

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 254  
 Your Dept ..... 725  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	7	18	55	113	61	0	Class	3.799	0.976	254
	2.8	7.1	21.7	44.5	24.0	0.0	Department	3.917	1.088	722
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	11	20	44	112	67	0	Class	3.803	1.052	254
	4.3	7.9	17.3	44.1	26.4	0.0	Department	3.957	1.110	722
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	10	14	44	111	75	0	Class	3.894	1.018	254
	3.9	5.5	17.3	43.7	29.5	0.0	Department	3.914	1.141	722
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	4	21	62	111	55	1	Class	3.759	0.939	253
	1.6	8.3	24.4	43.7	21.7	0.4	Department	3.933	1.029	721
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	6	8	25	119	95	1	Class	4.142	0.893	253
	2.4	3.1	9.8	46.9	37.4	0.4	Department	4.185	0.975	719
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	8	10	51	135	50	0	Class	3.823	0.900	254
	3.1	3.9	20.1	53.1	19.7	0.0	Department	3.933	1.010	720
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	8	5	31	136	73	1	Class	4.032	0.881	253
	3.1	2.0	12.2	53.5	28.7	0.4	Department	4.082	0.985	722
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	5	10	40	124	74	1	Class	3.996	0.889	253
	2.0	3.9	15.7	48.8	29.1	0.4	Department	3.963	1.078	721
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	9	18	53	112	61	1	Class	3.783	1.006	253
	3.5	7.1	20.9	44.1	24.0	0.4	Department	3.835	1.130	720
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	5	23	128	92	1	Class	4.174	0.827	253
	2.0	2.0	9.1	50.4	36.2	0.4	Department	4.157	0.946	722
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	8	44	137	61	1	Class	3.968	0.806	253
	1.2	3.1	17.3	53.9	24.0	0.4	Department	4.090	0.841	721
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	6	4	47	109	87	1	Class	4.055	0.898	253
	2.4	1.6	18.5	42.9	34.3	0.4	Department	4.196	0.858	721
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	10	6	34	126	77	1	Class	4.004	0.945	253
	3.9	2.4	13.4	49.6	30.3	0.4	Department	4.129	0.936	722
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	8	12	43	124	66	1	Class	3.901	0.948	253
	3.1	4.7	16.9	48.8	26.0	0.4	Department	4.015	1.011	722
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	6	7	34	130	76	1	Class	4.040	0.872	253
	2.4	2.8	13.4	51.2	29.9	0.4	Department	4.123	0.905	722
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	6	6	38	123	80	1	Class	4.047	0.881	253
	2.4	2.4	15.0	48.4	31.5	0.4	Department	4.129	0.956	722
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 455  
 Your Dept ..... 725  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	26	33	52	165	177	2	Class	3.958	1.147	453
	5.7	7.3	11.4	36.3	38.9	0.4	Department	3.917	1.088	722
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	23	36	41	161	192	2	Class	4.022	1.136	453
	5.1	7.9	9.0	35.4	42.2	0.4	Department	3.957	1.110	722
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	29	39	64	136	185	2	Class	3.903	1.210	453
	6.4	8.6	14.1	29.9	40.7	0.4	Department	3.914	1.141	722
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	18	28	64	163	180	2	Class	4.013	1.070	453
	4.0	6.2	14.1	35.8	39.6	0.4	Department	3.933	1.029	721
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	19	19	31	169	213	4	Class	4.193	1.027	451
	4.2	4.2	6.8	37.1	46.8	0.9	Department	4.185	0.975	719
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	20	31	48	193	159	4	Class	3.976	1.065	451
	4.4	6.8	10.5	42.4	34.9	0.9	Department	3.933	1.010	720
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	16	29	45	172	192	1	Class	4.090	1.044	454
	3.5	6.4	9.9	37.8	42.2	0.2	Department	4.082	0.985	722
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	30	30	62	158	174	1	Class	3.916	1.173	454
	6.6	6.6	13.6	34.7	38.2	0.2	Department	3.963	1.078	721
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	24	54	57	153	164	3	Class	3.838	1.192	452
	5.3	11.9	12.5	33.6	36.0	0.7	Department	3.835	1.130	720
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	16	23	42	179	194	1	Class	4.128	1.013	454
	3.5	5.1	9.2	39.3	42.6	0.2	Department	4.157	0.946	722
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	8	13	51	214	167	2	Class	4.146	0.858	453
	1.8	2.9	11.2	47.0	36.7	0.4	Department	4.090	0.841	721
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	8	6	47	194	198	2	Class	4.254	0.831	453
	1.8	1.3	10.3	42.6	43.5	0.4	Department	4.196	0.858	721
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	10	21	40	191	192	1	Class	4.176	0.930	454
	2.2	4.6	8.8	42.0	42.2	0.2	Department	4.129	0.936	722
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	16	30	49	177	182	1	Class	4.055	1.044	454
	3.5	6.6	10.8	38.9	40.0	0.2	Department	4.015	1.011	722
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	9	19	52	187	187	1	Class	4.154	0.922	454
	2.0	4.2	11.4	41.1	41.1	0.2	Department	4.123	0.905	722
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	15	22	40	179	198	1	Class	4.152	0.997	454
	3.3	4.8	8.8	39.3	43.5	0.2	Department	4.129	0.956	722
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 16  
 Your Dept ..... 725  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	3	11	1	Class	4.667	0.617	15
	0.0	0.0	6.3	18.8	68.8	6.3	Department	3.917	1.088	722
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	0	3	11	1	Class	4.600	0.828	15
	0.0	6.3	0.0	18.8	68.8	6.3	Department	3.957	1.110	722
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	2	11	1	Class	4.600	0.737	15
	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	68.8	6.3	Department	3.914	1.141	722
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	4	9	1	Class	4.467	0.743	15
	0.0	0.0	12.5	25.0	56.3	6.3	Department	3.933	1.029	721
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	5	10	1	Class	4.667	0.488	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.3	62.5	6.3	Department	4.185	0.975	719
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	3	10	1	Class	4.533	0.743	15
	0.0	0.0	12.5	18.8	62.5	6.3	Department	3.933	1.010	720
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	3	11	1	Class	4.667	0.617	15
	0.0	0.0	6.3	18.8	68.8	6.3	Department	4.082	0.985	722
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	2	12	2	Class	4.857	0.363	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	75.0	12.5	Department	3.963	1.078	721
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	1	1	12	1	Class	4.600	0.910	15
	0.0	6.3	6.3	6.3	75.0	6.3	Department	3.835	1.130	720
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	4	11	1	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	68.8	6.3	Department	4.157	0.946	722
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	6	8	1	Class	4.467	0.640	15
	0.0	0.0	6.3	37.5	50.0	6.3	Department	4.090	0.841	721
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	3	12	1	Class	4.800	0.414	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	75.0	6.3	Department	4.196	0.858	721
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	3	12	1	Class	4.800	0.414	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	75.0	6.3	Department	4.129	0.936	722
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	2	12	1	Class	4.733	0.594	15
	0.0	0.0	6.3	12.5	75.0	6.3	Department	4.015	1.011	722
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	2	11	1	Class	4.600	0.737	15
	0.0	0.0	12.5	12.5	68.8	6.3	Department	4.123	0.905	722
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	1	13	1	Class	4.800	0.561	15
	0.0	0.0	6.3	6.3	81.3	6.3	Department	4.129	0.956	722
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: AGRICULTURE, GENERAL

