North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information Number of Students:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses VG= Very Good....(5) Dept: MEDICAL LABORATORY SCIENCE G= Good....(4)

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... IB=In Between...(3) Your Dept .... 20 Your College .. 7156 P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each guestion. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P ΙB G VG \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 20 4.350 0.988 Class 1. your satisfaction with the 4.350 0.988 20 Department 7146 5.0 0.0 5.0 35.0 55.0 0.0 instruction in this course. College 4.241 0.858 University 82961 Class 4.400 Department 2. the instructor as a teacher. 0 4.400 0.995 2.0 0.0 5.0 30.0 60.0 7139 5.0 0.0 0.851 College 4.307 82918 University 4.240 0.937 0.887 3 the ability of the instructor Ω Department 4 450 0 887 20 10.0 20.0 to communicate effectively. 5.0 College 0.877 University 4.192 0.961 82880 Class 4 450 0.826 20 4. the quality of this course. 0 Department 4.450 0.826 2.0 0.861 30.0 College University 4.129 0.925 82808 Class 4.550 0 605 20 5. the fairness of procedures 4.550 0.605 20 Department 0.0 7142 for grading this course. 0.0 5.0 35.0 60.0 0.0 4.313 0.855 College University 4.296 0.893 82855 Class 4 350 0.813 20 6. your understanding of the Department 4.350 20 0.813 College 4.294 University 4.156 course content. 0.0 5.0 5.0 40.0 50.0 0 0 0.723 7127 82760 0.865 SD D N Α SA OMIT MEAN \_\_\_\_\_\_ .\_\_\_\_ 20 4.400 0.821 Class Department 7. instructor created an atmos-4.400 0.821 20 7145 0.0 5.0 5.0 35.0 55.0 0.0 phere conducive to learning. College 4.316 0.812 University 4.263 0.839 82849 -----20 8. instructor provided well-Ω Department 4.550 0.686 20 65.0 0.0 10.0 25.0 0.0 defined course objectives. 0.0 0.757 7144 College 4.353 University 4.253 0.848 82829 4.300 1.031 Class 20 9. instructor provided content/ Department 0 4.300 1.031 20 College materials clear/organized. 0.906 University 4.167 0 946 82815 4.600 Class 0.598 20 10. I understood how grades Department 4.600 0.598 2.0 0.0 30.0 College 0.760 were assigned in this course. 0.0 65.0 0.0 University 4.321 0.840 82792 Class 4.500 0.607 20 11. I met/exceeded the course 4.500 0.607 20 Department College 7143 objectives for this course. 0.0 0.0 5.0 40.0 55.0 0.0 4.326 0.694 University 4.210 0.797 82776 Class 4 400 0 754 20 12. instructor was available to Department 4.400 0.754 20 assist students outside class. 0.0 0.0 15.0 30.0 55.0 0.0 College 4 308 0 796 7125 82719 University 4.250 0.837 Class 4.450 0.686 20 13. instructor provided feedback Department 4.450 0.686 0.0 0.0 10.0 in a timely manner. 35.0 55.0 0.0 College 4.318 0.831 7131 University 4.228 82792 0.901 4.450 0.759 20 Class Department 14. instructor provided relevant 4.450 0.759 2.0 7133 0.0 0.0 15.0 25.0 60.0 0.0 feedback that helped me learn. College 4.267 0.862 University 4.172 0.920 20 Class 4.350 0.813 15. instructor set + maintained Department 4.350 0.813 20 40.0 0.0 5.0 5.0 50.0 7068 high standards for students. 0.0 College 4.398 0.704 82746 University 4.280 0.794 Class 4.400 20 16. physical environment Department 4 400 0.821 20 0.0 5.0 5.0 35.0 55.0 0.787 7063 0.0 College 4.330 was conducive to learning.

University

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information Number of Students: Response Key:

Frequencies (top row) and percent	s (bott	om row			to the			Very Poo	r(1)	
S.D. is the Standard Deviation ar Please rate:							AREA	MEAN	S.D.	 #R
. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	2 1.2	14 8.6	57 35.0	90 55.2	0 0.0	Class   Department   College	4.241	0.704 0.704 0.858	16 16 714
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	1 0.6	13 8.0	45 27.6	104 63.8	0	University Class Department College University	4.546 4.546 4.307	0.938  0.669 0.669 0.851 0.937	8296  16 16 713 8291
. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	14 8.6	49 30.1	99 60.7	1 0.6	Class   Department   College   University	4.525 4.525 4.525 4.291	0.652 0.652 0.652 0.877 0.961	16 16 714 8288
. the quality of this course.	0	1 0.6	16 9.8	57 35.0	89 54.6	0	Class Department College University	4.228	0.694 0.694 0.861 0.925	16 16 713 8280
the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	12 7.4	42 25.8	107 65.6	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.313	0.686 0.686 0.855 0.893	16 16 714 8285
. your understanding of the course content.	1 0.6	1 0.6	8 4.9	67 41.1	85 52.1	1 0.6	Class   Department   College   University	4.294	0.678 0.678 0.723 0.865	16 16 712 8276
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
'. instructor created an atmos- phere conducive to learning.	0	2 1.2	6 3.7	58 35.6	96 58.9	1 0.6	Class   Department   College   University	4.316	0.632 0.632 0.832 0.812 0.839	16 16 71 828
instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	3 1.8	14 8.6	47 28.8	98 60.1	1 0.6	Class   Department   College   University	4.353	0.733 0.733 0.757 0.848	16 16 71 8282
o. instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized.	0	3 1.8	18 11.0	57 35.0	84 51.5	1 0.6	Class   Department   College   University	4.230	0.755 0.755 0.906 0.946	16 16 71 828
. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	6 3.7	6 3.7	53 32.5	96 58.9	2 1.2	Class   Department   College   University	4.403	0.743 0.743 0.760 0.840	10 10 71 827
. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1 0.6	1 0.6	8 4.9	81 49.7	71 43.6	1 0.6	Class   Department   College   University	4.326	0.665 0.665 0.694 0.797	16 16 71 827
:. instructor was available to assist students outside class.		0.0	17 10.4	45 27.6	100 61.3	1 0.6	Class   Department   College   University	4.308	0.680 0.796	712
. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0.0	0.6		49 30.1		1 0.6	Class   Department   College   University	4.494 4.318 4.228	0.831	713 8279
. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0.0	1 0.6	18 11.0	49 30.1	94 57.7	1 0.6	Class   Department   College   University	4.457 4.457 4.267 4.172	0.715 0.715 0.862 0.920	16 16 713 827
i. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0.0	0.0		48 29.4		1 0.6	Class   Department   College   University	4.506 4.506 4.398	0.671 0.671 0.704	16 16 70
physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	10	62	88	1 0.6	Class   Department   College	4.457	0.787	16 16 706

