

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 65					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 153					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	1	8	26	27	1	Class	4.172	0.935	64
	3.1	1.5	12.3	40.0	41.5	1.5	Department	4.211	0.803	152
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	2	3	27	31	1	Class	4.328	0.837	64
	1.5	3.1	4.6	41.5	47.7	1.5	Department	4.336	0.754	152
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	5	5	23	30	1	Class	4.188	0.990	64
	1.5	7.7	7.7	35.4	46.2	1.5	Department	4.276	0.815	152
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
4. the quality of this course.	0	4	12	35	13	1	Class	3.891	0.799	64
	0.0	6.2	18.5	53.8	20.0	1.5	Department	4.086	0.772	152
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	2	8	18	34	1	Class	4.250	1.008	64
	3.1	3.1	12.3	27.7	52.3	1.5	Department	4.289	0.851	152
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	3	9	23	29	1	Class	4.219	0.863	64
	0.0	4.6	13.8	35.4	44.6	1.5	Department	4.270	0.718	152
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	4	28	29	1	Class	4.281	0.845	64
	1.5	3.1	6.2	43.1	44.6	1.5	Department	4.316	0.723	152
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	8	23	33	1	Class	4.391	0.704	64
	0.0	0.0	12.3	35.4	50.8	1.5	Department	4.329	0.659	152
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	1	6	29	26	1	Class	4.188	0.906	64
	3.1	1.5	9.2	44.6	40.0	1.5	Department	4.178	0.831	152
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	4	4	26	29	1	Class	4.219	0.934	64
	1.5	6.2	6.2	40.0	44.6	1.5	Department	4.336	0.772	152
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	11	28	22	1	Class	4.078	0.841	64
	0.0	4.6	16.9	43.1	33.8	1.5	Department	4.211	0.687	152
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	2	20	40	1	Class	4.516	0.776	64
	1.5	1.5	3.1	30.8	61.5	1.5	Department	4.507	0.690	152
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	2	26	35	1	Class	4.484	0.642	64
	0.0	1.5	3.1	40.0	53.8	1.5	Department	4.368	0.658	152
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	9	27	26	1	Class	4.203	0.800	64
	0.0	3.1	13.8	41.5	40.0	1.5	Department	4.270	0.700	152
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	13	22	27	1	Class	4.156	0.859	64
	0.0	3.1	20.0	33.8	41.5	1.5	Department	4.257	0.695	152
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	5	33	24	1	Class	4.234	0.729	64
	0.0	3.1	7.7	50.8	36.9	1.5	Department	4.217	0.670	152
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 82					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 153					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	9	42	30	0	Class	4.232	0.690	82
	0.0	1.2	11.0	51.2	36.6	0.0	Department	4.211	0.803	152
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	8	36	37	0	Class	4.329	0.704	82
	0.0	1.2	9.8	43.9	45.1	0.0	Department	4.336	0.754	152
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	9	36	37	0	Class	4.341	0.671	82
	0.0	0.0	11.0	43.9	45.1	0.0	Department	4.276	0.815	152
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	9	42	30	0	Class	4.232	0.690	82
	0.0	1.2	11.0	51.2	36.6	0.0	Department	4.086	0.772	152
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	7	37	36	0	Class	4.305	0.732	82
	0.0	2.4	8.5	45.1	43.9	0.0	Department	4.289	0.851	152
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	1	49	31	0	Class	4.341	0.571	82
	0.0	1.2	1.2	59.8	37.8	0.0	Department	4.270	0.718	152
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	3	45	33	0	Class	4.341	0.613	82
	0.0	1.2	3.7	54.9	40.2	0.0	Department	4.316	0.723	152
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	7	44	31	0	Class	4.293	0.618	82
	0.0	0.0	8.5	53.7	37.8	0.0	Department	4.329	0.659	152
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	12	36	32	0	Class	4.195	0.777	82
	0.0	2.4	14.6	43.9	39.0	0.0	Department	4.178	0.831	152
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	3	38	40	0	Class	4.427	0.629	82
	0.0	1.2	3.7	46.3	48.8	0.0	Department	4.336	0.772	152
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	51	29	0	Class	4.329	0.522	82
	0.0	0.0	2.4	62.2	35.4	0.0	Department	4.211	0.687	152
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	6	28	48	0	Class	4.512	0.633	82
	0.0	0.0	7.3	34.1	58.5	0.0	Department	4.507	0.690	152
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	10	39	33	0	Class	4.280	0.672	82
	0.0	0.0	12.2	47.6	40.2	0.0	Department	4.368	0.658	152
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	7	43	32	0	Class	4.305	0.622	82
	0.0	0.0	8.5	52.4	39.0	0.0	Department	4.270	0.700	152
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	50	30	0	Class	4.341	0.526	82
	0.0	0.0	2.4	61.0	36.6	0.0	Department	4.257	0.695	152
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	7	50	24	0	Class	4.183	0.631	82
	0.0	1.2	8.5	61.0	29.3	0.0	Department	4.217	0.670	152
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 6					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 153					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	2	3	0	Class	4.333	0.816	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	33.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.211	0.803	152
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
-----										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	3	3	0	Class	4.500	0.548	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.336	0.754	152
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
-----										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	4	2	0	Class	4.333	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.276	0.815	152
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
-----										
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	0	2	3	0	Class	4.167	1.169	6
	0.0	16.7	0.0	33.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.086	0.772	152
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
-----										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	3	3	0	Class	4.500	0.548	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.289	0.851	152
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
-----										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	3	1	0	Class	3.833	0.753	6
	0.0	0.0	33.3	50.0	16.7	0.0	Department	4.270	0.718	152
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
-----										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	2	3	0	Class	4.333	0.816	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	33.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.316	0.723	152
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
-----										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	3	2	0	Class	4.167	0.753	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	0.0	Department	4.329	0.659	152
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
-----										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	3	1	0	Class	3.833	0.753	6
	0.0	0.0	33.3	50.0	16.7	0.0	Department	4.178	0.831	152
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
-----										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	4	2	0	Class	4.333	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.336	0.772	152
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
-----										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	4	1	0	Class	4.000	0.632	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	66.7	16.7	0.0	Department	4.211	0.687	152
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
-----										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	4	2	0	Class	4.333	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.507	0.690	152
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
-----										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	4	2	0	Class	4.333	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.368	0.658	152
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
-----										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	3	3	0	Class	4.500	0.548	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.270	0.700	152
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
-----										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	3	2	0	Class	4.167	0.753	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	50.0	33.3	0.0	Department	4.257	0.695	152
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
-----										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	3	0	Class	4.500	0.548	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.217	0.670	152
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)				
Dept: AEROSPACE STUDIES							G= Good.... (4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 64					IB=In Between.... (3)				
