

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
# Sheets Scanned: This Level 395 Your Dept 954 Your College .. 9817										
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	21	36	201	132	1	Class	4.107	0.849	394
	1.0	5.3	9.1	50.9	33.4	0.3	Department	4.175	0.876	952
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	7	13	41	175	158	1	Class	4.178	0.876	394
	1.8	3.3	10.4	44.3	40.0	0.3	Department	4.242	0.889	951
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	5	13	31	171	174	1	Class	4.259	0.837	394
	1.3	3.3	7.8	43.3	44.1	0.3	Department	4.226	0.899	950
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	6	9	49	192	136	3	Class	4.130	0.828	392
	1.5	2.3	12.4	48.6	34.4	0.8	Department	4.180	0.862	947
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	4	5	25	154	206	1	Class	4.404	0.753	394
	1.0	1.3	6.3	39.0	52.2	0.3	Department	4.396	0.797	950
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	17	51	213	106	3	Class	4.015	0.831	392
	1.3	4.3	12.9	53.9	26.8	0.8	Department	4.057	0.870	946
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	10	29	206	147	1	Class	4.234	0.735	394
	0.5	2.5	7.3	52.2	37.2	0.3	Department	4.315	0.738	952
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	9	30	196	158	1	Class	4.272	0.720	394
	0.3	2.3	7.6	49.6	40.0	0.3	Department	4.237	0.817	952
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	16	49	178	148	1	Class	4.147	0.843	394
	0.8	4.1	12.4	45.1	37.5	0.3	Department	4.144	0.910	952
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	2	24	181	186	1	Class	4.393	0.650	394
	0.3	0.5	6.1	45.8	47.1	0.3	Department	4.301	0.826	951
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	6	71	194	121	1	Class	4.081	0.767	394
	0.5	1.5	18.0	49.1	30.6	0.3	Department	4.170	0.776	952
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	9	47	181	156	1	Class	4.223	0.762	394
	0.3	2.3	11.9	45.8	39.5	0.3	Department	4.304	0.751	950
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	14	65	173	138	1	Class	4.084	0.862	394
	1.0	3.5	16.5	43.8	34.9	0.3	Department	4.105	0.948	952
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	25	63	178	126	1	Class	4.018	0.884	394
	0.5	6.3	15.9	45.1	31.9	0.3	Department	4.095	0.918	952
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	11	48	199	135	1	Class	4.157	0.759	394
	0.3	2.8	12.2	50.4	34.2	0.3	Department	4.235	0.760	952
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	12	43	181	155	1	Class	4.201	0.809	394
	0.8	3.0	10.9	45.8	39.2	0.3	Department	4.306	0.788	952
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 504 Your Dept 954 Your College .. 9817								
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	24	56	180	239	1	Class	4.245	0.889	503
	0.8	4.8	11.1	35.7	47.4	0.2	Department	4.175	0.876	952
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	22	50	167	259	2	Class	4.305	0.878	502
	0.8	4.4	9.9	33.1	51.4	0.4	Department	4.242	0.889	951
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	6	27	68	158	243	2	Class	4.205	0.950	502
	1.2	5.4	13.5	31.3	48.2	0.4	Department	4.226	0.899	950
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	3	20	71	172	235	3	Class	4.230	0.879	501
	0.6	4.0	14.1	34.1	46.6	0.6	Department	4.180	0.862	947
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	6	11	36	168	280	3	Class	4.407	0.814	501
	1.2	2.2	7.1	33.3	55.6	0.6	Department	4.396	0.797	950
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	26	59	225	185	4	Class	4.118	0.880	500
	1.0	5.2	11.7	44.6	36.7	0.8	Department	4.057	0.870	946
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	7	40	207	246	1	Class	4.364	0.739	503
	0.6	1.4	7.9	41.1	48.8	0.2	Department	4.315	0.738	952
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	26	51	196	227	1	Class	4.229	0.873	503
	0.6	5.2	10.1	38.9	45.0	0.2	Department	4.237	0.817	952
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	6	30	56	191	220	1	Class	4.171	0.931	503
	1.2	6.0	11.1	37.9	43.7	0.2	Department	4.144	0.910	952
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	10	16	35	194	247	2	Class	4.299	0.884	502