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 13  
 Your Dept ..... 13  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	3	10	0	Class	4.769	0.439	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	Department	4.769	0.439	13
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	3	9	0	Class	4.615	0.650	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	23.1	69.2	0.0	Department	4.615	0.650	13
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	3	10	0	Class	4.769	0.439	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	Department	4.769	0.439	13
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	3	9	0	Class	4.615	0.650	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	23.1	69.2	0.0	Department	4.615	0.650	13
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	2	11	0	Class	4.846	0.376	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.0	Department	4.846	0.376	13
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	3	10	0	Class	4.769	0.439	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	Department	4.769	0.439	13
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	3	9	0	Class	4.615	0.650	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	23.1	69.2	0.0	Department	4.615	0.650	13
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	2	9	0	Class	4.538	0.776	13
	0.0	0.0	15.4	15.4	69.2	0.0	Department	4.538	0.776	13
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	4	8	0	Class	4.538	0.660	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	30.8	61.5	0.0	Department	4.538	0.660	13
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	3	9	0	Class	4.615	0.650	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	23.1	69.2	0.0	Department	4.615	0.650	13
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	3	9	0	Class	4.615	0.650	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	23.1	69.2	0.0	Department	4.615	0.650	13
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	3	7	0	Class	4.308	0.855	13
	0.0	0.0	23.1	23.1	53.8	0.0	Department	4.308	0.855	13
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	3	9	0	Class	4.615	0.650	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	23.1	69.2	0.0	Department	4.615	0.650	13
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	4	8	0	Class	4.538	0.660	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	30.8	61.5	0.0	Department	4.538	0.660	13
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	2	10	0	Class	4.692	0.630	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	15.4	76.9	0.0	Department	4.692	0.630	13
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	1	11	0	Class	4.769	0.599	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	7.7	84.6	0.0	Department	4.769	0.599	13
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 252  
 Your Dept ..... 741  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	3	4	58	182	1	Class	4.637	0.727	251
	1.6	1.2	1.6	23.0	72.2	0.4	Department	4.489	0.841	740
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	3	4	49	191	1	Class	4.673	0.719	251
	1.6	1.2	1.6	19.4	75.8	0.4	Department	4.517	0.848	739
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	3	8	49	188	1	Class	4.657	0.711	251
	1.2	1.2	3.2	19.4	74.6	0.4	Department	4.497	0.840	740
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	2	2	9	61	174	4	Class	4.625	0.674	248
	0.8	0.8	3.6	24.2	69.0	1.6	Department	4.491	0.800	735
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	1	5	57	184	3	Class	4.687	0.614	249
	0.8	0.4	2.0	22.6	73.0	1.2	Department	4.486	0.874	737
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	2	7	72	168	1	Class	4.602	0.664	251
	0.8	0.8	2.8	28.6	66.7	0.4	Department	4.446	0.795	738
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	2	4	61	180	2	Class	4.652	0.673	250
	1.2	0.8	1.6	24.2	71.4	0.8	Department	4.516	0.742	739
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	2	10	63	172	2	Class	4.596	0.718	250
	1.2	0.8	4.0	25.0	68.3	0.8	Department	4.477	0.794	738
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	3	6	59	178	2	Class	4.616	0.742	250
	1.6	1.2	2.4	23.4	70.6	0.8	Department	4.436	0.872	739
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	2	5	64	177	3	Class	4.663	0.601	249
	0.4	0.8	2.0	25.4	70.2	1.2	Department	4.505	0.759	737
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	8	67	173	3	Class	4.651	0.584	249
	0.4	0.0	3.2	26.6	68.7	1.2	Department	4.491	0.695	738
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	2	18	68	160	2	Class	4.528	0.734	250
	0.8	0.8	7.1	27.0	63.5	0.8	Department	4.472	0.769	739
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	4	13	66	165	2	Class	4.552	0.739	250
	0.8	1.6	5.2	26.2	65.5	0.8	Department	4.465	0.803	739
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	2	13	59	168	7	Class	4.580	0.740	245
	1.2	0.8	5.2	23.4	66.7	2.8	Department	4.467	0.810	734
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	3	9	60	175	2	Class	4.604	0.728	250
	1.2	1.2	3.6	23.8	69.4	0.8	Department	4.529	0.727	739
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	2	5	66	174	3	Class	4.639	0.646	249
	0.8	0.8	2.0	26.2	69.0	1.2	Department	4.510	0.737	737
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 463  
 Your Dept ..... 741  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	7	17	35	128	276	0	Class	4.402	0.892	463
	1.5	3.7	7.6	27.6	59.6	0.0	Department	4.489	0.841	740
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	7	18	39	106	292	1	Class	4.424	0.911	462
	1.5	3.9	8.4	22.9	63.1	0.2	Department	4.517	0.848	739
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	9	11	43	121	279	0	Class	4.404	0.897	463
	1.9	2.4	9.3	26.1	60.3	0.0	Department	4.497	0.840	740
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	8	9	39	135	270	2	Class	4.410	0.859	461
	1.7	1.9	8.4	29.2	58.3	0.4	Department	4.491	0.800	735
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	11	21	40	106	284	1	Class	4.366	0.983	462
	2.4	4.5	8.6	22.9	61.3	0.2	Department	4.486	0.874	737
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	6	12	41	155	247	2	Class	4.356	0.849	461
	1.3	2.6	8.9	33.5	53.3	0.4	Department	4.446	0.795	738
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	8	28	163	259	0	Class	4.432	0.775	463
	1.1	1.7	6.0	35.2	55.9	0.0	Department	4.516	0.742	739
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	8	38	146	264	1	Class	4.416	0.818	462
	1.3	1.7	8.2	31.5	57.0	0.2	Department	4.477	0.794	738
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	7	17	44	135	260	0	Class	4.348	0.906	463
	1.5	3.7	9.5	29.2	56.2	0.0	Department	4.436	0.872	739
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	11	34	144	268	1	Class	4.426	0.816	462
	1.1	2.4	7.3	31.1	57.9	0.2	Department	4.505	0.759	737
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	5	33	178	244	0	Class	4.415	0.727	463
	0.6	1.1	7.1	38.4	52.7	0.0	Department	4.491	0.695	738
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	4	47	134	274	0	Class	4.447	0.779	463
	0.9	0.9	10.2	28.9	59.2	0.0	Department	4.472	0.769	739
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	13	36	136	274	0	Class	4.432	0.821	463
	0.9	2.8	7.8	29.4	59.2	0.0	Department	4.465	0.803	739
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	5	11	40	137	270	0	Class	4.417	0.830	463
	1.1	2.4	8.6	29.6	58.3	0.0	Department	4.467	0.810	734
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	3	30	150	276	0	Class	4.492	0.723	463
	0.9	0.6	6.5	32.4	59.6	0.0	Department	4.529	0.727	739
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	9	39	144	267	1	Class	4.435	0.781	462
	0.6	1.9	8.4	31.1	57.7	0.2	Department	4.510	0.737	737
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 26  
 Your Dept ..... 741  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	1	5	19	0	Class	4.615	0.752	26
	0.0	3.8	3.8	19.2	73.1	0.0	Department	4.489	0.841	740
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	7	18	0	Class	4.654	0.562	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	26.9	69.2	0.0	Department	4.517	0.848	739
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	3	4	19	0	Class	4.615	0.697	26
	0.0	0.0	11.5	15.4	73.1	0.0	Department	4.497	0.840	740
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	5	19	0	Class	4.654	0.629	26
	0.0	0.0	7.7	19.2	73.1	0.0	Department	4.491	0.800	735
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	8	18	0	Class	4.692	0.471	26
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.8	69.2	0.0	Department	4.486	0.874	737
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	1	7	17	0	Class	4.538	0.761	26
	0.0	3.8	3.8	26.9	65.4	0.0	Department	4.446	0.795	738
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	6	19	0	Class	4.692	0.549	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	23.1	73.1	0.0	Department	4.516	0.742	739
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	2	5	17	0	Class	4.423	0.945	26
	0.0	7.7	7.7	19.2	65.4	0.0	Department	4.477	0.794	738
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	2	2	5	16	0	Class	4.269	1.151	26
	3.8	7.7	7.7	19.2	61.5	0.0	Department	4.436	0.872	739
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	3	7	15	0	Class	4.385	0.852	26
	0.0	3.8	11.5	26.9	57.7	0.0	Department	4.505	0.759	737
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	3	9	13	0	Class	4.308	0.838	26
	0.0	3.8	11.5	34.6	50.0	0.0	Department	4.491	0.695	738
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	4	5	16	0	Class	4.385	0.898	26
	0.0	3.8	15.4	19.2	61.5	0.0	Department	4.472	0.769	739
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	4	6	14	0	Class	4.231	0.992	26
	0.0	7.7	15.4	23.1	53.8	0.0	Department	4.465	0.803	739
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	3	6	15	0	Class	4.308	0.970	26
	0.0	7.7	11.5	23.1	57.7	0.0	Department	4.467	0.810	734
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	1	9	15	0	Class	4.462	0.761	26
	0.0	3.8	3.8	34.6	57.7	0.0	Department	4.529	0.727	739
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	8	17	0	Class	4.615	0.571	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	30.8	65.4	0.0	Department	4.510	0.737	737
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses

Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 105  
 Your Dept ..... 174  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	13	18	48	22	0	Class	3.676	1.061	105
	3.8	12.4	17.1	45.7	21.0	0.0	Department	3.671	1.076	170
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	12	23	44	25	0	Class	3.762	0.976	105
	1.0	11.4	21.9	41.9	23.8	0.0	Department	3.729	1.031	170
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	8	16	26	35	20	0	Class	3.410	1.182	105
	7.6	15.2	24.8	33.3	19.0	0.0	Department	3.471	1.173	170
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	4	5	23	48	24	1	Class	3.798	0.979	104
	3.8	4.8	21.9	45.7	22.9	1.0	Department	3.716	1.048	169
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	4	51	48	0	Class	4.371	0.697	105
	1.0	1.0	3.8	48.6	45.7	0.0	Department	4.265	0.789	170
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	2	11	52	37	0	Class	4.124	0.885	105
	2.9	1.9	10.5	49.5	35.2	0.0	Department	4.018	0.913	170
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	4	17	55	24	2	Class	3.903	0.902	103
	2.9	3.8	16.2	52.4	22.9	1.9	Department	3.858	0.934	169
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	5	22	48	27	1	Class	3.894	0.913	104
	1.9	4.8	21.0	45.7	25.7	1.0	Department	3.741	1.062	170
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	7	25	48	25	0	Class	3.867	0.856	105
	0.0	6.7	23.8	45.7	23.8	0.0	Department	3.684	0.991	171
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	7	54	43	0	Class	4.324	0.643	105
	0.0	1.0	6.7	51.4	41.0	0.0	Department	4.187	0.833	171
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	14	52	38	0	Class	4.210	0.703	105
	0.0	1.0	13.3	49.5	36.2	0.0	Department	4.123	0.753	171
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	3	31	40	29	0	Class	3.867	0.921	105
	1.9	2.9	29.5	38.1	27.6	0.0	Department	3.982	0.878	171
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	1	20	53	28	0	Class	3.971	0.871	105
	2.9	1.0	19.0	50.5	26.7	0.0	Department	3.994	0.871	171
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	6	24	50	23	0	Class	3.819	0.907	105
	1.9	5.7	22.9	47.6	21.9	0.0	Department	3.848	0.927	171
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	3	24	52	23	0	Class	3.848	0.896	105
	2.9	2.9	22.9	49.5	21.9	0.0	Department	3.825	0.929	171
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	5	22	50	24	0	Class	3.810	0.972	105
	3.8	4.8	21.0	47.6	22.9	0.0	Department	3.877	0.922	171
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406