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

ormation Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: NURSING

VG= Very Good...(5)
G= Good...(4)
IB=In Between...(3)
P= Poor...(2)
VP= Very Poor...(1) # Sheets Scanned: This Level ... 696 Your Dept ... 2137 Your College .. 7156

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.365	0.797	69
. your satisfaction with the	4	20	56	253	362	1	Department		0.856	213
instruction in this course.	0.6	2.9	8.0	36.4	52.0	0.1	College	4.241	0.858	714
							University	4.176	0.938	8296
							Class	4.405	0.798	69
. the instructor as a teacher.	5	19	50	236	384	2	Department	4.414	0.854	213
	0.7	2.7	7.2	33.9	55.2	0.3	College	4.307	0.851	713
							University	4.240	0.937	8291
							Class	4.360	0.852	69
. the ability of the instructor	8	20	64	225	378	1	Department	4.389	0.868	21
to communicate effectively.	1.1	2.9	9.2	32.3	54.3	0.1	College	4.291	0.877	71
							University	4.192	0.961	8288
							Class	4.341	0.809	69
. the quality of this course.	7	13	68	255	352	1	Department		0.837	21
	1.0	1.9	9.8	36.6	50.6	0.1	College	4.228	0.861	71:
							University	4.129	0.925	828
							Class	4.409	0.815	69
. the fairness of procedures	9	13	53	229	390	2	Department		0.827	213
for grading this course.	1.3	1.9	7.6	32.9	56.0	0.3	College	4.313	0.855	71
							University	4.296	0.893	828
·							Class	4.453	0.654	69
. your understanding of the	2	4	38	283	366	3	Department		0.692	21
course content.	0.3	0.6	5.5	40.7	52.6	0.4	College	4.294	0.723	71:
							University	4.156	0.865	827
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=======================================						=======	========			=====
. instructor created an atmos-	3	15	45	247	385	1	Class   Department	4.433	0.746 0.801	6: 21:
phere conducive to learning.	0.4	2.2	6.5	35.5	55.3	0.1	College	4.316	0.812	71
							University	4.263	0.839	828
							Class	4.398	0.787	6:
. instructor provided well-	5	16	54	243	378	0	Department		0.755	21
defined course objectives.	0.7	2.3	7.8	34.9	54.3	0.0	College	4.353	0.757	71
							University	4.253	0.848	828
							Class	4.292	0.875	6:
. instructor provided content/	6	27	77	234	352	0	Department		0.918	21
materials clear/organized.	0.9	3.9	11.1	33.6	50.6	0.0	College	4.230	0.906	71
							University	4.167	0.946	828
							Class	4.509	0.700	6:
. I understood how grades	4	8	35	231	416	2	Department	4.525	0.702	21
were assigned in this course.	0.6	1.1	5.0	33.2	59.8	0.3	College	4.403	0.760	71
							University	4.321	0.840	827
							Class	4.422	0.674	6
. I met/exceeded the course	3	4	43	292	353	1	Department		0.693	21
objectives for this course.	0.4	0.6	6.2	42.0	50.7	0.1	College	4.326	0.694	71
							University	4.210	0.797	827
·							Class	4.452	0.750	6
. instructor was available to	5	11	46	235	396	3	Department		0.753	21
assist students outside class.	0.7	1.6	6.6	33.8	56.9	0.4		4.308	0.796	71
							University	4.250	0.837	827
							Class		0.771	6
. instructor provided feedback	8		45	232	400	2	Department			
in a timely manner.	1.1	1.3	6.5	33.3	57.5	0.3	College			
							University			
							Class	4.402		6
. instructor provided relevant	8	10	64	225	387	2	Department			
feedback that helped me learn.	1.1	1.4	9.2	32.3	55.6	0.3	College   University	4.267	0.862 0.920	71 827
		_	2.0	022	41-	4	Class	4.517	0.681	6
. instructor set + maintained	0.6	6	32	238 34.2	415	1	Department		0.698	21
high standards for students.	0.6	0.9	4.0	34.∠	59.6	0.1	College   University		0.704 0.794	827
. physical environment	4	10	41	247	392	2	Class   Department	4.460	0.723	6 21
			ユエ	21	224	△	Depar cinemic	1.110	0.00-	
was conducive to learning.	0.6	1.4	5.9	35.5	56.3	0.3	College	4.330	0.787	70

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

ormation Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: NURSING

VG= Very Good...(5)
G= Good...(4)
IB=In Between...(3)
P= Poor...(2)
VP= Very Poor...(1) # Sheets Scanned: This Level . . . 1180 Your Dept . . . 2137 Your College . . 7156

