.615 0.650 13 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 20.0 60.0 13.3 0.891 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 13 0.480 4.692 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 692 0 480 13 feedback that helped me learn. 26.7 60.0 College 0.929 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 385 0.650 13 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.385 0.650 13 0.0 40.0 40.0 4709 high standards for students. 0.0 13.3 College 0.825 University 4.289 0.805 36555 13 Class 4.231 1 092 16. physical environment Department 1.092 0 4 7 2 0.0 26.7 46.7 13.3 4.231 13 0.0 13.3 was conducive to learning. College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708

0.881

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015

Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: MILITARY SCIENCE Good....(4) $\mbox{\tt\#}$ Sheets Scanned: This Level 42 IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept 79 Poor...(2) Your College .. 4780 VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S.D.}}$ is the Standard Deviation and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{\#R}}$ is the Number of Valid Responses. AREA VP P TB G VG OMIT MEAN 4.976 0.154 Class 42 1. your satisfaction with the Department 4.987 0.113 79 97.6 4741 instruction in this course. 0.0 0.0 2.4 0.0 College 0.955 4.042 University 4.199 0.929 36732 Class 4 976 0 154 42 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.987 0.113 79 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.4 97.6 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 Class 4 976 0 154 42 3. the ability of the instructor 0 0 0 Department 4.987 79 41 0.113 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 0.0 97.6 0.0 College 3.993 1.002 4738 University 4.211 0.959 36691 42 4.952 0.216 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 0.158 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.8 95.2 0.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 42 4.976 0.154 Class 5. the fairness of procedures Department for grading this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.4 97.6 0.0 College 4.155 0.918 4734 University 0.901 36685 Class 4.950 40 Department 6. your understanding of the 2 4.974 0.160 77 4722 0.0 course content. 0.0 0.0 4.8 90.5 4.8 College 4.107 0.814 University SD D N Α SA OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R _______ ------42 4.976 0.154 Class 7. instructor created an atmos-Department 4.975 0.158 79 97.6 4716 phere conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 0.0 2.4 0.0 College University 4.282 0.843 36613 Class 4 905 0 484 42 8. instructor provided well-0 40 0 Department 4.949 0.354 79 defined course objectives. 0.0 2 4 0.0 2.4 95.2 0.0 College 4.133 0.880 4713 University 4.263 0.851 36606 42 Class 4.929 0.342 9. instructor provided content/ Department 4.949 0.273 79 2.4 95.2 4.043 4714 materials clear/organized. 0.0 0.0 2.4 0.0 College 0.969 University 4.191 0.938 36602 4.952 0.216 Class 42 10. I understood how grades Department 4.911 were assigned in this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 4.8 95.2 0 0 College University 4 209 0.872 4714 4.319 0.853 36594 Class 4.905 0.297 42 11. I met/exceeded the course Department 4.949 0.221 4713 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 9.5 90.5 0.0 College 4.146 0.739 University 0.789 12. instructor was available to 0 Department 4.949 0.273 79 0.0 0.0 2.4 2.4 95.2 0.0 4705 assist students outside class. College 4.128 0.852 University 4.255 0.845 Class 13. instructor provided feedback 0 Department 4.937 0.293 79 in a timely manner. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.891 College 4.119 University 4.235 0.910 36593 0.216 4.952 14 instructor provided relevant Department 4 937 0 293 79 feedback that helped me learn. College 0.929 University 4.186 0.918 36570 Class 4 952 0.309 42 15. instructor set + maintained 41 Department 79 4.975 0.225 97.6 4709 high standards for students. 0.0 0.0 0.0 College 0.825 University 4.289 0.805 36555 Class 4.952 0 309 42 16. physical environment 4.975 Department 0.225 79 0.0 was conducive to learning. 0.0 0.0 2.4 0.0 97.6 College 4.068 University 4.238 0.908 4708 0.881 36516

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 29JUN15 Using Data from Spring 2015 Department Information Number of Students: Response Kev: S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: MILITARY SCIENCE Good...(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 37 Your Dept 79 Your College . . 4780 IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor...(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. Frequencies (top row) and percents (DOLLOW LOW) are provided to Special S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses. ------lease rate: VP P IB G VG OMIT AREA MEAN S.D. #R 37 Class 5.000 0.000 1. your satisfaction with the Ω Department 4.987 0.113 79 instruction in this course. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 College 0.955 4741 University 4.199 0.929 36732 -----37 Class 5.000 0 000 2. the instructor as a teacher. Department 4.987 0.113 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 College 4.083 0.977 4737 University 4.264 0.931 36713 37 Class 5 000 0 000 0 37 3. the ability of the instructor 0 0 0 Department 4.987 79 0.113 to communicate effectively. 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 College 3.993 University 4.211 1.002 4738 0.959 36691 37 79 5.000 0.000 Class 4. the quality of this course. Department 4.975 0.158 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 0.0 College 4.006 0.932 4729 University 4.154 0.917 36669 37 Class 4.946 0.329 5. the fairness of procedures Department 4.962 0.0 0.0 2.7 0.0 97.3 College 4.155 University 4.279 4734 for grading this course. 0.0 0.918 0.901 36685 Class 5.000 37 77 6. your understanding of the Ω Department 4.974 0.160 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 100.0 0.0 4722 College 4.107 University 4.168 course content. 0.814 36641

							University	4.168	0.853	36641
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.7	36 97.3	0.0	Class Department College University	4.973 4.975 4.116	0.164 0.158 0.868 0.843	37 79 4716 36613
8. instructor provided well- defined course objectives.	0	0	0	0	37 100.0	0	Class Department College University	4.133	0.000 0.354 0.880 0.851	37 79 4713 36606
9. instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized.	0	0.0	0	1 2.7	36 97.3	0.0	Class Department College University	4.043	0.164 0.273 0.969 0.938	37 79 4714 36602
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0.0	2 5.4	1 2.7	34 91.9	0.0	Class Department College University	4.209	0.481 0.365 0.872 0.853	37 79 4714 36594
II. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	0	37 100.0	0	Class Department College University	4.146	0.000 0.221 0.739 0.789	37 79 4713 36579
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1 2.7	36 97.3	0	Class Department College University	4.128	0.164 0.273 0.852 0.845	37 79 4709 36566
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	2 5.4	35 94.6	0.0	Class Department College University	4.119	0.229 0.293 0.891 0.910	37 79 4709 36593
4. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1 2.7	1 2.7	35 94.6	0	Class Department College University	4.024	0.363 0.293 0.929 0.918	3' 7! 471 3657(
L5. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	0.0	37 100.0	0.0	Class Department College University	4.155	0.000 0.225 0.825 0.805	37 79 4709 36559
l6. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0.0	37 100.0	0.0	Class Department College University	4.068	0.000 0.225 0.908 0.881	37 79 4708 36516