**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT  
 # Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 69  
 Your Dept ..... 174  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	10	12	25	16	4	Class	3.662	1.108	65
	2.9	14.5	17.4	36.2	23.2	5.8	Department	3.671	1.076	170
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	13	9	25	17	4	Class	3.677	1.120	65
	1.4	18.8	13.0	36.2	24.6	5.8	Department	3.729	1.031	170
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	10	15	21	16	4	Class	3.569	1.159	65
	4.3	14.5	21.7	30.4	23.2	5.8	Department	3.471	1.173	170
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	4	7	16	23	15	4	Class	3.585	1.144	65
	5.8	10.1	23.2	33.3	21.7	5.8	Department	3.716	1.048	169
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	3	14	22	26	4	Class	4.092	0.897	65
	0.0	4.3	20.3	31.9	37.7	5.8	Department	4.265	0.789	170
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	7	13	28	17	4	Class	3.846	0.939	65
	0.0	10.1	18.8	40.6	24.6	5.8	Department	4.018	0.913	170
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	11	7	33	15	3	Class	3.788	0.985	66
	0.0	15.9	10.1	47.8	21.7	4.3	Department	3.858	0.934	169
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	5	9	17	18	17	3	Class	3.500	1.231	66
	7.2	13.0	24.6	26.1	24.6	4.3	Department	3.741	1.062	170
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	11	16	25	10	3	Class	3.394	1.122	66
	5.8	15.9	23.2	36.2	14.5	4.3	Department	3.684	0.991	171
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	6	12	22	25	3	Class	3.970	1.037	66
	1.4	8.7	17.4	31.9	36.2	4.3	Department	4.187	0.833	171
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	13	32	18	3	Class	3.985	0.813	66
	0.0	4.3	18.8	46.4	26.1	4.3	Department	4.123	0.753	171
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	12	28	25	3	Class	4.167	0.776	66
	0.0	1.4	17.4	40.6	36.2	4.3	Department	3.982	0.878	171
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	4	6	36	19	3	Class	4.030	0.877	66
	1.4	5.8	8.7	52.2	27.5	4.3	Department	3.994	0.871	171
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	3	13	30	18	3	Class	3.894	0.963	66
	2.9	4.3	18.8	43.5	26.1	4.3	Department	3.848	0.927	171
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	6	16	26	17	3	Class	3.788	0.985	66
	1.4	8.7	23.2	37.7	24.6	4.3	Department	3.825	0.929	171
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	14	30	19	3	Class	3.985	0.832	66
	0.0	4.3	20.3	43.5	27.5	4.3	Department	3.877	0.922	171
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 19  
 Your Dept ..... 112  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	4	8	6	0	Class	4.000	0.882	19
	0.0	5.3	21.1	42.1	31.6	0.0	Department	4.450	0.850	111
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	2	10	6	0	Class	4.105	0.809	19
	0.0	5.3	10.5	52.6	31.6	0.0	Department	4.536	0.747	112
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	3	8	8	0	Class	4.263	0.733	19
	0.0	0.0	15.8	42.1	42.1	0.0	Department	4.500	0.827	112
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	2	10	6	0	Class	4.105	0.809	19
	0.0	5.3	10.5	52.6	31.6	0.0	Department	4.436	0.784	110
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	1	9	8	0	Class	4.263	0.806	19
	0.0	5.3	5.3	47.4	42.1	0.0	Department	4.664	0.595	110
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	11	6	0	Class	4.211	0.631	19
	0.0	0.0	10.5	57.9	31.6	0.0	Department	4.473	0.738	110
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	12	4	0	Class	4.053	0.621	19
	0.0	0.0	15.8	63.2	21.1	0.0	Department	4.455	0.797	110
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	1	9	8	0	Class	4.263	0.806	19
	0.0	5.3	5.3	47.4	42.1	0.0	Department	4.450	0.772	111
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	10	6	0	Class	4.158	0.688	19
	0.0	0.0	15.8	52.6	31.6	0.0	Department	4.464	0.750	110
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	11	6	0	Class	4.158	0.765	19
	0.0	5.3	5.3	57.9	31.6	0.0	Department	4.586	0.610	111
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	11	6	0	Class	4.211	0.631	19
	0.0	0.0	10.5	57.9	31.6	0.0	Department	4.414	0.667	111
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	8	8	0	Class	4.263	0.733	19
	0.0	0.0	15.8	42.1	42.1	0.0	Department	4.486	0.712	111
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	9	9	0	Class	4.421	0.607	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	47.4	47.4	0.0	Department	4.514	0.796	111
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	3	10	6	0	Class	4.158	0.688	19
	0.0	0.0	15.8	52.6	31.6	0.0	Department	4.459	0.760	111
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	11	7	0	Class	4.316	0.582	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	57.9	36.8	0.0	Department	4.545	0.670	112
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	12	5	0	Class	4.158	0.602	19
	0.0	0.0	10.5	63.2	26.3	0.0	Department	4.468	0.749	111
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 42  
 Your Dept ..... 112  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	2	2	12	24	1	Class	4.366	0.968	41
	2.4	4.8	4.8	28.6	57.1	2.4	Department	4.450	0.850	111
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	2	12	26	0	Class	4.452	0.889	42
	2.4	2.4	4.8	28.6	61.9	0.0	Department	4.536	0.747	112
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	2	4	9	26	0	Class	4.357	1.008	42
	2.4	4.8	9.5	21.4	61.9	0.0	Department	4.500	0.827	112
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	1	2	2	15	21	1	Class	4.293	0.955	41
	2.4	4.8	4.8	35.7	50.0	2.4	Department	4.436	0.784	110
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	10	30	0	Class	4.667	0.570	42
	0.0	0.0	4.8	23.8	71.4	0.0	Department	4.664	0.595	110
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	3	10	28	0	Class	4.548	0.739	42
	0.0	2.4	7.1	23.8	66.7	0.0	Department	4.473	0.738	110
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	1	11	26	1	Class	4.439	0.950	41
	2.4	4.8	2.4	26.2	61.9	2.4	Department	4.455	0.797	110
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	1	4	11	25	0	Class	4.381	0.936	42
	2.4	2.4	9.5	26.2	59.5	0.0	Department	4.450	0.772	111
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	4	9	28	0	Class	4.500	0.862	42
	2.4	0.0	9.5	21.4	66.7	0.0	Department	4.464	0.750	110
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	14	26	0	Class	4.571	0.590	42
	0.0	0.0	4.8	33.3	61.9	0.0	Department	4.586	0.610	111
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	11	28	0	Class	4.595	0.627	42
	0.0	0.0	7.1	26.2	66.7	0.0	Department	4.414	0.667	111
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	3	17	21	0	Class	4.357	0.821	42
	2.4	0.0	7.1	40.5	50.0	0.0	Department	4.486	0.712	111
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	2	14	25	0	Class	4.476	0.804	42
	2.4	0.0	4.8	33.3	59.5	0.0	Department	4.514	0.796	111
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	2	1	14	24	0	Class	4.381	0.936	42
	2.4	4.8	2.4	33.3	57.1	0.0	Department	4.459	0.760	111
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	2	14	25	0	Class	4.476	0.804	42
	2.4	0.0	4.8	33.3	59.5	0.0	Department	4.545	0.670	112
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	4	10	27	0	Class	4.476	0.862	42
	2.4	0.0	9.5	23.8	64.3	0.0	Department	4.468	0.749	111
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 51  
 Your Dept ..... 112  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	2	9	39	0	Class	4.686	0.648	51
	0.0	2.0	3.9	17.6	76.5	0.0	Department	4.450	0.850	111
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	10	40	0	Class	4.765	0.473	51
	0.0	0.0	2.0	19.6	78.4	0.0	Department	4.536	0.747	112
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	2	8	40	0	Class	4.706	0.642	51
	0.0	2.0	3.9	15.7	78.4	0.0	Department	4.500	0.827	112
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	14	35	1	Class	4.680	0.513	50
	0.0	0.0	2.0	27.5	68.6	2.0	Department	4.436	0.784	110
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	7	41	2	Class	4.816	0.441	49
	0.0	0.0	2.0	13.7	80.4	3.9	Department	4.664	0.595	110
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	2	16	30	2	Class	4.510	0.767	49
	2.0	0.0	3.9	31.4	58.8	3.9	Department	4.473	0.738	110
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	2	12	35	1	Class	4.620	0.667	50
	0.0	2.0	3.9	23.5	68.6	2.0	Department	4.455	0.797	110
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	17	31	1	Class	4.580	0.575	50
	0.0	0.0	3.9	33.3	60.8	2.0	Department	4.450	0.772	111
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	1	17	30	2	Class	4.551	0.647	49
	0.0	2.0	2.0	33.3	58.8	3.9	Department	4.464	0.750	110
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	10	39	1	Class	4.760	0.476	50
	0.0	0.0	2.0	19.6	76.5	2.0	Department	4.586	0.610	111
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	3	24	22	1	Class	4.340	0.688	50
	0.0	2.0	5.9	47.1	43.1	2.0	Department	4.414	0.667	111
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	12	36	1	Class	4.680	0.551	50
	0.0	0.0	3.9	23.5	70.6	2.0	Department	4.486	0.712	111
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	0	11	36	1	Class	4.580	0.859	50
	2.0	3.9	0.0	21.6	70.6	2.0	Department	4.514	0.796	111
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	14	34	1	Class	4.640	0.563	50
	0.0	0.0	3.9	27.5	66.7	2.0	Department	4.459	0.760	111
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	12	37	0	Class	4.686	0.547	51
	0.0	0.0	3.9	23.5	72.5	0.0	Department	4.545	0.670	112
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	5	11	34	1	Class	4.580	0.673	50
	0.0	0.0	9.8	21.6	66.7	2.0	Department	4.468	0.749	111
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: ECONOMICS

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 730  
 Your Dept ..... 886  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	16	37	113	314	248	2	Class	4.018	0.948	728
	2.2	5.1	15.5	43.0	34.0	0.3	Department	4.040	0.936	884
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	11	54	89	296	278	2	Class	4.066	0.966	728
	1.5	7.4	12.2	40.5	38.1	0.3	Department	4.078	0.948	884
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	17	46	112	293	259	3	Class	4.006	0.988	727
	2.3	6.3	15.3	40.1	35.5	0.4	Department	4.011	0.983	883
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	10	44	117	331	226	2	Class	3.988	0.915	728
	1.4	6.0	16.0	45.3	31.0	0.3	Department	4.005	0.898	883
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	7	28	74	284	335	2	Class	4.253	0.860	728
	1.0	3.8	10.1	38.9	45.9	0.3	Department	4.281	0.845	884
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	15	39	129	332	211	4	Class	3.944	0.931	726
	2.1	5.3	17.7	45.5	28.9	0.5	Department	3.937	0.925	882
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	9	24	68	339	287	3	Class	4.198	0.833	727
	1.2	3.3	9.3	46.4	39.3	0.4	Department	4.207	0.828	883
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	9	42	82	337	256	4	Class	4.087	0.896	726
	1.2	5.8	11.2	46.2	35.1	0.5	Department	4.098	0.890	882
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	16	40	108	315	248	3	Class	4.017	0.953	727
	2.2	5.5	14.8	43.2	34.0	0.4	Department	4.043	0.945	883
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	8	18	58	322	321	3	Class	4.279	0.801	727
	1.1	2.5	7.9	44.1	44.0	0.4	Department	4.282	0.807	883
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	7	19	111	346	242	5	Class	4.099	0.818	725
	1.0	2.6	15.2	47.4	33.2	0.7	Department	4.111	0.820	880
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	11	37	165	304	208	5	Class	3.912	0.921	725
	1.5	5.1	22.6	41.6	28.5	0.7	Department	3.993	0.902	881
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	23	41	125	310	226	5	Class	3.931	0.996	725
	3.2	5.6	17.1	42.5	31.0	0.7	Department	3.999	0.966	881
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	24	36	135	308	222	5	Class	3.921	0.992	725
	3.3	4.9	18.5	42.2	30.4	0.7	Department	3.967	0.972	881
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	11	26	96	332	262	3	Class	4.111	0.872	727
	1.5	3.6	13.2	45.5	35.9	0.4	Department	4.142	0.853	883
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	10	25	87	320	283	5	Class	4.160	0.865	725
	1.4	3.4	11.9	43.8	38.8	0.7	Department	4.177	0.856	880
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: ECONOMICS