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=======================================				======	======	=======	==========   Class	4.375	0.882	118
. your satisfaction with the	21	34	92	368	665	0	Department		0.856	213
instruction in this course.	1.8	2.9	7.8	31.2	56.4	0.0	College	4.241	0.858	714
							University	4.176	0.938	8296
							Class	4.422	0.893	117
. the instructor as a teacher.	25	31	83	322	717	2	Department		0.854	213
	2.1	2.6	7.0	27.3	60.8	0.2	College   University	4.307	0.851 0.937	713 8291
. the ability of the instructor	21	33	87	327	711	1	Class   Department	4.420	0.879 0.868	11' 21'
to communicate effectively.	1.8	2.8	7.4	27.7	60.3	0.1	College	4.291	0.877	714
_							University		0.961	8288
							Class	4.423	0.841	11′
. the quality of this course.	20	24	80	367	685	4	Department	4.376	0.837	213
	1.7	2.0	6.8	31.1	58.1	0.3	College	4.228	0.861	713
							University 	4.129	0.925	8280
the felt many of months are	0.0	1.0		220	E06		Class	4.464	0.834	118
. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	22 1.9	19 1.6	74 6.3	339 28.7	726 61.5	0.0	Department   College	4.437	0.827 0.855	213 714
for grading this course.	1.9	1.0	0.5	20.7	01.5	0.0	University		0.893	828
							Class	4.454	0.700	11
. your understanding of the	9	7	67	450	642	5	Department		0.700	212
course content.	0.8	0.6	5.7	38.1	54.4	0.4	College	4.294	0.723	712
							University	4.156	0.865	827
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
			=====					4 411		
. instructor created an atmos-	15	30	81	382	671	1	Class   Department	4.411	0.828 0.801	11' 21'
phere conducive to learning.	1.3	2.5	6.9	32.4	56.9	0.1	College	4.316	0.812	71
							University	4.263	0.839	828
							Class	4.470	0.747	11'
. instructor provided well-	12	16	62	404	684	2	Department	4.439	0.755	21:
defined course objectives.	1.0	1.4	5.3	34.2	58.0	0.2	College   University	4.353	0.757 0.848	71 828:
							Class	4.333	0.923	11
. instructor provided content/	22	51	86	373	647	1	Department	4.294	0.918	213
materials clear/organized.	1.9	4.3	7.3	31.6	54.8	0.1	College	4.230	0.906	71
							University	4.167	0.946	8281
							Class	4.561	0.667	118
. I understood how grades	10	6 0.5	39 3.3	382 32.4	743 63.0	0.0	Department   College	4.525	0.702 0.760	213 714
were assigned in this course.	0.8	0.5	3.3	32.4	03.0	0.0	University		0.840	827
							Class	4.461	0.696	11
. I met/exceeded the course	8	9	64	448	650	1	Department		0.693	21
objectives for this course.	0.7	0.8	5.4	38.0	55.1	0.1	College	4.326	0.694	714
							University	4.210	0.797	827
							Class	4.456	0.777	11'
. instructor was available to	13	16	83	375	692	1	Department	4.462	0.753	21:
assist students outside class.	1.1	1.4	7.0	31.8	50.6	0.1	College   University	4.308 4.250	0.796 0.837	71: 827:
							Class	4.448	0.816	11
. instructor provided feedback	18	24		373		4	Department			21:
in a timely manner.	1.5	2.0	5.6	31.6	58.9	0.3	College	4.318	0.831	71
							University	4.228	0.901	827
	0.5						Class	4.420	0.852	11'
. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	20 1.7	28 2.4	80 6.8	360 30.5	691 58.6	1 0.1	Department   College		0.828 0.862	21: 71:
recupack that helped me learn.	1./	2.4	0.8	30.5	0.00	0.1	College   University	4.267 4.172		
							Class	4 516	0.721	111
. instructor set + maintained	12	11	54	381	720	2	Class   Department	4.516 4.515		11' 21'
	1.0	0.9		32.3		0.2	College	4.398	0.704	70
							University			
							Class	4.397	0.852	11
. physical environment was conducive to learning.	21	23 1.9	89 7 5	375 31.8	663 56.2	9	Department   College	4.415	0.804 0.787	21: 70:

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

nformation Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses Dept: NURSING

VG= Very Good....(5)
G= Good....(4)
IB=In Between....(3)
P= Poor....(2)
VP= Very Poor....(1) # Sheets Scanned: This Level ... 261 Your Dept ... 2137 Your College .. 7156

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
		.=====					Class	4.222	0.884	26
. your satisfaction with the	2	12	31	97	119	0	Department	4.353	0.856	213
instruction in this course.	0.8	4.6	11.9	37.2	45.6	0.0	College	4.241	0.858	714
							University	4.176	0.938	8296
							Class	4.398	0.819	26
. the instructor as a teacher.	2	10	14	91	144	0	Department	4.414	0.854	213
	0.8	3.8	5.4	34.9	55.2	0.0	College	4.307	0.851	713
							University	4.240	0.937	829
							Class	4.330	0.859	26
. the ability of the instructor	2	8	31	81	139	0	Department	4.389	0.868	21
to communicate effectively.	0.8	3.1	11.9	31.0	53.3	0.0	College	4.291	0.877	71
							University	4.192	0.961	828
							Class	4.257	0.881	2
. the quality of this course.	5	8	22	106	120	0	Department		0.837	21
	1.9	3.1	8.4	40.6	46.0	0.0	College	4.228	0.861	71:
							University	4.129	0.925	828
							Class	4.383	0.827	2
. the fairness of procedures	2	8	22	85	144	0	Department		0.827	21
for grading this course.	0.8	3.1	8.4	32.6	55.2	0.0	College	4.313	0.855	71
							University	4.296	0.893	828
							Class	4.322	0.742	26
. your understanding of the	1	5	22	114	119	0	Department		0.692	21:
course content.	0.4	1.9	8.4	43.7	45.6	0.0	College	4.294	0.723	71
							University	4.156	0.865	827
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
						=======		======	=======	
. instructor created an atmos-	2	10	15	98	136	0	Class   Department	4.364	0.819 0.801	21
phere conducive to learning.	0.8	3.8	5.7	37.5	52.1	0.0	College	4.316	0.812	71
1							University	4.263	0.839	828
							Class	4.409	0.695	2!
. instructor provided well-	0	4	19	103	133	2	Department		0.755	21
defined course objectives.	0.0	1.5	7.3	39.5	51.0	0.8	College	4.353	0.757	71
							University	4.253	0.848	828
							Class	4.126	0.986	2
. instructor provided content/	2	22	34	86	117	0	Department		0.918	21
materials clear/organized.	0.8	8.4	13.0	33.0	44.8	0.0	College	4.230	0.906	71
							University	4.167	0.946	828
							Class	4.406	0.834	2
. I understood how grades	4	8	11	93	145	0	Department		0.702	21
were assigned in this course.	1.5	3.1	4.2	35.6	55.6	0.0	College	4.403	0.760	71
							University	4.321	0.840	827
							Class	4.383	0.728	2
. I met/exceeded the course	2	4	14	113	128	0	Department		0.693	21
objectives for this course.	0.8	1.5	5.4	43.3	49.0	0.0	College	4.326	0.694	71
							University	4.210	0.797	827
							Class	4.512	0.649	2
. instructor was available to	0	2	16	89	153	1	Department	4.462	0.753	21
assist students outside class.	0.0	0.8	6.1	34.1	58.6	0.4		4.308	0.796 0.837	71 827
							University			
	_						Class		0.844	2
. instructor provided feedback	0		23		130	0	Department		0.806 0.831	
in a timely manner.	0.0	5.4	8.8	J0.U	49.8	0.0	College   University		0.831	
to an arrangement to the second	_	_				Ć.	Class	4.421		2
. instructor provided relevant	2	7	14	94	144	0	Department			21
feedback that helped me learn.	0.8	2.7	5.4	36.0	55.∠	0.0	College   University	4.267 4.172	0.862 0.920	71 827
to a contract of the first	•	-			1.10		Class	4.504	0.637	2
. instructor set + maintained	0.0	3 1.1	11	98 37.5	148 56.7	1	Department   College		0.698 0.704	21
high standards for students.	0.0	1.1	4.2	31.5	50./	0.4	College   University		0.704	70 827
. physical environment	1	7	23	91	138	1	Class   Department	4.377	0.789 0.804	21
		/	43	フエ	T 2 0	1	I nebar rmenr	T. TI)	U.0U4	21
was conducive to learning.			8.8	34.9	52.9	0.4	College	4.330	0.787	70

