

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 464						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1064						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	18	42	106	193	91	14	Class	3.660	1.029	450	
	3.9	9.1	22.8	41.6	19.6	3.0	Department	3.893	1.007	1036	
							College	4.268	0.897	10661	
							University	4.176	0.938	82961	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	20	36	107	188	99	14	Class	3.689	1.041	450	
	4.3	7.8	23.1	40.5	21.3	3.0	Department	3.921	1.008	1036	
							College	4.320	0.897	10658	
							University	4.240	0.937	82918	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	12	32	95	212	98	15	Class	3.784	0.954	449	
	2.6	6.9	20.5	45.7	21.1	3.2	Department	3.932	0.994	1035	
							College	4.256	0.934	10653	
							University	4.192	0.961	82880	
4. the quality of this course.	11	36	122	202	78	15	Class	3.668	0.937	449	
	2.4	7.8	26.3	43.5	16.8	3.2	Department	3.907	0.954	1035	
							College	4.246	0.877	10645	
							University	4.129	0.925	82808	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	9	17	66	209	145	18	Class	4.040	0.899	446	
	1.9	3.7	14.2	45.0	31.3	3.9	Department	4.201	0.875	1031	
							College	4.410	0.805	10647	
							University	4.296	0.893	82855	
6. your understanding of the course content.	8	25	96	232	86	17	Class	3.812	0.871	447	
	1.7	5.4	20.7	50.0	18.5	3.7	Department	3.999	0.866	1030	
							College	4.209	0.854	10636	
							University	4.156	0.865	82760	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	7	22	95	218	108	14	Class	3.884	0.880	450	
	1.5	4.7	20.5	47.0	23.3	3.0	Department	4.079	0.851	1036	
							College	4.343	0.790	10665	
							University	4.263	0.839	82849	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	24	85	238	100	13	Class	3.900	0.833	451	
	0.9	5.2	18.3	51.3	21.6	2.8	Department	4.029	0.853	1036	
							College	4.298	0.831	10662	
							University	4.253	0.848	82829	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	8	39	88	220	95	14	Class	3.789	0.933	450	
	1.7	8.4	19.0	47.4	20.5	3.0	Department	3.902	0.976	1035	
							College	4.225	0.923	10660	
							University	4.167	0.946	82815	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	12	50	237	148	14	Class	4.144	0.766	450	
	0.6	2.6	10.8	51.1	31.9	3.0	Department	4.107	0.907	1035	
							College	4.400	0.770	10652	
							University	4.321	0.840	82792	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	17	74	265	91	13	Class	3.936	0.770	451	
	0.9	3.7	15.9	57.1	19.6	2.8	Department	4.083	0.773	1036	
							College	4.258	0.780	10656	
							University	4.210	0.797	82776	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	9	21	95	216	109	14	Class	3.878	0.898	450	
	1.9	4.5	20.5	46.6	23.5	3.0	Department	4.067	0.856	1034	
							College	4.304	0.810	10658	
							University	4.250	0.837	82719	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	12	29	96	201	113	13	Class	3.829	0.966	451	
	2.6	6.3	20.7	43.3	24.4	2.8	Department	3.942	1.001	1035	
							College	4.284	0.865	10657	
							University	4.228	0.901	82792	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	38	104	213	89	14	Class	3.758	0.911	450	
	1.3	8.2	22.4	45.9	19.2	3.0	Department	3.926	0.925	1035	
							College	4.248	0.872	10656	
							University	4.172	0.920	82741	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	20	86	242	99	13	Class	3.914	0.813	451	
	0.9	4.3	18.5	52.2	21.3	2.8	Department	4.073	0.812	1036	
							College	4.336	0.770	10660	
							University	4.280	0.794	82746	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	9	28	83	223	107	14	Class	3.869	0.914	450	
	1.9	6.0	17.9	48.1	23.1	3.0	Department	4.065	0.897	1033	
							College	4.327	0.807	10647	
							University	4.236	0.864	82635	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information			Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS								G= Good....(4)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 507					IB=In Between....(3)			
			Your Dept 1064					P= Poor....(2)			
			Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	6	34	74	186	196	11	Class	4.073	0.961	496	
	1.2	6.7	14.6	36.7	38.7	2.2	Department	3.893	1.007	1036	
							College	4.268	0.897	10661	
							University	4.176	0.938	82961	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	8	24	93	168	203	11	Class	4.077	0.965	496	
	1.6	4.7	18.3	33.1	40.0	2.2	Department	3.921	1.008	1036	
							College	4.320	0.897	10658	
							University	4.240	0.937	82918	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	9	37	85	171	194	11	Class	4.016	1.013	496	
	1.8	7.3	16.8	33.7	38.3	2.2	Department	3.932	0.994	1035	
							College	4.256	0.934	10653	
							University	4.192	0.961	82880	
4. the quality of this course.	8	27	71	208	182	11	Class	4.067	0.933	496	
	1.6	5.3	14.0	41.0	35.9	2.2	Department	3.907	0.954	1035	
							College	4.246	0.877	10645	
							University	4.129	0.925	82808	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	8	13	43	191	240	12	Class	4.297	0.858	495	
	1.6	2.6	8.5	37.7	47.3	2.4	Department	4.201	0.875	1031	
							College	4.410	0.805	10647	
							University	4.296	0.893	82855	
6. your understanding of the course content.	6	14	58	236	179	14	Class	4.152	0.826	493	
	1.2	2.8	11.4	46.5	35.3	2.8	Department	3.999	0.866	1030	
							College	4.209	0.854	10636	
							University	4.156	0.865	82760	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	8	64	222	199	10	Class	4.215	0.788	497	
	0.8	1.6	12.6	43.8	39.3	2.0	Department	4.079	0.851	1036	
							College	4.343	0.790	10665	
							University	4.263	0.839	82849	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	5	24	52	241	174	11	Class	4.119	0.853	496	
	1.0	4.7	10.3	47.5	34.3	2.2	Department	4.029	0.853	1036	
							College	4.298	0.831	10662	
							University	4.253	0.848	82829	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	9	39	70	200	178	11	Class	4.006	0.990	496	
	1.8	7.7	13.8	39.4	35.1	2.2	Department	3.902	0.976	1035	
							College	4.225	0.923	10660	
							University	4.167	0.946	82815	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	16	25	54	202	199	11	Class	4.095	0.998	496	
	3.2	4.9	10.7	39.8	39.3	2.2	Department	4.107	0.907	1035	
							College	4.400	0.770	10652	
							University	4.321	0.840	82792	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	7	59	243	185	11	Class	4.214	0.735	496	
	0.4	1.4	11.6	47.9	36.5	2.2	Department	4.083	0.773	1036	
							College	4.258	0.780	10656	
							University	4.210	0.797	82776	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	6	6	59	235	190	11	Class	4.204	0.787	496	
	1.2	1.2	11.6	46.4	37.5	2.2	Department	4.067	0.856	1034	
							College	4.304	0.810	10658	
							University	4.250	0.837	82719	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	20	24	65	207	179	12	Class	4.012	1.026	495	
	3.9	4.7	12.8	40.8	35.3	2.4	Department	3.942	1.001	1035	
							College	4.284	0.865	10657	
							University	4.228	0.901	82792	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	9	25	74	218	170	11	Class	4.038	0.926	496	
	1.8	4.9	14.6	43.0	33.5	2.2	Department	3.926	0.925	1035	
							College	4.248	0.872	10656	
							University	4.172	0.920	82741	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	14	53	247	178	11	Class	4.171	0.789	496	
	0.8	2.8	10.5	48.7	35.1	2.2	Department	4.073	0.812	1036	
							College	4.336	0.770	10660	
							University	4.280	0.794	82746	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	8	17	50	216	203	13	Class	4.192	0.872	494	
	1.6	3.4	9.9	42.6	40.0	2.6	Department	4.065	0.897	1033	
							College	4.327	0.807	10647	
							University	4.236	0.864	82635	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 93					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1064					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	5	19	31	35	3	Class	4.067	0.909	90
	0.0	5.4	20.4	33.3	37.6	3.2	Department	3.893	1.007	1036
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	16	32	40	3	Class	4.222	0.818	90
	0.0	2.2	17.2	34.4	43.0	3.2	Department	3.921	1.008	1036
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	5	14	24	46	3	Class	4.211	0.977	90
	1.1	5.4	15.1	25.8	49.5	3.2	Department	3.932	0.994	1035
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
4. the quality of this course.	0	4	15	28	43	3	Class	4.222	0.884	90
	0.0	4.3	16.1	30.1	46.2	3.2	Department	3.907	0.954	1035
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	8	29	52	3	Class	4.467	0.706	90
	0.0	1.1	8.6	31.2	55.9	3.2	Department	4.201	0.875	1031
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	3	22	29	36	3	Class	4.089	0.882	90
	0.0	3.2	23.7	31.2	38.7	3.2	Department	3.999	0.866	1030
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	13	29	45	4	Class	4.303	0.845	89
	1.1	1.1	14.0	31.2	48.4	4.3	Department	4.079	0.851	1036
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	5	12	34	38	4	Class	4.180	0.873	89
	0.0	5.4	12.9	36.6	40.9	4.3	Department	4.029	0.853	1036
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	9	21	25	33	4	Class	3.899	1.056	89
	1.1	9.7	22.6	26.9	35.5	4.3	Department	3.902	0.976	1035
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	6	17	30	34	4	Class	3.989	1.028	89
	2.2	6.5	18.3	32.3	36.6	4.3	Department	4.107	0.907	1035
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	3	14	39	32	4	Class	4.101	0.867	89
	1.1	3.2	15.1	41.9	34.4	4.3	Department	4.083	0.773	1036
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	18	26	43	5	Class	4.261	0.823	88
	0.0	1.1	19.4	28.0	46.2	5.4	Department	4.067	0.856	1034
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	5	17	25	41	4	Class	4.124	0.987	89
	1.1	5.4	18.3	26.9	44.1	4.3	Department	3.942	1.001	1035
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	21	30	37	4	Class	4.146	0.860	89
	1.1	0.0	22.6	32.3	39.8	4.3	Department	3.926	0.925	1035
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	17	25	47	4	Class	4.337	0.783	89
	0.0	0.0	18.3	26.9	50.5	4.3	Department	4.073	0.812	1036
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	14	30	45	4	Class	4.348	0.740	89
	0.0	0.0	15.1	32.3	48.4	4.3	Department	4.065	0.897	1033
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURE, GENERAL								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 152						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 164						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	7	31	109	4	Class	4.676	0.597	148	
	0.0	0.7	4.6	20.4	71.7	2.6	Department	4.681	0.587	160	
							College	4.268	0.897	10661	
							University	4.176	0.938	82961	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	3	19	126	4	Class	4.831	0.427	148	
	0.0	0.0	2.0	12.5	82.9	2.6	Department	4.838	0.418	160	
							College	4.320	0.897	10658	
							University	4.240	0.937	82918	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	3	35	108	5	Class	4.701	0.542	147	
	0.0	0.7	2.0	23.0	71.1	3.3	Department	4.717	0.529	159	
							College	4.256	0.934	10653	
							University	4.192	0.961	82880	
4. the quality of this course.	0	3	22	55	68	4	Class	4.270	0.788	148	
	0.0	2.0	14.5	36.2	44.7	2.6	Department	4.313	0.779	160	
							College	4.246	0.877	10645	
							University	4.129	0.925	82808	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	31	114	4	Class	4.750	0.479	148	
	0.0	0.0	2.0	20.4	75.0	2.6	Department	4.769	0.465	160	
							College	4.410	0.805	10647	
							University	4.296	0.893	82855	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	5	34	107	5	Class	4.680	0.573	147	
	0.0	0.7	3.3	22.4	70.4	3.3	Department	4.698	0.560	159	
							College	4.209	0.854	10636	
							University	4.156	0.865	82760	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	1	43	103	4	Class	4.669	0.564	148	
	0.7	0.0	0.7	28.3	67.8	2.6	Department	4.675	0.556	160	
							College	4.343	0.790	10665	
							University	4.263	0.839	82849	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	1	6	43	97	4	Class	4.581	0.670	148	
	0.7	0.7	3.9	28.3	63.8	2.6	Department	4.600	0.656	160	
							College	4.298	0.831	10662	
							University	4.253	0.848	82829	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	2	3	48	94	4	Class	4.568	0.672	148	
	0.7	1.3	2.0	31.6	61.8	2.6	Department	4.594	0.657	160	
							College	4.225	0.923	10660	
							University	4.167	0.946	82815	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	5	43	99	4	Class	4.615	0.623	148	
	0.7	0.0	3.3	28.3	65.1	2.6	Department	4.638	0.609	160	
							College	4.400	0.770	10652	
							University	4.321	0.840	82792	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	8	55	84	4	Class	4.493	0.665	148	
	0.7	0.0	5.3	36.2	55.3	2.6	Department	4.525	0.653	160	
							College	4.258	0.780	10656	
							University	4.210	0.797	82776	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	8	33	105	4	Class	4.622	0.684	148	
	0.7	0.7	5.3	21.7	69.1	2.6	Department	4.638	0.668	160	
							College	4.304	0.810	10658	
							University	4.250	0.837	82719	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	0	9	39	98	4	Class	4.561	0.731	148	
	1.3	0.0	5.9	25.7	64.5	2.6	Department	4.594	0.712	160	
							College	4.284	0.865	10657	
							University	4.228	0.901	82792	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	10	41	96	4	Class	4.561	0.682	148	
	0.7	0.0	6.6	27.0	63.2	2.6	Department	4.594	0.666	160	
							College	4.248	0.872	10656	
							University	4.172	0.920	82741	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	9	50	88	4	Class	4.514	0.675	148	
	0.7	0.0	5.9	32.9	57.9	2.6	Department	4.544	0.662	160	
							College	4.336	0.770	10660	
							University	4.280	0.794	82746	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	3	49	95	4	Class	4.601	0.603	148	
	0.7	0.0	2.0	32.2	62.5	2.6	Department	4.625	0.591	160	
							College	4.327	0.807	10647	
							University	4.236	0.864	82635	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURE, GENERAL								G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 12						IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept 164						P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 10758						VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	3	9	0	Class	4.750	0.452	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.681	0.587	160	
							College	4.268	0.897	10661	
							University	4.176	0.938	82961	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.838	0.418	160	
							College	4.320	0.897	10658	
							University	4.240	0.937	82918	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.717	0.529	159	
							College	4.256	0.934	10653	
							University	4.192	0.961	82880	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	2	10	0	Class	4.833	0.389	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.313	0.779	160	
							College	4.246	0.877	10645	
							University	4.129	0.925	82808	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class	5.000	0.000	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.769	0.465	160	
							College	4.410	0.805	10647	
							University	4.296	0.893	82855	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.698	0.560	159	
							College	4.209	0.854	10636	
							University	4.156	0.865	82760	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	9	0	Class	4.750	0.452	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.675	0.556	160	
							College	4.343	0.790	10665	
							University	4.263	0.839	82849	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	2	10	0	Class	4.833	0.389	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.600	0.656	160	
							College	4.298	0.831	10662	
							University	4.253	0.848	82829	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.594	0.657	160	
							College	4.225	0.923	10660	
							University	4.167	0.946	82815	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.638	0.609	160	
							College	4.400	0.770	10652	
							University	4.321	0.840	82792	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.525	0.653	160	
							College	4.258	0.780	10656	
							University	4.210	0.797	82776	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	2	10	0	Class	4.833	0.389	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.638	0.668	160	
							College	4.304	0.810	10658	
							University	4.250	0.837	82719	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class	5.000	0.000	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.594	0.712	160	
							College	4.284	0.865	10657	
							University	4.228	0.901	82792	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class	5.000	0.000	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.594	0.666	160	
							College	4.248	0.872	10656	
							University	4.172	0.920	82741	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.544	0.662	160	
							College	4.336	0.770	10660	
							University	4.280	0.794	82746	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.625	0.591	160	
							College	4.327	0.807	10647	
							University	4.236	0.864	82635	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 668						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1498						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	9	19	184	448	4	Class	4.601	0.672	664	
	0.6	1.3	2.8	27.5	67.1	0.6	Department	4.492	0.748	1488	
							College	4.268	0.897	10661	
							University	4.176	0.938	82961	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	11	18	164	469	3	Class	4.632	0.664	665	
	0.4	1.6	2.7	24.6	70.2	0.4	Department	4.531	0.738	1489	
							College	4.320	0.897	10658	
							University	4.240	0.937	82918	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	5	14	23	173	450	3	Class	4.577	0.728	665	
	0.7	2.1	3.4	25.9	67.4	0.4	Department	4.495	0.780	1488	
							College	4.256	0.934	10653	
							University	4.192	0.961	82880	
4. the quality of this course.	2	6	40	185	431	4	Class	4.562	0.677	664	
	0.3	0.9	6.0	27.7	64.5	0.6	Department	4.468	0.755	1487	
							College	4.246	0.877	10645	
							University	4.129	0.925	82808	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	6	14	167	477	3	Class	4.674	0.578	665	
	0.1	0.9	2.1	25.0	71.4	0.4	Department	4.557	0.714	1489	
							College	4.410	0.805	10647	
							University	4.296	0.893	82855	
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	2	25	211	424	4	Class	4.586	0.611	664	
	0.3	0.3	3.7	31.6	63.5	0.6	Department	4.458	0.740	1487	
							College	4.209	0.854	10636	
							University	4.156	0.865	82760	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	7	19	205	433	3	Class	4.597	0.616	665	
	0.1	1.0	2.8	30.7	64.8	0.4	Department	4.516	0.687	1491	
							College	4.343	0.790	10665	
							University	4.263	0.839	82849	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	5	13	39	194	412	5	Class	4.501	0.759	663	
	0.7	1.9	5.8	29.0	61.7	0.7	Department	4.455	0.768	1488	
							College	4.298	0.831	10662	
							University	4.253	0.848	82829	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	16	33	194	418	3	Class	4.513	0.753	665	
	0.6	2.4	4.9	29.0	62.6	0.4	Department	4.424	0.801	1491	
							College	4.225	0.923	10660	
							University	4.167	0.946	82815	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	12	27	190	431	5	Class	4.560	0.701	663	
	0.4	1.8	4.0	28.4	64.5	0.7	Department	4.508	0.704	1487	