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 143  
 Your Dept ..... 886  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	2	17	68	52	0	Class	4.133	0.882	143
	2.8	1.4	11.9	47.6	36.4	0.0	Department	4.040	0.936	884
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	5	17	67	52	0	Class	4.133	0.858	143
	1.4	3.5	11.9	46.9	36.4	0.0	Department	4.078	0.948	884
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	10	30	48	54	0	Class	4.007	0.968	143
	0.7	7.0	21.0	33.6	37.8	0.0	Department	4.011	0.983	883
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	2	2	24	70	44	1	Class	4.070	0.813	142
	1.4	1.4	16.8	49.0	30.8	0.7	Department	4.005	0.898	883
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	2	11	54	75	0	Class	4.399	0.752	143
	0.7	1.4	7.7	37.8	52.4	0.0	Department	4.281	0.845	884
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	7	21	79	32	0	Class	3.895	0.902	143
	2.8	4.9	14.7	55.2	22.4	0.0	Department	3.937	0.925	882
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	18	64	58	0	Class	4.231	0.775	143
	0.7	1.4	12.6	44.8	40.6	0.0	Department	4.207	0.828	883
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	6	17	67	52	0	Class	4.140	0.836	143
	0.7	4.2	11.9	46.9	36.4	0.0	Department	4.098	0.890	882
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	5	19	61	56	0	Class	4.147	0.880	143
	1.4	3.5	13.3	42.7	39.2	0.0	Department	4.043	0.945	883
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	6	8	63	65	0	Class	4.294	0.812	143
	0.7	4.2	5.6	44.1	45.5	0.0	Department	4.282	0.807	883
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	2	16	69	53	1	Class	4.190	0.798	142
	1.4	1.4	11.2	48.3	37.1	0.7	Department	4.111	0.820	880
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	13	65	65	0	Class	4.364	0.645	143
	0.0	0.0	9.1	45.5	45.5	0.0	Department	3.993	0.902	881
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	13	67	61	0	Class	4.308	0.694	143
	0.0	1.4	9.1	46.9	42.7	0.0	Department	3.999	0.966	881
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	5	17	64	56	0	Class	4.182	0.828	143
	0.7	3.5	11.9	44.8	39.2	0.0	Department	3.967	0.972	881
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	1	12	73	56	0	Class	4.273	0.704	143
	0.7	0.7	8.4	51.0	39.2	0.0	Department	4.142	0.853	883
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	1	15	66	58	1	Class	4.246	0.783	142
	1.4	0.7	10.5	46.2	40.6	0.7	Department	4.177	0.856	880
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: ECONOMICS

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 13  
 Your Dept ..... 886  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	0	7	5	0	Class	4.231	0.832	13
	0.0	7.7	0.0	53.8	38.5	0.0	Department	4.040	0.936	884
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	1	6	5	0	Class	4.154	0.899	13
	0.0	7.7	7.7	46.2	38.5	0.0	Department	4.078	0.948	884
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	0	5	7	0	Class	4.385	0.870	13
	0.0	7.7	0.0	38.5	53.8	0.0	Department	4.011	0.983	883
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	0	7	5	0	Class	4.231	0.832	13
	0.0	7.7	0.0	53.8	38.5	0.0	Department	4.005	0.898	883
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	0	3	9	0	Class	4.538	0.877	13
	0.0	7.7	0.0	23.1	69.2	0.0	Department	4.281	0.845	884
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	2	6	4	0	Class	4.000	0.913	13
	0.0	7.7	15.4	46.2	30.8	0.0	Department	3.937	0.925	882
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	0	3	9	0	Class	4.462	1.127	13
	7.7	0.0	0.0	23.1	69.2	0.0	Department	4.207	0.828	883
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	1	4	7	0	Class	4.231	1.166	13
	7.7	0.0	7.7	30.8	53.8	0.0	Department	4.098	0.890	882
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	0	4	8	0	Class	4.385	1.121	13
	7.7	0.0	0.0	30.8	61.5	0.0	Department	4.043	0.945	883
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	0	5	7	0	Class	4.308	1.109	13
	7.7	0.0	0.0	38.5	53.8	0.0	Department	4.282	0.807	883
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	2	6	4	0	Class	3.923	1.115	13
	7.7	0.0	15.4	46.2	30.8	0.0	Department	4.111	0.820	880
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	0	3	9	0	Class	4.462	1.127	13
	7.7	0.0	0.0	23.1	69.2	0.0	Department	3.993	0.902	881
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	0	4	8	0	Class	4.385	1.121	13
	7.7	0.0	0.0	30.8	61.5	0.0	Department	3.999	0.966	881
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	0	7	5	0	Class	4.154	1.068	13
	7.7	0.0	0.0	53.8	38.5	0.0	Department	3.967	0.972	881
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	0	4	8	0	Class	4.385	1.121	13
	7.7	0.0	0.0	30.8	61.5	0.0	Department	4.142	0.853	883
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	0	4	8	0	Class	4.385	1.121	13
	7.7	0.0	0.0	30.8	61.5	0.0	Department	4.177	0.856	880
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: ENTOMOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 6  
 Your Dept ..... 19  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	0	6	0	Class	5.000	0.000	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.684	0.478	19
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.737	0.452	19
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.842	0.375	19
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.526	0.513	19
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	0	5	0	Class	4.667	0.816	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	83.3	0.0	Department	4.789	0.535	19
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	2	3	0	Class	4.333	0.816	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	33.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.684	0.582	19
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	4	2	0	Class	4.333	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.632	0.496	19
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	5	1	0	Class	4.167	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	83.3	16.7	0.0	Department	4.474	0.513	19
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.895	0.315	19
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.789	0.419	19
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.684	0.478	19
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	1	4	0	Class	4.500	0.837	6
	0.0	0.0	16.7	16.7	66.7	0.0	Department	4.737	0.562	19
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.684	0.478	19
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	3	3	0	Class	4.500	0.548	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.789	0.419	19
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	3	3	0	Class	4.500	0.548	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.632	0.597	19
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.579	0.507	19
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406



**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: ENTOMOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 13  
 Your Dept ..... 19  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	6 46.2	7 53.8	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.538 4.684 4.208 4.214	0.519 0.478 0.962 0.943	13 19 5132 39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 30.8	9 69.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.692 4.737 4.263 4.283	0.480 0.452 0.954 0.930	13 19 5123 39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 7.7	12 92.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.923 4.842 4.220 4.239	0.277 0.375 0.986 0.956	13 19 5123 39457
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	7 53.8	6 46.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.462 4.526 4.191 4.170	0.519 0.513 0.939 0.937	13 19 5115 39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 15.4	11 84.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.846 4.789 4.358 4.329	0.376 0.535 0.876 0.892	13 19 5112 39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 15.4	11 84.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.846 4.684 4.168 4.181	0.376 0.582 0.908 0.891	13 19 5111 39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	3 23.1	10 76.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.769 4.632 4.317 4.301	0.439 0.496 0.852 0.850	13 19 5126 39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	5 38.5	8 61.5	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.615 4.474 4.243 4.286	0.506 0.513 0.913 0.864	13 19 5120 39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 7.7	12 92.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.923 4.895 4.185 4.217	0.277 0.315 0.973 0.949	13 19 5127 39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 15.4	11 84.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.846 4.789 4.365 4.363	0.376 0.419 0.821 0.833	13 19 5129 39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 30.8	9 69.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.692 4.684 4.256 4.262	0.480 0.478 0.802 0.800	13 19 5121 39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 15.4	11 84.6	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.846 4.737 4.308 4.304	0.376 0.562 0.821 0.830	13 19 5125 39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 30.8	9 69.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.692 4.684 4.291 4.263	0.480 0.478 0.875 0.908	13 19 5127 39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 7.7	12 92.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.923 4.789 4.234 4.220	0.277 0.419 0.913 0.923	13 19 5121 39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 7.7	2 15.4	10 76.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.692 4.632 4.322 4.325	0.630 0.597 0.812 0.805	13 19 5129 39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	7 53.8	6 46.2	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.462 4.579 4.316 4.278	0.519 0.507 0.843 0.874	13 19 5116 39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: FOOD SAFETY	Number of Students: # Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 48 Your Dept ..... 48 Your College .. 5156	Response Key: VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)
---	---	---