		Your Dept ..... 92					P= Poor.... (2)				
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	0	11	51	0	Class	4.734	0.623	64	
	0.0	3.1	0.0	17.2	79.7	0.0	Department	4.783	0.551	92	
							College	4.052	0.955	5088	
							University	4.171	0.950	4438	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	0	0	8	54	0	Class	4.750	0.756	64	
	3.1	0.0	0.0	12.5	84.4	0.0	Department	4.793	0.655	92	
							College	4.099	0.971	5085	
							University	4.235	0.950	44367	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	0	0	10	52	0	Class	4.719	0.766	64	
	3.1	0.0	0.0	15.6	81.3	0.0	Department	4.783	0.660	92	
							College	4.003	1.016	5080	
							University	4.183	0.976	44337	
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	0	16	46	0	Class	4.656	0.648	64	
	0.0	3.1	0.0	25.0	71.9	0.0	Department	4.717	0.580	92	
							College	3.969	0.930	5083	
							University	4.118	0.929	44309	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	0	6	56	0	Class	4.813	0.588	64	
	0.0	3.1	0.0	9.4	87.5	0.0	Department	4.859	0.505	92	
							College	4.181	0.942	5081	
							University	4.300	0.891	44336	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	0	9	53	0	Class	4.766	0.611	64	
	0.0	3.1	0.0	14.1	82.8	0.0	Department	4.804	0.539	92	
							College	4.113	0.822	5071	
							University	4.151	0.870	44298	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	13	50	0	Class	4.766	0.463	64	
	0.0	0.0	1.6	20.3	78.1	0.0	Department	4.826	0.409	92	
							College	4.173	0.827	5073	
							University	4.260	0.847	44331	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	12	51	0	Class	4.781	0.453	64	
	0.0	0.0	1.6	18.8	79.7	0.0	Department	4.837	0.400	92	
							College	4.159	0.838	5072	
							University	4.249	0.853	44326	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	12	52	0	Class	4.813	0.393	64	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	Department	4.859	0.350	92	
							College	4.040	0.953	5066	
							University	4.163	0.952	44309	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	9	54	0	Class	4.828	0.420	64	
	0.0	0.0	1.6	14.1	84.4	0.0	Department	4.870	0.370	92	
							College	4.246	0.840	5072	
							University	4.319	0.843	44300	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	11	53	0	Class	4.828	0.380	64	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.2	82.8	0.0	Department	4.870	0.339	92	
							College	4.140	0.769	5064	
							University	4.196	0.809	44281	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	8	53	0	Class	4.781	0.519	64	
	0.0	0.0	4.7	12.5	82.8	0.0	Department	4.826	0.460	92	
							College	4.174	0.824	5061	
							University	4.245	0.843	44263	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	12	52	0	Class	4.813	0.393	64	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	Department	4.848	0.361	92	
							College	4.153	0.887	5065	
							University	4.224	0.900	44295	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	15	49	0	Class	4.766	0.427	64	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.4	76.6	0.0	Department	4.826	0.381	92	
							College	4.050	0.915	5065	
							University	4.166	0.924	44269	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	8	56	0	Class	4.875	0.333	64	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	Department	4.891	0.313	92	
							College	4.142	0.807	5070	
							University	4.272	0.800	44301	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	12	50	0	Class	4.750	0.504	64	
	0.0	0.0	3.1	18.8	78.1	0.0	Department	4.728	0.613	92	
							College	4.131	0.867	5065	
							University	4.230	0.870	44238	

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 114					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 729					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	0	3	41	69	0	Class	4.553	0.639	114
	0.9	0.0	2.6	36.0	60.5	0.0	Department	4.126	0.840	721
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	0	1	38	74	0	Class	4.614	0.602	114
	0.9	0.0	0.9	33.3	64.9	0.0	Department	4.146	0.891	721
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	3	40	70	0	Class	4.561	0.639	114
	0.9	0.0	2.6	35.1	61.4	0.0	Department	4.003	0.966	721
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	6	50	57	1	Class	4.451	0.597	113
	0.0	0.0	5.3	43.9	50.0	0.9	Department	4.057	0.785	720
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	4	38	70	2	Class	4.589	0.562	112
	0.0	0.0	3.5	33.3	61.4	1.8	Department	4.243	0.825	717
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	5	47	60	1	Class	4.469	0.628	113
	0.0	0.9	4.4	41.2	52.6	0.9	Department	4.119	0.733	717
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	48	65	0	Class	4.561	0.516	114
	0.0	0.0	0.9	42.1	57.0	0.0	Department	4.172	0.767	719
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	52	58	0	Class	4.474	0.568	114
	0.0	0.0	3.5	45.6	50.9	0.0	Department	4.188	0.741	718
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	6	45	61	0	Class	4.447	0.680	114
	0.0	1.8	5.3	39.5	53.5	0.0	Department	4.071	0.867	718
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	44	68	0	Class	4.579	0.530	114
	0.0	0.0	1.8	38.6	59.6	0.0	Department	4.298	0.730	718
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	0	52	61	0	Class	4.518	0.552	114
	0.0	0.9	0.0	45.6	53.5	0.0	Department	4.139	0.713	718
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	21	34	59	0	Class	4.333	0.772	114
	0.0	0.0	18.4	29.8	51.8	0.0	Department	4.232	0.762	717
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	3	44	66	0	Class	4.535	0.598	114
	0.0	0.9	2.6	38.6	57.9	0.0	Department	4.205	0.864	718
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	6	45	62	1	Class	4.496	0.599	113
	0.0	0.0	5.3	39.5	54.4	0.9	Department	4.108	0.845	716
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	7	49	58	0	Class	4.447	0.611	114
	0.0	0.0	6.1	43.0	50.9	0.0	Department	4.142	0.750	718
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	4	45	65	0	Class	4.535	0.567	114
	0.0	0.0	3.5	39.5	57.0	0.0	Department	4.121	0.831	717
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 611					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 729					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	27	100	281	191	8	Class	4.041	0.849	603
	0.7	4.4	16.4	46.0	31.3	1.3	Department	4.126	0.840	721
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	4438
2. the instructor as a teacher.	7	34	90	262	210	8	Class	4.051	0.909	603
	1.1	5.6	14.7	42.9	34.4	1.3	Department	4.146	0.891	721
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	12	40	134	233	184	8	Class	3.891	0.981	603
	2.0	6.5	21.9	38.1	30.1	1.3	Department	4.003	0.966	721
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
4. the quality of this course.	2	17	133	292	159	8	Class	3.977	0.792	603
	0.3	2.8	21.8	47.8	26.0	1.3	Department	4.057	0.785	720
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	8	19	69	269	236	10	Class	4.175	0.851	601
	1.3	3.1	11.3	44.0	38.6	1.6	Department	4.243	0.825	717
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	8	99	333	156	11	Class	4.048	0.733	600
	0.7	1.3	16.2	54.5	25.5	1.8	Department	4.119	0.733	717
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	6	18	69	328	180	10	Class	4.095	0.785	601
	1.0	2.9	11.3	53.7	29.5	1.6	Department	4.172	0.767	719
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	14	72	320	190	11	Class	4.130	0.758	600
	0.7	2.3	11.8	52.4	31.1	1.8	Department	4.188	0.741	718
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	10	28	90	300	172	11	Class	3.993	0.880	600
	1.6	4.6	14.7	49.1	28.2	1.8	Department	4.071	0.867	718
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	6	11	45	308	230	11	Class	4.242	0.751	600
	1.0	1.8	7.4	50.4	37.6	1.8	Department	4.298	0.730	718
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	5	7	85	351	152	11	Class	4.063	0.717	600
	0.8	1.1	13.9	57.4	24.9	1.8	Department	4.139	0.713	718
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	5	90	267	234	12	Class	4.209	0.759	599
	0.5	0.8	14.7	43.7	38.3	2.0	Department	4.232	0.762	717
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	11	19	80	256	234	11	Class	4.138	0.893	600
	1.8	3.1	13.1	41.9	38.3	1.8	Department	4.205	0.864	718
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	5	26	107	269	192	12	Class	4.030	0.865	599
	0.8	4.3	17.5	44.0	31.4	2.0	Department	4.108	0.845	716
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	11	94	315	176	11	Class	4.080	0.760	600
	0.7	1.8	15.4	51.6	28.8	1.8	Department	4.142	0.750	718
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	12	20	73	322	172	12	Class	4.038	0.850	599
	2.0	3.3	11.9	52.7	28.2	2.0	Department	4.121	0.831	717
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)				
Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING							G= Good.... (4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 4					IB=In Between.... (3)				
		Your Dept ..... 729					P= Poor.... (2)				
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.126	0.840	721	
							College	4.052	0.955	5088	
							University	4.171	0.950	44383	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.146	0.891	721	
							College	4.099	0.971	5085	
							University	4.235	0.950	44367	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.003	0.966	721	
							College	4.003	1.016	5080	
							University	4.183	0.976	44337	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.057	0.785	720	
							College	3.969	0.930	5083	
							University	4.118	0.929	44309	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.243	0.825	717	
							College	4.181	0.942	5081	
							University	4.300	0.891	44336	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.119	0.733	717	
							College	4.113	0.822	5071	
							University	4.151	0.870	44298	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.172	0.767	719	
							College	4.173	0.827	5073	
							University	4.260	0.847	44331	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.188	0.741	718	
							College	4.159	0.838	5072	
							University	4.249	0.853	44326	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.071	0.867	718	
							College	4.040	0.953	5066	
							University	4.163	0.952	44309	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.298	0.730	718	
							College	4.246	0.840	5072	
							University	4.319	0.843	44300	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.139	0.713	718	
							College	4.140	0.769	5064	
							University	4.196	0.809	44281	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.232	0.762	717	
							College	4.174	0.824	5061	
							University	4.245	0.843	44263	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.205	0.864	718	
							College	4.153	0.887	5065	
							University	4.224	0.900	44295	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.108	0.845	716	
							College	4.050	0.915	5065	
							University	4.166	0.924	44269	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.142	0.750	718	
							College	4.142	0.807	5070	
							University	4.272	0.800	44301	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.121	0.831	717	
							College	4.131	0.867	5065	
							University	4.230	0.870	44238	



# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 214					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 419					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	5	12	12	52	131	2	Class	4.377	0.988	212
							Department	4.161	1.052	417
	2.3	5.6	5.6	24.3	61.2	0.9	College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	4438
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	16	11	41	142	2	Class	4.439	0.959	212
							Department	4.218	1.048	417
	0.9	7.5	5.1	19.2	66.4	0.9	College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	12	8	14	37	141	2	Class	4.354	1.128	212
							Department	4.188	1.119	416
	5.6	3.7	6.5	17.3	65.9	0.9	College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
=====										
4. the quality of this course.							Class	4.406	0.782	212
	0	8	15	72	117	2	Department	4.200	0.947	416
	0.0	3.7	7.0	33.6	54.7	0.9	College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	6	8	49	148	2	Class	4.590	0.739	212
							Department	4.374	0.917	417
	0.5	2.8	3.7	22.9	69.2	0.9	College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	9	19	75	106	3	Class	4.299	0.873	211
							Department	4.238	0.841	416
	0.9	4.2	8.9	35.0	49.5	1.4	College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.							Class	4.365	0.848	211
	2	8	15	72	114	3	Department	4.276	0.863	416
	0.9	3.7	7.0	33.6	53.3	1.4	College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.							Class	4.431	0.774	211
	0	8	13	70	120	3	Department	4.293	0.865	416
	0.0	3.7	6.1	32.7	56.1	1.4	College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	13	14	45	135	3	Class	4.393	0.986	211
							Department	4.209	1.007	416
	1.9	6.1	6.5	21.0	63.1	1.4	College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	4	10	62	134	3	Class	4.536	0.719	211
							Department	4.380	0.856	416
	0.5	1.9	4.7	29.0	62.6	1.4	College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	6	21	84	100	3	Class	4.318	0.768	211
							Department	4.243	0.792	416
	0.0	2.8	9.8	39.3	46.7	1.4	College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	1	37	64	104	5	Class	4.268	0.869	209
							Department	4.246	0.895	414
	1.4	0.5	17.3	29.9	48.6	2.3	College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	14	80	116	3	Class	4.474	0.642	211
							Department	4.365	0.771	416
	0.0	0.5	6.5	37.4	54.2	1.4	College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	10	19	73	109	3	Class	4.332	0.830	211
							Department	4.204	0.936	416
	0.0	4.7	8.9	34.1	50.9	1.4	College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	4	13	82	111	3	Class	4.412	0.734	211
							Department	4.286	0.790	416
	0.5	1.9	6.1	38.3	51.9	1.4	College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	5	13	68	125	3	Class	4.483	0.719	211
							Department	4.340	0.809	415
	0.0	2.3	6.1	31.8	58.4	1.4	College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 170					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 419					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	14	28	69	55	0	Class	3.924	1.015	170
	2.4	8.2	16.5	40.6	32.4	0.0	Department	4.161	1.052	417
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	4438
2. the instructor as a teacher.	5	10	29	62	64	0	Class	4.000	1.026	170
	2.9	5.9	17.1	36.5	37.6	0.0	Department	4.218	1.048	417
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	6	6	32	59	66	1	Class	4.024	1.023	169
	3.5	3.5	18.8	34.7	38.8	0.6	Department	4.188	1.119	416
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
4. the quality of this course.	4	13	22	71	59	1	Class	3.994	1.003	169
	2.4	7.6	12.9	41.8	34.7	0.6	Department	4.200	0.947	416
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	6	5	20	67	72	0	Class	4.141	0.981	170
	3.5	2.9	11.8	39.4	42.4	0.0	Department	4.374	0.917	417
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	8	18	88	55	0	Class	4.106	0.814	170
	0.6	4.7	10.6	51.8	32.4	0.0	Department	4.238	0.841	416
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	4	18	84	64	0	Class	4.224	0.728	170
	0.0	2.4	10.6	49.4	37.6	0.0	Department	4.276	0.863	416
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	6	18	79	66	0	Class	4.194	0.809	170
	0.6	3.5	10.6	46.5	38.8	0.0	Department	4.293	0.865	416
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	8	31	73	56	0	Class	4.018	0.900	170
	1.2	4.7	18.2	42.9	32.9	0.0	Department	4.209	1.007	416
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	4	15	73	74	0	Class	4.229	0.884	170
	2.4	2.4	8.8	42.9	43.5	0.0	Department	4.380	0.856	416
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	0	22	94	52	0	Class	4.141	0.724	170
	1.2	0.0	12.9	55.3	30.6	0.0	Department	4.243	0.792	416
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	6	16	68	78	0	Class	4.259	0.859	170
	1.2	3.5	9.4	40.0	45.9	0.0	Department	4.246	0.895	414
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	4	14	78	74	0	Class	4.306	0.722	170
	0.0	2.4	8.2	45.9	43.5	0.0	Department	4.365	0.771	416
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	10	24	69	65	0	Class	4.088	0.928	170
	1.2	5.9	14.1	40.6	38.2	0.0	Department	4.204	0.936	416
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	4	15	96	55	0	Class	4.188	0.688	170
	0.0	2.4	8.8	56.5	32.4	0.0	Department	4.286	0.790	416
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	5	20	77	66	1	Class	4.195	0.804	169
	0.6	2.9	11.8	45.3	38.8	0.6	Department	4.340	0.809	415
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 35					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 419					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	1	3	10	17	0	Class	4.000	1.328	35
	11.4	2.9	8.6	28.6	48.6	0.0	Department	4.161	1.052	417
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	2	3	9	17	0	Class	3.943	1.371	35
	11.4	5.7	8.6	25.7	48.6	0.0	Department	4.218	1.048	417
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	4	1	5	7	18	0	Class	3.971	1.361	35
	11.4	2.9	14.3	20.0	51.4	0.0	Department	4.188	1.119	416
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	3	2	5	9	16	0	Class	3.943	1.282	35
	8.6	5.7	14.3	25.7	45.7	0.0	Department	4.200	0.947	416
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	0	5	6	21	0	Class	4.200	1.232	35
	8.6	0.0	14.3	17.1	60.0	0.0	Department	4.374	0.917	417
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	11	21	0	Class	4.514	0.658	35