							College	4.400	0.770	10652	
							University	4.321	0.840	82792	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	4	29	233	398	4	Class	4.544	0.610	664	
	0.0	0.6	4.3	34.9	59.6	0.6	Department	4.466	0.689	1490	
							College	4.258	0.780	10656	
							University	4.210	0.797	82776	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	9	7	56	206	387	3	Class	4.436	0.801	665	
	1.3	1.0	8.4	30.8	57.9	0.4	Department	4.420	0.778	1491	
							College	4.304	0.810	10658	
							University	4.250	0.837	82719	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	14	16	39	193	403	3	Class	4.436	0.873	665	
	2.1	2.4	5.8	28.9	60.3	0.4	Department	4.414	0.839	1491	
							College	4.284	0.865	10657	
							University	4.228	0.901	82792	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	10	33	206	409	4	Class	4.509	0.741	664	
	0.9	1.5	4.9	30.8	61.2	0.6	Department	4.458	0.748	1490	
							College	4.248	0.872	10656	
							University	4.172	0.920	82741	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	5	8	34	210	407	4	Class	4.515	0.718	664	
	0.7	1.2	5.1	31.4	60.9	0.6	Department	4.497	0.699	1488	
							College	4.336	0.770	10660	
							University	4.280	0.794	82746	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	5	5	27	201	425	5	Class	4.563	0.681	663	
	0.7	0.7	4.0	30.1	63.6	0.7	Department	4.492	0.721	1487	
							College	4.327	0.807	10647	
							University	4.236	0.864	82635	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 761 Your Dept 1498 Your College .. 10758					VG= Very Good.... (5) G= Good.... (4) IB=In Between.... (3) P= Poor.... (2) VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	6	18	62	253	417	5	Class	4.398	0.802	756
	0.8	2.4	8.1	33.2	54.8	0.7	Department	4.492	0.748	1488
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	23	56	227	447	5	Class	4.444	0.791	756
	0.4	3.0	7.4	29.8	58.7	0.7	Department	4.531	0.738	1489
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	8	17	64	227	439	6	Class	4.420	0.822	755
	1.1	2.2	8.4	29.8	57.7	0.8	Department	4.495	0.780	1488
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	5	19	73	239	419	6	Class	4.388	0.814	755
	0.7	2.5	9.6	31.4	55.1	0.8	Department	4.468	0.755	1487
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	9	18	41	234	454	5	Class	4.463	0.802	756
	1.2	2.4	5.4	30.7	59.7	0.7	Department	4.557	0.714	1489
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	8	16	71	269	391	6	Class	4.350	0.819	755
	1.1	2.1	9.3	35.3	51.4	0.8	Department	4.458	0.740	1487
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	14	54	260	426	4	Class	4.443	0.741	757
	0.4	1.8	7.1	34.2	56.0	0.5	Department	4.516	0.687	1491
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	16	56	266	412	5	Class	4.405	0.782	756
	0.8	2.1	7.4	35.0	54.1	0.7	Department	4.455	0.768	1488
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	5	25	79	246	402	4	Class	4.341	0.844	757
	0.7	3.3	10.4	32.3	52.8	0.5	Department	4.424	0.801	1491
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	11	40	279	422	6	Class	4.465	0.700	755
	0.4	1.4	5.3	36.7	55.5	0.8	Department	4.508	0.704	1487
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	14	64	277	400	4	Class	4.399	0.743	757
	0.3	1.8	8.4	36.4	52.6	0.5	Department	4.466	0.689	1490
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	12	85	244	414	4	Class	4.395	0.769	757
	0.3	1.6	11.2	32.1	54.4	0.5	Department	4.420	0.778	1491
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	8	19	60	255	415	4	Class	4.387	0.821	757
	1.1	2.5	7.9	33.5	54.5	0.5	Department	4.414	0.839	1491
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	14	63	267	409	4	Class	4.404	0.763	757
	0.5	1.8	8.3	35.1	53.7	0.5	Department	4.458	0.748	1490
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	9	51	264	430	6	Class	4.474	0.687	755
	0.1	1.2	6.7	34.7	56.5	0.8	Department	4.497	0.699	1488
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	14	56	266	415	6	Class	4.423	0.754	755
	0.5	1.8	7.4	35.0	54.5	0.8	Department	4.492	0.721	1487
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 69					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 1498					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	5	23	39	1	Class	4.471	0.701	68	
	0.0	1.4	7.2	33.3	56.5	1.4	Department	4.492	0.748	1488	
							College	4.268	0.897	10661	
							University	4.176	0.938	82961	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	8	17	43	1	Class	4.515	0.702	68	
	0.0	0.0	11.6	24.6	62.3	1.4	Department	4.531	0.738	1489	
							College	4.320	0.897	10658	
							University	4.240	0.937	82918	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	5	20	42	1	Class	4.515	0.702	68	
	0.0	1.4	7.2	29.0	60.9	1.4	Department	4.495	0.780	1488	
							College	4.256	0.934	10653	
							University	4.192	0.961	82880	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	8	22	38	1	Class	4.441	0.699	68	
	0.0	0.0	11.6	31.9	55.1	1.4	Department	4.468	0.755	1487	
							College	4.246	0.877	10645	
							University	4.129	0.925	82808	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	6	21	40	1	Class	4.471	0.722	68	
	0.0	1.4	8.7	30.4	58.0	1.4	Department	4.557	0.714	1489	
							College	4.410	0.805	10647	
							University	4.296	0.893	82855	
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	6	24	37	1	Class	4.412	0.777	68	
	1.4	0.0	8.7	34.8	53.6	1.4	Department	4.458	0.740	1487	
							College	4.209	0.854	10636	
							University	4.156	0.865	82760	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	5	21	43	0	Class	4.551	0.631	69	
	0.0	0.0	7.2	30.4	62.3	0.0	Department	4.516	0.687	1491	
							College	4.343	0.790	10665	
							University	4.263	0.839	82849	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	6	18	45	0	Class	4.565	0.653	69	
	0.0	0.0	8.7	26.1	65.2	0.0	Department	4.455	0.768	1488	
							College	4.298	0.831	10662	
							University	4.253	0.848	82829	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	7	22	40	0	Class	4.478	0.678	69	
	0.0	0.0	10.1	31.9	58.0	0.0	Department	4.424	0.801	1491	
							College	4.225	0.923	10660	
							University	4.167	0.946	82815	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	4	22	41	0	Class	4.478	0.740	69	
	0.0	2.9	5.8	31.9	59.4	0.0	Department	4.508	0.704	1487	
							College	4.400	0.770	10652	
							University	4.321	0.840	82792	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	6	22	40	0	Class	4.464	0.719	69	
	0.0	1.4	8.7	31.9	58.0	0.0	Department	4.466	0.689	1490	
							College	4.258	0.780	10656	
							University	4.210	0.797	82776	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	5	22	42	0	Class	4.536	0.632	69	
	0.0	0.0	7.2	31.9	60.9	0.0	Department	4.420	0.778	1491	
							College	4.304	0.810	10658	
							University	4.250	0.837	82719	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	7	21	41	0	Class	4.493	0.678	69	
	0.0	0.0	10.1	30.4	59.4	0.0	Department	4.414	0.839	1491	
							College	4.284	0.865	10657	
							University	4.228	0.901	82792	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	4	23	42	0	Class	4.551	0.607	69	
	0.0	0.0	5.8	33.3	60.9	0.0	Department	4.458	0.748	1490	
							College	4.248	0.872	10656	
							University	4.172	0.920	82741	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	5	20	44	0	Class	4.565	0.630	69	
	0.0	0.0	7.2	29.0	63.8	0.0	Department	4.497	0.699	1488	
							College	4.336	0.770	10660	
							University	4.280	0.794	82746	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	6	18	45	0	Class	4.565	0.653	69	
	0.0	0.0	8.7	26.1	65.2	0.0	Department	4.492	0.721	1487	
							College	4.327	0.807	10647	
							University	4.236	0.864	82635	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 185						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 440						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	5	11	43	67	57	2	Class	3.874	1.011	183	
	2.7	5.9	23.2	36.2	30.8	1.1	Department	3.934	1.130	437	
							College	4.268	0.897	10661	
							University	4.176	0.938	82961	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	7	11	44	60	61	2	Class	3.858	1.070	183	
	3.8	5.9	23.8	32.4	33.0	1.1	Department	3.936	1.175	437	
							College	4.320	0.897	10658	
							University	4.240	0.937	82918	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	17	27	48	40	51	2	Class	3.443	1.291	183	
	9.2	14.6	25.9	21.6	27.6	1.1	Department	3.739	1.291	437	
							College	4.256	0.934	10653	
							University	4.192	0.961	82880	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	3	12	40	77	51	2	Class	3.880	0.947	183	
	1.6	6.5	21.6	41.6	27.6	1.1	Department	3.954	1.054	436	
							College	4.246	0.877	10645	
							University	4.129	0.925	82808	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	6	18	71	88	1	Class	4.299	0.818	184	
	0.5	3.2	9.7	38.4	47.6	0.5	Department	4.265	0.939	438	
							College	4.410	0.805	10647	
							University	4.296	0.893	82855	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	7	29	89	55	1	Class	4.000	0.899	184	
	2.2	3.8	15.7	48.1	29.7	0.5	Department	4.112	0.834	437	
							College	4.209	0.854	10636	
							University	4.156	0.865	82760	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	9	34	79	59	1	Class	3.989	0.923	184	
	1.6	4.9	18.4	42.7	31.9	0.5	Department	4.110	0.921	438	
							College	4.343	0.790	10665	
							University	4.263	0.839	82849	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	5	9	26	85	59	1	Class	4.000	0.952	184	
	2.7	4.9	14.1	45.9	31.9	0.5	Department	4.055	1.000	438	
							College	4.298	0.831	10662	
							University	4.253	0.848	82829	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	5	15	31	81	52	1	Class	3.870	1.005	184	
	2.7	8.1	16.8	43.8	28.1	0.5	Department	3.934	1.071	438	
							College	4.225	0.923	10660	
							University	4.167	0.946	82815	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	5	14	74	90	1	Class	4.342	0.780	184	
	0.5	2.7	7.6	40.0	48.6	0.5	Department	4.276	0.889	438	
							College	4.400	0.770	10652	
							University	4.321	0.840	82792	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	5	23	102	53	1	Class	4.092	0.752	184	
	0.5	2.7	12.4	55.1	28.6	0.5	Department	4.171	0.741	438	
							College	4.258	0.780	10656	
							University	4.210	0.797	82776	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	4	39	68	72	1	Class	4.120	0.854	184	
	0.5	2.2	21.1	36.8	38.9	0.5	Department	4.226	0.872	438	
							College	4.304	0.810	10658	
							University	4.250	0.837	82719	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	8	36	77	59	1	Class	3.973	0.943	184	
	2.2	4.3	19.5	41.6	31.9	0.5	Department	4.094	1.041	438	
							College	4.284	0.865	10657	
							University	4.228	0.901	82792	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	10	42	68	57	2	Class	3.874	1.022	183	
	3.2	5.4	22.7	36.8	30.8	1.1	Department	3.989	1.063	437	
							College	4.248	0.872	10656	
							University	4.172	0.920	82741	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	7	40	78	56	1	Class	3.962	0.908	184	
	1.6	3.8	21.6	42.2	30.3	0.5	Department	4.066	0.919	438	
							College	4.336	0.770	10660	
							University	4.280	0.794	82746	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	5	10	40	69	60	1	Class	3.918	1.002	184	
	2.7	5.4	21.6	37.3	32.4	0.5	Department	4.080	0.928	438	
							College	4.327	0.807	10647	
							University	4.236	0.864	82635	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 251					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 440					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	13	26	32	64	115	1	Class	3.968	1.215	250
	5.2	10.4	12.7	25.5	45.8	0.4	Department	3.934	1.130	437
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	15	22	40	47	126	1	Class	3.988	1.250	250
	6.0	8.8	15.9	18.7	50.2	0.4	Department	3.936	1.175	437
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	14	28	36	52	120	1	Class	3.944	1.257	250
	5.6	11.2	14.3	20.7	47.8	0.4	Department	3.739	1.291	437
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
4. the quality of this course.	11	18	37	75	108	2	Class	4.008	1.129	249
	4.4	7.2	14.7	29.9	43.0	0.8	Department	3.954	1.054	436
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	9	9	27	76	129	1	Class	4.228	1.022	250
	3.6	3.6	10.8	30.3	51.4	0.4	Department	4.265	0.939	438
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	9	29	117	94	2	Class	4.189	0.778	249
	0.0	3.6	11.6	46.6	37.5	0.8	Department	4.112	0.834	437
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	11	28	96	111	1	Class	4.196	0.917	250
	1.6	4.4	11.2	38.2	44.2	0.4	Department	4.110	0.921	438
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	8	15	31	89	107	1	Class	4.088	1.038	250
	3.2	6.0	12.4	35.5	42.6	0.4	Department	4.055	1.000	438
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	11	17	43	76	103	1	Class	3.972	1.121	250
	4.4	6.8	17.1	30.3	41.0	0.4	Department	3.934	1.071	438
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	7	10	22	93	118	1	Class	4.220	0.963	250
	2.8	4.0	8.8	37.1	47.0	0.4	Department	4.276	0.889	438
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	2	32	118	97	1	Class	4.232	0.730	250
	0.4	0.8	12.7	47.0	38.6	0.4	Department	4.171	0.741	438
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	7	26	87	126	1	Class	4.296	0.883	250
	1.6	2.8	10.4	34.7	50.2	0.4	Department	4.226	0.872	438
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	13	12	20	78	127	1	Class	4.176	1.105	250
	5.2	4.8	8.0	31.1	50.6	0.4	Department	4.094	1.041	438
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	10	17	30	84	109	1	Class	4.060	1.090	250
	4.0	6.8	12.0	33.5	43.4	0.4	Department	3.989	1.063	437
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	5	10	30	103	102	1	Class	4.148	0.922	250
	2.0	4.0	12.0	41.0	40.6	0.4	Department	4.066	0.919	438
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	7	26	111	102	1	Class	4.200	0.855	250
	1.6	2.8	10.4	44.2	40.6	0.4	Department	4.080	0.928	438
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 4					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 440					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	2	2	0	Class	4.500	0.577	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	3.934	1.130	437
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	1	2	0	Class	4.250	0.957	4
	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	Department	3.936	1.175	437
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	2	2	0	Class	4.500	0.577	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	3.739	1.291	437
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	2	1	0	Class	4.000	0.816	4
	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	Department	3.954	1.054	436
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.265	0.939	438
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	2	2	0	Class	4.500	0.577	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.112	0.834	437
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	1	0	Class	4.250	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	Department	4.110	0.921	438
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	2	2	0	Class	4.500	0.577	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.055	1.000	438
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	2	2	0	Class	4.500	0.577	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	3.934	1.071	438
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.276	0.889	438
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	2	1	0	Class	4.000	0.816	4
	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	Department	4.171	0.741	438
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.226	0.872	438
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	2	2	0	Class	4.500	0.577	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.094	1.041	438
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	3.989	1.063	437
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	1	1	0	Class	3.750	0.957	4
	0.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	Department	4.066	0.919	438
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	2	1	0	Class	4.000	0.816	4
	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	Department	4.080	0.928	438
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 40					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 178					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	7	13	18	0	Class	4.150	0.975	40
							Department	4.324	0.735	176
	2.5	2.5	17.5	32.5	45.0	0.0	College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	9	13	16	0	Class	4.050	0.986	40
							Department	4.322	0.756	177
	2.5	2.5	22.5	32.5	40.0	0.0	College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	10	12	16	0	Class	4.025	1.000	40
							Department	4.242	0.825	178
	2.5	2.5	25.0	30.0	40.0	0.0	College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
4. the quality of this course.	1	1	5	17	16	0	Class	4.150	0.921	40
							Department	4.266	0.763	177
	2.5	2.5	12.5	42.5	40.0	0.0	College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	19	20	0	Class	4.475	0.554	40
							Department	4.494	0.594	178
	0.0	0.0	2.5	47.5	50.0	0.0	College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	21	16	0	Class	4.325	0.616	40
							Department	4.356	0.660	177
	0.0	0.0	7.5	52.5	40.0	0.0	College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	14	10	15	0	Class	3.975	0.920	40
							Department	4.277	0.697	177
	0.0	2.5	35.0	25.0	37.5	0.0	College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	3	17	20	0	Class	4.425	0.636	40
							Department	4.350	0.675	177
	0.0	0.0	7.5	42.5	50.0	0.0	College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	3	19	16	0	Class	4.225	0.800	40
							Department	4.236	0.789	178
	0.0	5.0	7.5	47.5	40.0	0.0	College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	19	21	0	Class	4.525	0.506	40
							Department	4.421	0.608	178
	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.5	52.5	0.0	College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	16	20	0	Class	4.400	0.672	40
							Department	4.288	0.667	177
	0.0	0.0	10.0	40.0	50.0	0.0	College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	9	13	17	0	Class	4.150	0.864	40
							Department	4.209	0.823	177
	0.0	2.5	22.5	32.5	42.5	0.0	College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	4	17	16	1	Class	4.179	0.914	39
							Department	4.198	0.866	177
	2.5	2.5	10.0	42.5	40.0	2.5	College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	3	15	19	0	Class	4.250	0.899	40
							Department	4.209	0.830	177
	0.0	7.5	7.5	37.5	47.5	0.0	College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	4	15	18	0	Class	4.200	0.911	40
							Department	4.213	0.766	178
	0.0	7.5	10.0	37.5	45.0	0.0	College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	13	9	17	0	Class	4.050	0.932	40
							Department	4.181	0.854	177
	0.0	2.5	32.5	22.5	42.5	0.0	College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 63						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 178						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	4	35	24	0	Class	4.317	0.591	63	
	0.0	0.0	6.3	55.6	38.1	0.0	Department	4.324	0.735	176	
							College	4.268	0.897	10661	
							University	4.176	0.938	82961	