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	0	3	17	27	0	Class	4.438	0.796	48
	2.1	0.0	6.3	35.4	56.3	0.0	Department	4.438	0.796	48
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	0	3	15	29	0	Class	4.479	0.799	48
	2.1	0.0	6.3	31.3	60.4	0.0	Department	4.479	0.799	48
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	1	16	29	0	Class	4.479	0.825	48
	2.1	2.1	2.1	33.3	60.4	0.0	Department	4.479	0.825	48
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	1	0	4	17	26	0	Class	4.396	0.818	48
	2.1	0.0	8.3	35.4	54.2	0.0	Department	4.396	0.818	48
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	0	2	15	30	0	Class	4.521	0.772	48
	2.1	0.0	4.2	31.3	62.5	0.0	Department	4.521	0.772	48
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	2	18	27	0	Class	4.458	0.771	48
	2.1	0.0	4.2	37.5	56.3	0.0	Department	4.458	0.771	48
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	4	16	27	0	Class	4.417	0.821	48
	2.1	0.0	8.3	33.3	56.3	0.0	Department	4.417	0.821	48
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	3	12	32	0	Class	4.542	0.798	48
	2.1	0.0	6.3	25.0	66.7	0.0	Department	4.542	0.798	48
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	2	17	27	1	Class	4.468	0.776	47
	2.1	0.0	4.2	35.4	56.3	2.1	Department	4.468	0.776	47
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	2	15	30	0	Class	4.521	0.772	48
	2.1	0.0	4.2	31.3	62.5	0.0	Department	4.521	0.772	48
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	1	20	25	1	Class	4.447	0.746	47
	2.1	0.0	2.1	41.7	52.1	2.1	Department	4.447	0.746	47
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	7	11	28	0	Class	4.333	0.953	48
	2.1	2.1	14.6	22.9	58.3	0.0	Department	4.333	0.953	48
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	5	12	30	0	Class	4.458	0.849	48
	2.1	0.0	10.4	25.0	62.5	0.0	Department	4.458	0.849	48
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	5	13	29	0	Class	4.438	0.848	48
	2.1	0.0	10.4	27.1	60.4	0.0	Department	4.438	0.848	48
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	1	18	27	1	Class	4.489	0.748	47
	2.1	0.0	2.1	37.5	56.3	2.1	Department	4.489	0.748	47
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	8	14	25	0	Class	4.292	0.898	48
	2.1	0.0	16.7	29.2	52.1	0.0	Department	4.292	0.898	48
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: MICROBIOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 411  
 Your Dept ..... 643  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	10	21	38	134	207	1	Class	4.237	0.984	410
	2.4	5.1	9.2	32.6	50.4	0.2	Department	4.150	1.057	641
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	10	13	39	111	234	4	Class	4.342	0.951	407
	2.4	3.2	9.5	27.0	56.9	1.0	Department	4.261	1.024	637
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	13	15	33	118	228	4	Class	4.310	0.991	407
	3.2	3.6	8.0	28.7	55.5	1.0	Department	4.242	1.051	637
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	6	13	41	140	207	4	Class	4.300	0.884	407
	1.5	3.2	10.0	34.1	50.4	1.0	Department	4.163	1.025	638
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	21	16	38	126	208	2	Class	4.183	1.091	409
	5.1	3.9	9.2	30.7	50.6	0.5	Department	4.234	1.006	640
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	8	14	47	160	180	2	Class	4.198	0.911	409
	1.9	3.4	11.4	38.9	43.8	0.5	Department	4.161	0.930	639
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	11	6	30	133	229	2	Class	4.377	0.891	409
	2.7	1.5	7.3	32.4	55.7	0.5	Department	4.330	0.932	640
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	9	13	26	139	220	4	Class	4.346	0.902	407
	2.2	3.2	6.3	33.8	53.5	1.0	Department	4.203	1.022	637
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	8	17	35	116	233	2	Class	4.342	0.939	409
	1.9	4.1	8.5	28.2	56.7	0.5	Department	4.144	1.095	640
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	13	10	34	132	221	1	Class	4.312	0.954	410
	3.2	2.4	8.3	32.1	53.8	0.2	Department	4.275	0.940	641
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	6	14	43	165	180	3	Class	4.223	0.877	408
	1.5	3.4	10.5	40.1	43.8	0.7	Department	4.237	0.838	638
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	6	3	61	134	204	3	Class	4.292	0.853	408
	1.5	0.7	14.8	32.6	49.6	0.7	Department	4.288	0.849	638
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	11	15	54	125	205	1	Class	4.215	0.988	410
	2.7	3.6	13.1	30.4	49.9	0.2	Department	4.215	0.967	641
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	12	18	56	118	205	2	Class	4.188	1.022	409
	2.9	4.4	13.6	28.7	49.9	0.5	Department	4.178	0.996	640
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	8	6	28	151	215	3	Class	4.370	0.834	408
	1.9	1.5	6.8	36.7	52.3	0.7	Department	4.306	0.866	638
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	12	8	39	136	211	5	Class	4.296	0.936	406
	2.9	1.9	9.5	33.1	51.3	1.2	Department	4.283	0.946	635
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: MICROBIOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 202  
 Your Dept ..... 643  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	11	16	27	65	82	1	Class	3.950	1.165	201
	5.4	7.9	13.4	32.2	40.6	0.5	Department	4.150	1.057	641
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	8	18	20	58	96	2	Class	4.080	1.140	200
	4.0	8.9	9.9	28.7	47.5	1.0	Department	4.261	1.024	637
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	10	14	21	58	97	2	Class	4.090	1.148	200
	5.0	6.9	10.4	28.7	48.0	1.0	Department	4.242	1.051	637
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	13	20	24	68	76	1	Class	3.866	1.211	201
	6.4	9.9	11.9	33.7	37.6	0.5	Department	4.163	1.025	638
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	9	19	77	95	1	Class	4.274	0.848	201
	0.5	4.5	9.4	38.1	47.0	0.5	Department	4.234	1.006	640
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	7	9	21	96	67	2	Class	4.035	0.969	200
	3.5	4.5	10.4	47.5	33.2	1.0	Department	4.161	0.930	639
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	11	17	67	102	0	Class	4.238	0.989	202
	2.5	5.4	8.4	33.2	50.5	0.0	Department	4.330	0.932	640
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	9	18	33	61	80	1	Class	3.920	1.150	201
	4.5	8.9	16.3	30.2	39.6	0.5	Department	4.203	1.022	637
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	14	24	31	64	69	0	Class	3.743	1.239	202
	6.9	11.9	15.3	31.7	34.2	0.0	Department	4.144	1.095	640
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	10	23	81	86	0	Class	4.183	0.893	202
	1.0	5.0	11.4	40.1	42.6	0.0	Department	4.275	0.940	641
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	4	23	92	82	1	Class	4.254	0.735	201
	0.0	2.0	11.4	45.5	40.6	0.5	Department	4.237	0.838	638
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	5	28	76	92	0	Class	4.252	0.823	202
	0.5	2.5	13.9	37.6	45.5	0.0	Department	4.288	0.849	638
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	13	23	74	91	0	Class	4.193	0.913	202
	0.5	6.4	11.4	36.6	45.0	0.0	Department	4.215	0.967	641
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	11	33	70	86	0	Class	4.124	0.941	202
	1.0	5.4	16.3	34.7	42.6	0.0	Department	4.178	0.996	640
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	8	29	78	84	1	Class	4.164	0.888	201
	1.0	4.0	14.4	38.6	41.6	0.5	Department	4.306	0.866	638
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	6	6	16	75	97	2	Class	4.255	0.946	200
	3.0	3.0	7.9	37.1	48.0	1.0	Department	4.283	0.946	635
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: MICROBIOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 30  
 Your Dept ..... 643  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1 3.3	2 6.7	3 10.0	5 16.7	19 63.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.300 4.150 4.208 4.214	1.119 1.057 0.962 0.943	30 641 5123 39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	3 10.0	3 10.0	4 13.3	20 66.7	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.367 4.261 4.263 4.283	1.033 1.024 0.954 0.930	30 637 5123 39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	4 13.3	2 6.7	4 13.3	20 66.7	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.333 4.242 4.220 4.239	1.093 1.051 0.986 0.956	30 637 5123 39457
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	3 10.0	4 13.3	4 13.3	19 63.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.300 4.163 4.191 4.170	1.055 1.025 0.939 0.937	30 638 5115 39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	2 6.7	6 20.0	22 73.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.667 4.234 4.358 4.329	0.606 1.006 0.876 0.892	30 640 5112 39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	1 3.3	3 10.0	6 20.0	20 66.7	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.500 4.161 4.168 4.181	0.820 0.930 0.908 0.891	30 639 5111 39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1 3.3	1 3.3	4 13.3	5 16.7	18 60.0	1 3.3	Class Department College University	4.310 4.330 4.317 4.301	1.072 0.932 0.852 0.850	29 640 5126 39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2 6.7	2 6.7	3 10.0	5 16.7	17 56.7	1 3.3	Class Department College University	4.138 4.203 4.243 4.286	1.274 1.022 0.913 0.864	29 637 5120 39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2 6.7	3 10.0	2 6.7	4 13.3	18 60.0	1 3.3	Class Department College University	4.138 4.144 4.185 4.217	1.329 1.095 0.973 0.949	29 640 5127 39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1 3.3	1 3.3	3 10.0	5 16.7	19 63.3	1 3.3	Class Department College University	4.379 4.275 4.365 4.363	1.049 0.940 0.821 0.833	29 641 5129 39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1 3.3	0 0.0	4 13.3	8 26.7	16 53.3	1 3.3	Class Department College University	4.310 4.237 4.256 4.262	0.967 0.838 0.802 0.800	29 638 5121 39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1 3.3	0 0.0	3 10.0	4 13.3	20 66.7	2 6.7	Class Department College University	4.500 4.288 4.308 4.304	0.962 0.849 0.821 0.830	28 638 5125 39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1 3.3	1 3.3	3 10.0	5 16.7	19 63.3	1 3.3	Class Department College University	4.379 4.215 4.291 4.263	1.049 0.967 0.875 0.908	29 641 5127 39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1 3.3	0 0.0	4 13.3	5 16.7	19 63.3	1 3.3	Class Department College University	4.414 4.178 4.234 4.220	0.983 0.996 0.913 0.923	29 640 5121 39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1 3.3	1 3.3	3 10.0	5 16.7	19 63.3	1 3.3	Class Department College University	4.379 4.306 4.322 4.325	1.049 0.866 0.812 0.805	29 638 5129 39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1 3.3	1 3.3	5 16.7	3 10.0	19 63.3	1 3.3	Class Department College University	4.310 4.283 4.316 4.278	1.105 0.946 0.843 0.874	29 635 5116 39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 52  
 Your Dept ..... 75  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	0	3	19	29	0	Class	4.442	0.777	52
	1.9	0.0	5.8	36.5	55.8	0.0	Department	4.533	0.741	75
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	0	2	13	36	0	Class	4.596	0.748	52
	1.9	0.0	3.8	25.0	69.2	0.0	Department	4.667	0.684	75
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	1	15	35	0	Class	4.596	0.721	52
	1.9	0.0	1.9	28.8	67.3	0.0	Department	4.627	0.673	75
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	3	22	26	0	Class	4.404	0.693	52
	0.0	1.9	5.8	42.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.453	0.643	75
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	2	15	34	0	Class	4.577	0.667	52
	0.0	1.9	3.8	28.8	65.4	0.0	Department	4.662	0.603	74
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	3	22	25	1	Class	4.392	0.695	51
	0.0	1.9	5.8	42.3	48.1	1.9	Department	4.432	0.643	74
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	2	18	31	0	Class	4.500	0.754	52
	1.9	0.0	3.8	34.6	59.6	0.0	Department	4.560	0.683	75
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	3	20	28	0	Class	4.442	0.698	52
	0.0	1.9	5.8	38.5	53.8	0.0	Department	4.507	0.665	75
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	2	3	20	26	0	Class	4.308	0.897	52
	1.9	3.8	5.8	38.5	50.0	0.0	Department	4.427	0.825	75
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	2	20	29	0	Class	4.481	0.671	52
	0.0	1.9	3.8	38.5	55.8	0.0	Department	4.520	0.760	75
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	25	24	0	Class	4.404	0.603	52
	0.0	0.0	5.8	48.1	46.2	0.0	Department	4.467	0.577	75
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	18	31	0	Class	4.538	0.609	52
	0.0	0.0	5.8	34.6	59.6	0.0	Department	4.608	0.615	74
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	2	19	30	0	Class	4.481	0.754	52
	1.9	0.0	3.8	36.5	57.7	0.0	Department	4.600	0.678	75
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	2	20	29	0	Class	4.462	0.753	52
	1.9	0.0	3.8	38.5	55.8	0.0	Department	4.587	0.680	75
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	2	16	33	0	Class	4.558	0.669	52
	0.0	1.9	3.8	30.8	63.5	0.0	Department	4.600	0.637	75
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	16	33	0	Class	4.577	0.605	52
	0.0	0.0	5.8	30.8	63.5	0.0	Department	4.627	0.564	75
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 23  
 Your Dept ..... 75  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	2	19	0	Class	4.739	0.619	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	8.7	82.6	0.0	Department	4.533	0.741	75
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	2	20	0	Class	4.826	0.491	23
	0.0	0.0	4.3	8.7	87.0	0.0	Department	4.667	0.684	75
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	5	17	0	Class	4.696	0.559	23
	0.0	0.0	4.3	21.7	73.9	0.0	Department	4.627	0.673	75
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	10	13	0	Class	4.565	0.507	23
	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.5	56.5	0.0	Department	4.453	0.643	75
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	3	19	1	Class	4.864	0.351	22
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	82.6	4.3	Department	4.662	0.603	74
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	11	12	0	Class	4.522	0.511	23
	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.8	52.2	0.0	Department	4.432	0.643	74
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	7	16	0	Class	4.696	0.470	23
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.4	69.6	0.0	Department	4.560	0.683	75
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	6	16	0	Class	4.652	0.573	23
	0.0	0.0	4.3	26.1	69.6	0.0	Department	4.507	0.665	75
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	5	17	0	Class	4.696	0.559	23
	0.0	0.0	4.3	21.7	73.9	0.0	Department	4.427	0.825	75
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	1	3	18	0	Class	4.609	0.941	23
	4.3	0.0	4.3	13.0	78.3	0.0	Department	4.520	0.760	75
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	9	14	0	Class	4.609	0.499	23
	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.1	60.9	0.0	Department	4.467	0.577	75
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	1	19	1	Class	4.773	0.612	22
	0.0	0.0	8.7	4.3	82.6	4.3	Department	4.608	0.615	74
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	3	20	0	Class	4.870	0.344	23
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	87.0	0.0	Department	4.600	0.678	75
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	3	20	0	Class	4.870	0.344	23
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	87.0	0.0	Department	4.587	0.680	75
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	5	17	0	Class	4.696	0.559	23
	0.0	0.0	4.3	21.7	73.9	0.0	Department	4.600	0.637	75
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	6	17	0	Class	4.739	0.449	23
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.1	73.9	0.0	Department	4.627	0.564	75
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: PLANT SCIENCES