	0.0	0.0	8.6	31.4	60.0	0.0	Department	4.238	0.841	416
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	1	5	6	19	0	Class	4.000	1.372	35
	11.4	2.9	14.3	17.1	54.3	0.0	Department	4.276	0.863	416
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	2	3	9	17	0	Class	3.943	1.371	35
	11.4	5.7	8.6	25.7	48.6	0.0	Department	4.293	0.865	416
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	5	0	2	10	18	0	Class	4.029	1.382	35
	14.3	0.0	5.7	28.6	51.4	0.0	Department	4.209	1.007	416
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	3	3	6	21	0	Class	4.171	1.248	35
	5.7	8.6	8.6	17.1	60.0	0.0	Department	4.380	0.856	416
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	0	1	11	20	0	Class	4.286	1.152	35
	8.6	0.0	2.9	31.4	57.1	0.0	Department	4.243	0.792	416
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	1	8	6	18	0	Class	4.057	1.187	35
	5.7	2.9	22.9	17.1	51.4	0.0	Department	4.246	0.895	414
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	4	2	7	19	0	Class	4.000	1.372	35
	8.6	11.4	5.7	20.0	54.3	0.0	Department	4.365	0.771	416
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	4	3	5	20	0	Class	4.000	1.393	35
	8.6	11.4	8.6	14.3	57.1	0.0	Department	4.204	0.936	416
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	2	5	7	18	0	Class	4.000	1.306	35
	8.6	5.7	14.3	20.0	51.4	0.0	Department	4.286	0.790	416
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	0	8	5	20	0	Class	4.171	1.150	35
	5.7	0.0	22.9	14.3	57.1	0.0	Department	4.340	0.809	415
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Response Key:

VP= Very Poor.... (1)

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
<hr/>										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	9	18	33	35	3	Class	3.928	1.053	97
	2.0	9.0	18.0	33.0	35.0	3.0	Department	4.066	0.935	787
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
<hr/>										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	5	18	34	37	3	Class	4.000	1.031	97
	3.0	5.0	18.0	34.0	37.0	3.0	Department	4.126	0.937	787
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
<hr/>										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	6	12	25	30	23	4	Class	3.542	1.169	96
	6.0	12.0	25.0	30.0	23.0	4.0	Department	4.003	1.018	784
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
<hr/>										
4. the quality of this course.	1	6	16	46	28	3	Class	3.969	0.895	97
	1.0	6.0	16.0	46.0	28.0	3.0	Department	3.986	0.904	785
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
<hr/>										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	11	36	49	3	Class	4.371	0.726	97
	0.0	1.0	11.0	36.0	49.0	3.0	Department	4.276	0.839	787
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
<hr/>										
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	8	15	42	28	3	Class	3.845	1.064	97
	4.0	8.0	15.0	42.0	28.0	3.0	Department	4.011	0.857	786
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
<hr/>										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
<hr/>										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	7	12	50	28	3	Class	4.021	0.841	97
	0.0	7.0	12.0	50.0	28.0	3.0	Department	4.201	0.800	786
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
<hr/>										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	3	11	48	35	3	Class	4.186	0.755	97
	0.0	3.0	11.0	48.0	35.0	3.0	Department	4.173	0.817	786
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
<hr/>										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	4	16	46	30	3	Class	4.031	0.859	97
	1.0	4.0	16.0	46.0	30.0	3.0	Department	4.072	0.903	787
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
<hr/>										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	2	4	42	47	3	Class	4.340	0.828	97
	2.0	2.0	4.0	42.0	47.0	3.0	Department	4.264	0.789	787
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
<hr/>										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	6	11	50	28	3	Class	3.990	0.919	97
	2.0	6.0	11.0	50.0	28.0	3.0	Department	4.074	0.802	785
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
<hr/>										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	2	14	45	35	3	Class	4.144	0.816	97
	1.0	2.0	14.0	45.0	35.0	3.0	Department	4.274	0.778	785
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
<hr/>										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	13	44	37	4	Class	4.198	0.790	96
	1.0	1.0	13.0	44.0	37.0	4.0	Department	4.233	0.833	786
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
<hr/>										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	3	22	38	32	3	Class	3.979	0.935	97
	2.0									

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 638					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 798					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	11	34	89	280	220	4	Class	4.047	0.926	634
	1.7	5.3	13.9	43.9	34.5	0.6	Department	4.066	0.935	787
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	4438
2. the instructor as a teacher.	12	29	87	252	254	4	Class	4.115	0.938	634
	1.9	4.5	13.6	39.5	39.8	0.6	Department	4.126	0.937	787
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	17	36	95	255	229	6	Class	4.017	0.992	632
	2.7	5.6	14.9	40.0	35.9	0.9	Department	4.003	1.018	784
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
4. the quality of this course.	9	32	130	273	189	5	Class	3.949	0.913	633
	1.4	5.0	20.4	42.8	29.6	0.8	Department	3.986	0.904	785
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	7	22	74	246	285	4	Class	4.230	0.868	634
	1.1	3.4	11.6	38.6	44.7	0.6	Department	4.276	0.839	787
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	25	112	317	174	5	Class	3.995	0.826	633
	0.8	3.9	17.6	49.7	27.3	0.8	Department	4.011	0.857	786
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	6	15	71	301	240	5	Class	4.191	0.797	633
	0.9	2.4	11.1	47.2	37.6	0.8	Department	4.201	0.800	786
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	5	21	76	303	229	4	Class	4.151	0.814	634
	0.8	3.3	11.9	47.5	35.9	0.6	Department	4.173	0.817	786
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	11	30	101	270	222	4	Class	4.044	0.924	634
	1.7	4.7	15.8	42.3	34.8	0.6	Department	4.072	0.903	787
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	21	55	304	250	4	Class	4.222	0.789	634
	0.6	3.3	8.6	47.6	39.2	0.6	Department	4.264	0.789	787
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	22	105	320	183	6	Class	4.044	0.789	632
	0.3	3.4	16.5	50.2	28.7	0.9	Department	4.074	0.802	785
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	5	7	78	268	274	6	Class	4.264	0.777	632
	0.8	1.1	12.2	42.0	42.9	0.9	Department	4.274	0.778	785
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	9	19	57	290	259	4	Class	4.216	0.837	634
	1.4	3.0	8.9	45.5	40.6	0.6	Department	4.233	0.833	786
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	11	18	94	298	213	4	Class	4.079	0.865	634
	1.7	2.8	14.7	46.7	33.4	0.6	Department	4.098	0.876	787
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	14	114	308	194	4	Class	4.063	0.792	634
	0.6	2.2	17.9	48.3	30.4	0.6	Department	4.100	0.786	787
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	11	28	77	308	209	5	Class	4.068	0.884	633
	1.7	4.4	12.1	48.3	32.8	0.8	Department	4.093	0.876	786
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 60					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 798					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	2	20	33	4	Class	4.518	0.660	56
	0.0	1.7	3.3	33.3	55.0	6.7	Department	4.066	0.935	787
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	2	23	30	4	Class	4.464	0.660	56
	0.0	1.7	3.3	38.3	50.0	6.7	Department	4.126	0.937	787
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	3	15	38	4	Class	4.625	0.590	56
	0.0	0.0	5.0	25.0	63.3	6.7	Department	4.003	1.018	784
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	3	22	29	5	Class	4.436	0.688	55
	0.0	1.7	5.0	36.7	48.3	8.3	Department	3.986	0.904	785
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	17	37	4	Class	4.625	0.558	56
	0.0	0.0	3.3	28.3	61.7	6.7	Department	4.276	0.839	787
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	4	21	31	4	Class	4.482	0.632	56
	0.0	0.0	6.7	35.0	51.7	6.7	Department	4.011	0.857	786
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	15	38	4	Class	4.625	0.590	56
	0.0	0.0	5.0	25.0	63.3	6.7	Department	4.201	0.800	786
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	1	2	18	32	5	Class	4.400	0.935	55
	3.3	1.7	3.3	30.0	53.3	8.3	Department	4.173	0.817	786
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	24	29	4	Class	4.464	0.602	56
	0.0	0.0	5.0	40.0	48.3	6.7	Department	4.072	0.903	787
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	17	37	4	Class	4.607	0.623	56
	0.0	1.7	1.7	28.3	61.7	6.7	Department	4.264	0.789	787