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: PHARMACY PRACTICE VG= Very Good...(5)
G= Good...(4)
IB=In Between...(3)
P= Poor...(2)
VP= Very Poor...(1) 

	Frequencies (top row) and percents S.D. is the Standard Deviation and							each question.			
	Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
===		=====	======			======	:======	Class	4.553	0.651	21
1.	your satisfaction with the	1	0	13	67	136	0	Department		0.842	316
	instruction in this course.	0.5	0.0	6.0	30.9	62.7	0.0	College   University	4.241 4.176	0.858 0.938	714 8296
								Class	4.618	0.657	21
2.	the instructor as a teacher.	1	2	9	55	150	Ö	Department		0.806	316
		0.5	0.9	4.1	25.3	69.1	0.0	College	4.307	0.851	713
								University	4.240	0.937	8291
2	the ability of the instructor	1	1	6	58	151	0	Class   Department	4.645	0.607 0.782	21 316
٥.	to communicate effectively.	0.5	0.5	2.8	26.7	69.6	0.0	College	4.291	0.877	714
	-							University		0.961	8288
								Class	4.410	0.818	21
4.	the quality of this course.	4	2	16	74	121	0	Department		0.833	316
		1.8	0.9	7.4	34.1	55.8	0.0	College   University	4.228 4.129	0.861 0.925	713 8280
								Class	4.668	0.639	21
5.	the fairness of procedures	2	1	5	51	158	0	Department	4.248	0.894	316
	for grading this course.	0.9	0.5	2.3	23.5	72.8	0.0	College	4.313	0.855	714
								University	4.296	0.893	8285
_		1		2	60	1.44	0	Class	4.636	0.562	21
ь.	your understanding of the course content.	1 0.5	0.0	1.4	69 31.8	144 66.4	0.0	Department   College	4.292 4.294	0.703 0.723	315 712
								University		0.865	8276
 I	Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
===			======			======	.=======				
7.	instructor created an atmos-	1	3	13	68	132	0	Class   Department	4.507 4.306	0.714 0.814	21 316
	phere conducive to learning.	0.5	1.4	6.0	31.3	60.8	0.0	College	4.316	0.812	714
								University	4.263	0.839	8284
								Class	4.590	0.625	21
8.	instructor provided well- defined course objectives.	1 0.5	0.0	10 4.6	65 30.0	141 65.0	0.0	Department   College	4.353	0.738 0.757	316 714
	derined course objectives.	0.5	0.0	1.0	30.0	03.0	0.0	University		0.848	8282
								Class	4.622	0.627	21
9.	instructor provided content/	1	0	11	56	149	0	Department		0.874	316
	materials clear/organized.	0.5	0.0	5.1	25.8	68.7	0.0	College   University	4.230 4.167	0.906 0.946	714 8281
								Class	4.719	0.552	21
0.	I understood how grades	1	1	2	50	163	0	Department	4.349	0.802	316
	were assigned in this course.	0.5	0.5	0.9	23.0	75.1	0.0	College	4.403	0.760	714 8279
								University	4.321	0.840	8279
1	I met/exceeded the course	1	0	5	74	137	0	Class   Department	4.594	0.587 0.687	21 316
Ι.	objectives for this course.	0.5	0.0	2.3	34.1	63.1	0.0	College	4.326	0.694	714
								University	4.210	0.797	8277
								Class	4.512	0.714	21
2.	instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0.5	19	61 29 1	135	0.0	Department   College	4.288	0.805 0.796	315 712
							0.0	University		0.837	
								Class	4.654	0.613	21
3.	instructor provided feedback	1	1	7	54		0	Department	4.290	0.863	316
	in a timely manner.	0.5	0.5	3.2	24.9	71.0	0.0	College   University			713 8279
4.	instructor provided relevant	1	3	12	66	135	0	Class   Department	4.525 4.252	0.707 0.864	21 316
	feedback that helped me learn.				30.4		0.0	College	4.267	0.862	713
								University	4.172	0.920	8274
_	4	-	2	_	E 2	100	3	Class		0.631	
5.	instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0.5	0.5	3 2	79 36.4	128 59 N	1 0.5	Department   College	4.383		
							0.5	University	4.280	0.794	8274
								Class	4.574	0.621	
6.	physical environment	0	3	6		136	1	Department	4.342	0.753	309
	was conducive to learning.	0.0	1.4	2.8	32.7	62.7	0.5	College	4.330	0.787	706
	J.							University	4 226	0 864	2763

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information

VG= Very Good...(5)
G= Good...(4)
IB=In Between...(3)
P= Poor...(2)
VP= Very Poor...(1) S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: PHARMACY PRACTICE 

