

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 72						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 288						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10140						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	14	29	26	0	Class	4.083	0.852	72	
	0.0	4.2	19.4	40.3	36.1	0.0	Department	4.330	0.764	288	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	4	11	26	30	0	Class	4.111	0.958	72	
	1.4	5.6	15.3	36.1	41.7	0.0	Department	4.382	0.774	288	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	3	5	31	32	0	Class	4.250	0.868	72	
	1.4	4.2	6.9	43.1	44.4	0.0	Department	4.382	0.760	288	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	11	37	23	0	Class	4.139	0.718	72	
	0.0	1.4	15.3	51.4	31.9	0.0	Department	4.337	0.729	288	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	2	12	29	27	0	Class	4.069	0.954	72	
	2.8	2.8	16.7	40.3	37.5	0.0	Department	4.365	0.798	288	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	4	36	29	1	Class	4.296	0.705	71	
	0.0	2.8	5.6	50.0	40.3	1.4	Department	4.367	0.655	286	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	8	38	24	0	Class	4.153	0.781	72	
	1.4	1.4	11.1	52.8	33.3	0.0	Department	4.406	0.677	288	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	1	2	31	37	0	Class	4.417	0.746	72	
	1.4	1.4	2.8	43.1	51.4	0.0	Department	4.476	0.635	288	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	6	28	37	0	Class	4.403	0.705	72	
	0.0	1.4	8.3	38.9	51.4	0.0	Department	4.378	0.783	288	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	4	7	31	30	0	Class	4.208	0.838	72	
	0.0	5.6	9.7	43.1	41.7	0.0	Department	4.392	0.771	288	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	4	9	39	20	0	Class	4.042	0.795	72	
	0.0	5.6	12.5	54.2	27.8	0.0	Department	4.302	0.685	288	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	6	34	31	0	Class	4.319	0.688	72	
	0.0	1.4	8.3	47.2	43.1	0.0	Department	4.514	0.630	288	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	2	39	30	0	Class	4.361	0.612	72	
	0.0	1.4	2.8	54.2	41.7	0.0	Department	4.483	0.683	288	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	4	11	30	26	0	Class	4.056	0.933	72	
	1.4	5.6	15.3	41.7	36.1	0.0	Department	4.403	0.750	288	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	0	3	34	33	0	Class	4.333	0.805	72	
	2.8	0.0	4.2	47.2	45.8	0.0	Department	4.455	0.661	288	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	12	31	28	0	Class	4.194	0.762	72	
	0.0	1.4	16.7	43.1	38.9	0.0	Department	4.324	0.768	287	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 177						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 288						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10140						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	4	13	67	93	0	Class	4.407	0.726	177	
	0.0	2.3	7.3	37.9	52.5	0.0	Department	4.330	0.764	288	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	16	63	96	0	Class	4.429	0.705	177	
	0.0	1.1	9.0	35.6	54.2	0.0	Department	4.382	0.774	288	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	4	11	67	95	0	Class	4.429	0.713	177	
	0.0	2.3	6.2	37.9	53.7	0.0	Department	4.382	0.760	288	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	3	15	67	92	0	Class	4.401	0.717	177	
	0.0	1.7	8.5	37.9	52.0	0.0	Department	4.337	0.729	288	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	13	65	97	0	Class	4.452	0.682	177	
	0.0	1.1	7.3	36.7	54.8	0.0	Department	4.365	0.798	288	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	8	84	84	1	Class	4.432	0.582	176	
	0.0	0.0	4.5	47.5	47.5	0.6	Department	4.367	0.655	286	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	6	75	95	0	Class	4.486	0.623	177	
	0.6	0.0	3.4	42.4	53.7	0.0	Department	4.406	0.677	288	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	8	75	94	0	Class	4.486	0.585	177	
	0.0	0.0	4.5	42.4	53.1	0.0	Department	4.476	0.635	288	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	6	15	60	95	0	Class	4.367	0.823	177	
	0.6	3.4	8.5	33.9	53.7	0.0	Department	4.378	0.783	288	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	7	72	94	0	Class	4.441	0.706	177	
	0.6	1.7	4.0	40.7	53.1	0.0	Department	4.392	0.771	288	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	6	85	86	0	Class	4.452	0.563	177	
	0.0	0.0	3.4	48.0	48.6	0.0	Department	4.302	0.685	288	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	5	62	108	0	Class	4.559	0.611	177	
	0.0	1.1	2.8	35.0	61.0	0.0	Department	4.514	0.630	288	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	5	10	59	103	0	Class	4.469	0.731	177	
	0.0	2.8	5.6	33.3	58.2	0.0	Department	4.483	0.683	288	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	13	61	102	0	Class	4.492	0.658	177	
	0.0	0.6	7.3	34.5	57.6	0.0	Department	4.403	0.750	288	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	9	66	102	0	Class	4.525	0.594	177	
	0.0	0.0	5.1	37.3	57.6	0.0	Department	4.455	0.661	288	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	4	7	73	90	1	Class	4.392	0.771	176	
	1.1	2.3	4.0	41.2	50.8	0.6	Department	4.324	0.768	287	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG

Sheets Scanned: This Level 39
Your Dept 288
Your College .. 10140

VG= Very Good....(5)
G= Good....(4)
IB=In Between....(3)
P= Poor....(2)
VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 10.3	14 35.9	21 53.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.436 4.330 4.117 4.190	0.680 0.764 0.949 0.952	39 288 10029 85073
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.6	11 28.2	27 69.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.667 4.382 4.177 4.262	0.530 0.774 0.952 0.942	39 288 10025 84930
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	1 2.6	3 7.7	14 35.9	21 53.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.410 4.382 4.088 4.213	0.751 0.760 0.993 0.969	39 288 10021 84928
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	1 2.6	3 7.7	14 35.9	21 53.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.410 4.337 4.072 4.144	0.751 0.729 0.918 0.940	39 288 10011 84784
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1 2.6	0 0.0	3 7.7	9 23.1	26 66.7	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.513 4.365 4.257 4.317	0.854 0.798 0.902 0.892	39 288 10026 84888
6. your understanding of the course content.	1 2.6	0 0.0	4 10.3	19 48.7	15 38.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.205 4.367 4.147 4.157	0.833 0.655 0.822 0.894	39 286 10003 84805
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 5.1	15 38.5	22 56.4	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.513 4.406 4.220 4.281	0.601 0.677 0.823 0.852	39 288 10037 84944
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 7.7	12 30.8	24 61.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.538 4.476 4.222 4.263	0.643 0.635 0.831 0.869	39 288 10030 84893
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	1 2.6	3 7.7	15 38.5	20 51.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.385 4.378 4.128 4.186	0.747 0.783 0.957 0.960	39 288 10032 84931
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1 2.6	1 2.6	1 2.6	10 25.6	26 66.7	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.513 4.392 4.274 4.341	0.885 0.771 0.848 0.844	39 288 10035 84909
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	2 5.1	4 10.3	21 53.8	12 30.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.103 4.302 4.222 4.226	0.788 0.685 0.742 0.810	39 288 10032 84883
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.6	11 28.2	27 69.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.667 4.514 4.224 4.278	0.530 0.630 0.826 0.835	39 288 10025 84822
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.6	7 17.9	31 79.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.769 4.483 4.183 4.243	0.485 0.683 0.905 0.910	39 288 10030 84870
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.6	12 30.8	26 66.7	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.641 4.403 4.111 4.192	0.537 0.750 0.919 0.931	39 288 10029 84829
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 7.7	19 48.7	17 43.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.359 4.455 4.242 4.301	0.628 0.661 0.792 0.808	39 288 10035 84888
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	1 2.6	4 10.3	18 46.2	16 41.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.256 4.324 4.184 4.251	0.751 0.768 0.878 0.885	39 287 10029 84832

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: AEROSPACE STUDIES							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 90					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 137					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 10140					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	12	78	0	Class	4.867	0.342	90	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.0	Department	4.912	0.284	137	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	9	80	0	Class	4.878	0.362	90	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	10.0	88.9	0.0	Department	4.920	0.298	137	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	8	81	0	Class	4.889	0.350	90	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	8.9	90.0	0.0	Department	4.920	0.298	137	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	10	79	0	Class	4.867	0.373	90	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	11.1	87.8	0.0	Department	4.912	0.309	137	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	5	85	0	Class	4.944	0.230	90	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	94.4	0.0	Department	4.963	0.189	136	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	10	78	0	Class	4.844	0.422	90	
	0.0	0.0	2.2	11.1	86.7	0.0	Department	4.890	0.358	136	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	8	82	0	Class	4.911	0.286	90	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	91.1	0.0	Department	4.942	0.235	137	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	4	84	0	Class	4.911	0.356	90	
	0.0	0.0	2.2	4.4	93.3	0.0	Department	4.934	0.302	137	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	6	83	0	Class	4.911	0.323	90	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	6.7	92.2	0.0	Department	4.927	0.288	137	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	1	4	83	0	Class	4.867	0.524	90	
	0.0	2.2	1.1	4.4	92.2	0.0	Department	4.912	0.428	137	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	8	81	0	Class	4.889	0.350	90	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	8.9	90.0	0.0	Department	4.927	0.288	137	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	6	84	0	Class	4.933	0.251	90	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	93.3	0.0	Department	4.949	0.221	137	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	6	84	0	Class	4.933	0.251	90	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	93.3	0.0	Department	4.956	0.205	137	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	8	81	1	Class	4.910	0.288	89	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	90.0	1.1	Department	4.941	0.236	136	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	4	86	0	Class	4.956	0.207	90	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4	95.6	0.0	Department	4.964	0.188	137	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	7	82	0	Class	4.900	0.337	90	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	7.8	91.1	0.0	Department	4.912	0.332	137	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
Dept: AEROSPACE STUDIES