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	23	32	4	Class	4.554	0.537	56
	0.0	0.0	1.7	38.3	53.3	6.7	Department	4.074	0.802	785
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	4	14	38	4	Class	4.607	0.623	56
	0.0	0.0	6.7	23.3	63.3	6.7	Department	4.274	0.778	785
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	3	16	35	4	Class	4.482	0.831	56
	1.7	1.7	5.0	26.7	58.3	6.7	Department	4.233	0.833	786
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	4	15	36	4	Class	4.518	0.786	56
	1.7	0.0	6.7	25.0	60.0	6.7	Department	4.098	0.876	787
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	23	29	4	Class	4.446	0.630	56
	0.0	0.0	6.7	38.3	48.3	6.7	Department	4.100	0.786	787
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	4	19	33	4	Class	4.518	0.632	56
	0.0	0.0	6.7	31.7	55.0	6.7	Department	4.093	0.876	786
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: ENVIRONMENT & CONSERVATION							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 9					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 9					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	4	5	0	Class	4.556	0.527	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	0.0	Department	4.556	0.527	9
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	5	4	0	Class	4.444	0.527	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	0.0	Department	4.444	0.527	9
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	3	4	0	Class	4.222	0.833	9
	0.0	0.0	22.2	33.3	44.4	0.0	Department	4.222	0.833	9
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	4	5	0	Class	4.556	0.527	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	0.0	Department	4.556	0.527	9
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	3	5	0	Class	4.444	0.726	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	0.0	Department	4.444	0.726	9
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	4	5	0	Class	4.556	0.527	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	0.0	Department	4.556	0.527	9
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	6	0	Class	4.667	0.500	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.667	0.500	9
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	5	4	0	Class	4.444	0.527	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	0.0	Department	4.444	0.527	9
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	6	2	0	Class	4.111	0.601	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	66.7	22.2	0.0	Department	4.111	0.601	9
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	1	4	3	0	Class	3.889	1.269	9
	11.1	0.0	11.1	44.4	33.3	0.0	Department	3.889	1.269	9
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	4	4	0	Class	4.333	0.707	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	0.0	Department	4.333	0.707	9
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1	8	0	Class	4.889	0.333	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	0.0	Department	4.889	0.333	9
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	2	6	1	Class	4.750	0.463	8
	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	66.7	11.1	Department	4.750	0.463	8
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	1	8	0	Class	4.889	0.333	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	88.9	0.0	Department	4.889	0.333	9
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	2	6	0	Class	4.556	0.726	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	22.2	66.7	0.0	Department	4.556	0.726	9
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	6	0	Class	4.667	0.500	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.667	0.500	9
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING							VG= Very Good.... (5) G= Good.... (4) IB=In Between.... (3) P= Poor.... (2) VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 37 Your Dept ..... 37 Your College .. 5127							
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	4	4	24	4	0	Class	3.703	0.909	37
	2.7	10.8	10.8	64.9	10.8	0.0	Department	3.703	0.909	37
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	3	9	21	2	0	Class	3.486	0.932	37
	5.4	8.1	24.3	56.8	5.4	0.0	Department	3.486	0.932	37
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	0	9	23	3	0	Class	3.676	0.852	37
	5.4	0.0	24.3	62.2	8.1	0.0	Department	3.676	0.852	37
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	1	2	8	22	4	0	Class	3.703	0.845	37
	2.7	5.4	21.6	59.5	10.8	0.0	Department	3.703	0.845	37
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	10	17	8	0	Class	3.838	0.834	37
	0.0	5.4	27.0	45.9	21.6	0.0	Department	3.838	0.834	37
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	3	6	22	5	0	Class	3.730	0.902	37
	2.7	8.1	16.2	59.5	13.5	0.0	Department	3.730	0.902	37
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	3	27	6	0	Class	4.027	0.600	37
	0.0	2.7	8.1	73.0	16.2	0.0	Department	4.027	0.600	37
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	2	7	20	7	0	Class	3.811	0.908	37
	2.7	5.4	18.9	54.1	18.9	0.0	Department	3.811	0.908	37
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	2	7	22	5	0	Class	3.757	0.863	37
	2.7	5.4	18.9	59.5	13.5	0.0	Department	3.757	0.863	37
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	25	10	0	Class	4.189	0.616	37
	0.0	2.7	2.7	67.6	27.0	0.0	Department	4.189	0.616	37
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	3	6	21	6	0	Class	3.757	0.925	37
	2.7	8.1	16.2	56.8	16.2	0.0	Department	3.757	0.925	37
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	8	21	7	0	Class	3.919	0.722	37
	0.0	2.7	21.6	56.8	18.9	0.0	Department	3.919	0.722	37
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	5	25	6	0	Class	3.946	0.743	37
	2.7	0.0	13.5	67.6	16.2	0.0	Department	3.946	0.743	37
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	3	8	21	4	0	Class	3.649	0.889	37
	2.7	8.1	21.6	56.8	10.8	0.0	Department	3.649	0.889	37
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	0	4	26	5	0	Class	3.865	0.855	37
	5.4	0.0	10.8	70.3	13.5	0.0	Department	3.865	0.855	37
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	3	28	5	0	Class	3.973	0.687	37
	2.7	0.0	8.1	75.7	13.5	0.0	Department	3.973	0.687	37
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238



# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 260					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 260					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	11	38	134	73	2	Class	4.027	0.820	258
	0.8	4.2	14.6	51.5	28.1	0.8	Department	4.027	0.820	258
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	19	34	124	79	3	Class	4.016	0.879	257
	0.4	7.3	13.1	47.7	30.4	1.2	Department	4.016	0.879	257
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	9	34	129	86	2	Class	4.132	0.768	258
	0.0	3.5	13.1	49.6	33.1	0.8	Department	4.132	0.768	258
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	4	26	65	99	64	2	Class	3.748	0.991	258
	1.5	10.0	25.0	38.1	24.6	0.8	Department	3.748	0.991	258
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	5	31	92	124	3	Class	4.265	0.888	257
	1.9	1.9	11.9	35.4	47.7	1.2	Department	4.265	0.888	257
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	4	26	136	91	3	Class	4.222	0.686	257
	0.0	1.5	10.0	52.3	35.0	1.2	Department	4.222	0.686	257
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	4	37	145	72	2	Class	4.105	0.689	258
	0.0	1.5	14.2	55.8	27.7	0.8	Department	4.105	0.689	258
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	10	25	142	81	2	Class	4.140	0.741	258
	0.0	3.8	9.6	54.6	31.2	0.8	Department	4.140	0.741	258
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	10	31	131	81	3	Class	4.070	0.854	257
	1.5	3.8	11.9	50.4	31.2	1.2	Department	4.070	0.854	257
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	2	11	132	112	2	Class	4.364	0.641	258
	0.4	0.8	4.2	50.8	43.1	0.8	Department	4.364	0.641	258
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	25	149	81	3	Class	4.202	0.636	257
	0.0	0.8	9.6	57.3	31.2	1.2	Department	4.202	0.636	257
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	82	110	64	2	Class	3.915	0.769	258
	0.0	0.8	31.5	42.3	24.6	0.8	Department	3.915	0.769	258
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	5	37	120	96	2	Class	4.190	0.748	258
	0.0	1.9	14.2	46.2	36.9	0.8	Department	4.190	0.748	258
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	13	54	131	59	2	Class	3.907	0.818	258
	0.4	5.0	20.8	50.4	22.7	0.8	Department	3.907	0.818	258
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	7	45	137	68	2	Class	4.023	0.764	258
	0.4	2.7	17.3	52.7	26.2	0.8	Department	4.023	0.764	258
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	16	47	123	70	2	Class	3.942	0.878	258
	0.8	6.2	18.1	47.3	26.9	0.8	Department	3.942	0.878	258