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	6	30	27	0	Class	4.333	0.648	63	
	0.0	0.0	9.5	47.6	42.9	0.0	Department	4.322	0.756	177	
							College	4.320	0.897	10658	
							University	4.240	0.937	82918	

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	3	37	21	0	Class	4.222	0.683	63	
	0.0	3.2	4.8	58.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.242	0.825	178	
							College	4.256	0.934	10653	
							University	4.192	0.961	82880	

4. the quality of this course.	0	1	7	32	23	0	Class	4.222	0.706	63	
	0.0	1.6	11.1	50.8	36.5	0.0	Department	4.266	0.763	177	
							College	4.246	0.877	10645	
							University	4.129	0.925	82808	

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	27	33	0	Class	4.476	0.592	63	
	0.0	0.0	4.8	42.9	52.4	0.0	Department	4.494	0.594	178	
							College	4.410	0.805	10647	
							University	4.296	0.893	82855	

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	4	31	27	0	Class	4.333	0.672	63	
	0.0	1.6	6.3	49.2	42.9	0.0	Department	4.356	0.660	177	
							College	4.209	0.854	10636	
							University	4.156	0.865	82760	

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	2	40	20	0	Class	4.254	0.595	63	
	0.0	1.6	3.2	63.5	31.7	0.0	Department	4.277	0.697	177	
							College	4.343	0.790	10665	
							University	4.263	0.839	82849	

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	4	36	22	0	Class	4.254	0.647	63	
	0.0	1.6	6.3	57.1	34.9	0.0	Department	4.350	0.675	177	
							College	4.298	0.831	10662	
							University	4.253	0.848	82829	

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	8	29	25	0	Class	4.238	0.734	63	
	0.0	1.6	12.7	46.0	39.7	0.0	Department	4.236	0.789	178	
							College	4.225	0.923	10660	
							University	4.167	0.946	82815	

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	2	33	27	0	Class	4.365	0.630	63	
	0.0	1.6	3.2	52.4	42.9	0.0	Department	4.421	0.608	178	
							College	4.400	0.770	10652	
							University	4.321	0.840	82792	

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	5	36	21	0	Class	4.222	0.659	63	
	0.0	1.6	7.9	57.1	33.3	0.0	Department	4.288	0.667	177	
							College	4.258	0.780	10656	
							University	4.210	0.797	82776	

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	0	9	28	24	0	Class	4.143	0.895	63	
	3.2	0.0	14.3	44.4	38.1	0.0	Department	4.209	0.823	177	
							College	4.304	0.810	10658	
							University	4.250	0.837	82719	

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	1	6	28	26	0	Class	4.190	0.913	63	
	3.2	1.6	9.5	44.4	41.3	0.0	Department	4.198	0.866	177	
							College	4.284	0.865	10657	
							University	4.228	0.901	82792	

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	6	32	23	0	Class	4.190	0.800	63	
	1.6	1.6	9.5	50.8	36.5	0.0	Department	4.209	0.830	177	
							College	4.248	0.872	10656	
							University	4.172	0.920	82741	

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	6	35	20	0	Class	4.159	0.723	63	
	0.0	3.2	9.5	55.6	31.7	0.0	Department	4.213	0.766	178	
							College	4.336	0.770	10660	
							University	4.280	0.794	82746	