Sheets Scanned: This Level 47
Your Dept 137
Your College .. 10140

VG= Very Good....(5)
G= Good....(4)
IB=In Between....(3)
P= Poor....(2)
VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	47 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.912 4.117 4.190	0.000 0.284 0.949 0.952	47 137 10029 85073
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	47 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.920 4.177 4.262	0.000 0.298 0.952 0.942	47 137 10025 84930
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.1	46 97.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.979 4.920 4.088 4.213	0.146 0.298 0.993 0.969	47 137 10021 84928
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	47 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.912 4.072 4.144	0.000 0.309 0.918 0.940	47 137 10011 84784
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	46 97.9	1 2.1	Class Department College University	5.000 4.963 4.257 4.317	0.000 0.189 0.902 0.892	46 136 10026 84888
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.1	45 95.7	1 2.1	Class Department College University	4.978 4.890 4.147 4.157	0.147 0.358 0.822 0.894	46 136 10003 84805
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	47 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.942 4.220 4.281	0.000 0.235 0.823 0.852	47 137 10037 84944
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.1	46 97.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.979 4.934 4.222 4.263	0.146 0.302 0.831 0.869	47 137 10030 84893
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 4.3	45 95.7	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.957 4.927 4.128 4.186	0.204 0.288 0.957 0.960	47 137 10032 84931
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	47 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.912 4.274 4.341	0.000 0.428 0.848 0.844	47 137 10035 84909
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	47 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.927 4.222 4.226	0.000 0.288 0.742 0.810	47 137 10032 84883
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.1	46 97.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.979 4.949 4.224 4.278	0.146 0.221 0.826 0.835	47 137 10025 84822
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	47 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.956 4.183 4.243	0.000 0.205 0.905 0.910	47 137 10030 84870
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	47 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.941 4.111 4.192	0.000 0.236 0.919 0.931	47 136 10029 84829
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.1	46 97.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.979 4.964 4.242 4.301	0.146 0.188 0.792 0.808	47 137 10035 84888
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.1	1 2.1	45 95.7	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.936 4.912 4.184 4.251	0.323 0.332 0.878 0.885	47 137 10029 84832