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: INDUSTRIAL & MANUFACTURING ENG							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 515					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 520					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	15	52	88	191	161	8	Class	3.850	1.071	507
	2.9	10.1	17.1	37.1	31.3	1.6	Department	3.852	1.068	512
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
2. the instructor as a teacher.	15	45	90	187	171	7	Class	3.894	1.061	508
	2.9	8.7	17.5	36.3	33.2	1.4	Department	3.897	1.057	513
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	14	57	98	161	177	8	Class	3.848	1.105	507
	2.7	11.1	19.0	31.3	34.4	1.6	Department	3.850	1.101	512
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
4. the quality of this course.	11	45	97	205	150	7	Class	3.862	1.009	508
	2.1	8.7	18.8	39.8	29.1	1.4	Department	3.865	1.006	513
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	15	29	68	206	189	8	Class	4.036	1.001	507
	2.9	5.6	13.2	40.0	36.7	1.6	Department	4.039	0.998	512
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	17	84	231	169	9	Class	4.071	0.848	506
	1.0	3.3	16.3	44.9	32.8	1.7	Department	4.070	0.849	511
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	12	30	87	214	162	10	Class	3.958	0.972	505
	2.3	5.8	16.9	41.6	31.5	1.9	Department	3.959	0.967	510
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	8	23	72	224	178	10	Class	4.071	0.904	505
	1.6	4.5	14.0	43.5	34.6	1.9	Department	4.071	0.901	510
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	7	38	73	205	181	11	Class	4.022	0.965	504
	1.4	7.4	14.2	39.8	35.1	2.1	Department	4.020	0.963	508
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	10	23	55	230	187	10	Class	4.111	0.910	505
	1.9	4.5	10.7	44.7	36.3	1.9	Department	4.112	0.907	510
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	5	11	77	252	159	11	Class	4.089	0.799	504
	1.0	2.1	15.0	48.9	30.9	2.1	Department	4.086	0.796	509
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	10	117	202	171	11	Class	4.044	0.850	504
	0.8	1.9	22.7	39.2	33.2	2.1	Department	4.043	0.848	509
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	10	18	81	208	188	10	Class	4.081	0.921	505
	1.9	3.5	15.7	40.4	36.5	1.9	Department	4.082	0.920	510
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	10	39	98	196	162	10	Class	3.913	0.998	505
	1.9	7.6	19.0	38.1	31.5	1.9	Department	3.916	0.994	510
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	9	17	82	228	168	11	Class	4.050	0.889	504
	1.7	3.3	15.9	44.3	32.6	2.1	Department	4.053	0.889	509
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	11	38	71	223	161	11	Class	3.962	0.979	504
	2.1	7.4	13.8	43.3	31.3	2.1	Department	3.967	0.977	509
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: INDUSTRIAL & MANUFACTURING ENG							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 5					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 520					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	3	1	0	Class	4.000	0.707	5
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	3.852	1.068	512
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44388
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	4	1	0	Class	4.200	0.447	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	Department	3.897	1.057	513
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	3	1	0	Class	4.000	0.707	5
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	3.850	1.101	512
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	4	1	0	Class	4.200	0.447	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	Department	3.865	1.006	513
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	3	2	0	Class	4.400	0.548	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.039	0.998	512
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	1	2	0	Class	4.000	1.000	5
	0.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.070	0.849	511
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	5	0	0	Class	4.000	0.000	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	Department	3.959	0.967	510
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	3	1	0	Class	4.000	0.707	5
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.071	0.901	510
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	3	0	1	Class	3.750	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	Department	4.020	0.963	508
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	4	1	0	Class	4.200	0.447	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.112	0.907	510
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	4	0	0	Class	3.800	0.447	5
	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.086	0.796	509
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	3	1	0	Class	4.000	0.707	5
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.043	0.848	509
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	2	2	0	Class	4.200	0.837	5
	0.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.082	0.920	510
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	4	1	0	Class	4.200	0.447	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	Department	3.916	0.994	510
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	1	3	0	Class	4.400	0.894	5
	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.053	0.889	509
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	2	0	Class	4.400	0.548	5
	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	Department	3.967	0.977	509
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 683					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 1751					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	14	31	104	315	216	3	Class	4.012	0.916	680
	2.0	4.5	15.2	46.1	31.6	0.4	Department	3.923	0.982	1744
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
2. the instructor as a teacher.	10	33	80	297	260	3	Class	4.124	0.901	680
	1.5	4.8	11.7	43.5	38.1	0.4	Department	3.975	1.006	1742
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	16	41	137	284	201	4	Class	3.903	0.971	679
	2.3	6.0	20.1	41.6	29.4	0.6	Department	3.847	1.034	1741
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
4. the quality of this course.	11	34	132	335	169	2	Class	3.906	0.883	681
	1.6	5.0	19.3	49.0	24.7	0.3	Department	3.861	0.939	1743
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	26	56	117	265	217	2	Class	3.868	1.071	681
	3.8	8.2	17.1	38.8	31.8	0.3	Department	3.959	1.034	1744
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
6. your understanding of the course content.	9	18	116	344	193	3	Class	4.021	0.825	680
	1.3	2.6	17.0	50.4	28.3	0.4	Department	3.979	0.834	1739
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	8	17	67	343	247	1	Class	4.179	0.797	682
	1.2	2.5	9.8	50.2	36.2	0.1	Department	4.090	0.846	1735
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	15	25	101	340	201	1	Class	4.007	0.888	682
	2.2	3.7	14.8	49.8	29.4	0.1	Department	4.028	0.896	1735
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	18	45	132	313	171	4	Class	3.845	0.963	679
	2.6	6.6	19.3	45.8	25.0	0.6	Department	3.834	1.024	1731
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	11	26	74	316	255	1	Class	4.141	0.873	682
	1.6	3.8	10.8	46.3	37.3	0.1	Department	4.105	0.904	1734
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	7	11	115	378	169	3	Class	4.016	0.759	680
	1.0	1.6	16.8	55.3	24.7	0.4	Department	4.030	0.770	1730
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	11	17	131	337	185	2	Class	3.981	0.843	681
	1.6	2.5	19.2	49.3	27.1	0.3	Department	4.047	0.848	1729
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	16	43	121	327	173	3	Class	3.879	0.940	680
	2.3	6.3	17.7	47.9	25.3	0.4	Department	3.936	0.964	1730
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	17	30	127	333	173	3	Class	3.904	0.914	680
	2.5	4.4	18.6	48.8	25.3	0.4	Department	3.892	0.954	1729
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	6	14	104	329	228	2	Class	4.115	0.798	681
	0.9	2.0	15.2	48.2	33.4	0.3	Department	4.076	0.827	1733
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	6	19	87	337	231	3	Class	4.129	0.802	680
	0.9	2.8	12.7	49.3	33.8	0.4	Department	4.069	0.879	1731
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 1032					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 1751					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	30	80	204	413	301	4	Class	3.851	1.022	1028
	2.9	7.8	19.8	40.0	29.2	0.4	Department	3.923	0.982	1744
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
2. the instructor as a teacher.	30	88	216	351	341	6	Class	3.863	1.064	1026
	2.9	8.5	20.9	34.0	33.0	0.6	Department	3.975	1.006	1742
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	36	89	239	347	315	6	Class	3.795	1.078	1026
	3.5	8.6	23.2	33.6	30.5	0.6	Department	3.847	1.034	1741