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	3	7	31	20	1	Class	4.065	0.885	62	
	1.6	4.8	11.1	49.2	31.7	1.6	Department	4.181	0.854	177	
							College	4.327	0.807	10647	
							University	4.236	0.864	82635	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 75					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 178					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	5	29	38	2	Class	4.425	0.686	73
	0.0	1.3	6.7	38.7	50.7	2.7	Department	4.324	0.735	176
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	4	29	40	1	Class	4.459	0.666	74
	0.0	1.3	5.3	38.7	53.3	1.3	Department	4.322	0.756	177
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	4	4	27	40	0	Class	4.373	0.818	75
	0.0	5.3	5.3	36.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.242	0.825	178
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	4	33	35	1	Class	4.365	0.713	74
	0.0	2.7	5.3	44.0	46.7	1.3	Department	4.266	0.763	177
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	5	26	44	0	Class	4.520	0.623	75
	0.0	0.0	6.7	34.7	58.7	0.0	Department	4.494	0.594	178
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	5	32	36	1	Class	4.392	0.679	74
	0.0	1.3	6.7	42.7	48.0	1.3	Department	4.356	0.660	177
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	34	37	1	Class	4.459	0.578	74
	0.0	0.0	4.0	45.3	49.3	1.3	Department	4.277	0.697	177
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	4	31	37	1	Class	4.392	0.718	74
	0.0	2.7	5.3	41.3	49.3	1.3	Department	4.350	0.675	177
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	7	31	33	0	Class	4.240	0.836	75
	0.0	5.3	9.3	41.3	44.0	0.0	Department	4.236	0.789	178
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	3	35	36	0	Class	4.413	0.639	75
	0.0	1.3	4.0	46.7	48.0	0.0	Department	4.421	0.608	178
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	9	35	30	1	Class	4.284	0.673	74
	0.0	0.0	12.0	46.7	40.0	1.3	Department	4.288	0.667	177
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	12	28	34	1	Class	4.297	0.735	74
	0.0	0.0	16.0	37.3	45.3	1.3	Department	4.209	0.823	177
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	12	29	32	0	Class	4.213	0.810	75
	0.0	2.7	16.0	38.7	42.7	0.0	Department	4.198	0.866	177
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	10	32	30	1	Class	4.203	0.827	74
	1.3	1.3	13.3	42.7	40.0	1.3	Department	4.209	0.830	177
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	6	37	30	0	Class	4.267	0.723	75
	0.0	2.7	8.0	49.3	40.0	0.0	Department	4.213	0.766	178
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	4	32	36	0	Class	4.347	0.762	75
	0.0	4.0	5.3	42.7	48.0	0.0	Department	4.181	0.854	177
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1591					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1914					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	29	67	181	607	682	25	Class	4.179	0.926	1566
	1.8	4.2	11.4	38.2	42.9	1.6	Department	4.171	0.931	1885
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	23	78	155	547	762	26	Class	4.244	0.927	1565
	1.4	4.9	9.7	34.4	47.9	1.6	Department	4.235	0.929	1884
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	34	81	192	538	716	30	Class	4.167	0.979	1561
	2.1	5.1	12.1	33.8	45.0	1.9	Department	4.178	0.962	1881
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
4. the quality of this course.	20	66	194	679	602	30	Class	4.138	0.881	1561
	1.3	4.1	12.2	42.7	37.8	1.9	Department	4.125	0.895	1880
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	16	35	136	555	823	26	Class	4.364	0.814	1565
	1.0	2.2	8.5	34.9	51.7	1.6	Department	4.365	0.811	1885
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
6. your understanding of the course content.	28	66	250	714	503	30	Class	4.024	0.902	1561
	1.8	4.1	15.7	44.9	31.6	1.9	Department	3.990	0.922	1879
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	16	37	166	643	703	26	Class	4.265	0.820	1565
	1.0	2.3	10.4	40.4	44.2	1.6	Department	4.267	0.817	1885
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	15	55	179	670	646	26	Class	4.199	0.845	1565
	0.9	3.5	11.3	42.1	40.6	1.6	Department	4.225	0.829	1883
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	23	83	158	641	662	24	Class	4.172	0.916	1567
	1.4	5.2	9.9	40.3	41.6	1.5	Department	4.165	0.922	1885
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	20	32	120	617	776	26	Class	4.340	0.809	1565
	1.3	2.0	7.5	38.8	48.8	1.6	Department	4.351	0.799	1883
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	14	35	212	738	567	25	Class	4.155	0.802	1566
	0.9	2.2	13.3	46.4	35.6	1.6	Department	4.129	0.827	1885
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	14	41	284	661	567	24	Class	4.101	0.848	1567
	0.9	2.6	17.9	41.5	35.6	1.5	Department	4.142	0.830	1886
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	26	76	225	645	593	26	Class	4.088	0.927	1565
	1.6	4.8	14.1	40.5	37.3	1.6	Department	4.125	0.905	1884
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	26	73	248	644	576	24	Class	4.066	0.926	1567
	1.6	4.6	15.6	40.5	36.2	1.5	Department	4.083	0.918	1886
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	17	29	187	693	640	25	Class	4.220	0.807	1566
	1.1	1.8	11.8	43.6	40.2	1.6	Department	4.232	0.797	1885
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	17	47	168	641	693	25	Class	4.243	0.842	1566
	1.1	3.0	10.6	40.3	43.6	1.6	Department	4.251	0.835	1884
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: ECONOMICS		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 299 Your Dept 1914 Your College .. 10758					VG= Very Good.... (5) G= Good.... (4) IB=In Between.... (3) P= Poor.... (2) VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.085	0.967	295
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	5	20	36	118	116	4	Department	4.171	0.931	1885
	1.7	6.7	12.0	39.5	38.8	1.3	College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
							Class	4.139	0.954	295
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	18	38	108	127	4	Department	4.235	0.929	1884
	1.3	6.0	12.7	36.1	42.5	1.3	College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
							Class	4.193	0.887	296
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	15	30	122	126	3	Department	4.178	0.962	1881
	1.0	5.0	10.0	40.8	42.1	1.0	College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
							Class	4.007	0.972	295
4. the quality of this course.	7	15	51	118	104	4	Department	4.125	0.895	1880
	2.3	5.0	17.1	39.5	34.8	1.3	College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
							Class	4.341	0.812	296
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	8	28	107	151	3	Department	4.365	0.811	1885
	0.7	2.7	9.4	35.8	50.5	1.0	College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
							Class	3.786	1.004	294
6. your understanding of the course content.	9	19	75	114	77	5	Department	3.990	0.922	1879
	3.0	6.4	25.1	38.1	25.8	1.7	College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.247	0.817	296
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	16	23	129	128	3	Department	4.267	0.817	1885
	0.0	5.4	7.7	43.1	42.8	1.0	College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
							Class	4.316	0.743	294
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	7	28	124	135	5	Department	4.225	0.829	1883
	0.0	2.3	9.4	41.5	45.2	1.7	College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
							Class	4.085	0.965	294
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	19	44	108	119	5	Department	4.165	0.922	1885
	1.3	6.4	14.7	36.1	39.8	1.7	College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
							Class	4.380	0.764	295
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	8	15	121	149	4	Department	4.351	0.799	1883
	0.7	2.7	5.0	40.5	49.8	1.3	College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
							Class	3.949	0.937	295
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	17	62	119	93	4	Department	4.129	0.827	1885
	1.3	5.7	20.7	39.8	31.1	1.3	College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
							Class	4.305	0.716	295
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	2	32	131	129	4	Department	4.142	0.830	1886
	0.3	0.7	10.7	43.8	43.1	1.3	College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
							Class	4.261	0.776	295
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	7	33	127	127	4	Department	4.125	0.905	1884
	0.3	2.3	11.0	42.5	42.5	1.3	College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
							Class	4.125	0.881	295
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	16	50	110	119	4	Department	4.083	0.918	1886
	0.0	5.4	16.7	36.8	39.8	1.3	College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
							Class	4.254	0.751	295
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	6	31	136	121	4	Department	4.232	0.797	1885
	0.3	2.0	10.4	45.5	40.5	1.3	College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
							Class	4.255	0.809	294
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	10	32	121	130	5	Department	4.251	0.835	1884
	0.3	3.3	10.7	40.5	43.5	1.7	College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 24					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1914					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	6	18	0	Class	4.750	0.442	24
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.171	0.931	1885
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	5	19	0	Class	4.792	0.415	24
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.8	79.2	0.0	Department	4.235	0.929	1884
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	7	17	0	Class	4.708	0.464	24
	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.2	70.8	0.0	Department	4.178	0.962	1881
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	7	17	0	Class	4.708	0.464	24
	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.2	70.8	0.0	Department	4.125	0.895	1880
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	6	18	0	Class	4.750	0.442	24
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.365	0.811	1885
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	6	5	13	0	Class	4.292	0.859	24
	0.0	0.0	25.0	20.8	54.2	0.0	Department	3.990	0.922	1879
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	8	16	0	Class	4.667	0.482	24
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.267	0.817	1885
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	5	19	0	Class	4.792	0.415	24
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.8	79.2	0.0	Department	4.225	0.829	1883
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	5	18	0	Class	4.708	0.550	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	20.8	75.0	0.0	Department	4.165	0.922	1885
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	6	17	1	Class	4.739	0.449	23
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	70.8	4.2	Department	4.351	0.799	1883
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	9	15	0	Class	4.625	0.495	24
	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	62.5	0.0	Department	4.129	0.827	1885
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	5	19	0	Class	4.792	0.415	24
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.8	79.2	0.0	Department	4.142	0.830	1886
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	4	20	0	Class	4.833	0.381	24
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.125	0.905	1884
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	6	17	0	Class	4.667	0.565	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	25.0	70.8	0.0	Department	4.083	0.918	1886
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	6	18	0	Class	4.750	0.442	24
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.232	0.797	1885
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	7	17	0	Class	4.708	0.464	24
	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.2	70.8	0.0	Department	4.251	0.835	1884
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: ENTOMOLOGY			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 47 Your Dept 78 Your College .. 10758				VG= Very Good.... (5) G= Good.... (4) IB=In Between.... (3) P= Poor.... (2) VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.170	0.702	47
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	5	26	15	0	Department	4.377	0.708	77
	0.0	2.1	10.6	55.3	31.9	0.0	College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
							Class	4.191	0.770	47
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	4	24	17	0	Department	4.442	0.734	77
	0.0	4.3	8.5	51.1	36.2	0.0	College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
							Class	4.298	0.623	47
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	4	25	18	0	Department	4.468	0.598	77
	0.0	0.0	8.5	53.2	38.3	0.0	College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
							Class	4.085	0.905	47
4. the quality of this course.	1	2	5	23	16	0	Department	4.299	0.828	77
	2.1	4.3	10.6	48.9	34.0	0.0	College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
							Class	4.532	0.584	47
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	18	27	0	Department	4.597	0.613	77
	0.0	0.0	4.3	38.3	57.4	0.0	College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
							Class	4.106	0.729	47
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	7	25	14	0	Department	4.329	0.719	76
	0.0	2.1	14.9	53.2	29.8	0.0	College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
							Class	4.239	0.822	46
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	3	2	22	19	1	Department	4.442	0.752	77
	0.0	6.4	4.3	46.8	40.4	2.1	College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
							Class	4.370	0.610	46
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	0	26	19	1	Department	4.468	0.661	77
	0.0	2.1	0.0	55.3	40.4	2.1	College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
							Class	4.370	0.610	46
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	23	20	1	Department	4.481	0.598	77
	0.0	0.0	6.4	48.9	42.6	2.1	College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
							Class	4.457	0.585	46
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	21	23	1	Department	4.558	0.550	77
	0.0	0.0	4.3	44.7	48.9	2.1	College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
							Class	4.239	0.639	46
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	5	25	16	1	Department	4.403	0.654	77
	0.0	0.0	10.6	53.2	34.0	2.1	College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
							Class	4.261	0.612	46
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	4	26	16	1	Department	4.494	0.599	77
	0.0	0.0	8.5	55.3	34.0	2.1	College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
							Class	4.326	0.598	46
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	3	25	18	1	Department	4.519	0.576	77
	0.0	0.0	6.4	53.2	38.3	2.1	College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
							Class	4.283	0.688	46
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	6	21	19	1	Department	4.481	0.661	77
	0.0	0.0	12.8	44.7	40.4	2.1	College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
							Class	4.239	0.705	46
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	7	21	18	1	Department	4.403	0.712	77
	0.0	0.0	14.9	44.7	38.3	2.1	College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
							Class	4.152	1.032	46
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	0	3	21	19	1	Department	4.351	0.900	77
	6.4	0.0	6.4	44.7	40.4	2.1	College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82633