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 179						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1567						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10140						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	5	22	77	70	2	Class	4.164	0.873	177	
	1.7	2.8	12.3	43.0	39.1	1.1	Department	4.077	0.938	1531	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	6	19	69	79	2	Class	4.203	0.925	177	
	2.2	3.4	10.6	38.5	44.1	1.1	Department	4.144	0.953	1531	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	6	13	32	56	69	3	Class	3.960	1.087	176	
	3.4	7.3	17.9	31.3	38.5	1.7	Department	4.016	0.991	1531	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
4. the quality of this course.	1	5	30	83	58	2	Class	4.085	0.811	177	
	0.6	2.8	16.8	46.4	32.4	1.1	Department	4.092	0.861	1532	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	4	19	65	87	2	Class	4.305	0.838	177	
	1.1	2.2	10.6	36.3	48.6	1.1	Department	4.234	0.886	1533	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	2	20	85	70	1	Class	4.242	0.739	178	
	0.6	1.1	11.2	47.5	39.1	0.6	Department	4.108	0.782	1532	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	21	85	68	2	Class	4.226	0.742	177	
	0.6	1.1	11.7	47.5	38.0	1.1	Department	4.203	0.802	1536	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	5	18	74	77	3	Class	4.244	0.837	176	
	1.1	2.8	10.1	41.3	43.0	1.7	Department	4.218	0.812	1531	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	5	4	24	71	73	2	Class	4.147	0.936	177	
	2.8	2.2	13.4	39.7	40.8	1.1	Department	4.104	0.958	1533	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	11	19	68	78	1	Class	4.174	0.932	178	
	1.1	6.1	10.6	38.0	43.6	0.6	Department	4.243	0.872	1534	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	11	98	67	2	Class	4.305	0.610	177	
	0.0	0.6	6.1	54.7	37.4	1.1	Department	4.220	0.692	1533	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	3	28	68	76	3	Class	4.222	0.815	176	
	0.6	1.7	15.6	38.0	42.5	1.7	Department	4.185	0.879	1533	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	4	11	71	89	3	Class	4.381	0.754	176	
	0.6	2.2	6.1	39.7	49.7	1.7	Department	4.169	0.957	1532	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	6	21	73	76	2	Class	4.226	0.829	177	
	0.6	3.4	11.7	40.8	42.5	1.1	Department	4.130	0.892	1533	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	18	86	71	2	Class	4.277	0.689	177	
	0.0	1.1	10.1	48.0	39.7	1.1	Department	4.250	0.782	1534	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	22	82	69	3	Class	4.233	0.731	176	
	0.0	1.7	12.3	45.8	38.5	1.7	Department	4.183	0.837	1534	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1373						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1567						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10140						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	25	71	201	549	494	33	Class	4.057	0.947	1340	
	1.8	5.2	14.6	40.0	36.0	2.4	Department	4.077	0.938	1531	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	25	68	185	491	570	34	Class	4.130	0.959	1339	
	1.8	5.0	13.5	35.8	41.5	2.5	Department	4.144	0.953	1531	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	32	68	233	522	485	33	Class	4.015	0.977	1340	
	2.3	5.0	17.0	38.0	35.3	2.4	Department	4.016	0.991	1531	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
4. the quality of this course.	14	56	200	601	469	33	Class	4.086	0.868	1340	
	1.0	4.1	14.6	43.8	34.2	2.4	Department	4.092	0.861	1532	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	18	55	148	517	603	32	Class	4.217	0.894	1341	
	1.3	4.0	10.8	37.7	43.9	2.3	Department	4.234	0.886	1533	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
6. your understanding of the course content.	9	38	194	689	409	34	Class	4.084	0.786	1339	
	0.7	2.8	14.1	50.2	29.8	2.5	Department	4.108	0.782	1532	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	10	40	156	611	527	29	Class	4.194	0.811	1344	
	0.7	2.9	11.4	44.5	38.4	2.1	Department	4.203	0.802	1536	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	11	42	136	618	533	33	Class	4.209	0.810	1340	
	0.8	3.1	9.9	45.0	38.8	2.4	Department	4.218	0.812	1531	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	35	59	178	545	524	32	Class	4.092	0.963	1341	
	2.5	4.3	13.0	39.7	38.2	2.3	Department	4.104	0.958	1533	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	17	51	122	545	606	32	Class	4.247	0.865	1341	
	1.2	3.7	8.9	39.7	44.1	2.3	Department	4.243	0.872	1534	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	18	149	702	469	32	Class	4.205	0.702	1341	
	0.2	1.3	10.9	51.1	34.2	2.3	Department	4.220	0.692	1533	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	15	50	193	513	571	31	Class	4.174	0.888	1342	
	1.1	3.6	14.1	37.4	41.6	2.3	Department	4.185	0.879	1533	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	39	61	148	527	566	32	Class	4.133	0.979	1341	
	2.8	4.4	10.8	38.4	41.2	2.3	Department	4.169	0.957	1532	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	21	55	187	570	508	32	Class	4.110	0.901	1341	
	1.5	4.0	13.6	41.5	37.0	2.3	Department	4.130	0.892	1533	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	13	28	139	602	560	31	Class	4.243	0.796	1342	
	0.9	2.0	10.1	43.8	40.8	2.3	Department	4.250	0.782	1534	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	21	37	154	612	519	30	Class	4.170	0.851	1343	
	1.5	2.7	11.2	44.6	37.8	2.2	Department	4.183	0.837	1534	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 15					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 1567					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 10140					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	1	13	1	Class	4.929	0.267	14	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	86.7	6.7	Department	4.077	0.938	1531	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	3	11	0	Class	4.667	0.617	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	20.0	73.3	0.0	Department	4.144	0.953	1531	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	0	0	14	0	Class	4.800	0.775	15	
	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	93.3	0.0	Department	4.016	0.991	1531	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.092	0.861	1532	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	2	13	0	Class	4.867	0.352	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.0	Department	4.234	0.886	1533	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.108	0.782	1532	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.203	0.802	1536	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	3	11	0	Class	4.667	0.617	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	20.0	73.3	0.0	Department	4.218	0.812	1531	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	3	11	0	Class	4.667	0.617	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	20.0	73.3	0.0	Department	4.104	0.958	1533	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	2	12	0	Class	4.733	0.594	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	13.3	80.0	0.0	Department	4.243	0.872	1534	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.220	0.692	1533	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	3	12	0	Class	4.800	0.414	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.185	0.879	1533	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	2	13	0	Class	4.867	0.352	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	86.7	0.0	Department	4.169	0.957	1532	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.130	0.892	1533	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.250	0.782	1534	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.183	0.837	1534	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)				
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 404				IB=In Between....(3)				
			Your Dept 833				P= Poor....(2)				
			Your College .. 10140				VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	17	40	144	196	3	Class	4.274	0.880	401	
	1.0	4.2	9.9	35.6	48.5	0.7	Department	4.045	1.094	827	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	15	45	119	218	3	Class	4.327	0.889	401	
	1.0	3.7	11.1	29.5	54.0	0.7	Department	4.103	1.107	827	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	11	18	54	110	208	3	Class	4.212	1.019	401	
	2.7	4.5	13.4	27.2	51.5	0.7	Department	3.978	1.190	827	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
4. the quality of this course.	4	11	50	160	174	5	Class	4.226	0.844	399	
	1.0	2.7	12.4	39.6	43.1	1.2	Department	4.055	1.020	823	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	10	33	125	231	3	Class	4.429	0.788	401	
	0.5	2.5	8.2	30.9	57.2	0.7	Department	4.305	0.867	827	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	9	48	169	171	4	Class	4.240	0.806	400	
	0.7	2.2	11.9	41.8	42.3	1.0	Department	4.102	0.911	825	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	6	31	178	182	4	Class	4.325	0.745	400	
	0.7	1.5	7.7	44.1	45.0	1.0	Department	4.132	0.938	826	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	9	37	168	186	3	Class	4.319	0.754	401	
	0.2	2.2	9.2	41.6	46.0	0.7	Department	4.117	0.996	827	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	11	39	153	195	3	Class	4.312	0.816	401	
	0.7	2.7	9.7	37.9	48.3	0.7	Department	4.076	1.066	828	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	6	24	153	214	3	Class	4.414	0.757	401	
	1.0	1.5	5.9	37.9	53.0	0.7	Department	4.285	0.860	827	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	2	45	189	164	3	Class	4.279	0.698	401	
	0.2	0.5	11.1	46.8	40.6	0.7	Department	4.189	0.758	827	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	9	60	162	168	3	Class	4.209	0.813	401	
	0.5	2.2	14.9	40.1	41.6	0.7	Department	4.159	0.888	826	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	6	39	170	184	3	Class	4.317	0.750	401	
	0.5	1.5	9.7	42.1	45.5	0.7	Department	4.192	0.891	827	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	12	49	167	169	4	Class	4.218	0.829	400	
	0.7	3.0	12.1	41.3	41.8	1.0	Department	4.076	0.972	826	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	5	44	185	165	3	Class	4.262	0.741	401	
	0.5	1.2	10.9	45.8	40.8	0.7	Department	4.148	0.888	827	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	11	39	169	182	3	Class	4.302	0.756	401	
	0.0	2.7	9.7	41.8	45.0	0.7	Department	4.131	0.940	825	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 370						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 833						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10140						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	35	31	38	145	118	3	Class	3.763	1.253	367	
	9.5	8.4	10.3	39.2	31.9	0.8	Department	4.045	1.094	827	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	35	27	49	120	136	3	Class	3.804	1.274	367	
	9.5	7.3	13.2	32.4	36.8	0.8	Department	4.103	1.107	827	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	41	36	51	116	123	3	Class	3.665	1.328	367	
	11.1	9.7	13.8	31.4	33.2	0.8	Department	3.978	1.190	827	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	24	29	56	140	116	5	Class	3.808	1.161	365	
	6.5	7.8	15.1	37.8	31.4	1.4	Department	4.055	1.020	823	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	8	20	35	153	151	3	Class	4.142	0.950	367	
	2.2	5.4	9.5	41.4	40.8	0.8	Department	4.305	0.867	827	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	14	21	52	178	102	3	Class	3.907	0.993	367	
	3.8	5.7	14.1	48.1	27.6	0.8	Department	4.102	0.911	825	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	16	29	51	150	121	3	Class	3.902	1.082	367	
	4.3	7.8	13.8	40.5	32.7	0.8	Department	4.132	0.938	826	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	28	20	51	137	131	3	Class	3.880	1.179	367	
	7.6	5.4	13.8	37.0	35.4	0.8	Department	4.117	0.996	827	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	32	32	47	130	127	2	Class	3.783	1.249	368	
	8.6	8.6	12.7	35.1	34.3	0.5	Department	4.076	1.066	828	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	10	16	38	158	145	3	Class	4.123	0.952	367	
	2.7	4.3	10.3	42.7	39.2	0.8	Department	4.285	0.860	827	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	11	52	194	106	3	Class	4.054	0.805	367	
	1.1	3.0	14.1	52.4	28.6	0.8	Department	4.189	0.758	827	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	11	8	63	138	147	3	Class	4.095	0.961	367	
	3.0	2.2	17.0	37.3	39.7	0.8	Department	4.159	0.888	826	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	11	19	59	139	139	3	Class	4.025	1.009	367	
	3.0	5.1	15.9	37.6	37.6	0.8	Department	4.192	0.891	827	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	16	28	60	138	125	3	Class	3.894	1.093	367	
	4.3	7.6	16.2	37.3	33.8	0.8	Department	4.076	0.972	826	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	13	21	54	147	132	3	Class	3.992	1.028	367	
	3.5	5.7	14.6	39.7	35.7	0.8	Department	4.148	0.888	827	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	18	20	59	140	129	4	Class	3.934	1.083	366	
	4.9	5.4	15.9	37.8	34.9	1.1	Department	4.131	0.940	825	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 59					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 833					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 10140					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	2	3	25	27	0	Class	4.237	0.953	59	
	3.4	3.4	5.1	42.4	45.8	0.0	Department	4.045	1.094	827	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	5	16	36	0	Class	4.441	0.856	59	
	1.7	1.7	8.5	27.1	61.0	0.0	Department	4.103	1.107	827	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	6	20	31	0	Class	4.339	0.863	59	
	1.7	1.7	10.2	33.9	52.5	0.0	Department	3.978	1.190	827	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
4. the quality of this course.	1	0	6	18	34	0	Class	4.424	0.814	59	
	1.7	0.0	10.2	30.5	57.6	0.0	Department	4.055	1.020	823	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	5	21	33	0	Class	4.475	0.653	59	
	0.0	0.0	8.5	35.6	55.9	0.0	Department	4.305	0.867	827	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	6	20	31	1	Class	4.379	0.813	58	
	1.7	0.0	10.2	33.9	52.5	1.7	Department	4.102	0.911	825	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	6	25	26	0	Class	4.254	0.843	59	
	1.7	1.7	10.2	42.4	44.1	0.0	Department	4.132	0.938	826	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	2	6	24	26	0	Class	4.220	0.892	59	
	1.7	3.4	10.2	40.7	44.1	0.0	Department	4.117	0.996	827	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	1	7	20	30	0	Class	4.305	0.876	59	
	1.7	1.7	11.9	33.9	50.8	0.0	Department	4.076	1.066	828	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	6	19	33	0	Class	4.424	0.747	59	
	0.0	1.7	10.2	32.2	55.9	0.0	Department	4.285	0.860	827	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	5	22	31	0	Class	4.407	0.722	59	
	0.0	1.7	8.5	37.3	52.5	0.0	Department	4.189	0.758	827	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	12	16	28	1	Class	4.207	0.894	58	
	0.0	3.4	20.3	27.1	47.5	1.7	Department	4.159	0.888	826	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	7	18	33	0	Class	4.390	0.831	59	
	1.7	0.0	11.9	30.5	55.9	0.0	Department	4.192	0.891	827	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	9	19	29	0	Class	4.254	0.902	59	
	1.7	1.7	15.3	32.2	49.2	0.0	Department	4.076	0.972	826	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	8	23	28	0	Class	4.339	0.710	59	
	0.0	0.0	13.6	39.0	47.5	0.0	Department	4.148	0.888	827	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	12	19	26	1	Class	4.190	0.888	58	
	1.7	0.0	20.3	32.2	44.1	1.7	Department	4.131	0.940	825	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR							G= Good....(4)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 268				IB=In Between....(3)			
			Your Dept 1511				P= Poor....(2)			
			Your College .. 10140				VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	12	22	54	103	76	1	Class	3.783	1.085	267
	4.5	8.2	20.1	38.4	28.4	0.4	Department	4.128	0.909	1500
							College	4.117	0.949	10029
							University	4.190	0.952	85073
2. the instructor as a teacher.	10	23	43	95	96	1	Class	3.914	1.095	267
	3.7	8.6	16.0	35.4	35.8	0.4	Department	4.195	0.895	1500
							College	4.177	0.952	10025
							University	4.262	0.942	84930
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	14	27	67	81	78	1	Class	3.682	1.150	267
	5.2	10.1	25.0	30.2	29.1	0.4	Department	4.022	0.992	1500
							College	4.088	0.993	10021
							University	4.213	0.969	84928
4. the quality of this course.	7	21	54	117	68	1	Class	3.816	0.989	267
	2.6	7.8	20.1	43.7	25.4	0.4	Department	4.050	0.887	1502
							College	4.072	0.918	10011
							University	4.144	0.940	84784
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	13	8	38	85	123	1	Class	4.112	1.074	267
	4.9	3.0	14.2	31.7	45.9	0.4	Department	4.348	0.847	1502
							College	4.257	0.902	10026
							University	4.317	0.892	84888
6. your understanding of the course content.	9	13	53	114	78	1	Class	3.895	0.991	267
	3.4	4.9	19.8	42.5	29.1	0.4	Department	4.067	0.834	1500
							College	4.147	0.822	10003
							University	4.157	0.894	84805
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	9	11	39	118	90	1	Class	4.007	0.977	267
	3.4	4.1	14.6	44.0	33.6	0.4	Department	4.217	0.818	1501
							College	4.220	0.823	10037
							University	4.281	0.852	84944
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	11	49	120	81	1	Class	3.970	0.925	267
	2.2	4.1	18.3	44.8	30.2	0.4	Department	4.235	0.772	1503
							College	4.222	0.831	10030
							University	4.263	0.869	84893
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	10	19	50	116	72	1	Class	3.828	1.026	267
	3.7	7.1	18.7	43.3	26.9	0.4	Department	4.156	0.885	1501
							College	4.128	0.957	10032
							University	4.186	0.960	84931
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	7	13	24	109	114	1	Class	4.161	0.962	267
	2.6	4.9	9.0	40.7	42.5	0.4	Department	4.338	0.788	1502
							College	4.274	0.848	10035
							University	4.341	0.844	84909
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	6	18	41	119	83	1	Class	3.955	0.968	267
	2.2	6.7	15.3	44.4	31.0	0.4	Department	4.187	0.788	1500
							College	4.222	0.742	10032
							University	4.226	0.810	84883
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	6	44	123	90	2	Class	4.094	0.830	266
	1.1	2.2	16.4	45.9	33.6	0.7	Department	4.310	0.767	1499
							College	4.224	0.826	10025
							University	4.278	0.835	84822
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	8	36	120	100	1	Class	4.146	0.844	267
	1.1	3.0	13.4	44.8	37.3	0.4	Department	4.303	0.759	1501
							College	4.183	0.905	10030
							University	4.243	0.910	84870
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	18	52	112	79	1	Class	3.899	0.978	267
	2.2	6.7	19.4	41.8	29.5	0.4	Department	4.133	0.876	1499
							College	4.111	0.919	10029
							University	4.192	0.931	84829
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	5	8	47	127	80	1	Class	4.007	0.876	267
	1.9	3.0	17.5	47.4	29.9	0.4	Department	4.200	0.810	1499
							College	4.242	0.792	10035
							University	4.301	0.808	84888
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	10	10	36	129	82	1	Class	3.985	0.965	267
	3.7	3.7	13.4	48.1	30.6	0.4	Department	4.133	0.897	1501
							College	4.184	0.878	10029
							University	4.251	0.885	84832