	2.0	3.2	6.9	38.5	49.0	0.4	Department	4.301	0.826	951
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	8	51	234	207	1	Class	4.260	0.751	503
	0.6	1.6	10.1	46.4	41.1	0.2	Department	4.170	0.776	952
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	7	49	197	248	2	Class	4.363	0.731	502
	0.2	1.4	9.7	39.1	49.2	0.4	Department	4.304	0.751	950
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	18	21	59	185	220	1	Class	4.129	1.014	503
	3.6	4.2	11.7	36.7	43.7	0.2	Department	4.105	0.948	952
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	7	23	67	186	220	1	Class	4.171	0.923	503
	1.4	4.6	13.3	36.9	43.7	0.2	Department	4.095	0.918	952
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	5	62	204	231	1	Class	4.310	0.738	503
	0.2	1.0	12.3	40.5	45.8	0.2	Department	4.235	0.760	952
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	12	39	193	256	1	Class	4.366	0.777	503
	0.6	2.4	7.7	38.3	50.8	0.2	Department	4.306	0.788	952
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 55					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 954					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	12	20	20	0	Class	4.036	0.902	55	
	0.0	5.5	21.8	36.4	36.4	0.0	Department	4.175	0.876	952	
							College	4.273	0.902	9775	
							University	4.180	0.970	81063	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	3	5	21	24	0	Class	4.127	1.037	55	
	3.6	5.5	9.1	38.2	43.6	0.0	Department	4.242	0.889	951	
							College	4.340	0.897	9762	
							University	4.246	0.969	80854	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	9	20	23	1	Class	4.185	0.848	54	
	0.0	3.6	16.4	36.4	41.8	1.8	Department	4.226	0.899	950	
							College	4.287	0.927	9764	
							University	4.204	0.988	80870	
4. the quality of this course.	1	2	9	22	20	1	Class	4.074	0.929	54	
	1.8	3.6	16.4	40.0	36.4	1.8	Department	4.180	0.862	947	
							College	4.263	0.873	9756	
							University	4.147	0.956	80741	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	2	7	18	27	0	Class	4.236	0.942	55	
	1.8	3.6	12.7	32.7	49.1	0.0	Department	4.396	0.797	950	
							College	4.410	0.832	9754	
							University	4.319	0.894	80840	
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	2	15	21	14	1	Class	3.796	0.998	54	
	3.6	3.6	27.3	38.2	25.5	1.8	Department	4.057	0.870	946	
							College	4.198	0.865	9742	
							University	4.158	0.901	80753	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	3	21	30	0	Class	4.455	0.689	55	
	0.0	1.8	5.5	38.2	54.5	0.0	Department	4.315	0.738	952	
							College	4.367	0.792	9691	
							University	4.265	0.881	80863	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	2	9	23	20	0	Class	4.073	0.920	55	
	1.8	3.6	16.4	41.8	36.4	0.0	Department	4.237	0.817	952	
							College	4.297	0.847	9689	
							University	4.254	0.889	80813	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	8	8	18	20	0	Class	3.873	1.123	55	
	1.8	14.5	14.5	32.7	36.4	0.0	Department	4.144	0.910	952	
							College	4.224	0.932	9693	
							University	4.175	0.977	80843	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	5	12	23	12	0	Class	3.655	1.092	55	
	5.5	9.1	21.8	41.8	21.8	0.0	Department	4.301	0.826	951	
							College	4.413	0.790	9688	
							University	4.347	0.846	80794	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	2	13	20	19	0	Class	3.982	0.952	55	
	1.8	3.6	23.6	36.4	34.5	0.0	Department	4.170	0.776	952	
							College	4.255	0.786	9692	
							University	4.227	0.820	80795	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	5	19	28	1	Class	4.352	0.805	54	
	0.0	3.6	9.1	34.5	50.9	1.8	Department	4.304	0.751	950	
							College	4.339	0.789	9677	
							University	4.272	0.850	80742	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	10	23	19	0	Class	4.036	0.922	55	
	1.8	3.6	18.2	41.8	34.5	0.0	Department	4.105	0.948	952	
							College	4.297	0.868	9686	
							University	4.228	0.930	80807	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	5	11	17	21	0	Class	3.945	1.061	55	
	1.8	9.1	20.0	30.9	38.2	0.0	Department	4.095	0.918	952	
							College	4.249	0.886	9683	