			1	our Coi.	lege	/150	VP=	Very Poc	r(1)	
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation and							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	11 0.9	37 3.0	132 10.7	530 42.8	528 42.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.241	0.824 0.842 0.858 0.938	123 316 714 8296
2. the instructor as a teacher.	11 0.9	35 2.8	110 8.9	486 39.3	594 48.0	2 0.2	Class   Department   College   University	4.307	0.818 0.806 0.851 0.937	123 316 713 8291
. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	10 0.8	27 2.2	95 7.7	494 39.9	610 49.3	2 0.2	Class   Department   College   University	4.291	0.781 0.782 0.877 0.961	123 316 714 8288
. the quality of this course.	10 0.8	44 3.6	132 10.7	529 42.7	522 42.2	10.1	Class   Department   College   University	4.228	0.834 0.833 0.861 0.925	123 316 713 8280
. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	28 2.3	41 3.3	107 8.6	510 41.2	552 44.6	0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.313	0.905 0.894 0.855 0.893	123 316 714 8285
. your understanding of the course content.	8 0.6	17 1.4	114 9.2	605 48.9	492 39.7	2 0.2	Class   Department   College   University	4.259 4.292 4.294 4.156	0.733 0.703 0.723 0.865	12: 31: 71: 8276
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
. instructor created an atmos- phere conducive to learning.	13 1.1	28 2.3	99 8.0	514 41.5	583 47.1	1 0.1	Class   Department   College   University	4.316	0.799 0.814 0.812 0.839	12: 316 714 8284
s. instructor provided well- defined course objectives.	13 1.1	23 1.9	95 7.7	545 44.0	562 45.4	0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.353	0.778 0.738 0.757 0.848	123 316 714 8282
. instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized.	18 1.5	40 3.2	129 10.4	503 40.6	548 44.3	0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.230	0.869 0.874 0.906 0.946	123 316 714 8283
. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	17 1.4	38 3.1	75 6.1	507 41.0	601 48.5	0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.322 4.349 4.403 4.321	0.830 0.802 0.760 0.840	123 316 714 8279
. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	9 0.7	11 0.9	91 7.4	642 51.9	481 38.9	4 0.3	Class   Department   College   University	4.276 4.310 4.326 4.210	0.699 0.687 0.694 0.797	123 316 714 827
. instructor was available to assist students outside class.			12.6	512 41.4	42.6	6 0.5	Class   Department   College   University	4.308	0.796	712
. instructor provided feedback	18 1.5	25 2.0	112 9.0	544 43.9	533 43.1	6 0.5	Class   Department   College   University	4.290 4.318 4.228	0.831	713 8279
. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	18 1.5	33 2.7	138		521	7 0.6		4.214 4.252 4.267 4.172	0.854 0.864 0.862 0.920	123 316 713 827
. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	12 1.0	11 0.9				4 0.3	Class   Department   College   University	4.336 4.383 4.398	0.727 0.698 0.704	116 309 706 827
5. physical environment was conducive to learning.	11	22	93	533	508		Class   Department   College   University	4.342	0.769 0.753 0.787	116 309 706

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information Number of Students:

VG= Very Good...(5)
G= Good...(4)
IB=In Between...(3)
P= Poor...(2)
VP= Very Poor...(1) S\_Lev: 500 Level Courses Dept: PHARMACY PRACTICE # Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 1701 Your Dept .... 3168 Your College .. 7156

			10	our cor.	lege	/150	VP=	very Poo	r(1)	
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation ar							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
	======		.======	======	======		Class	4.212	0.869	169
. your satisfaction with the	30	57	148	751	712	3	Department	4.246	0.842	316
instruction in this course.	1.8	3.4	8.7	44.2	41.9	0.2	College	4.241	0.858	714
							University	4.176	0.938	8296
							Class	4.317	0.809	169
. the instructor as a teacher.	21	35	140	689	811	5	Department		0.806	316
	1.2	2.1	8.2	40.5	47.7	0.3	College	4.307	0.851	713
							University	4.240	0.937	8291
							Class	4.341	0.797	169
. the ability of the instructor	18	40	120	685	833	5	Department		0.782	316
to communicate effectively.	1.1	2.4	7.1	40.3	49.0	0.3	College	4.291	0.877	714
							University		0.961	8288
							Class	4.245	0.833	169
. the quality of this course.	19	50	168	720	740	4	Department		0.833	316
	1.1	2.9	9.9	42.3	43.5	0.2	College   University	4.228	0.861 0.925	713 8280
	0.6		165	600			Class	4.206	0.903	169
the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	26 1.5	77 4.5	165 9.7	682 40.1	747 43.9	4 0.2	Department   College	4.248	0.894 0.855	316 714
for grading this course.	1.5	4.5	5.1	10.1	43.9	0.2	University		0.893	828
. your understanding of the	3	26	132	879	653	8	Class	4.272	0.684	169
<ul> <li>your understanding of the course content.</li> </ul>	0.2	1.5	7.8	51.7	38.4	0.5	Department   College	4.292	0.703 0.723	319 712
							University		0.865	8276
Please rate:	SD	D	N ======	A ======	SA ======	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R =====
	20		110	EC.2	750	0	Class	4.271	0.835	169
<ul> <li>instructor created an atmos- phere conducive to learning.</li> </ul>	30 1.8	44 2.6	112 6.6	763 44.9	750 44.1	2 0.1	Department   College	4.306	0.814 0.812	316 714
phere conductive to rearning.	1.0	2.0	0.0	11.5	11.1	0.1	University		0.839	8284
3. instructor provided well-	11	23	106	777	782	2	Class   Department	4.351	0.715 0.738	169 316
defined course objectives.	0.6	1.4	6.2	45.7	46.0	0.1	College	4.353	0.757	714
							University		0.848	8282
							Class	4.214	0.895	169
. instructor provided content/	30	69	150	708	741	3	Department		0.874	316
materials clear/organized.	1.8	4.1	8.8	41.6	43.6	0.2	College	4.230	0.906	71
							University	4.167	0.946	8283
							Class	4.316	0.797	169
. I understood how grades	24	32	116	738	789	2	Department	4.349	0.802	316
were assigned in this course.	1.4	1.9	6.8	43.4	46.4	0.1	College	4.403	0.760	714
							University	4.321	0.840	8279
							Class	4.295	0.682	170
. I met/exceeded the course	7	13	137	858	685	1	Department		0.687	316
objectives for this course.	0.4	0.8	8.1	50.4	40.3	0.1	College   University	4.326	0.694 0.797	714 827
							1 OUTACTUTCA			
				_	_		Class	4.300	0.787	16
. instructor was available to	17	25 1 5	170			5	Department			
assist students outside class.	1.0	1.5	10.0	41.4	45.8	0.3	College   University			71: 827:
. instructor provided feedback	51	30	117	698	794	2	Class   Department		0.911	16
in a timely manner.	3.0		6.9			0.1	College		0.831	
-						· · <del>-</del>	University	4.228	0.901	827
							Class		0.883	17
. instructor provided relevant	41	40	142	724	753	1	Department			
feedback that helped me learn.	2.4	2.4	8.3	42.6	44.3	0.1	College		0.862	71
							University			
							Class	4.392	0.683	169
. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	13	13	76	787	806	6	Department	4.383	0.698	309
high standards for students.	0.8	0.8	4.5	46.3	47.4	0.4	College	4.398	0.704	706
							University	4.280	0.794	
							Class	4.346	0.752	
. physical environment			84				Department			
. physical environment was conducive to learning.							Department   College   University	4.330	0.787	706

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Information Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

VG= Very Good....(5)
G= Good....(4)
IB=In Between....(3)
P= Poor....(2)
VP= Very Poor....(1) S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses Dept: PHARMACY PRACTICE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level . . . 12 Your Dept . . . 3168 Your College . . 7156

		VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
. your satisfaction wit	h the	0	0	1	1	10	0	Class   Department		0.622	1: 316
instruction in this c	ourse.	0.0	0.0	8.3	8.3	83.3	0.0	College   University		0.858 0.938	714 8296
2. the instructor as a t	eacher	0	0	1	0	11	0	Class   Department	4.833	0.577 0.806	1 316
. one indefaced as a c	caoner.	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	91.7	0.0	College	4.307	0.851	713
								University		0.937	8291
. the ability of the in	structor	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class   Department	4.917 4.367	0.289 0.782	1 316
to communicate effect		0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	College   University	4.291	0.877	714 8288
								Class	4.667	0.651	1
. the quality of this c	ourse.	0.0	0.0	1 8.3	2 16.7	9 75.0	0.0	Department   College	4.248	0.833 0.861	316 713
								University		0.925	8280
	1	0		0	-	1.1	0	Class	4.917	0.289	1
<ul> <li>the fairness of proce for grading this cour</li> </ul>		0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	11 91.7	0.0	Department   College	4.248	0.894 0.855	316 714
								University		0.893	8285
	+ho	^	^	2	^		0	Class	4.333	0.888	215
<ul> <li>your understanding of course content.</li> </ul>	ıne	0.0	0.0	3 25.0	2 16.7	7 58.3	0.0	Department   College	4.292	0.703 0.723	315 712
								University		0.865	8276
Please rate:		SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
. instructor created an	2+mog-	0	0	0	2	10	0	Class   Department	4.833	0.389 0.814	===== 1 316
phere conducive to le		0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	College	4.316	0.812	714
								University	4.263	0.839	8284
. instructor provided w	ell-	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class   Department	5.000 4 353	0.000 0.738	1 316
defined course object		0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	College	4.353	0.757	714
								University		0.848	8282
. instructor provided c	ontent/	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class   Department	4.917	0.289 0.874	1 316
materials clear/organ		0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	College	4.230	0.906	714
								University	4.167	0.946	8281
. I understood how grad	A 0	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class   Department	5.000	0.000 0.802	1 316
were assigned in this		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	College	4.403	0.760	714
								University		0.840	8279
. I met/exceeded the co	urse	0	0	Ö	2	10	0	Class   Department	4.833	0.389 0.687	316
objectives for this c		0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	College	4.326	0.694	714
								University		0.797	8277
. instructor was availa	hle to	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class   Department	5.000 4.288	0.000 0.805	1 315
assist students outsi			0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0		4.308	0.796	712 8271
									5.000		1
<ul> <li>instructor provided f in a timely manner.</li> </ul>	eedback	0.0	0.0	0.0		12 100.0	0.0	Department   College			316 713
								University			
. instructor provided r	elevant	0	0	1	0	11	0	Class   Department		0.577 0.864	1 316
feedback that helped			0.0	8.3		91.7	0.0	College	4.267	0.862	713
								University			
. instructor set + main	tained	0	0	0	2	10	0	Class   Department		0.389	1 309
high standards for st		0.0		0.0			0.0	College	4.398	0.704	706
								University			
. physical environment		0	0	1	1	10	0	Class   Department			1 309

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information Number of Students: Response K

Good....(4) # Sheets Scanned: This Level . . . . 315 Your Dept . . . 315 Your College . . 7156 IB=In Between...(3) P= Poor...(2) VP= Very Poor....(1) Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each guestion. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses. VP P IB G VG ======= \_\_\_\_\_ 4.337 0.728 315 Class 1. your satisfaction with the Λ 4.337 0.728 315 134 Department 0.0 2.2 0.0 instruction in this course. 8.6 42.5 46.7 College 4.241 0.858 7146 University 4.176 Class 4.462 Department 2. the instructor as a teacher. 0 17 135 162 4.462 0.598 314 0.0 0.0 7139 5.4 42.9 0.3 0.851 51.4 College 4.307 University 4.240 0.937 82918 3 the ability of the instructor 13 122 176 Department 4 494 0 655 314 to communicate effectively. 0.3 38.7 55.9 0.877 College University 4.192 0.961 82880 Class 3 908 1 007 315 0 4. the quality of this course. 28 61 118 103 Department 3.908 1.007 315 8.9 19.4 College 0.861 University 4.129 0.925 82808 Class 4.134 0 963 314 5. the fairness of procedures 13 40 0.963 Department 4.134 314 for grading this course. 2.5 12.7 38.4 0.3 4.313 0.855 7142 4.1 41.9 University 4.296 0.893 82855 Class 4 307 0 671 313 6. your understanding of the 158 Department 4.307 0.671 313 40.6 College 4.294 University 4.156 course content. 0.0 1.6 7.0 50.2 0.6 0.723 7127 82760 0.865 SD D N Α MEAN \_\_\_\_\_\_ .\_\_\_\_ 4.476 0.620 315 Class Department 7. instructor created an atmos-4.476 0.620 315 7145 0.0 1.0 phere conducive to learning. 3.8 41.9 53.3 0.0 College 4.316 0.812 University 4.263 82849 0.676 8. instructor provided well-23 141 146 Department 4.366 0.676 314 1.3 7.3 46.3 0.3 defined course objectives. 0.0 44.8 4.353 0.757 7144 College University 4.253 82829 0.848 4.324 Class 0.698 315 9. instructor provided content/ Department 24 151 136 0 4.324 0.698 315 College materials clear/organized. 0.6 0.906 University 4.167 0 946 82815 4.362 0.759 315 10. I understood how grades 15 153 136 Department 4.362 0.759 315 2.9 College 0.760 were assigned in this course. 4.8 43.2 48.6 0.0 University 4.321 0.840 82792 Class 4.419 0.645 315 11. I met/exceeded the course 151 Department 4.419 0.645 315 2.9 College objectives for this course. 0.6 0.6 0.0 4.326 0.694 7143 University 4.210 0.797 82776 Class 4 263 0 784 315 12. instructor was available to Department 4.263 0.784 315 assist students outside class. 1.0 0.6 13.3 41.3 43.8 0.0 College 4 308 0 796 7125 82719 University 4.250 0.837 Class 4.217 0.878 314 2 13. instructor provided feedback Department 4.217 0.878 314 0.6 in a timely manner. 4.8 11.7 37.8 44.8 0.3 College 4.318 0.831 7131 University 4.228 82792 0.901 4.375 0.695 315 Class Department 14. instructor provided relevant 137 4.375 0.695 315 0.0 feedback that helped me learn. 6.7 43.5 47.9 0.0 College 4.267 0.862 7133 University 4.172 0.920 315 Class 4.454 0.603 15. instructor set + maintained 145 158 Department 4 454 0.603 315 0.0 1.0 2.9 high standards for students. 46.0 50.2 0.0 College 4.398 0.704 7068 82746 University 4.280 0.794 315 4.435 16. physical environment 1.8 130 163 Ω Department 4 435 0.662 315 0.0 1.3 5.7 41.3 51.7 0.787 7063 was conducive to learning. 0.0 College 4.330