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information			Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR								G= Good....(4)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1153					IB=In Between....(3)			
			Your Dept 1511					P= Poor....(2)			
			Your College .. 10140					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	11	49	127	494	466	6	Class	4.181	0.861	1147	
	1.0	4.2	11.0	42.8	40.4	0.5	Department	4.128	0.909	1500	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	9	46	117	467	507	7	Class	4.236	0.849	1146	
	0.8	4.0	10.1	40.5	44.0	0.6	Department	4.195	0.895	1500	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	23	57	168	464	434	7	Class	4.072	0.949	1146	
	2.0	4.9	14.6	40.2	37.6	0.6	Department	4.022	0.992	1500	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
4. the quality of this course.	15	41	178	525	389	5	Class	4.073	0.866	1148	
	1.3	3.6	15.4	45.5	33.7	0.4	Department	4.050	0.887	1502	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	10	25	89	420	604	5	Class	4.379	0.791	1148	
	0.9	2.2	7.7	36.4	52.4	0.4	Department	4.348	0.847	1502	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
6. your understanding of the course content.	7	30	188	557	364	7	Class	4.083	0.797	1146	
	0.6	2.6	16.3	48.3	31.6	0.6	Department	4.067	0.834	1500	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	7	33	104	533	470	6	Class	4.243	0.782	1147	
	0.6	2.9	9.0	46.2	40.8	0.5	Department	4.217	0.818	1501	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	22	97	549	478	4	Class	4.285	0.721	1149	
	0.3	1.9	8.4	47.6	41.5	0.3	Department	4.235	0.772	1503	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	11	35	136	474	491	6	Class	4.220	0.841	1147	
	1.0	3.0	11.8	41.1	42.6	0.5	Department	4.156	0.885	1501	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	7	23	70	483	565	5	Class	4.373	0.741	1148	
	0.6	2.0	6.1	41.9	49.0	0.4	Department	4.338	0.788	1502	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	7	16	117	571	435	7	Class	4.231	0.735	1146	
	0.6	1.4	10.1	49.5	37.7	0.6	Department	4.187	0.788	1500	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	20	119	440	565	7	Class	4.349	0.749	1146	
	0.2	1.7	10.3	38.2	49.0	0.6	Department	4.310	0.767	1499	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	5	18	107	481	536	6	Class	4.330	0.745	1147	
	0.4	1.6	9.3	41.7	46.5	0.5	Department	4.303	0.759	1501	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	11	37	153	483	461	8	Class	4.176	0.850	1145	
	1.0	3.2	13.3	41.9	40.0	0.7	Department	4.133	0.876	1499	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	11	28	116	530	460	8	Class	4.223	0.799	1145	
	1.0	2.4	10.1	46.0	39.9	0.7	Department	4.200	0.810	1499	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	29	30	121	536	431	6	Class	4.142	0.891	1147	
	2.5	2.6	10.5	46.5	37.4	0.5	Department	4.133	0.897	1501	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses

Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR

Sheets Scanned: This Level 90

Your Dept 1511

Your College .. 10140

VG= Very Good....(5)

G= Good....(4)

IB=In Between....(3)

P= Poor....(2)

VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 4.4	36 40.0	46 51.1	4 4.4	Class Department College University	4.488 4.128 4.117 4.190	0.589 0.909 0.949 0.952	86 1500 10029 85073
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 2.2	38 42.2	47 52.2	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.517 4.195 4.177 4.262	0.547 0.895 0.952 0.942	87 1500 10025 84930
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	2 2.2	6 6.7	34 37.8	45 50.0	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.402 4.022 4.088 4.213	0.723 0.992 0.993 0.969	87 1500 10021 84928
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	5 5.6	37 41.1	45 50.0	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.460 4.050 4.072 4.144	0.606 0.887 0.918 0.940	87 1502 10011 84784
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 4.4	22 24.4	61 67.8	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.655 4.348 4.257 4.317	0.567 0.847 0.902 0.892	87 1502 10026 84888
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	2 2.2	3 3.3	42 46.7	40 44.4	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.379 4.067 4.147 4.157	0.669 0.834 0.822 0.894	87 1500 10003 84805
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 3.3	36 40.0	48 53.3	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.517 4.217 4.220 4.281	0.568 0.818 0.823 0.852	87 1501 10037 84944
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	2 2.2	8 8.9	32 35.6	45 50.0	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.379 4.235 4.222 4.263	0.751 0.772 0.831 0.869	87 1503 10030 84893
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	2 2.2	9 10.0	35 38.9	41 45.6	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.322 4.156 4.128 4.186	0.755 0.885 0.957 0.960	87 1501 10032 84931
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	2 2.2	6 6.7	32 35.6	47 52.2	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.425 4.338 4.274 4.341	0.725 0.788 0.848 0.844	87 1502 10035 84909
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	2 2.2	7 7.8	40 44.4	38 42.2	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.310 4.187 4.222 4.226	0.720 0.788 0.742 0.810	87 1500 10032 84883
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	2 2.2	3 3.3	36 40.0	46 51.1	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.448 4.310 4.224 4.278	0.678 0.767 0.826 0.835	87 1499 10025 84822
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 4.4	41 45.6	42 46.7	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.437 4.303 4.183 4.243	0.585 0.759 0.905 0.910	87 1501 10030 84870
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0 0.0	3 3.3	8 8.9	37 41.1	39 43.3	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.287 4.133 4.111 4.192	0.776 0.876 0.919 0.931	87 1499 10029 84829
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 4.4	36 40.0	47 52.2	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.494 4.200 4.242 4.301	0.588 0.810 0.792 0.808	87 1499 10035 84888
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	1 1.1	3 3.3	37 41.1	46 51.1	3 3.3	Class Department College University	4.471 4.133 4.184 4.251	0.626 0.897 0.878 0.885	87 1501 10029 84832

