North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Information Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses

Dept: CHP

				001	rege	,,,,,	**	very Poo	(_ /	
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation an							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
			======					4 270	0.077	=====
1. your satisfaction with the	1	2	12	28	43	1	Class Department	4.279	0.877 0.810	8 47
instruction in this course.	1.1	2.3	13.8	32.2	49.4	1.1	College	4.319	0.827	793
							University		0.952	8507
							Class	4.412	0.877	8
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	3	7	23	51	2	Department	4.316	0.770	46
	1.1	3.4	8.0	26.4	58.6	2.3	College	4.375	0.820	791
							University	4.262	0.942	8493
							Class	4.388	0.888	8
the ability of the instructor	1	3	8	23	50	2	Department		0.797	46
to communicate effectively.	1.1	3.4	9.2	26.4	57.5	2.3	College	4.350	0.850	792
							University	4.213	0.969	8492
		_	_				Class	4.360	0.853	8
4. the quality of this course.	1	2	9	27	47	1	Department		0.955	46
	1.1	2.3	10.3	31.0	54.0	1.1	College University	4.324	0.800	791 8478
5 12 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1			0.0	F.0	0	Class	4.482	0.750	8
the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1.1	1 1.1	4 4.6	29 33.3	50 57.5	2.3	Department College	4.136 4.378	0.947 0.793	46 792
for grading this course.	1.1	1.1	4.0	٥٥	31.3	4.3	University		0.793	8488
6. your understanding of the	1	1	7	29	47	2	Class Department	4.412	0.791 0.653	8 46
course content.	1.1	1.1	8.0	33.3	54.0	2.3	College	4.343	0.709	791
							University		0.894	8480
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.241	0.976	8
. instructor created an atmos-	2	3	12	25	45	0	Department	4.273	0.787	47
phere conducive to learning.	2.3	3.4	13.8	28.7	51.7	0.0	College	4.388	0.773	793
							University	4.281	0.852	8494
			_		4.0		Class	4.356	0.915	8
 instructor provided well- defined course objectives. 	2.3	3.4	5 5.7	29 33.3	48 55.2	0.0	Department College	4.308	0.772 0.771	47 793
defined course objectives.	2.3	3.1	3.7	33.3	33.2	0.0	University		0.869	8489
								4 200		
9. instructor provided content/	3	2	8	25	49	0	Class Department	4.322	0.982 0.835	47
materials clear/organized.	3.4	2.3	9.2	28.7	56.3	0.0	College	4.203	0.884	793
							University		0.960	8493
							Class	4.488	0.808	
). I understood how grades	2	0	5	26	53	1	Department		0.857	47
were assigned in this course.	2.3	0.0	5.7	29.9	60.9	1.1	College	4.458	0.714	793
							University	4.341	0.844	8490
							Class	4.368	0.878	8
. I met/exceeded the course	2	1	8	28	48	0	Department		0.710	47
objectives for this course.	2.3	1.1	9.2	32.2	55.2	0.0	College University	4.375	0.690 0.810	792 8488
	_	_				_	Class	4.291	1.004	8
 instructor was available to assist students outside class. 	2	4	10	21	49	1.1	Department College	4.222	0.802	46 791
assist students outside class.	2.5	4.0	11.3	24.1	30.3	1.1	University		0.775 0.835	
3. instructor provided feedback	2	1	7	2.2	55	0	Class Department		0.873 0.908	46
in a timely manner.	2.3	1.1	8.0	25.3	63.2	0.0	College	4.382	0.801	792
							University			
							Class		0.985	
. instructor provided relevant	3	1	11	22	49	1	Department	4.182	0.909	
feedback that helped me learn.	3.4	1.1	12.6	25.3	56.3	1.1		4.332		
							University		0.931	
							Class			
 instructor set + maintained high standards for students. 	2	1	8	28	48	0	Department	4.320	0.745	46
nigh standards for students.	2.3	1.1	9.2	32.2	55.2	0.0	College University			
5. physical environment	_	2	10	0.1	40	0	Class	4.287	0.987	
nuveles environment	2	3	12	21	49	0	Department	4.289	0.828	46
was conducive to learning	2 3	3 4	13 A	24 1	56 3	0 0	l College			701
 physical environment was conducive to learning. 	2.3	3.4	13.8	24.1	56.3	0.0	College University			

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Information Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses

Dept: CHP

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=======================================			======	======	======	=======	======================================	4.177	0.794	===== 38
. your satisfaction with the	2	14	39	189	141	1	Department	4.195	0.810	47
instruction in this course.	0.5	3.6	10.1	49.0	36.5	0.3	College	4.319	0.827	793
							University	4.190	0.952	8507
							Class	4.295	0.744	38
. the instructor as a teacher.	0	11	33	171	168	3	Department		0.770	46
	0.0	2.8	8.5	44.3	43.5	0.8	College University	4.375 4.262	0.820 0.942	791 8493
. the ability of the instructor	1	12	34	167	170	2	Class Department	4.284	0.775 0.797	38 40
to communicate effectively.	0.3	3.1	8.8	43.3	44.0	0.5	College	4.350	0.850	79:
							University	4.213	0.969	8492
							Class	4.026	0.968	31
. the quality of this course.	13	17	44	180	127	5	Department	4.088	0.955	4
	3.4	4.4	11.4	46.6	32.9	1.3	College	4.324	0.800	791
							University	4.144	0.940	8478
							Class	4.060	0.969	38
. the fairness of procedures	8	20	61	147	148	2	Department	4.136	0.947	40
for grading this course.	2.1	5.2	15.8	38.1	38.3	0.5	College University	4.378	0.793 0.892	792 8488
	1	0	23	194	164	4	Class	4.361	0.619	38
. your understanding of the course content.	1 0.3	0.0	6.0	50.3	164 42.5	4 1.0	Department College	4.370	0.653 0.709	4) 79:
							University		0.894	848
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
	======		======	======	======	=======	Class	4.281	0.739	38
. instructor created an atmos-	3	7	27	190	158	1	Department		0.787	4
phere conducive to learning.	0.8	1.8	7.0	49.2	40.9	0.3	College	4.388	0.773	79:
							University	4.281	0.852	849
	2	-	2.1	101	164	0	Class	4.297	0.737	31
. instructor provided well- defined course objectives.	3 0.8	5 1.3	31 8.0	181 46.9	164 42.5	2 0.5	Department College	4.308	0.772 0.771	4° 79:
defined course objectives.	0.0	1.3	0.0	40.9	12.5	0.5	University		0.869	8489
							Class	4.250	0.798	38
. instructor provided content/	6	7	29	185	157	2	Department	4.263	0.835	4
materials clear/organized.	1.6	1.8	7.5	47.9	40.7	0.5	College	4.293	0.884	793
							University	4.186	0.960	849
							Class	4.198	0.860	38
. I understood how grades	6	12	39	170	157	2	Department		0.857	4
were assigned in this course.	1.6	3.1	10.1	44.0	40.7	0.5	College University	4.458	0.714 0.844	79: 849
. I met/exceeded the course	3	2	19	203	157	2	Class Department	4.326	0.667 0.710	3 4
objectives for this course.	0.8	0.5	4.9	52.6	40.7	0.5	College	4.375	0.690	79:
							University	4.226	0.810	848
·							Class	4.206	0.749	3'
. instructor was available to	2	2	57	173	145	7	Department College	4.222	0.802	4
assist students outside class.	0.5	0.5	14.8	44.8	37.6	1.8	College University		0.775 0.835	79: 848:
. instructor provided feedback	10	10	45	174	142	5	Class Department	4.123		3 4
in a timely manner.	2.6		11.7			1.3		4.382	0.801	79:
							University	4.243	0.910	848
							Class	4.152	0.889	3
. instructor provided relevant	7	14	42	170		4	Department	4.182	0.909	4
feedback that helped me learn.	1.8	3.6	10.9	44.0	38.6	1.0	College University		0.841	
	2	2	00	105	1.00	,	Class	4.309		3
. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.		3 0 8	29 7 5	185 47 9	162 42.0	4 1.0	Department College			79:
might beardards for betweenes.	0.0	0.0	,	11.2	12.0	1.0	University	4.301	0.808	848
							Class			3'
. physical environment	7	5		183	161	9	Department			4
was conducive to learning.						2.3	College	4.390		
							University	4 251	0.885	0/0