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
4. the quality of this course.	25	71	234	434	262	6	Class	3.816	0.973	1026
	2.4	6.9	22.7	42.1	25.4	0.6	Department	3.861	0.939	1743
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	28	62	172	388	377	5	Class	3.997	1.011	1027
	2.7	6.0	16.7	37.6	36.5	0.5	Department	3.959	1.034	1744
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
6. your understanding of the course content.	12	49	172	540	250	9	Class	3.945	0.841	1023
	1.2	4.7	16.7	52.3	24.2	0.9	Department	3.979	0.834	1739
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	12	50	159	484	319	8	Class	4.023	0.876	1024
	1.2	4.8	15.4	46.9	30.9	0.8	Department	4.090	0.846	1735
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	12	52	177	438	345	8	Class	4.027	0.905	1024
	1.2	5.0	17.2	42.4	33.4	0.8	Department	4.028	0.896	1735
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	34	95	210	382	303	8	Class	3.806	1.065	1024
	3.3	9.2	20.3	37.0	29.4	0.8	Department	3.834	1.024	1731
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	24	51	108	487	354	8	Class	4.070	0.926	1024
	2.3	4.9	10.5	47.2	34.3	0.8	Department	4.105	0.904	1734
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	8	33	143	568	271	9	Class	4.037	0.777	1023
	0.8	3.2	13.9	55.0	26.3	0.9	Department	4.030	0.770	1730
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	11	23	195	433	358	12	Class	4.082	0.850	1020
	1.1	2.2	18.9	42.0	34.7	1.2	Department	4.047	0.848	1729
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	21	72	164	429	337	9	Class	3.967	0.978	1023
	2.0	7.0	15.9	41.6	32.7	0.9	Department	3.936	0.964	1730
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	27	60	222	417	296	10	Class	3.876	0.982	1022
	2.6	5.8	21.5	40.4	28.7	1.0	Department	3.892	0.954	1729
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	13	32	169	494	316	8	Class	4.043	0.844	1024
	1.3	3.1	16.4	47.9	30.6	0.8	Department	4.076	0.827	1733
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	26	46	136	487	328	9	Class	4.022	0.929	1023
	2.5	4.5	13.2	47.2	31.8	0.9	Department	4.069	0.879	1731
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 36					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 1751					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	4	14	17	0	Class	4.306	0.786	36
							Department	3.923	0.982	1744
	0.0	2.8	11.1	38.9	47.2	0.0	College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	2	16	17	0	Class	4.361	0.723	36
							Department	3.975	1.006	1742
	0.0	2.8	5.6	44.4	47.2	0.0	College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	7	13	16	0	Class	4.250	0.770	36
							Department	3.847	1.034	1741
	0.0	0.0	19.4	36.1	44.4	0.0	College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	5	12	18	0	Class	4.306	0.822	36
							Department	3.861	0.939	1743
	0.0	2.8	13.9	33.3	50.0	0.0	College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	10	24	0	Class	4.611	0.599	36
							Department	3.959	1.034	1744
	0.0	0.0	5.6	27.8	66.7	0.0	College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	6	16	13	0	Class	4.139	0.798	36
							Department	3.979	0.834	1739
	0.0	2.8	16.7	44.4	36.1	0.0	College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	13	13	7	Class	4.345	0.670	29
							Department	4.090	0.846	1735
	0.0	0.0	8.3	36.1	36.1	19.4	College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	11	17	7	Class	4.552	0.572	29
							Department	4.028	0.896	1735
	0.0	0.0	2.8	30.6	47.2	19.4	College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	11	17	8	Class	4.607	0.497	28
							Department	3.834	1.024	1731
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.6	47.2	22.2	College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	3	8	17	8	Class	4.500	0.694	28
							Department	4.105	0.904	1734
	0.0	0.0	8.3	22.2	47.2	22.2	College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	5	11	10	9	Class	4.111	0.847	27
							Department	4.030	0.770	1730
	0.0	2.8	13.9	30.6	27.8	25.0	College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	4	10	14	8	Class	4.357	0.731	28
							Department	4.047	0.848	1729
	0.0	0.0	11.1	27.8	38.9	22.2	College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	4	8	13	9	Class	4.185	0.962	27
							Department	3.936	0.964	1730
	0.0	5.6	11.1	22.2	36.1	25.0	College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	7	7	13	9	Class	4.222	0.847	27
							Department	3.892	0.954	1729
	0.0	0.0	19.4	19.4	36.1	25.0	College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	3	9	15	8	Class	4.357	0.826	28
							Department	4.076	0.827	1733
	0.0	2.8	8.3	25.0	41.7	22.2	College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	12	13	8	Class	4.357	0.678	28
							Department	4.069	0.879	1731
	0.0	0.0	8.3	33.3	36.1	22.2	College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MATERIALS & NANOTECHNOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 7					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 7					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	5	2	0	Class	4.286	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	0.0	Department	4.286	0.488	7
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
-----										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.571	0.535	7
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
-----										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	4	3	0	Class	4.429	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	0.0	Department	4.429	0.535	7
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
-----										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.571	0.535	7
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
-----										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.571	0.535	7
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
-----										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	1	2	3	0	Class	4.000	1.155	7
	0.0	14.3	14.3	28.6	42.9	0.0	Department	4.000	1.155	7
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
-----										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	5	1	0	Class	4.000	0.577	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	0.0	Department	4.000	0.577	7
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
-----										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	4	3	0	Class	4.429	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	0.0	Department	4.429	0.535	7
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
-----										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	4	3	0	Class	4.429	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	0.0	Department	4.429	0.535	7
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
-----										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	0	1	5	0	Class	4.429	1.134	7
	0.0	14.3	0.0	14.3	71.4	0.0	Department	4.429	1.134	7
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
-----										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	1	3	0	Class	4.000	1.000	7
	0.0	0.0	42.9	14.3	42.9	0.0	Department	4.000	1.000	7
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
-----										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.714	0.488	7
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
-----										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	1	5	0	Class	4.571	0.787	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	0.0	Department	4.571	0.787	7
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
-----										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	1	5	0	Class	4.571	0.787	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	0.0	Department	4.571	0.787	7
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
-----										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	5	1	0	Class	4.000	0.577	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	0.0	Department	4.000	0.577	7
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
-----										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	4	1	0	Class	3.857	0.690	7
	0.0	0.0	28.6	57.1	14.3	0.0	Department	3.857	0.690	7
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: MILITARY SCIENCE							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 54					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 127					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	15	38	0	Class	4.685	0.507	54
							Department	4.732	0.462	127
	0.0	0.0	1.9	27.8	70.4	0.0	College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	13	39	0	Class	4.685	0.543	54
							Department	4.780	0.453	127
	0.0	0.0	3.7	24.1	72.2	0.0	College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	4	11	39	0	Class	4.648	0.619	54