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 37					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 37					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 10140					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	12	16	4	4	0	Class	2.946	0.998	37	
	2.7	32.4	43.2	10.8	10.8	0.0	Department	2.946	0.998	37	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	10	19	4	3	0	Class	2.946	0.911	37	
	2.7	27.0	51.4	10.8	8.1	0.0	Department	2.946	0.911	37	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	12	15	7	1	0	Class	2.811	0.908	37	
	5.4	32.4	40.5	18.9	2.7	0.0	Department	2.811	0.908	37	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
4. the quality of this course.	2	8	15	9	3	0	Class	3.081	1.010	37	
	5.4	21.6	40.5	24.3	8.1	0.0	Department	3.081	1.010	37	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	3	21	11	0	Class	4.081	0.862	37	
	2.7	2.7	8.1	56.8	29.7	0.0	Department	4.081	0.862	37	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	3	13	16	3	0	Class	3.405	0.956	37	
	5.4	8.1	35.1	43.2	8.1	0.0	Department	3.405	0.956	37	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	3	16	13	3	0	Class	3.324	0.944	37	
	5.4	8.1	43.2	35.1	8.1	0.0	Department	3.324	0.944	37	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	8	17	9	1	Class	3.917	0.841	36	
	0.0	5.4	21.6	45.9	24.3	2.7	Department	3.917	0.841	36	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	6	10	13	7	0	Class	3.514	1.070	37	
	2.7	16.2	27.0	35.1	18.9	0.0	Department	3.514	1.070	37	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	6	16	14	0	Class	4.162	0.800	37	
	0.0	2.7	16.2	43.2	37.8	0.0	Department	4.162	0.800	37	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	0	9	23	3	0	Class	3.676	0.852	37	
	5.4	0.0	24.3	62.2	8.1	0.0	Department	3.676	0.852	37	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	8	15	13	0	Class	4.081	0.829	37	
	0.0	2.7	21.6	40.5	35.1	0.0	Department	4.081	0.829	37	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	5	25	6	0	Class	3.973	0.645	37	
	0.0	2.7	13.5	67.6	16.2	0.0	Department	3.973	0.645	37	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	4	19	11	3	0	Class	3.351	0.789	37	
	0.0	10.8	51.4	29.7	8.1	0.0	Department	3.351	0.789	37	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	3	16	12	5	0	Class	3.459	0.931	37	
	2.7	8.1	43.2	32.4	13.5	0.0	Department	3.459	0.931	37	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	16	14	5	0	Class	3.595	0.798	37	
	0.0	5.4	43.2	37.8	13.5	0.0	Department	3.595	0.798	37	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 11					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 616					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 10140					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	6	3	0	Class	4.091	0.701	11	
	0.0	0.0	18.2	54.5	27.3	0.0	Department	4.382	0.820	615	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	8507	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	2	4	4	0	Class	4.000	1.000	11	
	0.0	9.1	18.2	36.4	36.4	0.0	Department	4.379	0.808	615	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	3	5	2	1	Class	3.900	0.738	10	
	0.0	0.0	27.3	45.5	18.2	9.1	Department	4.451	0.783	614	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	6	2	0	Class	3.909	0.701	11	
	0.0	0.0	27.3	54.5	18.2	0.0	Department	4.139	0.909	613	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	0	0	4	6	0	Class	4.273	1.191	11	
	9.1	0.0	0.0	36.4	54.5	0.0	Department	4.583	0.701	614	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	1	4	3	1	Class	3.800	1.135	10	
	0.0	18.2	9.1	36.4	27.3	9.1	Department	4.453	0.734	612	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	8	3	0	Class	4.273	0.467	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	72.7	27.3	0.0	Department	4.419	0.731	614	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	5	3	0	Class	3.909	0.944	11	
	0.0	9.1	18.2	45.5	27.3	0.0	Department	4.412	0.728	614	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	9	2	0	Class	4.182	0.405	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	81.8	18.2	0.0	Department	4.466	0.719	614	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	6	3	0	Class	4.000	0.894	11	
	0.0	9.1	9.1	54.5	27.3	0.0	Department	4.537	0.639	614	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	6	3	0	Class	4.091	0.701	11	
	0.0	0.0	18.2	54.5	27.3	0.0	Department	4.495	0.612	614	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	5	6	0	Class	4.545	0.522	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.5	54.5	0.0	Department	4.322	0.751	614	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	6	4	0	Class	4.273	0.647	11	
	0.0	0.0	9.1	54.5	36.4	0.0	Department	4.481	0.661	613	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	7	3	0	Class	4.182	0.603	11	
	0.0	0.0	9.1	63.6	27.3	0.0	Department	4.345	0.756	614	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	7	4	0	Class	4.364	0.505	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.6	36.4	0.0	Department	4.267	0.816	614	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	6	5	0	Class	4.455	0.522	11	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	54.5	45.5	0.0	Department	4.348	0.846	612	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 591					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 616					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 10140					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	7	12	48	203	320	1	Class	4.385	0.815	590	
	1.2	2.0	8.1	34.3	54.1	0.2	Department	4.382	0.820	615	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	5	12	51	208	314	1	Class	4.380	0.796	590	
	0.8	2.0	8.6	35.2	53.1	0.2	Department	4.379	0.808	615	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	17	34	190	346	1	Class	4.456	0.773	590	
	0.5	2.9	5.8	32.1	58.5	0.2	Department	4.451	0.783	614	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	11	20	81	240	236	3	Class	4.139	0.909	588	
	1.9	3.4	13.7	40.6	39.9	0.5	Department	4.139	0.909	613	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	6	28	156	396	2	Class	4.589	0.678	589	
	0.5	1.0	4.7	26.4	67.0	0.3	Department	4.583	0.701	614	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	4	40	206	334	3	Class	4.466	0.713	588	
	0.7	0.7	6.8	34.9	56.5	0.5	Department	4.453	0.734	612	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	9	38	227	312	2	Class	4.419	0.725	589	
	0.5	1.5	6.4	38.4	52.8	0.3	Department	4.419	0.731	614	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	12	41	220	316	2	Class	4.426	0.712	589	
	0.0	2.0	6.9	37.2	53.5	0.3	Department	4.412	0.728	614	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	10	28	209	339	2	Class	4.479	0.713	589	
	0.5	1.7	4.7	35.4	57.4	0.3	Department	4.466	0.719	614	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	24	201	360	2	Class	4.555	0.616	589	
	0.2	0.5	4.1	34.0	60.9	0.3	Department	4.537	0.639	614	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	3	18	241	326	2	Class	4.508	0.602	589	
	0.2	0.5	3.0	40.8	55.2	0.3	Department	4.495	0.612	614	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	3	85	222	278	2	Class	4.312	0.745	589	
	0.2	0.5	14.4	37.6	47.0	0.3	Department	4.322	0.751	614	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	3	35	218	331	3	Class	4.488	0.649	588	
	0.2	0.5	5.9	36.9	56.0	0.5	Department	4.481	0.661	613	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	10	57	233	287	2	Class	4.346	0.751	589	
	0.3	1.7	9.6	39.4	48.6	0.3	Department	4.345	0.756	614	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	17	62	243	263	2	Class	4.263	0.813	589	
	0.7	2.9	10.5	41.1	44.5	0.3	Department	4.267	0.816	614	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	8	19	38	220	302	4	Class	4.344	0.845	587	
	1.4	3.2	6.4	37.2	51.1	0.7	Department	4.348	0.846	612	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 14					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 616					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 10140					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	0	0	3	10	0	Class	4.500	1.092	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	21.4	71.4	0.0	Department	4.382	0.820	615	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	0	0	1	12	0	Class	4.643	1.082	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	7.1	85.7	0.0	Department	4.379	0.808	615	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	0	1	12	0	Class	4.643	1.082	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	7.1	85.7	0.0	Department	4.451	0.783	614	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
4. the quality of this course.	1	0	0	6	7	0	Class	4.286	1.069	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	42.9	50.0	0.0	Department	4.139	0.909	613	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	0	0	2	11	0	Class	4.571	1.089	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	14.3	78.6	0.0	Department	4.583	0.701	614	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	0	5	8	0	Class	4.357	1.082	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	35.7	57.1	0.0	Department	4.453	0.734	612	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	0	3	10	0	Class	4.500	1.092	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	21.4	71.4	0.0	Department	4.419	0.731	614	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	0	7	6	0	Class	4.214	1.051	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	50.0	42.9	0.0	Department	4.412	0.728	614	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	0	8	5	0	Class	4.143	1.027	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	57.1	35.7	0.0	Department	4.466	0.719	614	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	0	7	6	0	Class	4.214	1.051	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	50.0	42.9	0.0	Department	4.537	0.639	614	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	0	7	6	0	Class	4.286	0.825	14	
	0.0	7.1	0.0	50.0	42.9	0.0	Department	4.495	0.612	614	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	0	2	11	0	Class	4.571	1.089	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	14.3	78.6	0.0	Department	4.322	0.751	614	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	0	5	8	0	Class	4.357	1.082	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	35.7	57.1	0.0	Department	4.481	0.661	613	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	0	4	9	0	Class	4.429	1.089	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	28.6	64.3	0.0	Department	4.345	0.756	614	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	1	3	9	0	Class	4.357	1.151	14	
	7.1	0.0	7.1	21.4	64.3	0.0	Department	4.267	0.816	614	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	0	4	9	0	Class	4.429	1.089	14	
	7.1	0.0	0.0	28.6	64.3	0.0	Department	4.348	0.846	612	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses

Dept: INDUSTRIAL & MANUFACTURING ENG

Sheets Scanned: This Level 43

Your Dept 980

Your College .. 10140

VG= Very Good....(5)

G= Good....(4)

IB=In Between....(3)

P= Poor....(2)

VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	1 2.3	4 9.3	16 37.2	22 51.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.372 4.098 4.117 4.190	0.757 0.912 0.949 0.952	43 964 10029 85073
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	1 2.3	2 4.7	16 37.2	24 55.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.465 4.152 4.177 4.262	0.702 0.913 0.952 0.942	43 962 10025 84930
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	1 2.3	2 4.7	11 25.6	29 67.4	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.581 4.065 4.088 4.213	0.698 0.958 0.993 0.969	43 963 10021 84928
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	1 2.3	4 9.3	14 32.6	24 55.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.419 4.103 4.072 4.144	0.763 0.860 0.918 0.940	43 959 10011 84784
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	1 2.3	3 7.0	13 30.2	26 60.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.488 4.232 4.257 4.317	0.736 0.850 0.902 0.892	43 964 10026 84888
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	1 2.3	2 4.7	17 39.5	23 53.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.442 4.181 4.147 4.157	0.700 0.753 0.822 0.894	43 960 10003 84805
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.3	14 32.6	28 65.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.628 4.195 4.220 4.281	0.536 0.782 0.823 0.852	43 965 10037 84944
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	1 2.3	2 4.7	10 23.3	30 69.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.605 4.206 4.222 4.263	0.695 0.814 0.831 0.869	43 965 10030 84893
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.3	14 32.6	28 65.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.628 4.110 4.128 4.186	0.536 0.917 0.957 0.960	43 965 10032 84931
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 4.7	14 32.6	27 62.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.581 4.231 4.274 4.341	0.587 0.788 0.848 0.844	43 964 10035 84909
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	1 2.3	4 9.3	16 37.2	22 51.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.372 4.243 4.222 4.226	0.757 0.696 0.742 0.810	43 966 10032 84883
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1 2.3	0 0.0	11 25.6	13 30.2	17 39.5	1 2.3	Class Department College University	4.071 4.151 4.224 4.278	0.947 0.810 0.826 0.835	42 963 10025 84822
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	1 2.3	2 4.7	18 41.9	22 51.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.419 4.161 4.183 4.243	0.698 0.815 0.905 0.910	43 966 10030 84870
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 4.7	20 46.5	21 48.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.442 4.064 4.111 4.192	0.590 0.906 0.919 0.931	43 964 10029 84829
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.3	17 39.5	25 58.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.558 4.231 4.242 4.301	0.548 0.735 0.792 0.808	43 966 10035 84888
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 2.3	21 48.8	21 48.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.465 4.138 4.184 4.251	0.550 0.868 0.878 0.885	43 965 10029 84832