University

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 1248 Your Dept .... 1257 Your College .. 7156

VG= Very Good...(5)
G= Good...(4)
IB=In Between...(3)
P= Poor...(2)
VP= Very Poor...(1)

	/1				lege			Very Poc		
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation ar							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	3.964	0.903	124
. your satisfaction with the	19	68	211	585	359	6	Department		0.901	125
instruction in this course.	1.5	5.4	16.9	46.9	28.8	0.5	College   University	4.241 4.176	0.858 0.938	714 8296
							Class	3.962	0.949	124
2. the instructor as a teacher.	22	85	197	553	386	5	Department		0.948	125
	1.8	6.8	15.8	44.3	30.9	0.4	College	4.307	0.851	713
							University	4.240	0.937	8291 
3. the ability of the instructor	45	93	261	495	349	5	Class   Department	3.813	1.040	124 125
to communicate effectively.	3.6	7.5	20.9	39.7	28.0	0.4	College	4.291	0.877	714
							University		0.961	8288
							Class	3.970	0.875	124
. the quality of this course.	13	63	226	584	354	8	Department		0.873	124
	1.0	5.0	18.1	46.8	28.4	0.6	College   University	4.228 4.129	0.861 0.925	713 8280
							Class	4.268	0.759	124
. the fairness of procedures	13	19	100	599	510	7	Department		0.758	125
for grading this course.	1.0	1.5	8.0	48.0	40.9	0.6	College	4.313	0.855	714
							University	4.296	0.893	8285
Trough undergranding of the	1.0	27	206	677	318	8	Class	4.018	0.773	124
<ul> <li>your understanding of the course content.</li> </ul>	12 1.0	2.2	16.5	54.2	25.5	0.6	Department   College	4.020	0.771 0.723	124 712
							University		0.865	8276
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
	======	:=====	======	======	=======		=========			
7. instructor created an atmos-	17	51	146	627	402	5	Class   Department	4.083 4.086	0.850 0.849	124 125
phere conducive to learning.	1.4	4.1	11.7	50.2	32.2	0.4	College	4.316	0.812	714
							University	4.263	0.839	8284
	11	2.0	1.41	604	450	4	Class	4.161	0.807	124 125
<ol> <li>instructor provided well- defined course objectives.</li> </ol>	11 0.9	38 3.0	141 11.3	604 48.4	450 36.1	4 0.3	Department   College	4.353	0.806 0.757	714
-							University		0.848	8282
							Class	3.995	1.005	124
). instructor provided content/	32	89	172	511	440	4 0.3	Department		1.004	125 714
materials clear/organized.	2.6	7.1	13.8	40.9	35.3	0.3	College   University	4.230 4.167	0.906 0.946	8281
							Class	4.324	0.710	124
). I understood how grades	8	22	63	615	534	6	Department		0.710	125
were assigned in this course.	0.6	1.8	5.0	49.3	42.8	0.5	College	4.403	0.760 0.840	714 8279
							University			
. I met/exceeded the course	8	12	141	724	358	5	Class   Department	4.136 4.137	0.693 0.692	124 125
objectives for this course.	0.6	1.0	11.3	58.0	28.7	0.4	College	4.326	0.694	714
							University	4.210	0.797	8277
				F. C. O.	2.04	1.	Class	4.062	0.801	123
<ol> <li>instructor was available to assist students outside class.</li> </ol>	10 0.8	15 1 2	255 20 4	563 45.1		14 1.1	Department   College			124 712
						+.+	University			
							Class	4.178	0.782	123
. instructor provided feedback				598		9	Department	4.181	0.781	124
in a timely manner.	0.8	2.0	12.3	47.9	36.3	0.7	College   University			
1. instructor provided relevant	20					10	Department		0.909	123 124
feedback that helped me learn.	1.6			44.9		0.8	College	4.267	0.862	713
							University			
						_		4.195	0.714	124
<ol> <li>instructor set + maintained high standards for students.</li> </ol>	6 0 5	20 1 6	123	671 52 Ω	423 33 9	5 0.4	Department   College			
						0.1	University	4.280	0.794	8274
							Class		0.846	124
5. physical environment					400		Department	4.093	0.844	125
was conducive to learning.	1.6	3.7	10.8	51.5	32.1	0.3	College   University			

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information Number of Students: Response Key

Dles	se rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
	se race. ====================================	VP =====	=====	10	=====	vG :======		=========			
1 vou	r satisfaction with the	0	0	0	5	4	0	Class   Department	4.444 3.967	0.527 0.901	9 1251
	truction in this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.6	44.4	0.0	College	4.241	0.858	7146
								University	4.176	0.938	82961
								Class	4.333	0.707	9
2. the	instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	4	4	0	Department	3.965	0.948	1252
		0.0	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	0.0	College   University	4.307	0.851 0.937	7139 82918
3 the	ability of the instructor	0	0	0	2	7	0	Class   Department	4.778 3.819	0.441	9 1252
	communicate effectively.	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	77.8	0.0	College	4.291	0.877	7140
								University	4.192	0.961	82880
								Class	4.333	0.500	9
4. the	quality of this course.	0	0	0	6	3	0	Department	3.973	0.873	1249
		0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	College   University	4.228 4.129	0.861 0.925	7138 82808
5 the	fairness of procedures	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class   Department	5.000 4.274	0.000 0.758	9 1250
	grading this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	College	4.313	0.855	7142
								University		0.893	82855
								Class	4.333	0.500	9
	r understanding of the	0	0	0	6	3	0	Department		0.771	1249
cou	rse content.	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	College   University	4.294	0.723 0.865	7127 82760
									4.150		
Plea	se rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====			:=====:					Class	4.556	0.527	====== 9
7. ins	tructor created an atmos-	0	0	0	4	5	0	Department		0.849	1252
phe	re conducive to learning.	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	0.0	College   University	4.316	0.812 0.839	7145 82849
o ina	truster provided well	Ō	0	0	_	4	0	Class	4.444	0.527	1252
	tructor provided well- ined course objectives.	0.0	0.0	0.0	5 55.6	4 44.4	0.0	Department   College	4.163 4.353	0.806 0.757	1253 7144
	_							University	4.253	0.848	82829
								Class	4.556	0.726	9
	tructor provided content/	0	0	1	2	6	0		3.999	1.004	1253
mat	erials clear/organized.	0.0	0.0	11.1	22.2	66.7	0.0	College   University	4.230 4.167	0.906 0.946	7147 82815
IO T 11	nderstood how grades	0	0	0	0	9	0	Class   Department	5.000	0.000 0.710	9 1251
	e assigned in this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	College	4.403	0.760	7144
								University	4.321	0.840	82792
								Class	4.333	0.500	9
	et/exceeded the course	0	0	0	6	3	0	Department	4.137	0.692	1252
obj	ectives for this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	College   University	4.326	0.694 0.797	7143 82776
2. ins	tructor was available to	0	0	1	6	2	0	Class   Department	4.111 4.062	0.601 0.800	9 1243
	ist students outside class.					22.2		College	4.308	0.796	7125
								University	4.250	0.837	82719
								Class	4.667	0.500	
	tructor provided feedback		0			6	0	Department			
ın	a timely manner.	0.0	0.0	U.U	33.3	66.7	0.0	College   University			7131 82792
4. ins	tructor provided relevant	0	0	0	5	4	0	Class   Department	3.975	0.527 0.909	1247
	dback that helped me learn.						0.0	Department   College			
								University	4.172	0.920	82741
								Class	4.333		
	tructor set + maintained	0	0	1 1			0	Department			
hig	h standards for students.	υ.υ	U.U	11.1	44.4	44.4	0.0	College   University			
	sical environment	0	0			4	0	Class   Department		0.527 0.844	9 1253
	conducive to learning.						0.0	College	4.330	0.787	7063
was	_							University			