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 31					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 78					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	5	23	1	Class	4.700	0.596	30
							Department	4.377	0.708	77
	0.0	0.0	6.5	16.1	74.2	3.2	College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	3	26	1	Class	4.833	0.461	30
							Department	4.442	0.734	77
	0.0	0.0	3.2	9.7	83.9	3.2	College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	8	22	1	Class	4.733	0.450	30
							Department	4.468	0.598	77
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.8	71.0	3.2	College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	9	20	1	Class	4.633	0.556	30
							Department	4.299	0.828	77
	0.0	0.0	3.2	29.0	64.5	3.2	College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	0	6	23	1	Class	4.700	0.651	30
							Department	4.597	0.613	77
	0.0	3.2	0.0	19.4	74.2	3.2	College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	7	21	2	Class	4.690	0.541	29
							Department	4.329	0.719	76
	0.0	0.0	3.2	22.6	67.7	6.5	College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	6	24	0	Class	4.742	0.514	31
							Department	4.442	0.752	77
	0.0	0.0	3.2	19.4	77.4	0.0	College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	1	7	22	0	Class	4.613	0.715	31
							Department	4.468	0.661	77
	0.0	3.2	3.2	22.6	71.0	0.0	College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	9	21	0	Class	4.645	0.551	31
							Department	4.481	0.598	77
	0.0	0.0	3.2	29.0	67.7	0.0	College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	9	22	0	Class	4.710	0.461	31
							Department	4.558	0.550	77
	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.0	71.0	0.0	College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	7	22	0	Class	4.645	0.608	31
							Department	4.403	0.654	77
	0.0	0.0	6.5	22.6	71.0	0.0	College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	5	26	0	Class	4.839	0.374	31
							Department	4.494	0.599	77
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.1	83.9	0.0	College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	6	25	0	Class	4.806	0.402	31
							Department	4.519	0.576	77
	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.4	80.6	0.0	College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	5	25	0	Class	4.774	0.497	31
							Department	4.481	0.661	77
	0.0	0.0	3.2	16.1	80.6	0.0	College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	0	8	22	0	Class	4.645	0.661	31
							Department	4.403	0.712	77
	0.0	3.2	0.0	25.8	71.0	0.0	College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	9	21	0	Class	4.645	0.551	31
							Department	4.351	0.900	77
	0.0	0.0	3.2	29.0	67.7	0.0	College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: MICROBIOLOGY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 674 Your Dept 1459 Your College .. 10758					VG= Very Good.... (5) G= Good.... (4) IB=In Between.... (3) P= Poor.... (2) VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	9	9	45	228	383	0	Class	4.435	0.788	674
	1.3	1.3	6.7	33.8	56.8	0.0	Department	4.204	1.004	1453
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	6	12	39	185	431	1	Class	4.520	0.762	673
	0.9	1.8	5.8	27.4	63.9	0.1	Department	4.299	0.990	1453
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	5	22	30	203	412	2	Class	4.481	0.791	672
	0.7	3.3	4.5	30.1	61.1	0.3	Department	4.229	1.034	1451
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
4. the quality of this course.	5	13	50	244	360	2	Class	4.400	0.771	672
	0.7	1.9	7.4	36.2	53.4	0.3	Department	4.210	0.954	1451
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	12	14	46	213	386	3	Class	4.411	0.849	671
	1.8	2.1	6.8	31.6	57.3	0.4	Department	4.353	0.877	1450
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
6. your understanding of the course content.	10	17	52	278	317	0	Class	4.298	0.833	674
	1.5	2.5	7.7	41.2	47.0	0.0	Department	4.184	0.898	1453
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	12	35	243	381	0	Class	4.464	0.717	674
	0.4	1.8	5.2	36.1	56.5	0.0	Department	4.317	0.877	1457
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	7	17	40	242	368	0	Class	4.405	0.798	674
	1.0	2.5	5.9	35.9	54.6	0.0	Department	4.220	0.982	1458
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	8	17	41	230	378	0	Class	4.414	0.812	674
	1.2	2.5	6.1	34.1	56.1	0.0	Department	4.108	1.110	1458
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	13	24	238	395	1	Class	4.499	0.701	673
	0.4	1.9	3.6	35.3	58.6	0.1	Department	4.391	0.804	1456
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	10	61	283	315	1	Class	4.330	0.751	673
	0.6	1.5	9.1	42.0	46.7	0.1	Department	4.226	0.821	1453
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	6	65	241	356	2	Class	4.397	0.747	672
	0.6	0.9	9.6	35.8	52.8	0.3	Department	4.291	0.846	1454
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	6	46	241	378	0	Class	4.461	0.702	674
	0.4	0.9	6.8	35.8	56.1	0.0	Department	4.307	0.870	1454
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	14	59	243	354	1	Class	4.383	0.769	673
	0.4	2.1	8.8	36.1	52.5	0.1	Department	4.231	0.936	1455
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	6	41	250	372	1	Class	4.456	0.704	673
	0.6	0.9	6.1	37.1	55.2	0.1	Department	4.340	0.826	1457
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	13	44	245	367	2	Class	4.429	0.739	672
	0.4	1.9	6.5	36.4	54.5	0.3	Department	4.325	0.847	1454
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: MICROBIOLOGY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 699 Your Dept 1459 Your College .. 10758					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	29	62	93	221	289	5	Class	3.978	1.134	694
	4.1	8.9	13.3	31.6	41.3	0.7	Department	4.204	1.004	1453
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	29	53	84	194	335	4	Class	4.083	1.131	695
	4.1	7.6	12.0	27.8	47.9	0.6	Department	4.299	0.990	1453
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	32	66	96	190	310	5	Class	3.980	1.176	694
	4.6	9.4	13.7	27.2	44.3	0.7	Department	4.229	1.034	1451
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	22	52	108	219	293	5	Class	4.022	1.079	694
	3.1	7.4	15.5	31.3	41.9	0.7	Department	4.210	0.954	1451
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	14	21	66	243	350	5	Class	4.288	0.906	694
	2.0	3.0	9.4	34.8	50.1	0.7	Department	4.353	0.877	1450
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	14	32	109	282	257	5	Class	4.061	0.944	694
	2.0	4.6	15.6	40.3	36.8	0.7	Department	4.184	0.898	1453
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	14	39	83	235	327	1	Class	4.178	0.980	698
	2.0	5.6	11.9	33.6	46.8	0.1	Department	4.317	0.877	1457
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	26	61	83	226	303	0	Class	4.029	1.112	699
	3.7	8.7	11.9	32.3	43.3	0.0	Department	4.220	0.982	1458
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	52	84	95	194	274	0	Class	3.793	1.280	699
	7.4	12.0	13.6	27.8	39.2	0.0	Department	4.108	1.110	1458
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	13	20	61	264	340	1	Class	4.287	0.881	698
	1.9	2.9	8.7	37.8	48.6	0.1	Department	4.391	0.804	1456
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	7	27	101	300	260	4	Class	4.121	0.867	695
	1.0	3.9	14.4	42.9	37.2	0.6	Department	4.226	0.821	1453
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	10	23	114	233	317	2	Class	4.182	0.921	697
	1.4	3.3	16.3	33.3	45.4	0.3	Department	4.291	0.846	1454
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	13	37	96	229	320	4	Class	4.160	0.978	695
	1.9	5.3	13.7	32.8	45.8	0.6	Department	4.307	0.870	1454
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	18	43	119	202	315	2	Class	4.080	1.047	697
	2.6	6.2	17.0	28.9	45.1	0.3	Department	4.231	0.936	1455
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	12	25	78	256	328	0	Class	4.235	0.908	699
	1.7	3.6	11.2	36.6	46.9	0.0	Department	4.340	0.826	1457
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	17	19	81	255	325	2	Class	4.222	0.929	697
	2.4	2.7	11.6	36.5	46.5	0.3	Department	4.325	0.847	1454
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MICROBIOLOGY								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 86						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1459						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	3	8	29	42	1	Class	4.224	1.004	85	
	3.5	3.5	9.3	33.7	48.8	1.2	Department	4.204	1.004	1453	
							College	4.268	0.897	10661	
							University	4.176	0.938	82961	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	2	7	27	46	1	Class	4.306	0.976	85	
	3.5	2.3	8.1	31.4	53.5	1.2	Department	4.299	0.990	1453	
							College	4.320	0.897	10658	
							University	4.240	0.937	82918	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	3	8	25	46	1	Class	4.271	1.016	85	
	3.5	3.5	9.3	29.1	53.5	1.2	Department	4.229	1.034	1451	
							College	4.256	0.934	10653	
							University	4.192	0.961	82880	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	1	3	9	33	39	1	Class	4.247	0.872	85	
	1.2	3.5	10.5	38.4	45.3	1.2	Department	4.210	0.954	1451	
							College	4.246	0.877	10645	
							University	4.129	0.925	82808	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	2	6	27	49	1	Class	4.424	0.822	85	
	1.2	2.3	7.0	31.4	57.0	1.2	Department	4.353	0.877	1450	
							College	4.410	0.805	10647	
							University	4.296	0.893	82855	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	4	6	32	42	1	Class	4.294	0.884	85	
	1.2	4.7	7.0	37.2	48.8	1.2	Department	4.184	0.898	1453	
							College	4.209	0.854	10636	
							University	4.156	0.865	82760	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	4	5	30	44	1	Class	4.294	0.949	85	
	2.3	4.7	5.8	34.9	51.2	1.2	Department	4.317	0.877	1457	
							College	4.343	0.790	10665	
							University	4.263	0.839	82849	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	1	7	32	43	1	Class	4.329	0.864	85	
	2.3	1.2	8.1	37.2	50.0	1.2	Department	4.220	0.982	1458	
							College	4.298	0.831	10662	
							University	4.253	0.848	82829	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	3	8	29	43	1	Class	4.271	0.944	85	
	2.3	3.5	9.3	33.7	50.0	1.2	Department	4.108	1.110	1458	
							College	4.225	0.923	10660	
							University	4.167	0.946	82815	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	2	5	31	46	1	Class	4.400	0.805	85	
	1.2	2.3	5.8	36.0	53.5	1.2	Department	4.391	0.804	1456	
							College	4.400	0.770	10652	
							University	4.321	0.840	82792	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	3	8	33	40	1	Class	4.271	0.864	85	
	1.2	3.5	9.3	38.4	46.5	1.2	Department	4.226	0.821	1453	
							College	4.258	0.780	10656	
							University	4.210	0.797	82776	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	0	8	32	43	1	Class	4.341	0.839	85	
	2.3	0.0	9.3	37.2	50.0	1.2	Department	4.291	0.846	1454	
							College	4.304	0.810	10658	
							University	4.250	0.837	82719	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	3	7	29	44	1	Class	4.294	0.936	85	
	2.3	3.5	8.1	33.7	51.2	1.2	Department	4.307	0.870	1454	
							College	4.284	0.865	10657	
							University	4.228	0.901	82792	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	3	6	30	43	1	Class	4.259	0.990	85	
	3.5	3.5	7.0	34.9	50.0	1.2	Department	4.231	0.936	1455	
							College	4.248	0.872	10656	
							University	4.172	0.920	82741	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	2	7	33	41	1	Class	4.282	0.895	85	
	2.3	2.3	8.1	38.4	47.7	1.2	Department	4.340	0.826	1457	
							College	4.336	0.770	10660	
							University	4.280	0.794	82746	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	1	6	32	44	1	Class	4.353	0.855	85	
	2.3	1.2	7.0	37.2	51.2	1.2	Department	4.325	0.847	1454	
							College	4.327	0.807	10647	
							University	4.236	0.864	82635	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 89						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 192						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	4	38	46	0	Class	4.449	0.640	89	
	0.0	1.1	4.5	42.7	51.7	0.0	Department	4.500	0.655	192	
							College	4.268	0.897	10661	
							University	4.176	0.938	82961	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	3	4	30	52	0	Class	4.472	0.740	89	
	0.0	3.4	4.5	33.7	58.4	0.0	Department	4.547	0.700	192	
							College	4.320	0.897	10658	
							University	4.240	0.937	82918	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	4	33	50	0	Class	4.472	0.692	89	
	0.0	2.2	4.5	37.1	56.2	0.0	Department	4.516	0.694	192	
							College	4.256	0.934	10653	
							University	4.192	0.961	82880	
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	9	37	42	0	Class	4.348	0.709	89	
	0.0	1.1	10.1	41.6	47.2	0.0	Department	4.408	0.740	191	
							College	4.246	0.877	10645	
							University	4.129	0.925	82808	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	3	32	53	0	Class	4.539	0.623	89	
	0.0	1.1	3.4	36.0	59.6	0.0	Department	4.604	0.596	192	
							College	4.410	0.805	10647	
							University	4.296	0.893	82855	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	5	33	51	0	Class	4.517	0.605	89	
	0.0	0.0	5.6	37.1	57.3	0.0	Department	4.492	0.656	191	
							College	4.209	0.854	10636	
							University	4.156	0.865	82760	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	39	47	0	Class	4.494	0.567	89	
	0.0	0.0	3.4	43.8	52.8	0.0	Department	4.534	0.614	191	
							College	4.343	0.790	10665	
							University	4.263	0.839	82849	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	40	45	0	Class	4.461	0.585	89	
	0.0	0.0	4.5	44.9	50.6	0.0	Department	4.479	0.630	192	
							College	4.298	0.831	10662	
							University	4.253	0.848	82829	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	4	40	45	0	Class	4.461	0.585	89	
	0.0	0.0	4.5	44.9	50.6	0.0	Department	4.464	0.678	192	
							College	4.225	0.923	10660	
							University	4.167	0.946	82815	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	3	3	31	52	0	Class	4.483	0.725	89	
	0.0	3.4	3.4	34.8	58.4	0.0	Department	4.500	0.716	192	
							College	4.400	0.770	10652	
							University	4.321	0.840	82792	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	5	34	50	0	Class	4.506	0.605	89	
	0.0	0.0	5.6	38.2	56.2	0.0	Department	4.448	0.692	192	
							College	4.258	0.780	10656	
							University	4.210	0.797	82776	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	11	40	38	0	Class	4.303	0.681	89	
	0.0	0.0	12.4	44.9	42.7	0.0	Department	4.505	0.663	192	
							College	4.304	0.810	10658	
							University	4.250	0.837	82719	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	5	39	44	0	Class	4.404	0.703	89	
	1.1	0.0	5.6	43.8	49.4	0.0	Department	4.516	0.647	192	
							College	4.284	0.865	10657	
							University	4.228	0.901	82792	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	8	41	40	0	Class	4.360	0.644	89	
	0.0	0.0	9.0	46.1	44.9	0.0	Department	4.427	0.727	192	
							College	4.248	0.872	10656	
							University	4.172	0.920	82741	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	5	45	39	0	Class	4.382	0.594	89	
	0.0	0.0	5.6	50.6	43.8	0.0	Department	4.448	0.637	192	
							College	4.336	0.770	10660	
							University	4.280	0.794	82746	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	4	38	47	0	Class	4.483	0.586	89	
	0.0	0.0	4.5	42.7	52.8	0.0	Department	4.482	0.648	191	
							College	4.327	0.807	10647	
							University	4.236	0.864	82635	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 66						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 192						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	4	20	42	0	Class	4.576	0.609	66	
	0.0	0.0	6.1	30.3	63.6	0.0	Department	4.500	0.655	192	
							College	4.268	0.897	10661	
							University	4.176	0.938	82961	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	5	13	48	0	Class	4.652	0.620	66	
	0.0	0.0	7.6	19.7	72.7	0.0	Department	4.547	0.700	192	
							College	4.320	0.897	10658	
							University	4.240	0.937	82918	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	5	18	43	0	Class	4.576	0.634	66	
	0.0	0.0	7.6	27.3	65.2	0.0	Department	4.516	0.694	192	
							College	4.256	0.934	10653	
							University	4.192	0.961	82880	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	6	20	40	0	Class	4.515	0.662	66	
	0.0	0.0	9.1	30.3	60.6	0.0	Department	4.408	0.740	191	
							College	4.246	0.877	10645	
							University	4.129	0.925	82808	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	4	20	42	0	Class	4.576	0.609	66	
	0.0	0.0	6.1	30.3	63.6	0.0	Department	4.604	0.596	192	
							College	4.410	0.805	10647	
							University	4.296	0.893	82855	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	4	23	37	1	Class	4.477	0.687	65	
	0.0	1.5	6.1	34.8	56.1	1.5	Department	4.492	0.656	191	
							College	4.209	0.854	10636	
							University	4.156	0.865	82760	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	4	21	41	0	Class	4.561	0.611	66	
	0.0	0.0	6.1	31.8	62.1	0.0	Department	4.534	0.614	191	
							College	4.343	0.790	10665	
							University	4.263	0.839	82849	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	3	27	35	0	Class	4.455	0.661	66	
	0.0	1.5	4.5	40.9	53.0	0.0	Department	4.479	0.630	192	
							College	4.298	0.831	10662	
							University	4.253	0.848	82829	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	5	24	36	0	Class	4.439	0.704	66	
	0.0	1.5	7.6	36.4	54.5	0.0	Department	4.464	0.678	192	
							College	4.225	0.923	10660	
							University	4.167	0.946	82815	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	7	19	39	0	Class	4.455	0.748	66	
	0.0	1.5	10.6	28.8	59.1	0.0	Department	4.500	0.716	192	
							College	4.400	0.770	10652	
							University	4.321	0.840	82792	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	8	22	34	0	Class	4.333	0.810	66	
	0.0	3.0	12.1	33.3	51.5	0.0	Department	4.448	0.692	192	
							College	4.258	0.780	10656	
							University	4.210	0.797	82776	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	3	16	46	0	Class	4.621	0.651	66	
	0.0	1.5	4.5	24.2	69.7	0.0	Department	4.505	0.663	192	
							College	4.304	0.810	10658	
							University	4.250	0.837	82719	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	5	19	42	0	Class	4.561	0.636	66	
	0.0	0.0	7.6	28.8	63.6	0.0	Department	4.516	0.647	192	
							College	4.284	0.865	10657	
							University	4.228	0.901	82792	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	6	15	42	0	Class	4.455	0.845	66	
	0.0	4.5	9.1	22.7	63.6	0.0	Department	4.427	0.727	192	
							College	4.248	0.872	10656	
							University	4.172	0.920	82741	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	6	22	38	0	Class	4.485	0.662	66	
	0.0	0.0	9.1	33.3	57.6	0.0	Department	4.448	0.637	192	
							College	4.336	0.770	10660	
							University	4.280	0.794	82746	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	5	25	34	1	Class	4.415	0.705	65	
	0.0	1.5	7.6	37.9	51.5	1.5	Department	4.482	0.648	191	
							College	4.327	0.807	10647	
							University	4.236	0.864	82635	