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 278  
 Your Dept ..... 940  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	6	17	47	114	91	3	Class	3.971	0.974	275
	2.2	6.1	16.9	41.0	32.7	1.1	Department	4.269	0.869	931
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	24	33	112	103	4	Class	4.058	0.955	274
	0.7	8.6	11.9	40.3	37.1	1.4	Department	4.311	0.848	926
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	8	36	123	105	5	Class	4.183	0.797	273
	0.4	2.9	12.9	44.2	37.8	1.8	Department	4.301	0.815	927
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	10	27	46	110	81	4	Class	3.821	1.076	274
	3.6	9.7	16.5	39.6	29.1	1.4	Department	4.181	0.914	927
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	10	15	23	117	105	8	Class	4.081	1.013	270
	3.6	5.4	8.3	42.1	37.8	2.9	Department	4.377	0.832	924
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	13	16	45	129	71	4	Class	3.836	1.030	274
	4.7	5.8	16.2	46.4	25.5	1.4	Department	4.166	0.910	925
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	11	30	134	98	4	Class	4.157	0.799	274
	0.4	4.0	10.8	48.2	35.3	1.4	Department	4.325	0.786	929
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	13	40	131	88	3	Class	4.047	0.868	275
	1.1	4.7	14.4	47.1	31.7	1.1	Department	4.300	0.794	928
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	20	49	117	85	3	Class	3.942	0.953	275
	1.4	7.2	17.6	42.1	30.6	1.1	Department	4.248	0.860	930
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	17	19	136	98	3	Class	4.109	0.910	275
	1.8	6.1	6.8	48.9	35.3	1.1	Department	4.408	0.747	931
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	7	14	44	136	74	3	Class	3.931	0.927	275
	2.5	5.0	15.8	48.9	26.6	1.1	Department	4.239	0.828	929
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	4	30	124	116	4	Class	4.285	0.716	274
	0.0	1.4	10.8	44.6	41.7	1.4	Department	4.427	0.680	930
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	4	27	125	117	5	Class	4.300	0.705	273
	0.0	1.4	9.7	45.0	42.1	1.8	Department	4.412	0.738	927
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	10	39	125	99	3	Class	4.124	0.837	275
	0.7	3.6	14.0	45.0	35.6	1.1	Department	4.335	0.790	929
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	5	27	133	109	3	Class	4.251	0.734	275
	0.4	1.8	9.7	47.8	39.2	1.1	Department	4.395	0.705	930
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	8	25	138	103	3	Class	4.215	0.755	275
	0.4	2.9	9.0	49.6	37.1	1.1	Department	4.346	0.755	926
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406



**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: PLANT SCIENCES

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 588  
 Your Dept ..... 940  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	5	13	56	204	305	5	Class	4.357	0.812	583
	0.9	2.2	9.5	34.7	51.9	0.9	Department	4.269	0.869	931
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	12	56	198	309	9	Class	4.375	0.798	579
	0.7	2.0	9.5	33.7	52.6	1.5	Department	4.311	0.848	926
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	20	60	206	292	7	Class	4.315	0.832	581
	0.5	3.4	10.2	35.0	49.7	1.2	Department	4.301	0.815	927
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	3	14	69	223	271	8	Class	4.284	0.806	580
	0.5	2.4	11.7	37.9	46.1	1.4	Department	4.181	0.914	927
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	4	10	28	207	331	8	Class	4.467	0.730	580
	0.7	1.7	4.8	35.2	56.3	1.4	Department	4.377	0.832	924
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	17	71	215	270	11	Class	4.265	0.838	577
	0.7	2.9	12.1	36.6	45.9	1.9	Department	4.166	0.910	925
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	17	57	207	299	7	Class	4.353	0.789	581
	0.2	2.9	9.7	35.2	50.9	1.2	Department	4.325	0.786	929
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	13	52	218	295	9	Class	4.370	0.754	579
	0.2	2.2	8.8	37.1	50.2	1.5	Department	4.300	0.794	928
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	20	49	211	300	7	Class	4.358	0.792	581
	0.2	3.4	8.3	35.9	51.0	1.2	Department	4.248	0.860	930
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	5	30	214	333	6	Class	4.503	0.637	582
	0.0	0.9	5.1	36.4	56.6	1.0	Department	4.408	0.747	931
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	7	65	220	285	8	Class	4.340	0.764	580
	0.5	1.2	11.1	37.4	48.5	1.4	Department	4.239	0.828	929
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	3	48	215	316	6	Class	4.450	0.666	582
	0.0	0.5	8.2	36.6	53.7	1.0	Department	4.427	0.680	930
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	12	46	201	318	8	Class	4.412	0.767	580
	0.5	2.0	7.8	34.2	54.1	1.4	Department	4.412	0.738	927
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	10	56	206	305	8	Class	4.379	0.771	580
	0.5	1.7	9.5	35.0	51.9	1.4	Department	4.335	0.790	929
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	8	46	224	304	6	Class	4.416	0.696	582
	0.0	1.4	7.8	38.1	51.7	1.0	Department	4.395	0.705	930
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	10	59	214	293	10	Class	4.360	0.762	578
	0.3	1.7	10.0	36.4	49.8	1.7	Department	4.346	0.755	926
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: PLANT SCIENCES