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Information Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: MEDICAL LABORATORY SCIENCE

				our Col	lege	7962	VP=		r(1)	
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation ar							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=======================================		:=====	:=====	======	======	=======	Class	4.500	0.816	======= 16
1. your satisfaction with the	0	1	0	5	10	0	Department		0.644	32
instruction in this course.	0.0	6.3	0.0	31.3	62.5	0.0	College University	4.319	0.827 0.952	7937 85073
								4.190		
							Class	4.500	0.816	16
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	0	5	10	0	Department	4.625	0.707	32
	0.0	6.3	0.0	31.3	62.5	0.0	College University	4.375	0.820 0.942	7918 84930
	_		_	_		_	Class	4.625	0.806	16
the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0.0	1 6.3	0.0	3 18.8	12 75.0	0.0	Department College	4.750 4.350	0.622 0.850	32 7927
to communicate circuity.	0.0	0.5	0.0	10.0	73.0	0.0	University		0.969	84928
								4.562	0.600	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	5	10	0	Class Department	4.563	0.629 0.535	16 32
The damage of this course.	0.0	0.0	6.3	31.3	62.5	0.0	College	4.324	0.800	7919
							University		0.940	84784
							Class	4.563	0.814	 16
5. the fairness of procedures	0	1	0	4	11	0	Department		0.634	32
for grading this course.	0.0	6.3	0.0	25.0	68.8	0.0	College	4.378	0.793	7920
							University	4.317	0.892	84888
							Class	4.750	0.447	16
6. your understanding of the	0	0	0	4	12	0	Department	4.750	0.440	32
course content.	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	College	4.343	0.709	7910
							University	4.157	0.894	84805
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
									======	
7. instructor created an atmos-	0	1	0	4	11	0	Class Department	4.563 4.688	0.814 0.644	16 32
phere conducive to learning.	0.0	6.3	0.0	25.0	68.8	0.0	College	4.388	0.773	7939
1							University	4.281	0.852	84944
							Class	4.563	0.814	 16
8. instructor provided well-	0	1	Ō	4	11	0	Department		0.707	32
defined course objectives.	0.0	6.3	0.0	25.0	68.8	0.0	College	4.388	0.771	7933
							University	4.263	0.869	84893
							Class	4.563	0.814	16
9. instructor provided content/	0	1	0	4	11	0	Department		0.759	32
materials clear/organized.	0.0	6.3	0.0	25.0	68.8	0.0	College	4.293	0.884	7937
							University	4.186	0.960	84931
							Class	4.563	0.814	16
0. I understood how grades	0	1	0	4	11	0	Department	4.688	0.644	32
were assigned in this course.	0.0	6.3	0.0	25.0	68.8	0.0	College University	4.458	0.714 0.844	7936 84909
	_		_	_		_	Class	4.500	0.816	16
 I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course. 	0	1 6.3	0	21 2	10	0	Department College		0.660	32 7927
objectives for this course.	0.0	0.3	0.0	31.3	62.5	0.0	University	4.375 4.226	0.690 0.810	84883
2	0	1	0	_	1.0	0	Class	4.500	0.816	16
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1 6.3	0.0	5 31.3	10 62.5	0.0	Department College		0.707 0.775	32 7911
abbibe beauched databate diabat.	0.0	0.5	0.0	31.3	02.5	0.0	University			84822
3. instructor provided feedback	0	1	0	4	11	0	Class Department	4.563		
in a timely manner.	0.0			25.0		0.0	College			
							University			84870
							Class	4.563	0.814	
14. instructor provided relevant	0	1	0	4	11	0	Department			16 32
feedback that helped me learn.				25.0		0.0	College	4.332	0.841	7911
							University	4.192	0.931	84829
							Class	4.500	0.816	16
15. instructor set + maintained	0	1	0	5	10	0	Department			32
	0.0	6.3	0.0	31.3		0.0	College	4.464	0.677	7925
							University			84888
							Class	4.500	1.033	16
16. physical environment	1		0			0	Department	4.656	0.787	32
was conducive to learning.	6.3	0.0	0.0	25.0	68.8	0.0	College			7916
							University			84832

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

tion Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: MEDICAL LABORATORY SCIENCE

			11	our cor	rege	7902	VP-	very roc)r(1)	
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation ar							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
									.======	
1. your satisfaction with the	0	0	0	2	14	0	Class Department	4.875	0.342	16 32
instruction in this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	College	4.319	0.827	7937
inderdeelen in enib eedibe.	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	07.5	0.0	University		0.952	85073
							Class	4.750	0.577	16
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	2	13	0	Department		0.707	32
	0.0	0.0	6.3	12.5	81.3	0.0	College	4.375	0.820	7918
							University	4.262	0.942	84930
							Class	4.875	0.342	16
3. the ability of the instructor	0	0	0	2	14	0	Department	4.750	0.622	32
to communicate effectively.	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	College	4.350	0.850	7927
							University	4.213	0.969	84928
							Class	4.813	0.403	16
 the quality of this course. 	0	0	0	3	13	0	Department	4.688	0.535	32
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	College	4.324	0.800	7919
							University	4.144	0.940	84784
							Class	4.875	0.342	16
5. the fairness of procedures	0	0	0	2	14	0	Department	4.719	0.634	32
for grading this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	College	4.378	0.793	7920
							University	4.317	0.892	84888
							Class	4.750	0.447	16
6. your understanding of the	0	0	0	4	12	0	Department		0.440	32
course content.	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	College	4.343	0.709 0.894	7910
							University	4.15/	0.894	84805
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
	======	======		======	======		======================================	4.813	0.403	====== 16
7. instructor created an atmos-	0	0	0	3	13	0	Department	4.688	0.644	32
phere conducive to learning.	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	College	4.388	0.773	7939
							University	4.281	0.852	84944
							Class	4.688	0.602	16
8. instructor provided well-	0	0	1	3	12	0	Department	4.625	0.707	32
defined course objectives.	0.0	0.0	6.3	18.8	75.0	0.0	College	4.388	0.771	7933
							University	4.263	0.869	84893
							Class	4.563	0.727	16
instructor provided content/	0	0	2	3	11	0	Department	4.563	0.759	32
materials clear/organized.	0.0	0.0	12.5	18.8	68.8	0.0	College University	4.293 4.186	0.884 0.960	793′ 8493′
10 Tdtd bd	0	0	0	2	1.2	0	Class	4.813	0.403	16
 I understood how grades were assigned in this course. 	0	0	0	3 18.8	13	0.0	Department	4.688 4.458	0.644	793 793
were assigned in this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	81.3	0.0	College University		0.714 0.844	84909
							Class	4.750	0.447	
11. I met/exceeded the course	0	0	0	4	12	0	Department	4.750	0.447	16 32
objectives for this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	College	4.375	0.690	792
-							University		0.810	84883
							Class	4.750	0.577	16
12. instructor was available to	0	0	1	2	13	0			0.707	32
assist students outside class.	0.0	0.0	6.3	12.5	81.3	0.0	Department College	4.369	0.775	791
							University		0.835	84822
							Class		0.403	16
13. instructor provided feedback	0	0	0	3		0	Department	4.688	0.644	32
in a timely manner.	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	College			792
							University			
							Class	4.813	0.403	1
4. instructor provided relevant				3		0	Department			3.
feedback that helped me learn.	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	College University			
	_	_	_	_		_	Class		0.403	
 instructor set + maintained high standards for students. 	0	0	0	3	13	0	Department			
nigh standards for students.	0.0	υ.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	College University			
							Class	4.813	0.403	1
	_	_	_	_	4.0	^				-
16. physical environment	0		0			0	Department	4.656	0.787	32
16. physical environment					13 81.3			4.656 4.390	0.787 0.768	791