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: PLANT SCIENCES			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 620 Your Dept 2068 Your College .. 10758				VG= Very Good.... (5) G= Good.... (4) IB=In Between.... (3) P= Poor.... (2) VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	5	49	249	310	3	Class	4.387	0.723	617
	0.6	0.8	7.9	40.2	50.0	0.5	Department	4.309	0.816	2058
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	7	48	225	334	3	Class	4.426	0.726	617
	0.5	1.1	7.7	36.3	53.9	0.5	Department	4.352	0.817	2057
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	15	62	224	314	3	Class	4.350	0.784	617
	0.3	2.4	10.0	36.1	50.6	0.5	Department	4.254	0.869	2056
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	3	11	67	224	312	3	Class	4.347	0.781	617
	0.5	1.8	10.8	36.1	50.3	0.5	Department	4.274	0.835	2053
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	8	9	48	200	352	3	Class	4.425	0.803	617
	1.3	1.5	7.7	32.3	56.8	0.5	Department	4.397	0.808	2055
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	15	73	270	252	5	Class	4.218	0.808	615
	0.8	2.4	11.8	43.5	40.6	0.8	Department	4.192	0.868	2053
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	3	33	263	313	3	Class	4.420	0.691	617
	0.8	0.5	5.3	42.4	50.5	0.5	Department	4.359	0.747	2060
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	10	48	255	303	3	Class	4.376	0.713	617
	0.2	1.6	7.7	41.1	48.9	0.5	Department	4.337	0.757	2060
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	18	57	247	293	3	Class	4.314	0.784	617
	0.3	2.9	9.2	39.8	47.3	0.5	Department	4.278	0.824	2055
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	7	36	246	325	4	Class	4.437	0.686	616
	0.3	1.1	5.8	39.7	52.4	0.6	Department	4.437	0.697	2057
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	10	65	282	258	3	Class	4.271	0.738	617
	0.3	1.6	10.5	45.5	41.6	0.5	Department	4.254	0.776	2060
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	63	212	341	3	Class	4.447	0.680	617
	0.0	0.2	10.2	34.2	55.0	0.5	Department	4.388	0.748	2058
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	3	52	233	328	3	Class	4.433	0.681	617
	0.2	0.5	8.4	37.6	52.9	0.5	Department	4.402	0.727	2059
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	7	49	235	325	3	Class	4.420	0.701	617
	0.2	1.1	7.9	37.9	52.4	0.5	Department	4.317	0.796	2057
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	1	41	250	323	3	Class	4.444	0.655	617
	0.3	0.2	6.6	40.3	52.1	0.5	Department	4.389	0.713	2059
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	7	36	258	310	5	Class	4.403	0.711	615
	0.6	1.1	5.8	41.6	50.0	0.8	Department	4.342	0.760	2055
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: PLANT SCIENCES		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1213 Your Dept 2068 Your College .. 10758					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	14	31	149	438	576	5	Class	4.267	0.858	1208
	1.2	2.6	12.3	36.1	47.5	0.4	Department	4.309	0.816	2058
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	10	37	144	401	613	8	Class	4.303	0.857	1205
	0.8	3.1	11.9	33.1	50.5	0.7	Department	4.352	0.817	2057
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	12	53	187	404	549	8	Class	4.183	0.919	1205
	1.0	4.4	15.4	33.3	45.3	0.7	Department	4.254	0.869	2056
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
4. the quality of this course.	12	34	152	454	549	12	Class	4.244	0.853	1201
	1.0	2.8	12.5	37.4	45.3	1.0	Department	4.274	0.835	2053
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	11	31	102	390	670	9	Class	4.393	0.820	1204
	0.9	2.6	8.4	32.2	55.2	0.7	Department	4.397	0.808	2055
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
6. your understanding of the course content.	22	44	166	450	521	10	Class	4.167	0.925	1203
	1.8	3.6	13.7	37.1	43.0	0.8	Department	4.192	0.868	2053
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	22	125	469	588	5	Class	4.337	0.761	1208
	0.3	1.8	10.3	38.7	48.5	0.4	Department	4.359	0.747	2060
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	5	31	119	476	577	5	Class	4.315	0.786	1208
	0.4	2.6	9.8	39.2	47.6	0.4	Department	4.337	0.757	2060
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	8	45	135	457	558	10	Class	4.257	0.849	1203
	0.7	3.7	11.1	37.7	46.0	0.8	Department	4.278	0.824	2055
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	13	80	446	664	7	Class	4.455	0.690	1206
	0.2	1.1	6.6	36.8	54.7	0.6	Department	4.437	0.697	2057
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	7	32	144	482	543	5	Class	4.260	0.812	1208
	0.6	2.6	11.9	39.7	44.8	0.4	Department	4.254	0.776	2060
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	5	13	162	402	625	6	Class	4.350	0.781	1207
	0.4	1.1	13.4	33.1	51.5	0.5	Department	4.388	0.748	2058
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	8	13	117	448	622	5	Class	4.377	0.757	1208
	0.7	1.1	9.6	36.9	51.3	0.4	Department	4.402	0.727	2059
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	37	154	449	559	8	Class	4.260	0.832	1205
	0.5	3.1	12.7	37.0	46.1	0.7	Department	4.317	0.796	2057
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	14	120	469	601	6	Class	4.368	0.729	1207
	0.2	1.2	9.9	38.7	49.5	0.5	Department	4.389	0.713	2059
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	5	24	126	471	580	7	Class	4.324	0.773	1206
	0.4	2.0	10.4	38.8	47.8	0.6	Department	4.342	0.760	2055
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: PLANT SCIENCES							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 235					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept 2068					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	8	22	88	114	2	Class	4.313	0.815	233
	0.4	3.4	9.4	37.4	48.5	0.9	Department	4.309	0.816	2058
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	9	16	76	133	0	Class	4.409	0.813	235
	0.4	3.8	6.8	32.3	56.6	0.0	Department	4.352	0.817	2057
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	7	24	79	124	1	Class	4.368	0.787	234
	0.0	3.0	10.2	33.6	52.8	0.4	Department	4.254	0.869	2056
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	3	6	31	88	107	0	Class	4.234	0.868	235
	1.3	2.6	13.2	37.4	45.5	0.0	Department	4.274	0.835	2053
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	3	25	90	115	1	Class	4.346	0.755	234
	0.4	1.3	10.6	38.3	48.9	0.4	Department	4.397	0.808	2055
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	2	23	119	90	0	Class	4.255	0.700	235
	0.4	0.9	9.8	50.6	38.3	0.0	Department	4.192	0.868	2053
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	4	21	96	111	0	Class	4.311	0.807	235
	1.3	1.7	8.9	40.9	47.2	0.0	Department	4.359	0.747	2060
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	4	22	97	112	0	Class	4.349	0.720	235
	0.0	1.7	9.4	41.3	47.7	0.0	Department	4.337	0.757	2060
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	5	29	90	110	0	Class	4.289	0.796	235
	0.4	2.1	12.3	38.3	46.8	0.0	Department	4.278	0.824	2055
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	6	23	91	115	0	Class	4.340	0.759	235
	0.0	2.6	9.8	38.7	48.9	0.0	Department	4.437	0.697	2057
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	1	26	133	74	0	Class	4.183	0.670	235
	0.4	0.4	11.1	56.6	31.5	0.0	Department	4.254	0.776	2060
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	2	22	80	129	1	Class	4.427	0.733	234
	0.4	0.9	9.4	34.0	54.9	0.4	Department	4.388	0.748	2058
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	4	13	91	126	1	Class	4.449	0.680	234
	0.0	1.7	5.5	38.7	53.6	0.4	Department	4.402	0.727	2059
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	5	24	83	121	0	Class	4.345	0.814	235
	0.9	2.1	10.2	35.3	51.5	0.0	Department	4.317	0.796	2057
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	6	19	93	116	0	Class	4.349	0.772	235
	0.4	2.6	8.1	39.6	49.4	0.0	Department	4.389	0.713	2059
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	5	26	95	106	1	Class	4.274	0.809	234
	0.9	2.1	11.1	40.4	45.1	0.4	Department	4.342	0.760	2055
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 144						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 292						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	4	22	58	60	0	Class	4.208	0.801	144	
	0.0	2.8	15.3	40.3	41.7	0.0	Department	4.381	0.749	291	
							College	4.268	0.897	10661	
							University	4.176	0.938	82961	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	8	8	56	70	0	Class	4.278	0.904	144	
	1.4	5.6	5.6	38.9	48.6	0.0	Department	4.441	0.788	290	
							College	4.320	0.897	10658	
							University	4.240	0.937	82918	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	7	17	56	64	0	Class	4.229	0.842	144	
	0.0	4.9	11.8	38.9	44.4	0.0	Department	4.323	0.821	291	
							College	4.256	0.934	10653	
							University	4.192	0.961	82880	
4. the quality of this course.	1	3	10	75	55	0	Class	4.250	0.734	144	
	0.7	2.1	6.9	52.1	38.2	0.0	Department	4.392	0.713	291	
							College	4.246	0.877	10645	
							University	4.129	0.925	82808	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	2	9	70	62	0	Class	4.319	0.716	144	
	0.7	1.4	6.3	48.6	43.1	0.0	Department	4.459	0.660	290	
							College	4.410	0.805	10647	
							University	4.296	0.893	82855	
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	8	15	70	49	0	Class	4.083	0.889	144	
	1.4	5.6	10.4	48.6	34.0	0.0	Department	4.255	0.830	290	
							College	4.209	0.854	10636	
							University	4.156	0.865	82760	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	7	9	73	55	0	Class	4.222	0.770	144	
	0.0	4.9	6.3	50.7	38.2	0.0	Department	4.399	0.719	291	
							College	4.343	0.790	10665	
							University	4.263	0.839	82849	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	12	71	59	0	Class	4.299	0.680	144	
	0.0	1.4	8.3	49.3	41.0	0.0	Department	4.395	0.699	291	
							College	4.298	0.831	10662	
							University	4.253	0.848	82829	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	7	13	64	57	0	Class	4.146	0.923	144	
	2.1	4.9	9.0	44.4	39.6	0.0	Department	4.271	0.901	291	
							College	4.225	0.923	10660	
							University	4.167	0.946	82815	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	3	3	75	63	0	Class	4.375	0.635	144	
	0.0	2.1	2.1	52.1	43.8	0.0	Department	4.490	0.624	290	
							College	4.400	0.770	10652	
							University	4.321	0.840	82792	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	4	17	79	44	0	Class	4.132	0.722	144	
	0.0	2.8	11.8	54.9	30.6	0.0	Department	4.275	0.719	291	
							College	4.258	0.780	10656	
							University	4.210	0.797	82776	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	27	68	47	0	Class	4.111	0.749	144	
	0.0	1.4	18.8	47.2	32.6	0.0	Department	4.385	0.721	291	
							College	4.304	0.810	10658	
							University	4.250	0.837	82719	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	5	20	72	47	0	Class	4.118	0.771	144	
	0.0	3.5	13.9	50.0	32.6	0.0	Department	4.306	0.747	291	
							College	4.284	0.865	10657	
							University	4.228	0.901	82792	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	19	76	46	0	Class	4.146	0.719	144	
	0.0	2.1	13.2	52.8	31.9	0.0	Department	4.371	0.695	291	
							College	4.248	0.872	10656	
							University	4.172	0.920	82741	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	10	78	55	0	Class	4.299	0.627	144	
	0.0	0.7	6.9	54.2	38.2	0.0	Department	4.430	0.641	291	
							College	4.336	0.770	10660	
							University	4.280	0.794	82746	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	5	10	67	62	0	Class	4.292	0.747	144	
	0.0	3.5	6.9	46.5	43.1	0.0	Department	4.457	0.690	291	
							College	4.327	0.807	10647	
							University	4.236	0.864	82635	

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY							VG= Very Good.... (5) G= Good.... (4) IB=In Between.... (3) P= Poor.... (2) VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 148 Your Dept 292 Your College .. 10758								
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	7	46	92	1	Class	4.551	0.654	147
							Department	4.381	0.749	291
	0.0	1.4	4.7	31.1	62.2	0.7	College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	8296

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	7	41	97	2	Class	4.603	0.616	146
							Department	4.441	0.788	290
	0.0	0.7	4.7	27.7	65.5	1.4	College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	4	16	42	85	1	Class	4.415	0.792	147
							Department	4.323	0.821	291
	0.0	2.7	10.8	28.4	57.4	0.7	College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880