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 74  
 Your Dept ..... 940  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	21	51	1	Class	4.685	0.497	73
	0.0	0.0	1.4	28.4	68.9	1.4	Department	4.269	0.869	931
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	18	55	1	Class	4.753	0.434	73
	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.3	74.3	1.4	Department	4.311	0.848	926
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	6	15	52	1	Class	4.630	0.635	73
	0.0	0.0	8.1	20.3	70.3	1.4	Department	4.301	0.815	927
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	17	54	1	Class	4.712	0.513	73
	0.0	0.0	2.7	23.0	73.0	1.4	Department	4.181	0.914	927
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	17	56	0	Class	4.743	0.470	74
	0.0	0.0	1.4	23.0	75.7	0.0	Department	4.377	0.832	924
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	26	47	0	Class	4.622	0.516	74
	0.0	0.0	1.4	35.1	63.5	0.0	Department	4.166	0.910	925
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	16	56	0	Class	4.730	0.505	74
	0.0	0.0	2.7	21.6	75.7	0.0	Department	4.325	0.786	929
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	21	52	0	Class	4.689	0.495	74
	0.0	0.0	1.4	28.4	70.3	0.0	Department	4.300	0.794	928
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	6	20	47	0	Class	4.527	0.707	74
	0.0	1.4	8.1	27.0	63.5	0.0	Department	4.248	0.860	930
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	13	59	0	Class	4.770	0.484	74
	0.0	0.0	2.7	17.6	79.7	0.0	Department	4.408	0.747	931
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	26	46	0	Class	4.595	0.547	74
	0.0	0.0	2.7	35.1	62.2	0.0	Department	4.239	0.828	929
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	13	59	0	Class	4.770	0.484	74
	0.0	0.0	2.7	17.6	79.7	0.0	Department	4.427	0.680	930
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	11	62	0	Class	4.824	0.417	74
	0.0	0.0	1.4	14.9	83.8	0.0	Department	4.412	0.738	927
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	15	58	0	Class	4.770	0.455	74
	0.0	0.0	1.4	20.3	78.4	0.0	Department	4.335	0.790	929
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	13	58	1	Class	4.767	0.486	73
	0.0	0.0	2.7	17.6	78.4	1.4	Department	4.395	0.705	930
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	14	56	1	Class	4.726	0.534	73
	0.0	0.0	4.1	18.9	75.7	1.4	Department	4.346	0.755	926
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 7  
 Your Dept ..... 99  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	4	1	0	Class	3.857	0.690	7
	0.0	0.0	28.6	57.1	14.3	0.0	Department	4.525	0.612	99
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	1	4	1	0	Class	3.714	0.951	7
	0.0	14.3	14.3	57.1	14.3	0.0	Department	4.616	0.584	99
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	3	2	1	0	Class	3.429	0.976	7
	0.0	14.3	42.9	28.6	14.3	0.0	Department	4.667	0.655	99
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	3	1	0	Class	3.714	0.756	7
	0.0	0.0	42.9	42.9	14.3	0.0	Department	4.626	0.582	99
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	6	1	0	Class	4.143	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	0.0	Department	4.566	0.688	99
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	5	0	0	Class	3.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0	Department	4.561	0.643	98
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	5	1	0	Class	4.000	0.577	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	71.4	14.3	0.0	Department	4.657	0.518	99
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	4	1	0	Class	3.857	0.690	7
	0.0	0.0	28.6	57.1	14.3	0.0	Department	4.646	0.559	99
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	2	3	1	0	Class	3.571	0.976	7
	0.0	14.3	28.6	42.9	14.3	0.0	Department	4.515	0.734	99
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	6	1	0	Class	4.143	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	14.3	0.0	Department	4.653	0.520	98
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	7	0	0	Class	4.000	0.000	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	Department	4.556	0.593	99
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	2	4	0	0	Class	3.429	0.787	7
	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	0.0	0.0	Department	4.737	0.546	99
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	2	3	1	0	Class	3.571	0.976	7
	0.0	14.3	28.6	42.9	14.3	0.0	Department	4.657	0.592	99
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	3	2	2	0	Class	3.857	0.900	7
	0.0	0.0	42.9	28.6	28.6	0.0	Department	4.653	0.576	98
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	5	0	0	Class	3.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	0.0	Department	4.556	0.642	99
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	5	2	0	Class	4.286	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	28.6	0.0	Department	4.687	0.565	99
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 92  
 Your Dept ..... 99  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	4	31	57	0	Class	4.576	0.579	92
	0.0	0.0	4.3	33.7	62.0	0.0	Department	4.525	0.612	99
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	27	64	0	Class	4.685	0.490	92
	0.0	0.0	1.1	29.3	69.6	0.0	Department	4.616	0.584	99
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	4	14	74	0	Class	4.761	0.521	92
	0.0	0.0	4.3	15.2	80.4	0.0	Department	4.667	0.655	99
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	24	66	0	Class	4.696	0.508	92
	0.0	0.0	2.2	26.1	71.7	0.0	Department	4.626	0.582	99
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	5	21	64	0	Class	4.598	0.696	92
	0.0	2.2	5.4	22.8	69.6	0.0	Department	4.566	0.688	99
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	3	25	62	1	Class	4.626	0.608	91
	0.0	1.1	3.3	27.2	67.4	1.1	Department	4.561	0.643	98
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	25	66	0	Class	4.707	0.481	92
	0.0	0.0	1.1	27.2	71.7	0.0	Department	4.657	0.518	99
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	23	67	0	Class	4.707	0.504	92
	0.0	0.0	2.2	25.0	72.8	0.0	Department	4.646	0.559	99
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	6	23	62	0	Class	4.587	0.666	92
	0.0	1.1	6.5	25.0	67.4	0.0	Department	4.515	0.734	99
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	24	65	1	Class	4.692	0.510	91
	0.0	0.0	2.2	26.1	70.7	1.1	Department	4.653	0.520	98
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	5	27	60	0	Class	4.598	0.594	92
	0.0	0.0	5.4	29.3	65.2	0.0	Department	4.556	0.593	99
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	15	77	0	Class	4.837	0.371	92
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.3	83.7	0.0	Department	4.737	0.546	99
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	22	69	0	Class	4.739	0.466	92
	0.0	0.0	1.1	23.9	75.0	0.0	Department	4.657	0.592	99
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	22	67	1	Class	4.714	0.501	91
	0.0	0.0	2.2	23.9	72.8	1.1	Department	4.653	0.576	98
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	6	23	63	0	Class	4.620	0.608	92
	0.0	0.0	6.5	25.0	68.5	0.0	Department	4.556	0.642	99
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	2	19	70	0	Class	4.717	0.561	92
	0.0	1.1	2.2	20.7	76.1	0.0	Department	4.687	0.565	99
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: RANGE SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 28  
 Your Dept ..... 44  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	3	11	14	0	Class	4.393	0.685	28
	0.0	0.0	10.7	39.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.364	0.685	44
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	11	16	0	Class	4.536	0.576	28
	0.0	0.0	3.6	39.3	57.1	0.0	Department	4.477	0.698	44
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	9	18	0	Class	4.607	0.567	28
	0.0	0.0	3.6	32.1	64.3	0.0	Department	4.500	0.629	44
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	4	9	15	0	Class	4.393	0.737	28
	0.0	0.0	14.3	32.1	53.6	0.0	Department	4.318	0.800	44
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	6	21	0	Class	4.714	0.535	28
	0.0	0.0	3.6	21.4	75.0	0.0	Department	4.727	0.499	44
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	11	14	0	Class	4.393	0.685	28
	0.0	0.0	10.7	39.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.386	0.722	44
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	4	10	14	0	Class	4.357	0.731	28
	0.0	0.0	14.3	35.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.341	0.776	44
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	12	15	0	Class	4.500	0.577	28
	0.0	0.0	3.6	42.9	53.6	0.0	Department	4.364	0.750	44
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	4	10	14	0	Class	4.357	0.731	28
	0.0	0.0	14.3	35.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.295	0.765	44
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	11	16	0	Class	4.536	0.576	28
	0.0	0.0	3.6	39.3	57.1	0.0	Department	4.591	0.542	44
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	13	13	0	Class	4.393	0.629	28
	0.0	0.0	7.1	46.4	46.4	0.0	Department	4.364	0.613	44
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	8	19	0	Class	4.643	0.559	28
	0.0	0.0	3.6	28.6	67.9	0.0	Department	4.682	0.518	44
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	7	20	0	Class	4.679	0.548	28
	0.0	0.0	3.6	25.0	71.4	0.0	Department	4.659	0.568	44
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	8	19	0	Class	4.643	0.559	28
	0.0	0.0	3.6	28.6	67.9	0.0	Department	4.636	0.574	44
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	9	18	0	Class	4.607	0.567	28
	0.0	0.0	3.6	32.1	64.3	0.0	Department	4.477	0.698	44
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	14	13	0	Class	4.429	0.573	28
	0.0	0.0	3.6	50.0	46.4	0.0	Department	4.432	0.625	44
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: RANGE SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 16  
 Your Dept ..... 44  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	7	7	0	Class	4.313	0.704	16
	0.0	0.0	12.5	43.8	43.8	0.0	Department	4.364	0.685	44
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	1	5	9	0	Class	4.375	0.885	16
	0.0	6.3	6.3	31.3	56.3	0.0	Department	4.477	0.698	44
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	7	7	0	Class	4.313	0.704	16
	0.0	0.0	12.5	43.8	43.8	0.0	Department	4.500	0.629	44
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	2	6	7	0	Class	4.188	0.911	16
	0.0	6.3	12.5	37.5	43.8	0.0	Department	4.318	0.800	44
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	4	12	0	Class	4.750	0.447	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.727	0.499	44
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	0	7	8	0	Class	4.375	0.806	16
	0.0	6.3	0.0	43.8	50.0	0.0	Department	4.386	0.722	44
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	1	6	8	0	Class	4.313	0.873	16
	0.0	6.3	6.3	37.5	50.0	0.0	Department	4.341	0.776	44
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	3	5	7	0	Class	4.125	0.957	16
	0.0	6.3	18.8	31.3	43.8	0.0	Department	4.364	0.750	44
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	4	5	7	0	Class	4.188	0.834	16
	0.0	0.0	25.0	31.3	43.8	0.0	Department	4.295	0.765	44
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	5	11	0	Class	4.688	0.479	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.3	68.8	0.0	Department	4.591	0.542	44
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	9	6	0	Class	4.313	0.602	16
	0.0	0.0	6.3	56.3	37.5	0.0	Department	4.364	0.613	44
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	4	12	0	Class	4.750	0.447	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.682	0.518	44
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	4	11	0	Class	4.625	0.619	16
	0.0	0.0	6.3	25.0	68.8	0.0	Department	4.659	0.568	44
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	4	11	0	Class	4.625	0.619	16
	0.0	0.0	6.3	25.0	68.8	0.0	Department	4.636	0.574	44
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	1	7	7	0	Class	4.250	0.856	16
	0.0	6.3	6.3	43.8	43.8	0.0	Department	4.477	0.698	44
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	5	9	0	Class	4.438	0.727	16
	0.0	0.0	12.5	31.3	56.3	0.0	Department	4.432	0.625	44
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information	Number of Students:	Response Key:
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses	# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 297	VG= Very Good....(5)
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE	Your Dept ..... 424	G= Good....(4)
	Your College .. 5156	IB=In Between....(3)
		P= Poor....(2)
		VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3 1.0	4 1.3	7 2.4	78 26.3	203 68.4	2 0.7	Class Department College University	4.607 4.389 4.208 4.214	0.701 0.883 0.962 0.943	295 422 5132 39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3 1.0	1 0.3	8 2.7	64 21.5	219 73.7	2 0.7	Class Department College University	4.678 4.438 4.263 4.283	0.645 0.883 0.954 0.930	295 422 5123 39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1 0.3	6 2.0	7 2.4	79 26.6	202 68.0	2 0.7	Class Department College University	4.610 4.322 4.220 4.239	0.670 0.977 0.986 0.956	295 422 5123 39457
4. the quality of this course.	1 0.3	7 2.4	7 2.4	91 30.6	190 64.0	1 0.3	Class Department College University	4.561 4.395 4.191 4.170	0.691 0.847 0.939 0.937	296 423 5115 39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1 0.3	2 0.7	8 2.7	82 27.6	200 67.3	4 1.3	Class Department College University	4.631 4.490 4.358 4.329	0.609 0.756 0.876 0.892	293 418 5112 39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	4 1.3	6 2.0	29 9.8	130 43.8	124 41.8	4 1.3	Class Department College University	4.242 4.179 4.168 4.181	0.819 0.813 0.908 0.891	293 418 5111 39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	1 0.3	8 2.7	85 28.6	202 68.0	1 0.3	Class Department College University	4.649 4.459 4.317 4.301	0.551 0.759 0.852 0.850	296 423 5126 39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	3 1.0	10 3.4	100 33.7	182 61.3	2 0.7	Class Department College University	4.563 4.382 4.243 4.286	0.613 0.809 0.913 0.864	295 422 5120 39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	3 1.0	12 4.0	94 31.6	188 63.3	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.572 4.394 4.185 4.217	0.622 0.795 0.973 0.949	297 424 5127 39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	3 1.0	8 2.7	86 29.0	199 67.0	1 0.3	Class Department College University	4.625 4.473 4.365 4.363	0.592 0.741 0.821 0.833	296 423 5129 39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	6 2.0	22 7.4	125 42.1	143 48.1	1 0.3	Class Department College University	4.368 4.277 4.256 4.262	0.710 0.755 0.802 0.800	296 423 5121 39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	2 0.7	23 7.7	85 28.6	187 63.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.539 4.429 4.308 4.304	0.667 0.744 0.821 0.830	297 424 5125 39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	1 0.3	7 2.4	99 33.3	190 64.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.609 4.495 4.291 4.263	0.554 0.677 0.875 0.908	297 424 5127 39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0 0.0	2 0.7	16 5.4	99 33.3	179 60.3	1 0.3	Class Department College University	4.537 4.364 4.234 4.220	0.631 0.800 0.913 0.923	296 423 5121 39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	0 0.0	11 3.7	111 37.4	175 58.9	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.552 4.434 4.322 4.325	0.568 0.695 0.812 0.805	297 424 5129 39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	4 1.3	8 2.7	86 29.0	199 67.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.616 4.441 4.316 4.278	0.610 0.763 0.843 0.874	297 424 5116 39406