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

ion Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: NURSING

VG= Very Good...(5)
G= Good...(4)
Sheets Scanned: This Level ... 778 IB=In Between...(3)
Your Dept ... 2892 P= Poor...(2)
Your College .. 7962 VP= Very Poor...(1)

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
	======	:=====:	======	======	======	=======	Class	4.363	0.851	77
. your satisfaction with the	10	19	74	249	423	3	Department	4.434	0.790	288
instruction in this course.	1.3	2.4	9.5	32.0	54.4	0.4	College	4.319	0.827	793
							University	4.190	0.952	850
							Class	4.449	0.812	7
. the instructor as a teacher.	5	21	66	212	471	3	Department	4.504	0.767	28
	0.6	2.7	8.5	27.2	60.5	0.4	College	4.375	0.820	79
							University	4.262	0.942	849
							Class	4.377	0.879	7
. the ability of the instructor	6	29	85	202	453	3	Department	4.472	0.800	28
to communicate effectively.	0.8	3.7	10.9	26.0	58.2	0.4	College University	4.350 4.213	0.850 0.969	79 849
. the quality of this course.	7	13	87	248	420	3	Class Department	4.369	0.817 0.746	7 28
. the quarity of this course.	0.9	1.7	11.2	31.9	54.0	0.4	College	4.324	0.800	79
	0.9	1.7	11.2	31.9	34.0	0.1	University		0.940	847
								1 139	0 914	 7
. the fairness of procedures	6	20	65	221	462	4	Class Department	4.438	0.814	28
for grading this course.	0.8	2.6	8.4	28.4	59.4	0.5	College	4.322	0.733	79:
J J							University		0.892	848
	2	_		270	421	4	Class	4.459	0.696	7'
. your understanding of the course content.	3 0.4	6 0.8	55 7.1	279 35.9	431 55.4	4 0.5	Department College	4.480	0.668 0.709	28 79
course content.	0.4	0.0	/.1	33.9	33.4	0.5	University		0.894	848
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							 Class	4.456	0.771	===== 7
. instructor created an atmos-	5	17	53	246	456	1	Department	4.477	0.771	28
phere conducive to learning.	0.6	2.2	6.8	31.6	58.6	0.1	College	4.388	0.773	79
							University	4.281	0.852	849
							Class	4.386	0.826	7
. instructor provided well-	4	26	70	242	433	3	Department	4.506	0.718	28
defined course objectives.	0.5	3.3	9.0	31.1	55.7	0.4	College	4.388	0.771	79
							University	4.263	0.869	848
							Class	4.296	0.913	7
. instructor provided content/	9	35	83	239	410	2	Department		0.863	28
materials clear/organized.	1.2	4.5	10.7	30.7	52.7	0.3	College University	4.293 4.186	0.884	79 849
. I understood how grades	2	12	45	254	462	3	Class Department	4.499 4.585	0.700 0.635	7 28
were assigned in this course.	0.3	1.5	5.8	32.6	59.4	0.4	College	4.458	0.714	79
3							University		0.844	849
							Class	4.402	0.754	 7
. I met/exceeded the course	8	9	52	302	406	1	Department		0.645	28
objectives for this course.	1.0	1.2	6.7	38.8	52.2	0.1	College	4.375	0.690	79
							University	4.226	0.810	848
							Class	4.487	0.748	7
. instructor was available to	4	10	66	220	476	2	Department		0.702	28
assist students outside class.	0.5	1.3	8.5	28.3	61.2	0.3	College		0.775	79
							University	4.2/8	0.835	848
	_			0.00			Class		0.805	7
	1 2			230		1	Department			
in a timely manner.	1.2	2.2	6.4	∠9.b	00.5	0.1	College University		0.801	
. instructor provided relevant	8	26	55	222	466	1	Class Department		0.844	7 28
feedback that helped me learn.		3.3		28.5		0.1	College		0.794	28 79
The transfer was really							University	4.192	0.931	848
							Class	4.570	0.680	 7
. instructor set + maintained	5	5	39	220	506	3	Department			28
high standards for students.			5.0			0.4	College		0.677	
							University			
							Class	4.503	0.706	 7
. physical environment		6	48	252	465	2	Department	4.501	0.747	28
was conducive to learning.	0.6	0.8	6.2	32.4	59.8	0.3	College			
							University	4 054		848

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

nformation Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: NURSING

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
	.======	.=====			======	=======	Class	4.488	0.767	170
. your satisfaction with the	11	36	114	493	1050	4	Department		0.790	288
instruction in this course.	0.6	2.1	6.7	28.9	61.5	0.2	College University	4.319 4.190	0.827 0.952	793 8507
the instructor of a torobox	1.0	27	115	415	1122	9	Class	4.531	0.766	169
. the instructor as a teacher.	10 0.6	37 2.2	115 6.7	415 24.3	1122 65.7	0.5	Department College	4.375	0.767 0.820	287 791
							University		0.942	8493
							Class	4.521	0.771	170
. the ability of the instructor	6	50	108	425	1114	5	Department		0.800	287
to communicate effectively.	0.4	2.9	6.3	24.9	65.2	0.3	College	4.350	0.850	792
							University	4.213	0.969	8492
							Class	4.533	0.698	169
. the quality of this course.	0 2	24 1.4	106 6.2	493 28.9	1072	9	Department		0.746 0.800	287 791
	0.2	1.4	0.2	20.9	62.8	0.5	College University	4.324	0.940	8478
								4.570	0.705	169
. the fairness of procedures	7	30	82	449	1131	9	Class Department		0.703	287
for grading this course.	0.4	1.8	4.8	26.3	66.2	0.5	College	4.378	0.793	792
							University	4.317	0.892	8488
							Class	4.514	0.648	169
. your understanding of the	4	11	87	603	994	9	Department		0.668	287
course content.	0.2	0.6	5.1	35.3	58.2	0.5	College University	4.343	0.709 0.894	791 8480
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
			:====:				Class	4.498	0.767	170
. instructor created an atmos-	7	43	117	464	1071	6	Department		0.767	288
phere conducive to learning.	0.4	2.5	6.9	27.2	62.7	0.4	College	4.388	0.773	793
							University	4.281	0.852	8494
		0.5		F1 F	1000	-	Class	4.562	0.667	170
 instructor provided well- defined course objectives. 	0.2	25 1.5	70 4.1	515 30.2	1089 63.8	5 0.3	Department College	4.388	0.718 0.771	287 793
							University		0.869	8489
							Class	4.437	0.829	170
. instructor provided content/	13	54	136	473	1027	5	Department		0.863	288
materials clear/organized.	0.8	3.2	8.0	27.7	60.1	0.3	College University	4.293	0.884	793 8493
I understood how grades	1	12	48	472	1166	6	Class Department	4.636 4.585	0.599 0.635	170 287
. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0.2	0.7	2.8	27.6	68.3	0.4	College	4.458	0.033	793
							University		0.844	8490
							Class	4.544	0.598	170
. I met/exceeded the course	1	4	75	610	1012	6	Department	4.494	0.645	287
objectives for this course.	0.1	0.2	4.4	35.7	59.3	0.4	College	4.375	0.690	792
							University	4.22b	0.810	8488
	_	٠.		400	1111	Ć.	Class	4.578	0.693	170
 instructor was available to assist students outside class. 	5 0.3	24 1.4	99 5.8	428 25.1	1144 67.0	8 0.5	Department College	4.547 4.369	0.702 0.775	287 791
abbide beddened outside cidss.	0.5	1.1	5.0	23.1	07.0	0.5	University		0.835	
							Class	4.549	0.753	170
. instructor provided feedback	14		70	455	1124	6	Department			288
in a timely manner.	0.8	2.3		26.6		0.4	College	4.382	0.801	792
							University			
				,		_	Class	4.515	0.782	170
. instructor provided relevant	14	39	107	438 25.6	1104	6	Department			288
feedback that helped me learn.	0.8	2.3	0.5	∠3.0	04.0	0.4	College University		0.841 0.931	791 8482
									0.604	1.00
. instructor set + maintained	5	6	64	460	1164	9	Class Department	4.632	0.604 0.626	169 287
high standards for students.	0.3	0.4	3.7	26.9	68.1	0.5	College			792
							University			
							Class		0.769	169
		2.1	110	456	1077	1.2	Department			287
 physical environment was conducive to learning. 	13 0.8	31 1.8	118	456 26.7	1077 63.1	13 0.8	College			791