							Department	4.701	0.524	127
	0.0	0.0	7.4	20.4	72.2	0.0	College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	16	36	0	Class	4.630	0.560	54
							Department	4.677	0.518	127
	0.0	0.0	3.7	29.6	66.7	0.0	College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	12	41	0	Class	4.741	0.483	54
							Department	4.746	0.472	126
	0.0	0.0	1.9	22.2	75.9	0.0	College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	13	38	1	Class	4.679	0.547	53
							Department	4.720	0.485	125
	0.0	0.0	3.7	24.1	70.4	1.9	College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	12	39	0	Class	4.667	0.583	54
							Department	4.740	0.491	127
	0.0	0.0	5.6	22.2	72.2	0.0	College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	15	35	0	Class	4.574	0.633	54
							Department	4.685	0.559	127
	0.0	0.0	7.4	27.8	64.8	0.0	College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	4	15	35	0	Class	4.574	0.633	54
							Department	4.669	0.550	127
	0.0	0.0	7.4	27.8	64.8	0.0	College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	4	15	33	0	Class	4.463	0.794	54
							Department	4.598	0.716	127
	0.0	3.7	7.4	27.8	61.1	0.0	College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	19	32	0	Class	4.537	0.605	54
							Department	4.685	0.530	127
	0.0	0.0	5.6	35.2	59.3	0.0	College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	14	38	0	Class	4.667	0.549	54
							Department	4.717	0.502	127
	0.0	0.0	3.7	25.9	70.4	0.0	College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	16	36	0	Class	4.630	0.560	54
							Department	4.748	0.471	127
	0.0	0.0	3.7	29.6	66.7	0.0	College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	15	37	0	Class	4.648	0.555	54
							Department	4.756	0.467	127
	0.0	0.0	3.7	27.8	68.5	0.0	College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	18	35	0	Class	4.630	0.525	54
							Department	4.740	0.458	127
	0.0	0.0	1.9	33.3	64.8	0.0	College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	15	38	0	Class	4.685	0.507	54
							Department	4.756	0.449	127
	0.0	0.0	1.9	27.8	70.4	0.0	College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238



# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: MILITARY SCIENCE							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 73					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 127					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	17	56	0	Class	4.767	0.426	73
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.3	76.7	0.0	Department	4.732	0.462	127
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	44383
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	11	62	0	Class	4.849	0.360	73
	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.1	84.9	0.0	Department	4.780	0.453	127
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	19	54	0	Class	4.740	0.442	73
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.0	74.0	0.0	Department	4.701	0.524	127
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	19	53	0	Class	4.712	0.485	73
	0.0	0.0	1.4	26.0	72.6	0.0	Department	4.677	0.518	127
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	16	55	1	Class	4.750	0.467	72
	0.0	0.0	1.4	21.9	75.3	1.4	Department	4.746	0.472	126
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	18	54	1	Class	4.750	0.436	72
	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.7	74.0	1.4	Department	4.720	0.485	125
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	15	58	0	Class	4.795	0.407	73
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.5	79.5	0.0	Department	4.740	0.491	127
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	13	58	0	Class	4.767	0.486	73
	0.0	0.0	2.7	17.8	79.5	0.0	Department	4.685	0.559	127
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	17	55	0	Class	4.740	0.472	73
	0.0	0.0	1.4	23.3	75.3	0.0	Department	4.669	0.550	127
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	4	11	57	0	Class	4.699	0.639	73
	0.0	1.4	5.5	15.1	78.1	0.0	Department	4.598	0.716	127
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	13	59	0	Class	4.795	0.440	73
	0.0	0.0	1.4	17.8	80.8	0.0	Department	4.685	0.530	127
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	16	56	0	Class	4.753	0.465	73
	0.0	0.0	1.4	21.9	76.7	0.0	Department	4.717	0.502	127
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	12	61	0	Class	4.836	0.373	73
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.4	83.6	0.0	Department	4.748	0.471	127
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	12	61	0	Class	4.836	0.373	73
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.4	83.6	0.0	Department	4.756	0.467	127
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	13	60	0	Class	4.822	0.385	73
	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.8	82.2	0.0	Department	4.740	0.458	127
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	14	59	0	Class	4.808	0.396	73
	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.2	80.8	0.0	Department	4.756	0.449	127
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good.... (5)			
Dept: UNIVERSITY GENERAL							G= Good.... (4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 225					IB=In Between.... (3)			
		Your Dept ..... 225					P= Poor.... (2)			
		Your College .. 5127					VP= Very Poor.... (1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	6	21	83	111	0	Class	4.293	0.878	225
	1.8	2.7	9.3	36.9	49.3	0.0	Department	4.293	0.878	225
							College	4.052	0.955	5088
							University	4.171	0.950	4438
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	6	18	75	123	1	Class	4.388	0.818	224
	0.9	2.7	8.0	33.3	54.7	0.4	Department	4.388	0.818	224
							College	4.099	0.971	5085
							University	4.235	0.950	44367
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	6	35	78	102	1	Class	4.205	0.895	224
	1.3	2.7	15.6	34.7	45.3	0.4	Department	4.205	0.895	224
							College	4.003	1.016	5080
							University	4.183	0.976	44337
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	6	22	57	77	62	1	Class	3.746	1.051	224
	2.7	9.8	25.3	34.2	27.6	0.4	Department	3.746	1.051	224
							College	3.969	0.930	5083
							University	4.118	0.929	44309
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	2	8	59	154	1	Class	4.621	0.645	224
	0.4	0.9	3.6	26.2	68.4	0.4	Department	4.621	0.645	224
							College	4.181	0.942	5081
							University	4.300	0.891	44336
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	2	10	67	143	2	Class	4.565	0.667	223
	0.4	0.9	4.4	29.8	63.6	0.9	Department	4.565	0.667	223
							College	4.113	0.822	5071
							University	4.151	0.870	44298
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	16	88	118	0	Class	4.422	0.704	225
	0.4	0.9	7.1	39.1	52.4	0.0	Department	4.422	0.704	225
							College	4.173	0.827	5073
							University	4.260	0.847	44331
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	17	108	98	0	Class	4.342	0.657	225
	0.0	0.9	7.6	48.0	43.6	0.0	Department	4.342	0.657	225
							College	4.159	0.838	5072
							University	4.249	0.853	44326
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	2	18	99	105	0	Class	4.356	0.706	225
	0.4	0.9	8.0	44.0	46.7	0.0	Department	4.356	0.706	225
							College	4.040	0.953	5066
							University	4.163	0.952	44309
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	4	6	68	144	0	Class	4.538	0.756	225
	1.3	1.8	2.7	30.2	64.0	0.0	Department	4.538	0.756	225
							College	4.246	0.840	5072
							University	4.319	0.843	44300
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	3	11	81	129	0	Class	4.484	0.695	225
	0.4	1.3	4.9	36.0	57.3	0.0	Department	4.484	0.695	225
							College	4.140	0.769	5064
							University	4.196	0.809	44281
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	37	81	105	0	Class	4.280	0.783	225
	0.4	0.4	16.4	36.0	46.7	0.0	Department	4.280	0.783	225
							College	4.174	0.824	5061
							University	4.245	0.843	44263
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	3	20	92	107	1	Class	4.335	0.769	224
	0.9	1.3	8.9	40.9	47.6	0.4	Department	4.335	0.769	224
							College	4.153	0.887	5065
							University	4.224	0.900	44295
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	4	33	87	100	0	Class	4.249	0.802	225
	0.4	1.8	14.7	38.7	44.4	0.0	Department	4.249	0.802	225
							College	4.050	0.915	5065
							University	4.166	0.924	44269
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	8	29	97	90	0	Class	4.187	0.824	225
	0.4	3.6	12.9	43.1	40.0	0.0	Department	4.187	0.824	225
							College	4.142	0.807	5070
							University	4.272	0.800	44301
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	3	22	93	106	0	Class	4.333	0.744	225
	0.4	1.3	9.8	41.3	47.1	0.0	Department	4.333	0.744	225
							College	4.131	0.867	5065
							University	4.230	0.870	44238