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: INDUSTRIAL & MANUFACTURING ENG							G= Good....(4)			
# Sheets Scanned: This Level 914							IB=In Between....(3)			
Your Dept 980							P= Poor....(2)			
Your College .. 10140							VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	17	44	117	403	317	16	Class	4.068	0.920	898
	1.9	4.8	12.8	44.1	34.7	1.8	Department	4.098	0.912	964
							College	4.117	0.949	10029
							University	4.190	0.952	85073
2. the instructor as a teacher.	13	55	91	392	345	18	Class	4.117	0.922	896
	1.4	6.0	10.0	42.9	37.7	2.0	Department	4.152	0.913	962
							College	4.177	0.952	10025
							University	4.262	0.942	84930
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	15	60	137	364	321	17	Class	4.021	0.964	897
	1.6	6.6	15.0	39.8	35.1	1.9	Department	4.065	0.958	963
							College	4.088	0.993	10021
							University	4.213	0.969	84928
4. the quality of this course.	8	36	147	395	307	21	Class	4.072	0.864	893
	0.9	3.9	16.1	43.2	33.6	2.3	Department	4.103	0.860	959
							College	4.072	0.918	10011
							University	4.144	0.940	84784
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	9	37	91	381	380	16	Class	4.209	0.858	898
	1.0	4.0	10.0	41.7	41.6	1.8	Department	4.232	0.850	964
							College	4.257	0.902	10026
							University	4.317	0.892	84888
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	26	105	453	308	20	Class	4.162	0.757	894
	0.2	2.8	11.5	49.6	33.7	2.2	Department	4.181	0.753	960
							College	4.147	0.822	10003
							University	4.157	0.894	84805
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	9	18	110	446	316	15	Class	4.159	0.787	899
	1.0	2.0	12.0	48.8	34.6	1.6	Department	4.195	0.782	965
							College	4.220	0.823	10037
							University	4.281	0.852	84944
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	30	109	413	341	15	Class	4.171	0.816	899
	0.7	3.3	11.9	45.2	37.3	1.6	Department	4.206	0.814	965
							College	4.222	0.831	10030
							University	4.263	0.869	84893
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	15	44	134	375	331	15	Class	4.071	0.927	899
	1.6	4.8	14.7	41.0	36.2	1.6	Department	4.110	0.917	965
							College	4.128	0.957	10032
							University	4.186	0.960	84931
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	30	90	426	347	16	Class	4.203	0.794	898
	0.5	3.3	9.8	46.6	38.0	1.8	Department	4.231	0.788	964
							College	4.274	0.848	10035
							University	4.341	0.844	84909
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	13	91	468	327	14	Class	4.230	0.693	900
	0.1	1.4	10.0	51.2	35.8	1.5	Department	4.243	0.696	966
							College	4.222	0.742	10032
							University	4.226	0.810	84883
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	17	180	364	336	16	Class	4.133	0.802	898
	0.1	1.9	19.7	39.8	36.8	1.8	Department	4.151	0.810	963
							College	4.224	0.826	10025
							University	4.278	0.835	84822
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	8	25	122	423	322	14	Class	4.140	0.816	900
	0.9	2.7	13.3	46.3	35.2	1.5	Department	4.161	0.815	966
							College	4.183	0.905	10030
							University	4.243	0.910	84870
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	12	52	133	401	300	16	Class	4.030	0.913	898
	1.3	5.7	14.6	43.9	32.8	1.8	Department	4.064	0.906	964
							College	4.111	0.919	10029
							University	4.192	0.931	84829
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	19	103	444	332	14	Class	4.206	0.739	900
	0.2	2.1	11.3	48.6	36.3	1.5	Department	4.231	0.735	966
							College	4.242	0.792	10035
							University	4.301	0.808	84888
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	21	26	101	438	313	15	Class	4.108	0.881	899
	2.3	2.8	11.1	47.9	34.2	1.6	Department	4.138	0.868	965
							College	4.184	0.878	10029
							University	4.251	0.885	84832

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
Dept: INDUSTRIAL & MANUFACTURING ENG

Sheets Scanned: This Level 23
Your Dept 980
Your College .. 10140

VG= Very Good....(5)
G= Good....(4)
IB=In Between....(3)
P= Poor....(2)
VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	6 26.1	17 73.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.739 4.098 4.117 4.190	0.449 0.912 0.949 0.952	23 964 10029 85073
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 8.7	21 91.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.913 4.152 4.177 4.262	0.288 0.913 0.952 0.942	23 962 10025 84930
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 17.4	19 82.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.826 4.065 4.088 4.213	0.388 0.958 0.993 0.969	23 963 10021 84928
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	6 26.1	17 73.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.739 4.103 4.072 4.144	0.449 0.860 0.918 0.940	23 959 10011 84784
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	8 34.8	15 65.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.652 4.232 4.257 4.317	0.487 0.850 0.902 0.892	23 964 10026 84888
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 4.3	11 47.8	11 47.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.435 4.181 4.147 4.157	0.590 0.753 0.822 0.894	23 960 10003 84805
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	5 21.7	18 78.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.783 4.195 4.220 4.281	0.422 0.782 0.823 0.852	23 965 10037 84944
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 17.4	19 82.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.826 4.206 4.222 4.263	0.388 0.814 0.831 0.869	23 965 10030 84893
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 4.3	6 26.1	16 69.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.652 4.110 4.128 4.186	0.573 0.917 0.957 0.960	23 965 10032 84931
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 4.3	5 21.7	17 73.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.696 4.231 4.274 4.341	0.559 0.788 0.848 0.844	23 964 10035 84909
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 8.7	7 30.4	14 60.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.522 4.243 4.222 4.226	0.665 0.696 0.742 0.810	23 966 10032 84883
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	23 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.151 4.224 4.278	0.000 0.810 0.826 0.835	23 963 10025 84822
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	1 4.3	2 8.7	4 17.4	16 69.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.522 4.161 4.183 4.243	0.846 0.815 0.905 0.910	23 966 10030 84870
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0 0.0	1 4.3	0 0.0	4 17.4	18 78.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.696 4.064 4.111 4.192	0.703 0.906 0.919 0.931	23 964 10029 84829
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 8.7	5 21.7	16 69.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.609 4.231 4.242 4.301	0.656 0.735 0.792 0.808	23 966 10035 84888
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	7 30.4	16 69.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.696 4.138 4.184 4.251	0.470 0.868 0.878 0.885	23 965 10029 84832