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

nformation Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses

Dept: NURSING

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.344	0.742	4(
. your satisfaction with the	0	9	38	160	194	5	Department		0.790	288
instruction in this course.	0.0	2.2	9.4	39.4	47.8	1.2	College University	4.319 4.190	0.827 0.952	79: 850
. the instructor as a teacher.	1	5	21	143	231	5	Class Department	4.491 4.504	0.679 0.767	28
	0.2	1.2	5.2	35.2	56.9	1.2	College	4.375	0.820	79
							University	4.262	0.942	849
							Class	4.443	0.740	4
. the ability of the instructor	1	11	21	144	223	6	Department		0.800	28
to communicate effectively.	0.2	2.7	5.2	35.5	54.9	1.5	College University	4.350 4.213	0.850 0.969	79 849
. the quality of this course.	1	7	46	157	190	5	Class Department	4.317	0.763 0.746	28
1	0.2	1.7	11.3	38.7	46.8	1.2	College	4.324	0.800	79
							University	4.144	0.940	847
							Class	4.479	0.707	4
. the fairness of procedures	0	8	26	133	234	5	Department		0.739	28
for grading this course.	0.0	2.0	6.4	32.8	57.6	1.2	College University	4.378	0.793 0.892	79 848
. your understanding of the	0	6	30	170	194	6	Class Department	4.380	0.691 0.668	4 28
course content.	0.0	1.5	7.4	41.9	47.8	1.5	College	4.343	0.709	79
							University	4.157	0.894	848
		_		_						
Please rate: ====================================	SD	D:=====:	N ======	A ======	SA ======	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R =====
:	2	1.0	22	144	222	-	Class	4.431	0.756	4
. instructor created an atmos- phere conducive to learning.	2 0.5	10 2.5	23 5.7	144 35.5	222 54.7	5 1.2	Department College	4.477	0.767 0.773	28 79
phore conductive to rearming.	0.5	2.5	3.,	33.3	31.7	1.2	University	4.281	0.852	849
							Class	4.500	0.675	4
. instructor provided well-	2	3	20	143	232	6	Department		0.718	28
defined course objectives.	0.5	0.7	4.9	35.2	57.1	1.5	College	4.388	0.771	79
							University	4.263	0.869	848
ingtrustor provided content/	4	17	40	135	205	5	Class	4.297	0.886 0.863	4 28
. instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized.	1.0	4.2	9.9	33.3	50.5	1.2	Department College	4.380	0.884	28 79
							University		0.960	849
							Class	4.539	0.632	 4
. I understood how grades	0	3	21	134	243	5	Department	4.585	0.635	28
were assigned in this course.	0.0	0.7	5.2	33.0	59.9	1.2	College University	4.458	0.714	79 849
. I met/exceeded the course	0	0	18	178	203	7	Class Department	4.464 4.494	0.583 0.645	28
objectives for this course.	0.0	0.0	4.4	43.8	50.0	1.7	College	4.375	0.690	79
							University		0.810	848
							Class	4.536	0.640	3
. instructor was available to	0	3	23	130	243	7	Department	4.547	0.702	28
assist students outside class.	0.0	0.7	5.7	32.0	59.9	1.7	College University	4.369 4.278	0.775 0.835	79 848
. instructor provided feedback	2	14	36	116	233	5	Class Department		0.832 0.780	4 28
in a timely manner.	0.5		8.9			1.2	College			
							University			
							Class	4.499	0.735	4
. instructor provided relevant	1		19	126	244	5	Department			28
feedback that helped me learn.	0.2	2.7	4.7	31.0	60.1	1.2	College University		0.841 0.931	79 848
. instructor set + maintained	0	2	18	132	248	6	Class Department	4.565	0.606 0.626	4 28
high standards for students.	0.0	0.5	4.4		61.1	1.5	College		0.626	28 79
							University	4.301	0.808	848
									0.728	4
							Class	4.4/3	0.728	41
. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3 0.7	4 1.0	26	135 33.3	232 57.1	6 1.5	Department College	4.501	0.747	28 79

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information

partment Information Number of Students: Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses VG= Verv Good (5)
Dept: PUBLIC HEALTH VG= Very Good....(5)
G= Good....(4)
IB=In Between....(3)
P= Poor....(2)
VP= Very Poor....(1) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 160
Your Dept 160
Your College .. 7962

			Y	our Col	lege	7962	VP=	Very Poc	r(1)	
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation an							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	5 3.1	6	17 10.6	43 26.9	88 55.0	1 0.6	Class Department College University	4.277 4.277 4.319 4.190	1.012 1.012 1.012 0.827 0.952	===== 15 15 793 8507
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4 2.5	3 1.9	16 10.0	34 21.3	102 63.8	1 0.6	Class Department College University	4.375	0.931 0.931 0.820 0.942	15 15 791 8493
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	5 3.1	7 4.4	10 6.3	34 21.3	103 64.4	1 0.6	Class Department College University	4.403 4.403 4.350 4.213	1.007 1.007 0.850 0.969	15 15 792 8492
4. the quality of this course.	2 1.3	6	20 12.5	41 25.6	90 56.3	1 0.6	Class Department College University	4.324	0.924 0.924 0.800 0.940	15 15 791 8478
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	3	7 4.4	47 29.4	101 63.1	1 0.6	Class Department College University	4.535 4.535 4.378 4.317	0.727 0.727 0.793 0.892	15 15 792 8488
6. your understanding of the course content.	1 0.6	4 2.5	19 11.9	56 35.0	79 49.4	1 0.6	Class Department College University	4.308 4.308 4.343 4.157	0.826 0.826 0.709 0.894	15 15 791 8480
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2 1.3	7 4.4	5 3.1	51 31.9	94 58.8	1 0.6	Class Department College University	4.434 4.434 4.388 4.281	0.853 0.853 0.773 0.852	15 15 791 8494
8. instructor provided well- defined course objectives.	5 3.1	9 5.6	11 6.9	44 27.5	91 56.9	0.0	Class Department College University	4.294 4.294 4.388 4.263	1.032 1.032 0.771 0.869	16 16 793 8489
9. instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized.	8 5.0	12 7.5	10 6.3	42 26.3	88 55.0	0.0	Class Department College University	4.293	1.156 1.156 0.884 0.960	10 10 79 849
O. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5 3.1	6 3.8	14 8.8	40 25.0	95 59.4	0.0	Class Department College University	4.338 4.338 4.458 4.341	1.002 1.002 0.714 0.844	10 10 79: 8490
 I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course. 	2	5 3.1	11 6.9	67 41.9	74 46.3	1 0.6	Class Department College University	4.296 4.296 4.375 4.226	0.831 0.831 0.690 0.810	15 15 792 8488
2. instructor was available to assist students outside class.				28.1		1 0.6	Class Department College University	4.369 4.278	0.775 0.835	791 8482
3. instructor provided feedback	6 3.8	5 3.1	11 6.9	40 25.0	98 61.3	0	Class Department College University	4.369 4.369 4.382 4.243	1.007 1.007 0.801 0.910	16 16 792 8487
4. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6 3.8	8 5.0	12 7.5	48 30.0	86	0.0	Class Department College University	4.250 4.250 4.332 4.192	1.046 1.046 0.841 0.931	16 16 79: 848:
5. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4 2.5	6	12 7.5	46 28.8		0.0	Class Department College University	4.350 4.350 4.464 4.301	0.953 0.953 0.677 0.808	16 79: 848
6. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	5	23	46	82		Class Department College	4.252 4.252	0.948 0.948	1! 1!