4. the quality of this course.	0	2	8	47	90	1	Class	4.531	0.665	147
							Department	4.392	0.713	291
	0.0	1.4	5.4	31.8	60.8	0.7	College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	6	47	93	2	Class	4.596	0.570	146
							Department	4.459	0.660	290
	0.0	0.0	4.1	31.8	62.8	1.4	College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	3	12	51	80	2	Class	4.425	0.732	146
							Department	4.255	0.830	290
	0.0	2.0	8.1	34.5	54.1	1.4	College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	10	43	94	1	Class	4.571	0.619	147
							Department	4.399	0.719	291
	0.0	0.0	6.8	29.1	63.5	0.7	College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	12	45	88	1	Class	4.490	0.706	147
							Department	4.395	0.699	291
	0.0	1.4	8.1	30.4	59.5	0.7	College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	5	10	46	84	1	Class	4.395	0.865	147
							Department	4.271	0.901	291
	1.4	3.4	6.8	31.1	56.8	0.7	College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	8	42	96	2	Class	4.603	0.593	146
							Department	4.490	0.624	290
	0.0	0.0	5.4	28.4	64.9	1.4	College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	17	52	78	1	Class	4.415	0.691	147
							Department	4.275	0.719	291
	0.0	0.0	11.5	35.1	52.7	0.7	College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	8	35	104	1	Class	4.653	0.581	147
							Department	4.385	0.721	291
	0.0	0.0	5.4	23.6	70.3	0.7	College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	9	51	85	1	Class	4.490	0.676	147
							Department	4.306	0.747	291
	0.0	1.4	6.1	34.5	57.4	0.7	College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	5	47	94	1	Class	4.592	0.594	147
							Department	4.371	0.695	291
	0.0	0.7	3.4	31.8	63.5	0.7	College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	8	46	92	1	Class	4.558	0.632	147
							Department	4.430	0.641	291
	0.0	0.7	5.4	31.1	62.2	0.7	College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	8	40	99	1	Class	4.619	0.589	147
							Department	4.457	0.690	291
	0.0	0.0	5.4	27.0	66.9	0.7	College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82633