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1182					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 3715					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 10140					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	27	62	193	535	351	14	Class	3.960	0.941	1168	
	2.3	5.2	16.3	45.3	29.7	1.2	Department	4.014	0.974	3680	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	23	69	169	492	415	14	Class	4.033	0.956	1168	
	1.9	5.8	14.3	41.6	35.1	1.2	Department	4.080	0.988	3678	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	22	76	217	511	338	18	Class	3.917	0.950	1164	
	1.9	6.4	18.4	43.2	28.6	1.5	Department	4.000	0.997	3675	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
4. the quality of this course.	27	83	231	544	279	18	Class	3.829	0.951	1164	
	2.3	7.0	19.5	46.0	23.6	1.5	Department	3.979	0.946	3670	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	35	101	201	502	325	18	Class	3.843	1.023	1164	
	3.0	8.5	17.0	42.5	27.5	1.5	Department	4.082	0.979	3675	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
6. your understanding of the course content.	16	48	233	575	290	20	Class	3.925	0.857	1162	
	1.4	4.1	19.7	48.6	24.5	1.7	Department	4.047	0.837	3665	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	11	39	146	605	368	13	Class	4.095	0.806	1169	
	0.9	3.3	12.4	51.2	31.1	1.1	Department	4.148	0.843	3682	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	12	35	159	611	353	12	Class	4.075	0.803	1170	
	1.0	3.0	13.5	51.7	29.9	1.0	Department	4.130	0.860	3678	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	23	82	229	500	332	16	Class	3.889	0.963	1166	
	1.9	6.9	19.4	42.3	28.1	1.4	Department	3.987	1.013	3678	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	11	45	128	571	416	11	Class	4.141	0.827	1171	
	0.9	3.8	10.8	48.3	35.2	0.9	Department	4.154	0.909	3681	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	43	214	611	296	14	Class	3.986	0.784	1168	
	0.3	3.6	18.1	51.7	25.0	1.2	Department	4.128	0.761	3679	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	6	32	226	586	317	15	Class	4.008	0.788	1167	
	0.5	2.7	19.1	49.6	26.8	1.3	Department	4.141	0.840	3677	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	30	87	236	543	272	14	Class	3.805	0.963	1168	
	2.5	7.4	20.0	45.9	23.0	1.2	Department	3.998	1.000	3678	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	29	78	220	564	280	11	Class	3.844	0.945	1171	
	2.5	6.6	18.6	47.7	23.7	0.9	Department	3.976	0.969	3682	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	8	21	146	609	386	12	Class	4.149	0.753	1170	
	0.7	1.8	12.4	51.5	32.7	1.0	Department	4.208	0.787	3682	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	11	45	157	601	354	14	Class	4.063	0.820	1168	
	0.9	3.8	13.3	50.8	29.9	1.2	Department	4.130	0.896	3680	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 2475						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 3715						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10140						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	67	139	346	1006	900	17	Class	4.031	0.989	2458	
	2.7	5.6	14.0	40.6	36.4	0.7	Department	4.014	0.974	3680	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	67	127	354	872	1036	19	Class	4.092	1.005	2456	
	2.7	5.1	14.3	35.2	41.9	0.8	Department	4.080	0.988	3678	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	64	161	375	895	962	18	Class	4.030	1.020	2457	
	2.6	6.5	15.2	36.2	38.9	0.7	Department	4.000	0.997	3675	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
4. the quality of this course.	46	112	412	1011	871	23	Class	4.040	0.935	2452	
	1.9	4.5	16.6	40.8	35.2	0.9	Department	3.979	0.946	3670	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	48	114	274	927	1094	18	Class	4.182	0.941	2457	
	1.9	4.6	11.1	37.5	44.2	0.7	Department	4.082	0.979	3675	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
6. your understanding of the course content.	26	86	308	1230	799	26	Class	4.098	0.824	2449	
	1.1	3.5	12.4	49.7	32.3	1.1	Department	4.047	0.837	3665	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	34	90	265	1119	951	16	Class	4.164	0.859	2459	
	1.4	3.6	10.7	45.2	38.4	0.6	Department	4.148	0.843	3682	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	38	93	297	1066	961	20	Class	4.148	0.884	2455	
	1.5	3.8	12.0	43.1	38.8	0.8	Department	4.130	0.860	3678	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	67	178	347	902	964	17	Class	4.024	1.034	2458	
	2.7	7.2	14.0	36.4	38.9	0.7	Department	3.987	1.013	3678	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	63	110	242	1020	1021	19	Class	4.151	0.950	2456	
	2.5	4.4	9.8	41.2	41.3	0.8	Department	4.154	0.909	3681	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	14	44	267	1270	862	18	Class	4.189	0.739	2457	
	0.6	1.8	10.8	51.3	34.8	0.7	Department	4.128	0.761	3679	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	29	62	355	970	1040	19	Class	4.193	0.859	2456	
	1.2	2.5	14.3	39.2	42.0	0.8	Department	4.141	0.840	3677	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	83	122	293	979	980	18	Class	4.079	1.007	2457	
	3.4	4.9	11.8	39.6	39.6	0.7	Department	3.998	1.000	3678	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	65	121	379	1008	884	18	Class	4.028	0.974	2457	
	2.6	4.9	15.3	40.7	35.7	0.7	Department	3.976	0.969	3682	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	26	52	259	1116	1005	17	Class	4.229	0.801	2458	
	1.1	2.1	10.5	45.1	40.6	0.7	Department	4.208	0.787	3682	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	66	74	287	1022	1009	17	Class	4.153	0.931	2458	
	2.7	3.0	11.6	41.3	40.8	0.7	Department	4.130	0.896	3680	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

Sheets Scanned: This Level 58
Your Dept 3715
Your College .. 10140

VG= Very Good....(5)
G= Good....(4)
IB=In Between....(3)
P= Poor....(2)
VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1 1.7	3 5.2	1 1.7	17 29.3	32 55.2	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.407 4.014 4.117 4.190	0.922 0.974 0.949 0.952	54 3680 10029 85073
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	2 3.4	3 5.2	14 24.1	35 60.3	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.519 4.080 4.177 4.262	0.771 0.988 0.952 0.942	54 3678 10025 84930
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	0 0.0	6 10.3	17 29.3	31 53.4	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.463 4.000 4.088 4.213	0.693 0.997 0.993 0.969	54 3675 10021 84928
4. the quality of this course.	2 3.4	1 1.7	2 3.4	15 25.9	34 58.6	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.444 3.979 4.072 4.144	0.945 0.946 0.918 0.940	54 3670 10011 84784
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 5.2	12 20.7	39 67.2	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.667 4.082 4.257 4.317	0.583 0.979 0.902 0.892	54 3675 10026 84888
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	1 1.7	5 8.6	23 39.7	25 43.1	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.333 4.047 4.147 4.157	0.727 0.837 0.822 0.894	54 3665 10003 84805
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	1 1.7	4 6.9	12 20.7	37 63.8	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.574 4.148 4.220 4.281	0.716 0.843 0.823 0.852	54 3682 10037 84944
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	3 5.2	3 5.2	12 20.7	35 60.3	5 8.6	Class Department College University	4.491 4.130 4.222 4.263	0.846 0.860 0.831 0.869	53 3678 10030 84893
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	4 6.9	3 5.2	14 24.1	33 56.9	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.407 3.987 4.128 4.186	0.901 1.013 0.957 0.960	54 3678 10032 84931
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 3.4	17 29.3	35 60.3	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.611 4.154 4.274 4.341	0.564 0.909 0.848 0.844	54 3681 10035 84909
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	2 3.4	4 6.9	17 29.3	31 53.4	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.426 4.128 4.222 4.226	0.792 0.761 0.742 0.810	54 3679 10032 84883
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	17 29.3	37 63.8	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.685 4.141 4.224 4.278	0.469 0.840 0.826 0.835	54 3677 10025 84822
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	1 1.7	5 8.6	14 24.1	33 56.9	5 8.6	Class Department College University	4.491 3.998 4.183 4.243	0.750 1.000 0.905 0.910	53 3678 10030 84870
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1 1.7	1 1.7	3 5.2	15 25.9	34 58.6	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.481 3.976 4.111 4.192	0.841 0.969 0.919 0.931	54 3682 10029 84829
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	1 1.7	5 8.6	12 20.7	36 62.1	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.537 4.208 4.242 4.301	0.745 0.787 0.792 0.808	54 3682 10035 84888
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	1 1.7	4 6.9	14 24.1	35 60.3	4 6.9	Class Department College University	4.537 4.130 4.184 4.251	0.719 0.896 0.878 0.885	54 3680 10029 84832

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses

Dept: MATERIALS & NANOTECHNOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 22

Your Dept 22

Your College .. 10140

VG= Very Good....(5)

G= Good....(4)

IB=In Between....(3)

P= Poor....(2)

VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 9.1	12 54.5	7 31.8	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.238 4.238 4.117 4.190	0.625 0.625 0.949 0.952	21 21 10029 85073
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 13.6	12 54.5	6 27.3	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.143 4.143 4.177 4.262	0.655 0.655 0.952 0.942	21 21 10025 84930
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 4.5	11 50.0	9 40.9	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.381 4.381 4.088 4.213	0.590 0.590 0.993 0.969	21 21 10021 84928
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	1 4.5	4 18.2	11 50.0	5 22.7	1 4.5	Class Department College University	3.952 3.952 4.072 4.144	0.805 0.805 0.918 0.940	21 21 10011 84784
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 4.5	9 40.9	11 50.0	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.476 4.476 4.257 4.317	0.602 0.602 0.902 0.892	21 21 10026 84888
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 9.1	11 50.0	8 36.4	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.286 4.286 4.147 4.157	0.644 0.644 0.822 0.894	21 21 10003 84805
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 9.1	12 54.5	7 31.8	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.238 4.238 4.220 4.281	0.625 0.625 0.823 0.852	21 21 10037 84944
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 18.2	12 54.5	5 22.7	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.048 4.048 4.222 4.263	0.669 0.669 0.831 0.869	21 21 10030 84893
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	1 4.5	5 22.7	8 36.4	7 31.8	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.000 4.000 4.128 4.186	0.894 0.894 0.957 0.960	21 21 10032 84931
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	1 4.5	4 18.2	8 36.4	8 36.4	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.095 4.095 4.274 4.341	0.889 0.889 0.848 0.844	21 21 10035 84909
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	7 31.8	8 36.4	6 27.3	1 4.5	Class Department College University	3.952 3.952 4.222 4.226	0.805 0.805 0.742 0.810	21 21 10032 84883
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 13.6	7 31.8	11 50.0	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.381 4.381 4.224 4.278	0.740 0.740 0.826 0.835	21 21 10025 84822
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	1 4.5	4 18.2	8 36.4	8 36.4	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.095 4.095 4.183 4.243	0.889 0.889 0.905 0.910	21 21 10030 84870
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 18.2	10 45.5	7 31.8	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.143 4.143 4.111 4.192	0.727 0.727 0.919 0.931	21 21 10029 84829
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 9.1	13 59.1	6 27.3	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.190 4.190 4.242 4.301	0.602 0.602 0.792 0.808	21 21 10035 84888
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	1 4.5	2 9.1	10 45.5	8 36.4	1 4.5	Class Department College University	4.190 4.190 4.184 4.251	0.814 0.814 0.878 0.885	21 21 10029 84832