**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: SOIL SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 20  
 Your Dept ..... 424  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	0	4	15	0	Class	4.650	0.745	20
	0.0	5.0	0.0	20.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.389	0.883	422
							College	4.208	0.962	5132
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	4	15	0	Class	4.700	0.571	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	20.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.438	0.883	422
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	5	14	0	Class	4.650	0.587	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	25.0	70.0	0.0	Department	4.322	0.977	422
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	0	4	15	0	Class	4.650	0.745	20
	0.0	5.0	0.0	20.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.395	0.847	423
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	1	2	15	1	Class	4.632	0.831	19
	0.0	5.0	5.0	10.0	75.0	5.0	Department	4.490	0.756	418
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	5	14	0	Class	4.650	0.587	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	25.0	70.0	0.0	Department	4.179	0.813	418
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	0	4	15	0	Class	4.650	0.745	20
	0.0	5.0	0.0	20.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.459	0.759	423
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	5	15	0	Class	4.750	0.444	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.382	0.809	422
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	1	5	13	0	Class	4.500	0.827	20
	0.0	5.0	5.0	25.0	65.0	0.0	Department	4.394	0.795	424
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	0	2	17	0	Class	4.750	0.716	20
	0.0	5.0	0.0	10.0	85.0	0.0	Department	4.473	0.741	423
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	7	13	0	Class	4.650	0.489	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.0	65.0	0.0	Department	4.277	0.755	423
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	5	15	0	Class	4.750	0.444	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.429	0.744	424
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	0	3	16	0	Class	4.650	0.933	20
	5.0	0.0	0.0	15.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.495	0.677	424
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	1	4	14	0	Class	4.500	1.000	20
	5.0	0.0	5.0	20.0	70.0	0.0	Department	4.364	0.800	423
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	4	15	0	Class	4.700	0.571	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	20.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.434	0.695	424
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	6	14	0	Class	4.700	0.470	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	70.0	0.0	Department	4.441	0.763	424
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 90  
 Your Dept ..... 213  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	4	5	32	46	0	Class	4.267	0.992	90
	3.3	4.4	5.6	35.6	51.1	0.0	Department	4.338	0.946	213
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	1	6	25	56	0	Class	4.467	0.851	90
	2.2	1.1	6.7	27.8	62.2	0.0	Department	4.455	0.866	213
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	2	6	26	53	1	Class	4.416	0.889	89
	2.2	2.2	6.7	28.9	58.9	1.1	Department	4.434	0.908	212
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	4	1	7	26	50	2	Class	4.330	1.003	88
	4.4	1.1	7.8	28.9	55.6	2.2	Department	4.346	0.956	211
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	3	8	29	47	0	Class	4.267	0.992	90
	3.3	3.3	8.9	32.2	52.2	0.0	Department	4.380	0.891	213
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	2	7	34	46	0	Class	4.356	0.812	90
	1.1	2.2	7.8	37.8	51.1	0.0	Department	4.451	0.779	213
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	0	3	29	56	0	Class	4.522	0.768	90
	2.2	0.0	3.3	32.2	62.2	0.0	Department	4.498	0.805	213
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	2	4	29	53	0	Class	4.433	0.862	90
	2.2	2.2	4.4	32.2	58.9	0.0	Department	4.465	0.821	213
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	3	6	28	51	0	Class	4.367	0.917	90
	2.2	3.3	6.7	31.1	56.7	0.0	Department	4.366	0.980	213
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	5	30	53	0	Class	4.489	0.707	90
	0.0	2.2	5.6	33.3	58.9	0.0	Department	4.512	0.756	213
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	3	9	33	43	0	Class	4.244	0.928	90
	2.2	3.3	10.0	36.7	47.8	0.0	Department	4.390	0.832	213
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	8	29	51	0	Class	4.422	0.793	90
	1.1	1.1	8.9	32.2	56.7	0.0	Department	4.469	0.810	213
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	1	11	28	48	0	Class	4.322	0.897	90
	2.2	1.1	12.2	31.1	53.3	0.0	Department	4.404	0.888	213
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	1	8	27	51	0	Class	4.356	0.940	90
	3.3	1.1	8.9	30.0	56.7	0.0	Department	4.427	0.874	213
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	2	5	30	52	0	Class	4.444	0.795	90
	1.1	2.2	5.6	33.3	57.8	0.0	Department	4.502	0.744	213
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	1	4	31	51	1	Class	4.438	0.825	89
	2.2	1.1	4.4	34.4	56.7	1.1	Department	4.505	0.745	212
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406

**Student Rating of Instruction**  
**College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources**

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 26JUN17 Using Data from Spring 2017

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 123  
 Your Dept ..... 213  
 Your College .. 5156

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	3	15	28	75	0	Class	4.390	0.911	123
	1.6	2.4	12.2	22.8	61.0	0.0	Department	4.338	0.946	213
							College	4.208	0.962	5123
							University	4.214	0.943	39548
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	5	11	27	79	0	Class	4.447	0.880	123
	0.8	4.1	8.9	22.0	64.2	0.0	Department	4.455	0.866	213
							College	4.263	0.954	5123
							University	4.283	0.930	39456
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	2	13	24	81	0	Class	4.447	0.925	123
	2.4	1.6	10.6	19.5	65.9	0.0	Department	4.434	0.908	212
							College	4.220	0.986	5123
							University	4.239	0.956	39457
4. the quality of this course.	3	1	17	30	72	0	Class	4.358	0.924	123
	2.4	0.8	13.8	24.4	58.5	0.0	Department	4.346	0.956	211
							College	4.191	0.939	5115
							University	4.170	0.937	39398
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	15	29	77	0	Class	4.463	0.803	123
	0.8	0.8	12.2	23.6	62.6	0.0	Department	4.380	0.891	213
							College	4.358	0.876	5112
							University	4.329	0.892	39435
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	13	27	81	0	Class	4.520	0.750	123
	0.0	1.6	10.6	22.0	65.9	0.0	Department	4.451	0.779	213
							College	4.168	0.908	5111
							University	4.181	0.891	39393
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	15	24	81	0	Class	4.480	0.833	123
	0.8	1.6	12.2	19.5	65.9	0.0	Department	4.498	0.805	213
							College	4.317	0.852	5126
							University	4.301	0.850	39466
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	1	14	28	79	0	Class	4.488	0.793	123
	0.8	0.8	11.4	22.8	64.2	0.0	Department	4.465	0.821	213
							College	4.243	0.913	5120
							University	4.286	0.864	39438
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	6	8	28	77	0	Class	4.366	1.026	123
	3.3	4.9	6.5	22.8	62.6	0.0	Department	4.366	0.980	213
							College	4.185	0.973	5127
							University	4.217	0.949	39465
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	8	29	82	0	Class	4.528	0.793	123
	0.8	2.4	6.5	23.6	66.7	0.0	Department	4.512	0.756	213
							College	4.365	0.821	5129
							University	4.363	0.833	39449
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	12	32	77	0	Class	4.496	0.740	123
	0.0	1.6	9.8	26.0	62.6	0.0	Department	4.390	0.832	213
							College	4.256	0.802	5121
							University	4.262	0.800	39440
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	2	14	23	83	0	Class	4.504	0.824	123
	0.8	1.6	11.4	18.7	67.5	0.0	Department	4.469	0.810	213
							College	4.308	0.821	5125
							University	4.304	0.830	39407
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	4	8	30	79	0	Class	4.463	0.881	123
	1.6	3.3	6.5	24.4	64.2	0.0	Department	4.404	0.888	213
							College	4.291	0.875	5127
							University	4.263	0.908	39430
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	3	11	29	79	0	Class	4.480	0.823	123
	0.8	2.4	8.9	23.6	64.2	0.0	Department	4.427	0.874	213
							College	4.234	0.913	5121
							University	4.220	0.923	39417
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	9	32	80	0	Class	4.545	0.704	123
	0.0	1.6	7.3	26.0	65.0	0.0	Department	4.502	0.744	213
							College	4.322	0.812	5129
							University	4.325	0.805	39438
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	10	32	80	0	Class	4.553	0.680	123
	0.0	0.8	8.1	26.0	65.0	0.0	Department	4.505	0.745	212
							College	4.316	0.843	5116
							University	4.278	0.874	39406