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: RANGE SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 6					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 157					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	0	6	0	Class	5.000	0.000	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.363	0.735	157
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	6	0	Class	5.000	0.000	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.439	0.701	157
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.306	0.748	157
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	0	6	0	Class	5.000	0.000	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.312	0.767	157
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.359	0.787	156
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.191	0.681	157
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	4	1	Class	4.800	0.447	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	Department	4.405	0.601	153
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	0	5	1	Class	5.000	0.000	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	Department	4.221	0.761	154
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	0	5	1	Class	5.000	0.000	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	Department	4.182	0.889	154
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	1	4	1	Class	4.800	0.447	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	Department	4.299	0.825	154
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	1	3	2	Class	4.750	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	Department	4.118	0.725	153
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1	4	1	Class	4.800	0.447	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	Department	4.373	0.697	153
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	1	4	1	Class	4.800	0.447	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	Department	4.214	0.832	154
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	0	5	1	Class	5.000	0.000	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	Department	4.266	0.784	154
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	1	4	1	Class	4.800	0.447	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	Department	4.344	0.670	154
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	5	1	Class	5.000	0.000	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	Department	4.357	0.692	154
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: RANGE SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 105					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 157					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	13	42	47	0	Class	4.267	0.788	105
	0.0	2.9	12.4	40.0	44.8	0.0	Department	4.363	0.735	157
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	3	7	44	51	0	Class	4.362	0.735	105
	0.0	2.9	6.7	41.9	48.6	0.0	Department	4.439	0.701	157
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	3	12	47	43	0	Class	4.238	0.766	105
	0.0	2.9	11.4	44.8	41.0	0.0	Department	4.306	0.748	157
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
4. the quality of this course.	1	3	12	46	43	0	Class	4.210	0.829	105
	1.0	2.9	11.4	43.8	41.0	0.0	Department	4.312	0.767	157
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	5	7	46	45	1	Class	4.240	0.853	104
	1.0	4.8	6.7	43.8	42.9	1.0	Department	4.359	0.787	156
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	4	12	64	25	0	Class	4.048	0.712	105
	0.0	3.8	11.4	61.0	23.8	0.0	Department	4.191	0.681	157
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	8	53	41	3	Class	4.324	0.616	102
	0.0	0.0	7.6	50.5	39.0	2.9	Department	4.405	0.601	153
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	14	50	38	2	Class	4.214	0.709	103
	0.0	1.0	13.3	47.6	36.2	1.9	Department	4.221	0.761	154
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	7	18	35	43	2	Class	4.107	0.928	103
	0.0	6.7	17.1	33.3	41.0	1.9	Department	4.182	0.889	154
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	8	45	46	2	Class	4.282	0.809	103
	1.0	2.9	7.6	42.9	43.8	1.9	Department	4.299	0.825	154
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	5	13	60	25	2	Class	4.019	0.754	103
	0.0	4.8	12.4	57.1	23.8	1.9	Department	4.118	0.725	153
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	12	44	45	2	Class	4.282	0.746	103
	0.0	1.9	11.4	41.9	42.9	1.9	Department	4.373	0.697	153
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	4	10	49	40	2	Class	4.214	0.775	103
	0.0	3.8	9.5	46.7	38.1	1.9	Department	4.214	0.832	154
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	14	44	42	2	Class	4.214	0.788	103
	0.0	2.9	13.3	41.9	40.0	1.9	Department	4.266	0.784	154
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	11	49	42	2	Class	4.282	0.692	103
	0.0	1.0	10.5	46.7	40.0	1.9	Department	4.344	0.670	154
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	9	45	47	2	Class	4.330	0.719	103
	0.0	1.9	8.6	42.9	44.8	1.9	Department	4.357	0.692	154
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: RANGE SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 46					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 157					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	19	25	0	Class	4.500	0.587	46
	0.0	0.0	4.3	41.3	54.3	0.0	Department	4.363	0.735	157
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	3	15	28	0	Class	4.543	0.622	46
	0.0	0.0	6.5	32.6	60.9	0.0	Department	4.439	0.701	157
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	3	19	23	0	Class	4.391	0.714	46
	0.0	2.2	6.5	41.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.306	0.748	157
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	21	23	0	Class	4.457	0.585	46
	0.0	0.0	4.3	45.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.312	0.767	157
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	16	28	0	Class	4.565	0.583	46
	0.0	0.0	4.3	34.8	60.9	0.0	Department	4.359	0.787	156
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	25	21	0	Class	4.457	0.504	46
	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.3	45.7	0.0	Department	4.191	0.681	157
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	19	26	0	Class	4.543	0.546	46
	0.0	0.0	2.2	41.3	56.5	0.0	Department	4.405	0.601	153
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	8	17	19	0	Class	4.152	0.868	46
	0.0	4.3	17.4	37.0	41.3	0.0	Department	4.221	0.761	154
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	4	20	20	0	Class	4.261	0.801	46
	0.0	4.3	8.7	43.5	43.5	0.0	Department	4.182	0.889	154
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	4	18	22	0	Class	4.283	0.886	46
	2.2	2.2	8.7	39.1	47.8	0.0	Department	4.299	0.825	154
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	25	17	0	Class	4.283	0.621	46
	0.0	0.0	8.7	54.3	37.0	0.0	Department	4.118	0.725	153
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	19	25	1	Class	4.533	0.548	45
	0.0	0.0	2.2	41.3	54.3	2.2	Department	4.373	0.697	153
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	6	17	20	0	Class	4.152	0.965	46
	2.2	4.3	13.0	37.0	43.5	0.0	Department	4.214	0.832	154
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	3	20	21	0	Class	4.304	0.785	46
	0.0	4.3	6.5	43.5	45.7	0.0	Department	4.266	0.784	154
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	20	23	0	Class	4.435	0.620	46
	0.0	0.0	6.5	43.5	50.0	0.0	Department	4.344	0.670	154
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	1	25	19	0	Class	4.348	0.640	46
	0.0	2.2	2.2	54.3	41.3	0.0	Department	4.357	0.692	154
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: FOOD SAFETY							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 123					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept 125					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	5	4	17	50	47	0	Class	4.057	1.011	123
							Department	4.040	1.019	125
	4.1	3.3	13.8	40.7	38.2	0.0	College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	6	17	47	50	0	Class	4.098	0.979	123
							Department	4.080	0.989	125
	2.4	4.9	13.8	38.2	40.7	0.0	College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	6	16	47	51	0	Class	4.114	0.977	123
							Department	4.096	0.987	125
	2.4	4.9	13.0	38.2	41.5	0.0	College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
4. the quality of this course.	2	2	19	52	48	0	Class	4.154	0.859	123
							Department	4.144	0.859	125
	1.6	1.6	15.4	42.3	39.0	0.0	College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	6	12	53	46	4	Class	4.134	0.911	119
							Department	4.116	0.924	121
	1.6	4.9	9.8	43.1	37.4	3.3	College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	3	14	57	46	0	Class	4.138	0.890	123
							Department	4.128	0.889	125
	2.4	2.4	11.4	46.3	37.4	0.0	College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	4	30	48	39	0	Class	3.959	0.918	123
							Department	3.944	0.927	125
	1.6	3.3	24.4	39.0	31.7	0.0	College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	7	8	61	45	1	Class	4.164	0.847	122
							Department	4.153	0.846	124
	0.8	5.7	6.5	49.6	36.6	0.8	College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	8	16	55	39	2	Class	3.983	0.975	121
							Department	3.951	1.007	123
	2.4	6.5	13.0	44.7	31.7	1.6	College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	2	12	59	46	2	Class	4.198	0.813	121
							Department	4.179	0.830	123
	1.6	1.6	9.8	48.0	37.4	1.6	College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	6	14	64	35	1	Class	4.000	0.909	122
							Department	3.992	0.906	124
	2.4	4.9	11.4	52.0	28.5	0.8	College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	6	26	49	39	1	Class	3.959	0.939	122
							Department	3.960	0.940	124
	1.6	4.9	21.1	39.8	31.7	0.8	College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	6	21	45	46	1	Class	4.008	1.024	122
							Department	3.968	1.066	124
	3.3	4.9	17.1	36.6	37.4	0.8	College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	6	25	44	43	1	Class	3.951	1.027	122
							Department	3.927	1.037	124
	3.3	4.9	20.3	35.8	35.0	0.8	College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	18	58	42	2	Class	4.149	0.760	121
							Department	4.154	0.758	123
	0.0	2.4	14.6	47.2	34.1	1.6	College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	1	28	49	40	1	Class	3.984	0.945	122
							Department	3.968	0.954	124
	3.3	0.8	22.8	39.8	32.5	0.8	College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: FOOD SAFETY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 2					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 125					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	0	1	0	0	Class	3.000	1.414	2
	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.040	1.019	125
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	0	1	0	0	Class	3.000	1.414	2
	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.080	0.989	125
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	0	1	0	0	Class	3.000	1.414	2
	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.096	0.987	125
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	1	0	0	Class	3.500	0.707	2
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.144	0.859	125
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	0	1	0	0	Class	3.000	1.414	2
	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.116	0.924	121
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	1	0	0	Class	3.500	0.707	2
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.128	0.889	125
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	0	1	0	0	Class	3.000	1.414	2
	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.944	0.927	125
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	1	0	0	Class	3.500	0.707	2
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.153	0.846	124
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	1	0	0	0	Class	2.000	1.414	2
	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.951	1.007	123
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	0	1	0	0	Class	3.000	1.414	2
	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.179	0.830	123
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	1	0	0	Class	3.500	0.707	2
	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.992	0.906	124
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	0	1	0	Class	4.000	1.414	2
	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	Department	3.960	0.940	124
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	0	0	0	0	Class	1.500	0.707	2
	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.968	1.066	124
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	1	0	0	0	Class	2.500	0.707	2
	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.927	1.037	124
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	1	1	0	Class	4.500	0.707	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.154	0.758	123
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	0	1	0	0	Class	3.000	1.414	2
	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.968	0.954	124
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 292 Your Dept 490 Your College .. 10758							
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	13	104	170	2	Class	4.521	0.635	290
	0.0	1.0	4.5	35.6	58.2	0.7	Department	4.389	0.745	488
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	5	6	89	189	3	Class	4.599	0.622	289
	0.0	1.7	2.1	30.5	64.7	1.0	Department	4.466	0.747	487
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	5	10	100	175	2	Class	4.534	0.650	290
	0.0	1.7	3.4	34.2	59.9	0.7	Department	4.375	0.801	488
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	5	9	106	170	2	Class	4.521	0.645	290
	0.0	1.7	3.1	36.3	58.2	0.7	Department	4.416	0.729	488
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	4	10	102	172	3	Class	4.522	0.667	289
	0.3	1.4	3.4	34.9	58.9	1.0	Department	4.501	0.711	487
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	4	39	153	89	6	Class	4.136	0.720	286
	0.3	1.4	13.4	52.4	30.5	2.1	Department	4.143	0.733	484
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	3	10	114	160	5	Class	4.502	0.619	287
	0.0	1.0	3.4	39.0	54.8	1.7	Department	4.452	0.640	485
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	3	15	115	153	5	Class	4.449	0.677	287
	0.3	1.0	5.1	39.4	52.4	1.7	Department	4.396	0.713	485
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	4	18	118	146	5	Class	4.408	0.703	287
	0.3	1.4	6.2	40.4	50.0	1.7	Department	4.329	0.805	484
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	2	6	114	162	6	Class	4.510	0.647	286
	0.7	0.7	2.1	39.0	55.5	2.1	Department	4.486	0.694	484
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	33	148	102	6	Class	4.220	0.683	286
	0.0	1.0	11.3	50.7	34.9	2.1	Department	4.222	0.708	483
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	33	113	138	6	Class	4.350	0.723	286
	0.3	0.3	11.3	38.7	47.3	2.1	Department	4.388	0.738	484
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	0	22	116	146	6	Class	4.413	0.694	286
	0.7	0.0	7.5	39.7	50.0	2.1	Department	4.401	0.723	484
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	21	124	139	6	Class	4.395	0.671	286
	0.3	0.3	7.2	42.5	47.6	2.1	Department	4.357	0.733	484
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	9	128	147	6	Class	4.469	0.596	286
	0.0	0.7	3.1	43.8	50.3	2.1	Department	4.419	0.637	484
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	16	121	146	6	Class	4.434	0.650	286
	0.0	1.0	5.5	41.4	50.0	2.1	Department	4.397	0.672	484
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: SOIL SCIENCE			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 138 Your Dept 490 Your College .. 10758				VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	4	23	65	44	0	Class	4.051	0.857	138
	1.4	2.9	16.7	47.1	31.9	0.0	Department	4.389	0.745	488
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	5	23	54	54	0	Class	4.109	0.910	138
	1.4	3.6	16.7	39.1	39.1	0.0	Department	4.466	0.747	487
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	10	27	55	44	0	Class	3.935	0.968	138
	1.4	7.2	19.6	39.9	31.9	0.0	Department	4.375	0.801	488
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	7	19	61	51	0	Class	4.130	0.836	138
	0.0	5.1	13.8	44.2	37.0	0.0	Department	4.416	0.729	488
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	2	13	47	74	0	Class	4.370	0.829	138
	1.4	1.4	9.4	34.1	53.6	0.0	Department	4.501	0.711	487
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	5	19	75	39	0	Class	4.072	0.751	138
	0.0	3.6	13.8	54.3	28.3	0.0	Department	4.143	0.733	484
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	17	65	56	0	Class	4.283	0.673	138
	0.0	0.0	12.3	47.1	40.6	0.0	Department	4.452	0.640	485
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	3	12	67	55	0	Class	4.246	0.762	138
	0.7	2.2	8.7	48.6	39.9	0.0	Department	4.396	0.713	485
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	8	14	59	54	1	Class	4.131	0.922	137
	1.4	5.8	10.1	42.8	39.1	0.7	Department	4.329	0.805	484
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	16	45	73	0	Class	4.348	0.825	138
	0.7	2.2	11.6	32.6	52.9	0.0	Department	4.486	0.694	484
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	6	18	67	46	1	Class	4.117	0.796	137
	0.0	4.3	13.0	48.6	33.3	0.7	Department	4.222	0.708	483
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	2	15	49	71	0	Class	4.355	0.790	138
	0.7	1.4	10.9	35.5	51.4	0.0	Department	4.388	0.738	484
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	4	14	52	68	0	Class	4.333	0.777	138
	0.0	2.9	10.1	37.7	49.3	0.0	Department	4.401	0.723	484
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	0	20	59	57	0	Class	4.225	0.802	138
	1.4	0.0	14.5	42.8	41.3	0.0	Department	4.357	0.733	484
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	8	72	56	0	Class	4.319	0.650	138
	0.0	1.4	5.8	52.2	40.6	0.0	Department	4.419	0.637	484
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	11	73	52	0	Class	4.268	0.668	138
	0.0	1.4	8.0	52.9	37.7	0.0	Department	4.397	0.672	484
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 60					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 490					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	8	12	40	0	Class	4.533	0.724	60
	0.0	0.0	13.3	20.0	66.7	0.0	Department	4.389	0.745	488
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	4	13	43	0	Class	4.650	0.606	60
	0.0	0.0	6.7	21.7	71.7	0.0	Department	4.466	0.747	487
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	5	13	42	0	Class	4.617	0.640	60
	0.0	0.0	8.3	21.7	70.0	0.0	Department	4.375	0.801	488
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	6	14	40	0	Class	4.567	0.673	60
	0.0	0.0	10.0	23.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.416	0.729	488
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	12	45	0	Class	4.700	0.561	60
	0.0	0.0	5.0	20.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.501	0.711	487
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	9	22	29	0	Class	4.333	0.729	60
	0.0	0.0	15.0	36.7	48.3	0.0	Department	4.143	0.733	484
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	18	39	0	Class	4.600	0.588	60
	0.0	0.0	5.0	30.0	65.0	0.0	Department	4.452	0.640	485
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	5	18	36	0	Class	4.483	0.725	60
	0.0	1.7	8.3	30.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.396	0.713	485
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	5	14	37	0	Class	4.400	0.906	60
	0.0	6.7	8.3	23.3	61.7	0.0	Department	4.329	0.805	484
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	17	42	0	Class	4.683	0.504	60
	0.0	0.0	1.7	28.3	70.0	0.0	Department	4.486	0.694	484
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	30	29	0	Class	4.467	0.536	60
	0.0	0.0	1.7	50.0	48.3	0.0	Department	4.222	0.708	483
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	5	11	44	0	Class	4.650	0.633	60
	0.0	0.0	8.3	18.3	73.3	0.0	Department	4.388	0.738	484
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	5	17	37	0	Class	4.500	0.725	60
	0.0	1.7	8.3	28.3	61.7	0.0	Department	4.401	0.723	484
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	6	13	39	0	Class	4.483	0.813	60
	0.0	3.3	10.0	21.7	65.0	0.0	Department	4.357	0.733	484
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	4	21	33	0	Class	4.417	0.766	60
	0.0	3.3	6.7	35.0	55.0	0.0	Department	4.419	0.637	484
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	3	17	38	0	Class	4.517	0.748	60
	0.0	3.3	5.0	28.3	63.3	0.0	Department	4.397	0.672	484
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: UNIVERSITY GENERAL							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 23					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 23					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	2	6	11	3	0	Class	3.565	0.992	23
							Department	3.565	0.992	23
	4.3	8.7	26.1	47.8	13.0	0.0	College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	5	14	2	0	Class	3.652	0.885	23
							Department	3.652	0.885	23
	4.3	4.3	21.7	60.9	8.7	0.0	College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	2	4	10	6	0	Class	3.783	1.085	23
							Department	3.783	1.085	23
	4.3	8.7	17.4	43.5	26.1	0.0	College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
4. the quality of this course.	2	4	5	11	1	0	Class	3.217	1.085	23
							Department	3.217	1.085	23
	8.7	17.4	21.7	47.8	4.3	0.0	College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	11	10	0	Class	4.348	0.647	23
							Department	4.348	0.647	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	47.8	43.5	0.0	College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	15	6	0	Class	4.174	0.576	23
							Department	4.174	0.576	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	65.2	26.1	0.0	College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	4	14	3	0	Class	3.783	0.795	23
							Department	3.783	0.795	23
	0.0	8.7	17.4	60.9	13.0	0.0	College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	2	4	13	3	0	Class	3.652	0.982	23
							Department	3.652	0.982	23
	4.3	8.7	17.4	56.5	13.0	0.0	College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	4	5	10	3	0	Class	3.435	1.080	23
							Department	3.435	1.080	23
	4.3	17.4	21.7	43.5	13.0	0.0	College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	4	14	5	0	Class	4.043	0.638	23
							Department	4.043	0.638	23
	0.0	0.0	17.4	60.9	21.7	0.0	College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	14	6	0	Class	4.130	0.626	23
							Department	4.130	0.626	23
	0.0	0.0	13.0	60.9	26.1	0.0	College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	10	8	3	0	Class	3.522	0.846	23
							Department	3.522	0.846	23
	0.0	8.7	43.5	34.8	13.0	0.0	College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	7	12	2	0	Class	3.609	0.783	23
							Department	3.609	0.783	23
	0.0	8.7	30.4	52.2	8.7	0.0	College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	2	6	11	2	0	Class	3.391	1.076	23
							Department	3.391	1.076	23
	8.7	8.7	26.1	47.8	8.7	0.0	College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	2	6	11	2	0	Class	3.391	1.076	23
							Department	3.391	1.076	23
	8.7	8.7	26.1	47.8	8.7	0.0	College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	2	5	11	4	0	Class	3.652	1.027	23
							Department	3.652	1.027	23
	4.3	8.7	21.7	47.8	17.4	0.0	College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 321					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 616					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	8	18	87	204	0	Class	4.492	0.814	321
	1.2	2.5	5.6	27.1	63.6	0.0	Department	4.602	0.696	615
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	5	6	25	75	209	1	Class	4.491	0.842	320
	1.6	1.9	7.8	23.4	65.1	0.3	Department	4.604	0.723	614
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	8	20	80	210	0	Class	4.514	0.799	321
	0.9	2.5	6.2	24.9	65.4	0.0	Department	4.597	0.720	615
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
4. the quality of this course.	5	7	18	78	213	0	Class	4.517	0.826	321
	1.6	2.2	5.6	24.3	66.4	0.0	Department	4.614	0.704	614
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	6	8	19	70	218	0	Class	4.514	0.863	321
	1.9	2.5	5.9	21.8	67.9	0.0	Department	4.602	0.737	615
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	5	20	88	204	0	Class	4.505	0.787	321
	1.2	1.6	6.2	27.4	63.6	0.0	Department	4.584	0.684	615
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	4	22	90	201	0	Class	4.495	0.783	321
	1.2	1.2	6.9	28.0	62.6	0.0	Department	4.609	0.666	616
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	6	24	74	213	0	Class	4.514	0.814	321
	1.2	1.9	7.5	23.1	66.4	0.0	Department	4.604	0.705	616
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	10	17	82	208	0	Class	4.495	0.833	321
	1.2	3.1	5.3	25.5	64.8	0.0	Department	4.560	0.767	616
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	4	18	76	218	0	Class	4.551	0.789	321
	1.6	1.2	5.6	23.7	67.9	0.0	Department	4.623	0.673	615
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	6	7	27	83	197	1	Class	4.431	0.879	320
	1.9	2.2	8.4	25.9	61.4	0.3	Department	4.555	0.742	614
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	5	8	31	77	200	0	Class	4.430	0.882	321
	1.6	2.5	9.7	24.0	62.3	0.0	Department	4.552	0.763	616
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	10	22	84	200	1	Class	4.456	0.852	320
	1.2	3.1	6.9	26.2	62.3	0.3	Department	4.568	0.750	614
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	8	7	24	82	199	1	Class	4.428	0.910	320
	2.5	2.2	7.5	25.5	62.0	0.3	Department	4.557	0.768	614
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	7	19	84	207	0	Class	4.505	0.807	321
	1.2	2.2	5.9	26.2	64.5	0.0	Department	4.613	0.680	615
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	4	24	85	204	0	Class	4.498	0.791	321
	1.2	1.2	7.5	26.5	63.6	0.0	Department	4.613	0.670	615
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 295					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 616					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10758					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	9	64	221	1	Class	4.721	0.513	294
	0.0	0.0	3.1	21.7	74.9	0.3	Department	4.602	0.696	615
							College	4.268	0.897	10661
							University	4.176	0.938	82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	11	55	227	1	Class	4.728	0.542	294
	0.0	0.3	3.7	18.6	76.9	0.3	Department	4.604	0.723	614
							College	4.320	0.897	10658
							University	4.240	0.937	82918
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	6	5	64	219	1	Class	4.687	0.611	294
	0.0	2.0	1.7	21.7	74.2	0.3	Department	4.597	0.720	615
							College	4.256	0.934	10653
							University	4.192	0.961	82880
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	7	65	220	2	Class	4.720	0.520	293
	0.0	0.3	2.4	22.0	74.6	0.7	Department	4.614	0.704	614
							College	4.246	0.877	10645
							University	4.129	0.925	82808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	8	67	217	1	Class	4.697	0.554	294
	0.0	0.7	2.7	22.7	73.6	0.3	Department	4.602	0.737	615
							College	4.410	0.805	10647
							University	4.296	0.893	82855
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	10	77	207	1	Class	4.670	0.539	294
	0.0	0.0	3.4	26.1	70.2	0.3	Department	4.584	0.684	615
							College	4.209	0.854	10636
							University	4.156	0.865	82760
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	5	69	221	0	Class	4.732	0.480	295
	0.0	0.0	1.7	23.4	74.9	0.0	Department	4.609	0.666	616
							College	4.343	0.790	10665
							University	4.263	0.839	82849
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	7	70	217	0	Class	4.702	0.546	295
	0.3	0.0	2.4	23.7	73.6	0.0	Department	4.604	0.705	616
							College	4.298	0.831	10662
							University	4.253	0.848	82829
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	6	10	67	211	0	Class	4.631	0.682	295
	0.3	2.0	3.4	22.7	71.5	0.0	Department	4.560	0.767	616
							College	4.225	0.923	10660
							University	4.167	0.946	82815
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	7	74	213	1	Class	4.701	0.508	294
	0.0	0.0	2.4	25.1	72.2	0.3	Department	4.623	0.673	615
							College	4.400	0.770	10652
							University	4.321	0.840	82792
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	9	73	212	1	Class	4.690	0.525	294
	0.0	0.0	3.1	24.7	71.9	0.3	Department	4.555	0.742	614
							College	4.258	0.780	10656
							University	4.210	0.797	82776
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	9	68	216	0	Class	4.685	0.582	295
	0.3	0.3	3.1	23.1	73.2	0.0	Department	4.552	0.763	616
							College	4.304	0.810	10658
							University	4.250	0.837	82719
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	1	6	68	217	1	Class	4.690	0.598	294
	0.7	0.3	2.0	23.1	73.6	0.3	Department	4.568	0.750	614
							College	4.284	0.865	10657
							University	4.228	0.901	82792
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	9	68	216	1	Class	4.697	0.542	294
	0.0	0.3	3.1	23.1	73.2	0.3	Department	4.557	0.768	614
							College	4.248	0.872	10656
							University	4.172	0.920	82741
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	5	69	220	1	Class	4.731	0.481	294
	0.0	0.0	1.7	23.4	74.6	0.3	Department	4.613	0.680	615
							College	4.336	0.770	10660
							University	4.280	0.794	82746
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	5	67	222	1	Class	4.738	0.478	294
	0.0	0.0	1.7	22.7	75.3	0.3	Department	4.613	0.670	615
							College	4.327	0.807	10647
							University	4.236	0.864	82635