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MILITARY SCIENCE								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 88						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 230						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 10140						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	9	77	1	Class	4.874	0.367	87	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	10.2	87.5	1.1	Department	4.768	0.463	228	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	7	79	1	Class	4.897	0.342	87	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	8.0	89.8	1.1	Department	4.820	0.418	228	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	12	74	1	Class	4.839	0.400	87	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	13.6	84.1	1.1	Department	4.811	0.404	227	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	15	70	1	Class	4.782	0.468	87	
	0.0	0.0	2.3	17.0	79.5	1.1	Department	4.711	0.535	228	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	6	78	1	Class	4.862	0.436	87	
	0.0	0.0	3.4	6.8	88.6	1.1	Department	4.781	0.543	228	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	18	68	1	Class	4.770	0.450	87	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	20.5	77.3	1.1	Department	4.724	0.467	228	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	20	66	1	Class	4.747	0.463	87	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	22.7	75.0	1.1	Department	4.703	0.504	229	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	22	64	1	Class	4.724	0.475	87	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	25.0	72.7	1.1	Department	4.672	0.515	229	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	16	70	1	Class	4.793	0.435	87	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	18.2	79.5	1.1	Department	4.742	0.477	229	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	5	14	67	1	Class	4.690	0.634	87	
	0.0	1.1	5.7	15.9	76.1	1.1	Department	4.651	0.628	229	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	26	58	1	Class	4.632	0.552	87	
	0.0	0.0	3.4	29.5	65.9	1.1	Department	4.655	0.529	229	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	24	60	1	Class	4.655	0.546	87	
	0.0	0.0	3.4	27.3	68.2	1.1	Department	4.668	0.541	229	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	20	66	1	Class	4.747	0.463	87	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	22.7	75.0	1.1	Department	4.699	0.497	229	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	21	64	2	Class	4.733	0.471	86	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	23.9	72.7	2.3	Department	4.741	0.468	228	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	20	66	1	Class	4.747	0.463	87	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	22.7	75.0	1.1	Department	4.721	0.496	229	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	16	70	1	Class	4.793	0.435	87	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	18.2	79.5	1.1	Department	4.712	0.534	229	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction **College of Engineering**

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: MILITARY SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 142					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 230					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 10140					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	3	36	102	1	Class	4.702	0.503	141	
	0.0	0.0	2.1	25.4	71.8	0.7	Department	4.768	0.463	228	
							College	4.117	0.949	10029	
							University	4.190	0.952	85073	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	28	111	1	Class	4.773	0.453	141	
	0.0	0.0	1.4	19.7	78.2	0.7	Department	4.820	0.418	228	
							College	4.177	0.952	10025	
							University	4.262	0.942	84930	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	29	111	2	Class	4.793	0.407	140	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.4	78.2	1.4	Department	4.811	0.404	227	
							College	4.088	0.993	10021	
							University	4.213	0.969	84928	
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	4	36	100	1	Class	4.667	0.569	141	
	0.0	0.7	2.8	25.4	70.4	0.7	Department	4.711	0.535	228	
							College	4.072	0.918	10011	
							University	4.144	0.940	84784	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	2	27	110	1	Class	4.730	0.596	141	
	0.7	0.7	1.4	19.0	77.5	0.7	Department	4.781	0.543	228	
							College	4.257	0.902	10026	
							University	4.317	0.892	84888	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	41	99	1	Class	4.695	0.477	141	
	0.0	0.0	0.7	28.9	69.7	0.7	Department	4.724	0.467	228	
							College	4.147	0.822	10003	
							University	4.157	0.894	84805	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	4	38	100	0	Class	4.676	0.527	142	
	0.0	0.0	2.8	26.8	70.4	0.0	Department	4.703	0.504	229	
							College	4.220	0.823	10037	
							University	4.281	0.852	84944	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	43	95	0	Class	4.641	0.537	142	
	0.0	0.0	2.8	30.3	66.9	0.0	Department	4.672	0.515	229	
							College	4.222	0.831	10030	
							University	4.263	0.869	84893	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	35	104	0	Class	4.711	0.499	142	
	0.0	0.0	2.1	24.6	73.2	0.0	Department	4.742	0.477	229	
							College	4.128	0.957	10032	
							University	4.186	0.960	84931	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	5	39	97	0	Class	4.627	0.626	142	
	0.7	0.0	3.5	27.5	68.3	0.0	Department	4.651	0.628	229	
							College	4.274	0.848	10035	
							University	4.341	0.844	84909	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	41	98	0	Class	4.669	0.515	142	
	0.0	0.0	2.1	28.9	69.0	0.0	Department	4.655	0.529	229	
							College	4.222	0.742	10032	
							University	4.226	0.810	84883	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	5	36	101	0	Class	4.676	0.540	142	
	0.0	0.0	3.5	25.4	71.1	0.0	Department	4.668	0.541	229	
							College	4.224	0.826	10025	
							University	4.278	0.835	84822	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	3	41	98	0	Class	4.669	0.515	142	
	0.0	0.0	2.1	28.9	69.0	0.0	Department	4.699	0.497	229	
							College	4.183	0.905	10030	
							University	4.243	0.910	84870	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	32	108	0	Class	4.746	0.468	142	
	0.0	0.0	1.4	22.5	76.1	0.0	Department	4.741	0.468	228	
							College	4.111	0.919	10029	
							University	4.192	0.931	84829	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	1	37	103	0	Class	4.704	0.516	142	
	0.0	0.7	0.7	26.1	72.5	0.0	Department	4.721	0.496	229	
							College	4.242	0.792	10035	
							University	4.301	0.808	84888	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	2	38	100	0	Class	4.662	0.582	142	
	0.0	1.4	1.4	26.8	70.4	0.0	Department	4.712	0.534	229	
							College	4.184	0.878	10029	
							University	4.251	0.885	84832	

Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: UNIVERSITY GENERAL							G= Good....(4)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level 189				IB=In Between....(3)			
			Your Dept 189				P= Poor....(2)			
			Your College .. 10140				VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	4	7	68	106	3	Class	4.473	0.722	186
	0.5	2.1	3.7	36.0	56.1	1.6	Department	4.473	0.722	186
							College	4.117	0.949	10029
							University	4.190	0.952	85073
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	3	2	59	122	3	Class	4.613	0.598	186
	0.0	1.6	1.1	31.2	64.6	1.6	Department	4.613	0.598	186
							College	4.177	0.952	10025
							University	4.262	0.942	84930
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	9	51	124	3	Class	4.591	0.661	186
	0.5	0.5	4.8	27.0	65.6	1.6	Department	4.591	0.661	186
							College	4.088	0.993	10021
							University	4.213	0.969	84928
4. the quality of this course.	4	10	35	66	71	3	Class	4.022	0.992	186
	2.1	5.3	18.5	34.9	37.6	1.6	Department	4.022	0.992	186
							College	4.072	0.918	10011
							University	4.144	0.940	84784
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	3	37	144	3	Class	4.737	0.541	186
	0.0	1.1	1.6	19.6	76.2	1.6	Department	4.737	0.541	186
							College	4.257	0.902	10026
							University	4.317	0.892	84888
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	8	41	135	3	Class	4.661	0.614	186
	0.0	1.1	4.2	21.7	71.4	1.6	Department	4.661	0.614	186
							College	4.147	0.822	10003
							University	4.157	0.894	84805
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	10	76	98	3	Class	4.452	0.650	186
	0.0	1.1	5.3	40.2	51.9	1.6	Department	4.452	0.650	186
							College	4.220	0.823	10037
							University	4.281	0.852	84944
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	11	68	106	3	Class	4.500	0.635	186
	0.0	0.5	5.8	36.0	56.1	1.6	Department	4.500	0.635	186
							College	4.222	0.831	10030
							University	4.263	0.869	84893
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	10	64	110	3	Class	4.516	0.651	186
	0.0	1.1	5.3	33.9	58.2	1.6	Department	4.516	0.651	186
							College	4.128	0.957	10032
							University	4.186	0.960	84931
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	3	53	128	3	Class	4.651	0.571	186
	0.0	1.1	1.6	28.0	67.7	1.6	Department	4.651	0.571	186
							College	4.274	0.848	10035
							University	4.341	0.844	84909
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	11	67	106	3	Class	4.489	0.660	186
	0.0	1.1	5.8	35.4	56.1	1.6	Department	4.489	0.660	186
							College	4.222	0.742	10032
							University	4.226	0.810	84883
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	35	57	92	3	Class	4.285	0.805	186
	0.0	1.1	18.5	30.2	48.7	1.6	Department	4.285	0.805	186
							College	4.224	0.826	10025
							University	4.278	0.835	84822
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	18	60	108	3	Class	4.484	0.668	186
	0.0	0.0	9.5	31.7	57.1	1.6	Department	4.484	0.668	186
							College	4.183	0.905	10030
							University	4.243	0.910	84870
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	21	65	98	3	Class	4.392	0.729	186
	0.0	1.1	11.1	34.4	51.9	1.6	Department	4.392	0.729	186
							College	4.111	0.919	10029
							University	4.192	0.931	84829
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	21	77	85	3	Class	4.312	0.735	186
	0.0	1.6	11.1	40.7	45.0	1.6	Department	4.312	0.735	186
							College	4.242	0.792	10035
							University	4.301	0.808	84888
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	3	17	69	95	3	Class	4.355	0.801	186
	1.1	1.6	9.0	36.5	50.3	1.6	Department	4.355	0.801	186
							College	4.184	0.878	10029
							University	4.251	0.885	84832