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: RESPIRATORY CARE

			1	our Col	lege	/150	VP=	Very Poc	r(1)	
Frequencies (top row) and percents S.D. is the Standard Deviation and							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0.0	0 0.0	2 100.0	0 0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.241	0.000 0.000 0.858 0.938	7146 82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0.0	0 0.0	0	2	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.307	0.000 0.000 0.851 0.937	7139 82918
<ol> <li>the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.</li> </ol>	0	0.0	0.0	1 50.0	1 50.0	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.291	0.707 0.707 0.877 0.961	714 8288
4. the quality of this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 50.0	1 50.0	0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.228	0.707 0.707 0.861 0.925	713 8280
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 50.0	1 50.0	0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.313	0.707 0.707 0.855 0.893	714 8285
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 50.0	1 50.0	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.500 4.500 4.294 4.156	0.707 0.707 0.723 0.865	712 8276
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0 0.0	1 50.0	1 50.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.316	0.707 0.707 0.707 0.812 0.839	714 8284
8. instructor provided well- defined course objectives.	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 50.0	1 50.0	0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.353	0.707 0.707 0.757 0.848	714 8282
<ol> <li>instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized.</li> </ol>	0	0.0	0.0	1 50.0	1 50.0	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.230	0.707 0.707 0.906 0.946	714 8281
O. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 50.0	1 50.0	0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.500 4.500 4.403 4.321	0.707 0.707 0.760 0.840	714 8279
<ol> <li>I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.</li> </ol>	0	0.0	0.0	1 50.0	1 50.0	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.500 4.500 4.326 4.210	0.707 0.707 0.694 0.797	714 8277
2. instructor was available to assist students outside class.		0.0	0.0		1 50.0	0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.308	0.796	712
<ol> <li>instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.</li> </ol>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2	0	Class   Department   College   University	5.000 4.318 4.228	0.831	
4. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0.0	0.0	0		1	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.500 4.500 4.267 4.172	0.707 0.707 0.862 0.920	713 8274
5. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0.0	0.0			1 50.0	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.500 4.500 4.398	0.707 0.707 0.704	706
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.500 4.330 4.236	0.787 0.864	706 8263

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 05JUL16 Using Data from Fall 2015 & Spring 2016

Department Information Number of Students: S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: RADIOLOGIC SCIENCES

					lege	7156	VP=	Very Poc	r(1)	
Frequencies (top row) and percen S.D. is the Standard Deviation a							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	0	0.0	2 25.0	6 75.0	0 0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.241	0.463 0.463 0.858 0.938	8 8 8 7146 82961
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0.0	0.0	1 12.5	1 12.5	6 75.0	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.625 4.625 4.307 4.240	0.744 0.744 0.851 0.937	8 8 7139 82918
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8 100.0	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.291	0.000 0.000 0.877 0.961	8 8 7140 82880
4. the quality of this course.	1 12.5	0.0	0.0	2 25.0	5 62.5	0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.228	1.389 1.389 0.861 0.925	8 8 7138 82808
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 12.5	7 87.5	0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.313	0.354 0.354 0.855 0.893	8 8 7142 82855
6. your understanding of the course content.	0.0	0 0 . 0	0.0	3 37.5	5 62.5	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.625 4.625 4.294 4.156	0.518 0.518 0.723 0.865	8 8 7127 82760
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 12.5	2 25.0	5 62.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.500 4.500 4.316 4.263	0.756 0.756 0.756 0.812 0.839	8 8 7145 82849
8. instructor provided well- defined course objectives.	0.0	0.0	1 12.5	1 12.5	6 75.0	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.353	0.744 0.744 0.757 0.848	8 8 7144 82829
9. instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized.	0.0	0.0	1 12.5	1 12.5	6 75.0	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.230	0.744 0.744 0.906 0.946	8 8 7147 82815
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 12.5	7 87.5	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.875 4.875 4.403 4.321	0.354 0.354 0.760 0.840	8 8 7144 82792
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	3 37.5	5 62.5	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.326	0.518 0.518 0.694 0.797	8 8 7143 82776
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.		0.0	0.0	0.0	8 100.0	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.308 4.250	0.796 0.837	7125 82719
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 12.5		0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.875 4.875 4.318 4.228	0.354 0.354 0.831 0.901	8 8 7131 82792
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0.0	0	0	3 37.5	5 62.5	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.625 4.625 4.267 4.172	0.518 0.518 0.862 0.920	8 8 7133 82741
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0.0	0	1 12.5	2 25.0	5 62.5	0.0	Class   Department   College   University	4.500 4.500 4.398 4.280	0.756 0.756 0.704 0.794	8 8 7068 82746
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1 12.5	1	2 25.0	4 50.0	0	Class   Department   College   University	4.125 4.125 4.330	1.126 1.126 0.787	8 8 7063