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

tion Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

VG= Very Good...(5)
G= Good...(4)
Sheets Scanned: This Level ... 128 IB=In Between...(3)
Your Dept ... 3204 P= Poor...(2)
Your College .. 7962 VP= Very Poor...(1) S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: PHARMACY PRACTICE

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
	=======	:=====:	======	======	======	:======	Class	4.583	0.706	12
. your satisfaction with the	0	3	7	30	87	1	Department	4.336	0.810	319
instruction in this course.	0.0	2.3	5.5	23.4	68.0	0.8	College	4.319	0.827	793
							University	4.190	0.952	8507
							Class	4.698	0.597	12
. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	6	23	96	2	Department		0.803	318
	0.0	0.8	4.7	18.0	75.0	1.6	College	4.375	0.820	791
							University	4.262	0.942	8493
							Class	4.677	0.641	12
. the ability of the instructor	0	3	3	26	95	1	Department	4.408	0.762	319
to communicate effectively.	0.0	2.3	2.3	20.3	74.2	0.8	College	4.350	0.850	792
							University	4.213	0.969	8492
							Class	4.464	0.702	12
. the quality of this course.	0	1	12	40	72	3	Department		0.786	319
	0.0	0.8	9.4	31.3	56.3	2.3	College	4.324	0.800	791
							University		0.940	8478
								1 639	0.709	11
. the fairness of procedures	0	2	11	18	96	1	Class Department	4.638	0.709 0.784	12 319
for grading this course.	0.0	1.6	8.6	14.1	75.0	0.8	College	4.378	0.784	792
grading chib course.	0.0	2.0	0.0		, , , ,	0.0	University		0.892	8488
your understanding of the	1	2	11	20	0.4	1	Class	4.520	0.785	210
. your understanding of the course content.	0.8	2 1.6	11 8.6	29 22.7	84 65.6	1 0.8	Department	4.343	0.673 0.709	318 791
course content.	0.0	1.0	0.0	22.1	05.0	0.0	College University		0.709	8480
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							======================================	4.512	0.722	 12
. instructor created an atmos-	1	0	11	36	79	1	Department	4.312	0.755	319
phere conducive to learning.	0.8	0.0	8.6	28.1	61.7	0.8	College	4.388	0.773	793
F							University	4.281	0.852	8494
							Class	4.535	0.743	12
. instructor provided well-	2	0	7	37	81	1	Department		0.743	319
defined course objectives.	1.6	0.0	5.5	28.9	63.3	0.8	College	4.388	0.771	793
-							University		0.869	8489
							Class	4.543	0.732	12
. instructor provided content/	2	0	6	38	81	1	Department		0.858	319
materials clear/organized.	1.6	0.0	4.7	29.7	63.3	0.8	College	4.293	0.884	793
							University		0.960	8493
							Class	4.638	0.709	12
. I understood how grades	2	1	2	31	91	1	Department	4.417	0.727	319
were assigned in this course.	1.6	0.8	1.6	24.2	71.1	0.8	College	4.458	0.714	793
							University	4.341	0.844	8490
							Class	4.386	0.864	12
. I met/exceeded the course	2	1	17	33	74	1	Department		0.674	319
objectives for this course.	1.6	0.8	13.3	25.8	57.8	0.8	College	4.375	0.690	792
							University	4.226	0.810	8488
							Class	4.512	0.711	12
. instructor was available to	1	0	10	38	78	1	Department	4.323	0.782	319
assist students outside class	. 0.8	0.0	7.8	29.7	60.9	0.8	College		0.775	791
							University	4.278	0.835	848
							Class	4.661	0.681	1:
. instructor provided feedback			6			1	Department		0.774	31
in a timely manner.			4.7	18.8	74.2	0.8	College			
							University			
							Class	4.591	0.694	1:
. instructor provided relevant	1	1	6		86	1	Department	4.336	0.797	318
feedback that helped me learn	. 0.8	0.8	4.7	25.8	67.2	0.8	College		0.841	79:
							University			
							Class	4.635	0.627	12
. instructor set + maintained		0	4	34	87	2	Department	4.437	0.669	319
high standards for students.	0.8	0.0	3.1	26.6	68.0	1.6	College		0.677	
							University	4.301	0.808	8488
							Class		0.698	12
. physical environment	1			32		2	Department	4.379	0.742	
was conducive to learning.	0.8	0.0	7.0	25.0	65.6	1.6	College			
							University	4 251	0.885	0/01

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information Number of Students: Response Key:

			10	Jul COI.	rege	7902	V F -	very roc	r(1)	
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation ar							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=======================================			======	======	======	=======		4 222	0.027	107
1. your satisfaction with the	29	34	91	428	497	1	Class Department	4.233	0.927 0.810	319
instruction in this course.	2.7	3.1	8.4	39.6	46.0	0.1	College	4.319	0.827	793
							University		0.952	8507
							Class	4.297	0.900	107
2. the instructor as a teacher.	22	35	87	391	544	1	Department		0.803	318
	2.0	3.2	8.1	36.2	50.4	0.1	College University	4.375 4.262	0.820 0.942	791 8493
							Class	4.334	0.827	107
3. the ability of the instructor	16	26	74	427	534	3	Department	4.408	0.762	319
to communicate effectively.	1.5	2.4	6.9	39.5	49.4	0.3	College	4.350	0.850	792
							University	4.213	0.969	8492
						_	Class	4.214	0.881	107
4. the quality of this course.	17	36	117	437	471	2	Department		0.786	319
	1.6	3.3	10.8	40.5	43.6	0.2	College University	4.324	0.800 0.940	791 8478
							Class	4.258	0.876	107
5. the fairness of procedures	18	39	85	441	495	2	Department	4.339	0.784	319
for grading this course.	1.7	3.6	7.9	40.8	45.8	0.2	College	4.378	0.793	792
							University	4.317	0.892	8488
	_					_	Class	4.254	0.711	107
your understanding of the course content.	3	18 1.7	98 9.1	541 50.1	417 38.6	3 0.3	Department		0.673	318 791
course content.	0.3	1.7	9.1	30.1	30.0	0.3	College University	4.343	0.709 0.894	8480
Please rate:	SD	D	N ======	A ======	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R =====
. instructor created an atmos-	15	25	71	444	524	1	Class Department	4.332	0.812 0.755	10' 319
phere conducive to learning.	1.4	2.3	6.6	41.1	48.5	0.1	College	4.388	0.773	793
F						**-	University		0.852	8494
							Class	4.264	0.850	10
3. instructor provided well-	18	31	83	462	483	3	Department		0.741	319
defined course objectives.	1.7	2.9	7.7	42.8	44.7	0.3	College University	4.388	0.771 0.869	79: 8489
							Class	4.182	0.942	10
. instructor provided content/	20	58	104	420	476	2	Department	4.308	0.858	319
materials clear/organized.	1.9	5.4	9.6	38.9	44.1	0.2	College	4.293	0.884	79:
							University	4.186	0.960	849
							Class	4.349	0.807	10
. I understood how grades	19	21	51	461	526	2	Department	4.417	0.727	31:
were assigned in this course.	1.8	1.9	4.7	42.7	48.7	0.2	College University	4.458 4.341	0.714 0.844	79: 8490
							Class	4.294	0.717	10
1. I met/exceeded the course	8	9	89	521	447	6	Department		0.674	319
objectives for this course.	0.7	0.8	8.2	48.2	41.4	0.6	College University	4.375	0.690 0.810	79: 848:
2. instructor was available to	23	22	142	451	440	2	Class	4.172	0.886 0.782	10' 31'
assist students outside class.						0.2	Department College	4.369	0.775	79:
							University			
							Class		0.889	10
. instructor provided feedback	27		108			5	Department			31
in a timely manner.	2.5	1.9	10.0	41.3	43.9	0.5	College University			
. instructor provided relevant						5	Class Department		0.909 0.797	10' 31
feedback that helped me learn.						0.5	College	4.332	0.841	79:
							University		0.931	
							Class	4.333	0.752	10
 instructor set + maintained high standards for students. 	12	14	71	487	494	2	Department	4.437	0.669	31
							College University	4.464	0.808	79: 848:
							Class	4.296	0.836	10
				176	105	2	Department	4 379	0.742	319
5. physical environment	19	31	5 /	4/0	493			1.575		
. physical environment was conducive to learning.	19 1.8	2.9	5.3	44.1	45.8	0.2	College University	4.390	0.768	79:

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

rmation Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

S_Lev: 500 Level Courses Dept: PHARMACY PRACTICE

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=======================================	======	:======	:=====	======	======	:=======	Class	4.375	0.739	199
. your satisfaction with the	11	33	145	811	991	4	Department		0.810	319
instruction in this course.	0.6	1.7	7.3	40.7	49.7	0.2	College University	4.319	0.827	793
								4.190	0.952	8507
							Class	4.409	0.751	198
. the instructor as a teacher.	13	37 1.9	130	748	1055 52.9	12	Department		0.803	318
	0.7	1.9	6.5	37.5	52.9	0.6	College University	4.375 4.262	0.820	791 8493
the chilien of the instance.	0	20	126	722	1079	8	Class	4.431	0.726	198
 the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively. 	9 0.5	30 1.5	136 6.8	733 36.7	54.1	0.4	Department College	4.408	0.762 0.850	319 792
co communicace circoniver,	0.5	1.5	0.0	50.7	31.1	0.1	University		0.969	8492
							Class	4.370	0.728	 198
. the quality of this course.	9	31	148	827	973	7	Department		0.726	319
	0.5	1.6	7.4	41.5	48.8	0.4	College	4.324	0.800	791
							University	4.144	0.940	8478
							Class	4.364	0.728	198
. the fairness of procedures	11	31	137	852	956	8	Department		0.784	319
for grading this course.	0.6	1.6	6.9	42.7	47.9	0.4	College	4.378	0.793	792
							University	4.317	0.892	8488
							Class	4.369	0.638	198
. your understanding of the	1	1.3	127	953	888	13	Department		0.673	318
course content.	0.1	0.7	6.4	47.8	44.5	0.7	College University	4.343	0.709 0.894	791 8480
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=======================================							Class	4.417	0.723	===== 199
. instructor created an atmos-	11	33	113	792	1042	4	Department		0.755	319
phere conducive to learning.	0.6	1.7	5.7	39.7	52.2	0.2	College	4.388	0.773	793
							University	4.281	0.852	8494
							Class	4.450	0.665	199
. instructor provided well-	6	18	103	811	1052	5	Department		0.741	319
defined course objectives.	0.3	0.9	5.2	40.7	52.7	0.3	College	4.388	0.771	793
							University	4.203	0.869	8489
							Class	4.361	0.807	199
. instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized.	20 1.0	53 2.7	140 7.0	754 37.8	1024 51.3	4 0.2	Department College	4.308	0.858 0.884	319 793
materials elear, organizea.	1.0	2.7	7.0	37.0	31.3	0.2	University		0.960	8493
							Class	4.440	0.678	 199
. I understood how grades	9	16	110	812	1045	3	Department		0.727	319
were assigned in this course.	0.5	0.8	5.5	40.7	52.4	0.2	College	4.458	0.714	793
							University	4.341	0.844	8490
							Class	4.396	0.633	199
. I met/exceeded the course	3	10	113	933	931	5	Department	4.362	0.674	319
objectives for this course.	0.2	0.5	5.7	46.8	46.7	0.3	College University	4.375	0.690 0.810	792 8488
1001	_		100		1000	1.7	Class	4.393	0.710	198
. instructor was available to	7	13	182	773 38.7	1009	11 0.6	Department College		0.782 0.775	319 791
assist students outside class.	0.4	0.7	2.⊥	30.7	30.0	0.0	University		0.775	
. instructor provided feedback	8	20	129	784	1047	7	Class Department		0.695 0.774	198 319
in a timely manner.					52.5	0.4	College			
							University	4.243	0.910	8487
							Class	4.397	0.723	197
	12	18	154	783		16	Department	4.336	0.797	318
feedback that helped me learn.	0.6	0.9	7.7	39.2	50.7	0.8	College University		0.841	791 8482
to a second seco	_	_	0 -	0.5-	100-	_	Class	4.480	0.614	199
. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 1	9 0.5	88 4 4		1068 53.5	5 0.3	Department College			319 792
						0.3	University			
. physical environment	7	22	117	843	1001	5	Class Department		0.685	199 319
	0.4				50.2	0.3	College			
-							University			

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information Number of Students: Response Key:

					rege		**		r(1)	
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation as							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
	======	======	======	=====	======			E 000	======	
1. your satisfaction with the	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class Department	5.000 4.336	0.810	1 3198
instruction in this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	College	4.319	0.827	7937
							University		0.952	85073
							Class	5.000		1
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Department		0.803	3189
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	College	4.375	0.820	7918
							University	4.262	0.942	84930
										1
3. the ability of the instructor	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class Department	5.000 4.408	0.762	3192
to communicate effectively.	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	College	4.350	0.850	7927
							University	4.213	0.969	84928
							Class	5.000		1
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	0	1	0	Department		0.786	3192
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	College	4.324	0.800	7919
							University	4.144	0.940	84784
							Class	5.000		 1
5. the fairness of procedures	Ö	0	0	0	1	0	Department	4.339	0.784	3193
for grading this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	College	4.378	0.793	7920
							University	4.317	0.892	84888
6. your understanding of the	0	0	0	0	1	0	Class Department	5.000 4.337	0.673	3187
course content.	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	College	4.343	0.709	7910
							University		0.894	84805
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	5.000		
7. instructor created an atmos-	0	0	0	0	1	0	Department	4.392	0.755	3198
phere conducive to learning.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	College	4.388	0.773	7939
							University	4.281	0.852	84944
							Class	5.000		
8. instructor provided well-	0	0	0	0	1	0	Department	4.391	0.741	3195
defined course objectives.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	College	4.388	0.771	7933
							University	4.263	0.869	84893
							Class	5.000		
9. instructor provided content/	0	0	0	0	1	0	Department	4.308	0.858	319
materials clear/organized.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	College	4.293	0.884	793
							University	4.186	0.960	84931
							Class	5.000		
0. I understood how grades	0	0	0	0	1	0	Department	4.417	0.727	319
were assigned in this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	College	4.458	0.714	7936
							University	4.341	0.844	84909
							Class	5.000		
11. I met/exceeded the course	0	0	0	0	1	0	Department		0.674	3192
objectives for this course.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	College	4.375	0.690	792
							University	4.226	0.810	84883
							Class	5.000		:
2. instructor was available to	0	0	0	0	1	0	Department College		0.782	319
assist students outside class.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0			0.775	791
							University		0.835	8482
							Class			
3. instructor provided feedback	0	0	0	0	1	0	Department		0.774	319
in a timely manner.	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	College	4.382	0.801	792
							University			8487
							Class			
4. instructor provided relevant	0	0	0	0	1	0	Department		0.797	
feedback that helped me learn.		0.0			100.0	0.0	College	4.332	0.841	791
							University	4.192	0.931	8482
								5 000		
.5. instructor set + maintained	0	0	0	Ω	1	0	Class Department			319
 instructor set + maintained high standards for students. 	0.0	0.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	College			
J							University	4.301	0.808	8488
6 physical communication	^	^	^	^	-1	^	Class			
6. physical environment	0		0		100.0	0	Department College		0.742 0.768	319
was conducive to learning	0 0									
was conducive to learning.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	University			

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information Number of Students: Response Key:

			10	our cor.	rege	1902	VP-	very Poo	r(1)	
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation ar							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=======================================		=====					======================================	4.017	0.868	110
1. your satisfaction with the	11	58	165	534	333	4	Department		0.863	113
instruction in this course.	1.0	5.2	14.9	48.3	30.1	0.4	College	4.319	0.827	793
							University	4.190	0.952	8507
							Class	4.022	0.906	110
2. the instructor as a teacher.	17	51	185	486	362	4	Department		0.900	113
	1.5	4.6	16.7	44.0	32.8	0.4	College University	4.375 4.262	0.820 0.942	791 8493
3. the ability of the instructor	36	77	239	409	340	4	Class Department	3.854 3.867	1.039	110 113
to communicate effectively.	3.3	7.0	21.6	37.0	30.8	0.4	College	4.350	0.850	792
							University	4.213	0.969	8492
							Class	4.065	0.809	109
4. the quality of this course.	11	35	155	569	329	6	Department		0.804	112
	1.0	3.2	14.0	51.5	29.8	0.5	College	4.324	0.800	791
							University	4.144	0.940	8478
							Class	4.202	0.793	109
5. the fairness of procedures	9	22	136	501	429	8	Department		0.790	112
for grading this course.	0.8	2.0	12.3	45.3	38.8	0.7	College University	4.378	0.793 0.892	792 8488
6		4.0	1.00		05.6	0	Class	3.977	0.789	109
your understanding of the course content.	11 1.0	40 3.6	168 15.2	622 56.3	256 23.2	8 0.7	Department College	4.343	0.786 0.709	112 791
course concent.	1.0	3.0	13.2	30.3	23.2	0.,	University		0.894	8480
Please rate:	SD	D	N ======	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7	_	2.4	100	E 4.4	205	2	Class	4.169	0.784	110
 instructor created an atmos- phere conducive to learning. 	6 0.5	34 3.1	123 11.1	544 49.2	395 35.7	3 0.3	Department College	4.171 4.388	0.780 0.773	113 793
phere conductive to rearning.	0.5	3.1	11.1	17.2	55.7	0.5	University		0.852	8494
							 Class	4.122	0.861	110
8. instructor provided well-	10	50	139	499	403	4	Department		0.861	113
defined course objectives.	0.9	4.5	12.6	45.2	36.5	0.4	College	4.388	0.771	793
							University	4.263	0.869	8489
							Class	4.035	0.955	110
9. instructor provided content/	18	76	147	469	391	4	Department	4.040	0.949	113
materials clear/organized.	1.6	6.9	13.3	42.4	35.4	0.4	College University	4.293 4.186	0.884	793 8493
O Tdataad baaa	2	1.0	71	F1F	497	3	Class	4.349	0.690	110
). I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0.3	16 1.4	71 6.4	515 46.6	45.0	0.3	Department College	4.350 4.458	0.687 0.714	11: 79:
							University		0.844	8490
							Class	4.124	0.744	109
l. I met/exceeded the course	10	16	136	603	334	6	Department		0.740	11:
objectives for this course.	0.9	1.4	12.3	54.6	30.2	0.5	College	4.375	0.690	79:
							University		0.810	8488
	_	_		,			Class	4.100	0.766	109
 instructor was available to assist students outside class. 	3 0 3	9 0 8	227	492 44 5	363 32.9	11 1.0	Department College	4.085	0.771 0.775	112 793
assist students outside class.	0.5	0.0	20.5	44.5	32.9	1.0	University			
							Class	4.191	0.756	10
	2	20	156	505	411	11	Department			109
3. instructor provided feedback		1.8	14.1	45.7	37.2	1.0	College	4.382	0.801	79:
3. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0.2						University			
B. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0.2									109
in a timely manner.							Class		0.889	
in a timely manner.	13						Class Department	3.990	0.884	
in a timely manner.	13						Class Department College	3.990 4.332	0.884 0.841	79
in a timely manner. I. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	13 1.2	3.9					Class Department College University	3.990 4.332 4.192	0.884 0.841 0.931	791 8482
in a timely manner. I. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	13 1.2	3.9	20.9	41.9	31.0	1.1	Class Department College University Class	3.990 4.332 4.192 4.252	0.884 0.841 0.931 	79: 848:
in a timely manner. 1. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	13 1.2	3.9	20.9	41.9	31.0	1.1	Class Department College University Class Department	3.990 4.332 4.192 4.252 4.252	0.884 0.841 0.931 0.669 0.664	79: 848: 11:
in a timely manner. 4. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	13 1.2	3.9	20.9	41.9	31.0	1.1	Class Department College University 	3.990 4.332 4.192 4.252 4.252 4.464	0.884 0.841 0.931 0.669 0.664 0.677	79: 848: 11: 11: 79:
4. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	13 1.2 2	3.9 7 0.6	20.9 109 9.9	41.9 576 52.1	31.0 406 36.7	1.1 5 0.5	Class Department College University Class Department College University	3.990 4.332 4.192 4.252 4.252 4.464 4.301	0.884 0.841 0.931 0.669 0.664 0.677 0.808	791 8482 110 112 792 8488
in a timely manner. 4. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn. 5. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	13 1.2 2 0.2	3.9 7 0.6	109	41.9 576 52.1	406 36.7	1.1 5 0.5	Class Department College University Class Department College University Class Clas	3.990 4.332 4.192 4.252 4.252 4.464 4.301 4.191	0.884 0.841 0.931 0.669 0.664 0.677 0.808	791 8482 110 112 792 8488
in a timely manner. 4. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn. 5. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	13 1.2 2 0.2	3.9 7 0.6	109	41.9 576 52.1	406 36.7	1.1 5 0.5	Class Department College University Class Department College University	3.990 4.332 4.192 	0.884 0.841 0.931 0.669 0.664 0.677 0.808 0.776 0.785	791 8482 110 112 792 8488

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Department Information Number of Students: Response Key:

				Jul 001	rege	7502	VF-	very Poo	(_)	
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation an							each question.			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
								4 005		=====
1. your satisfaction with the	0	0	3	17	9	0	Class Department	4.207	0.620 0.863	2 113
instruction in this course.	0.0	0.0	10.3	58.6	31.0	0.0	College	4.319	0.827	793
inderaction in child course.	0.0	0.0	10.5	30.0	31.0	0.0	University		0.952	8507
							Class	4.276	0.591	2
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	17	10	0	Department	4.028	0.900	113
	0.0	0.0	6.9	58.6	34.5	0.0	College	4.375	0.820	791
							University	4.262	0.942	8493
							Class	4.379	0.622	2
3. the ability of the instructor	0	0	2	14	13	0	Department	3.867	1.034	113
to communicate effectively.	0.0	0.0	6.9	48.3	44.8	0.0	College	4.350	0.850	792
							University	4.213	0.969	8492
							Class	4.207	0.559	2
 the quality of this course. 	0	0	2	19	8	0	Department		0.804	112
	0.0	0.0	6.9	65.5	27.6	0.0	College	4.324	0.800	791
							University	4.144	0.940	8478
							Class	4.103	0.673	2
5. the fairness of procedures	0	0	5	16	. 8	0	Department	4.200	0.790	112
for grading this course.	0.0	0.0	17.2	55.2	27.6	0.0	College	4.378	0.793	792
							University	4.31/	0.892	8488
							Class	3.929	0.663	2
6. your understanding of the	0	1	12.0	19	12.0	1	Department		0.786	112
course content.	0.0	3.4	13.8	65.5	13.8	3.4	College University	4.343	0.709 0.894	791 8480
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
		======		======	======	=======	========== Class	4.241	0.636	===== 2
7. instructor created an atmos-	0	0	3	16	10	0	Department	4.171	0.780	113
phere conducive to learning.	0.0	0.0	10.3	55.2	34.5	0.0	College	4.388	0.773	793
							University	4.281	0.852	8494
							Class	3.966	0.865	2
3. instructor provided well-	0	2	5	14	8	0	Department		0.861	113
defined course objectives.	0.0	6.9	17.2	48.3	27.6	0.0	College	4.388	0.771	793
							University	4.263	0.869	8489
							Class	4.241	0.689	2
). instructor provided content/	0	0	4	14	11	0	Department	4.040	0.949	113
materials clear/organized.	0.0	0.0	13.8	48.3	37.9	0.0	College University	4.293 4.186	0.884	793 8493
) I understood how grades	0	0	1	16	12	0	Class Department	4.379 4.350	0.561 0.687	113
 I understood how grades were assigned in this course. 	0.0	0.0	3.4	55.2	41.4	0.0	College	4.458	0.714	793
were appropried in emp course.	0.0	0.0	3.1	33.2		0.0	University	4.341	0.844	8490
							 Class	4.069	0.593	2
. I met/exceeded the course	0	0	4	19	6	0	Department		0.740	112
objectives for this course.	0.0	0.0	13.8	65.5	20.7	0.0	College	4.375	0.690	792
							University		0.810	8488
							Class	3.552	0.783	
2. instructor was available to	0	1	15	9	4	0	Department College		0.771	112
assist students outside class.	0.0	3.4	51.7	31.0	13.8	0.0			0.775	
							University		0.835	
							Class		0.639	
. instructor provided feedback	0	0	8	17	12.0	0	Department			112
in a timely manner.	0.0	0.0	27.6	50.6	13.8	0.0	College University			792 8487
instructor provided	0	0	_	1.5	0	0	Class	4.069		
 instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn. 						0	Department			
recuback that helped me rearn.	0.0	0.0	20.7	J1.1	27.0	0.0	College University	4.332 4.192		
indemotes at a sale to a	^	^	^	0.1	^	0	Class	4.276		
 instructor set + maintained high standards for students. 	0	0	0	72 A	8 27 6	0.0	Department College			
might scandards for students.	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.4	27.0	0.0	University			
	2	0	4	13	10	0	Class Department		1.069 0.785	112
		U	4	±5	ΤU	U				112
b. physical environment was conducive to learning.	6.9	0.0	13.8	44.8	34.5	0.0	College	4.390	0.768	791
 physical environment was conducive to learning. 	6.9	0.0	13.8	44.8	34.5	0.0	College University			

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

Information Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: RESPIRATORY CARE VG= Very Good....(5)
G= Good....(4)
IB=In Between....(3) # Sheets Scanned: This Level 16

	# Sheet	s Scan	ned:	This Le	vel pt	16 16	IB=: P=	In Betwee	en(3) or(2)	
					llege				or(1)	
Frequencies (top row) and percent S.D. is the Standard Deviation ar							each question			
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	0.0	0.0) 4) 25.0	12 75.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.750 4.750 4.750 4.319 4.190	0.447 0.447 0.447 0.827 0.952	16 16 16 7937 85073
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	0	0.0) 4) 25.0	12 75.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.750 4.750 4.375	0.447 0.447 0.820 0.942	16 16 7918 84930
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0 . 0	0	0.0		15 93.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.938 4.938 4.350	0.250 0.250 0.250 0.850 0.969	16 16 7927 84928
4. the quality of this course.	0 0 . 0	0	6.		14 87.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.813 4.813 4.324	0.544 0.544 0.800 0.940	16 16 16 7919 84784
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0.) 3) 18.8	13 81.3	0	Class Department College University	4.378	0.403 0.403 0.793 0.892	16 16 7920 84888
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0 . 0	0	0.		14 87.5	0	Class Department College University	4.343	0.342 0.342 0.709 0.894	16 16 7910 84805
Please rate:	SD	D	N	А	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0	0.0		13 81.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.388	0.403 0.403 0.773 0.852	16 16 16 7939 84944
8. instructor provided well- defined course objectives.	0	0	0.	5 31.3	11 68.8	0.0	Class Department College University	4.388	0.479 0.479 0.771 0.869	16 16 7933 84893
9. instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized.	0	0	0.	0 4 0 25.0	12 75.0	0	Class Department College University	4.293	0.447 0.447 0.884 0.960	16 16 7937 84931
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0.0	0	0.		12 75.0	0	Class Department College University	4.458	0.447 0.447 0.714 0.844	16 16 7936 84909
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0.0	0	0.		12 75.0	0.0	Class Department College University	4.375	0.447 0.447 0.690 0.810	16 16 7927 84883
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.		0.0) 2) 12.5		0.0	Class Department College University	4.875 4.369 4.278	0.342 0.775 0.835	16 7911 84822
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0.0			31.3	68.8	0.0	Class Department College University	4.688 4.688 4.382 4.243	0.479 0.479 0.801 0.910	16 16 7920 84870
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0.0	0.0	0.0) 5) 31.3	11 68.8	0.0	Class Department College University	4.688 4.688 4.332 4.192	0.479 0.479 0.841 0.931	16 16 7911 84829
15. instructor set + maintained	0.0	0			10	1 6.3		4.667 4.667 4.464 4.301	0.488 0.488 0.677 0.808	15 15 7925 84888
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0		5 31.3	11 68.8	0.0	Class Department College University	4.688 4.688 4.390	0.479 0.479	16 16 7916

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Fall 2016 & Spring 2017

ion Number of Students: Response Key: Department Information

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: RADIOLOGIC SCIENCES

VG= Very Good...(5)
G= Good...(4)
Sheets Scanned: This Level ... 28 IB=In Between...(3)
Your Dept ... 28 P= Poor...(2)
Your College .. 7962 VP= Very Poor...(1)

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1 3.6	0 0.0	2 7.1	2 7.1	23 82.1	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.319	0.911 0.911 0.827 0.952	2 2 793 8507
. the instructor as a teacher.	1 3.6	0.0	1 3.6	3	22 78.6	1 3.6	Class Department College University	4.375	0.877 0.877 0.820 0.942	791 8493
. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1 3.6	0.0	0.0	4 14.3	23 82.1	0.0	Class Department College University	4.350	0.810 0.810 0.850 0.969	792 8492
. the quality of this course.	1 3.6	0.0	0.0	4 14.3	22 78.6	1 3.6	Class Department College University	4.324	0.823 0.823 0.800 0.940	791 8478
. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1 3.6	0	1 3.6	5 17.9	21 75.0	0	Class Department College University	4.378	0.875 0.875 0.793 0.892	792 8488
. your understanding of the course content.	1 3.6	0	0.0	4 14.3	23 82.1	0	Class Department College University	4.343	0.810 0.810 0.709 0.894	791 8480
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1 3.6	0	0	8 28.6	19 67.9	0	Class Department College University	4.388	0.836 0.836 0.773 0.852	793 8494
. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1 3.6	2 7.1	4 14.3	21 75.0	0	Class Department College University	4.388	0.786 0.786 0.771 0.869	793 8489
. instructor provided content/ materials clear/organized.	0	1 3.6	0.0	7 25.0	20 71.4	0	Class Department College University	4.293	0.678 0.678 0.884 0.960	793 8493
. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1 3.6	0	0.0	7 25.0	20 71.4	0	Class Department College University	4.458	0.832 0.832 0.714 0.844	793 8490
. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1 3.6	0	1 3.6	4 14.3	22 78.6	0	Class Department College University	4.375	0.870 0.870 0.690 0.810	792 8488
. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0.0	1 3.6	2 7.1	4 14.3	21 75.0	0.0	Class Department College University	4.278	0.786 0.786 0.775 0.835	791 8482
. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1 3.6		5 17.9		0	Class Department	4.714 4.714 4.382	0.659 0.659 0.801	792 8487
. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3.6	0	2 7.1		22 78.6	0	Class Department College University	4.332	0.916 0.916 0.841 0.931	791 8482
	0.0	1 3.6	1 3.6	5 17.9	21 75.0	0	Class Department College University	4.464 4.301	0.677 0.808	792 8488
. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	 0 0.0	0	8 28.6	19 67.9	0 0.0	Class Department College	4.571	0.836 0.836	791