							University	4.177	0.950	80747	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	2	7	25	20	0	Class	4.109	0.896	55	
	1.8	3.6	12.7	45.5	36.4	0.0	Department	4.235	0.760	952	
							College	4.346	0.775	9692	
							University	4.297	0.828	80815	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	4	19	32	0	Class	4.509	0.635	55	
	0.0	0.0	7.3	34.5	58.2	0.0	Department	4.306	0.788	952	
							College	4.340	0.825	9669	
							University	4.239	0.913	80676	

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: AGRICULTURE, GENERAL		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 105 Your Dept 111 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	12	34	54	4	Class	4.396	0.736	101
	0.0	1.0	11.4	32.4	51.4	3.8	Department	4.411	0.726	107
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	10	22	68	4	Class	4.554	0.714	101
	0.0	1.0	9.5	21.0	64.8	3.8	Department	4.561	0.703	107
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	9	34	56	4	Class	4.426	0.740	101
	0.0	1.9	8.6	32.4	53.3	3.8	Department	4.430	0.728	107
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	0	3	20	38	39	5	Class	4.130	0.837	100
	0.0	2.9	19.0	36.2	37.1	4.8	Department	4.170	0.833	106
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	4	12	28	57	4	Class	4.366	0.845	101
	0.0	3.8	11.4	26.7	54.3	3.8	Department	4.393	0.833	107
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	5	14	28	52	4	Class	4.218	0.996	101
	1.9	4.8	13.3	26.7	49.5	3.8	Department	4.252	0.982	107
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	7	35	58	4	Class	4.485	0.673	101
	0.0	1.0	6.7	33.3	55.2	3.8	Department	4.495	0.664	107
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	3	11	35	52	4	Class	4.347	0.793	101
	0.0	2.9	10.5	33.3	49.5	3.8	Department	4.374	0.783	107
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	3	15	32	50	4	Class	4.257	0.891	101
	1.0	2.9	14.3	30.5	47.6	3.8	Department	4.290	0.880	107
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	2	10	27	61	4	Class	4.436	0.830	101
	1.0	1.9	9.5	25.7	58.1	3.8	Department	4.439	0.815	107
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	2	16	39	42	5	Class	4.190	0.849	100
	1.0	1.9	15.2	37.1	40.0	4.8	Department	4.226	0.843	106
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	9	29	61	5	Class	4.490	0.745	100
	1.0	0.0	8.6	27.6	58.1	4.8	Department	4.509	0.733	106
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	6	31	62	4	Class	4.505	0.743	101
	1.0	1.0	5.7	29.5	59.0	3.8	Department	4.514	0.732	107
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	11	35	54	4	Class	4.406	0.724	101
	0.0	1.0	10.5	33.3	51.4	3.8	Department	4.421	0.714	107
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	8	44	48	4	Class	4.376	0.676	101
	0.0	1.0	7.6	41.9	45.7	3.8	Department	4.393	0.670	107
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	2	6	34	56	5	Class	4.400	0.853	100
	1.9	1.9	5.7	32.4	53.3	4.8	Department	4.425	0.839	106
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: AGRICULTURE, GENERAL		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 6 Your Dept 111 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.411	0.726	107	
							College	4.273	0.902	9775	
							University	4.180	0.970	81063	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.561	0.703	107	
							College	4.340	0.897	9762	
							University	4.246	0.969	80854	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	3	3	0	Class	4.500	0.548	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.430	0.728	107	
							College	4.287	0.927	9764	
							University	4.204	0.988	80870	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.170	0.833	106	
							College	4.263	0.873	9756	
							University	4.147	0.956	80741	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.393	0.833	107	
							College	4.410	0.832	9754	
							University	4.319	0.894	80840	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.252	0.982	107	
							College	4.198	0.865	9742	
							University	4.158	0.901	80753	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.495	0.664	107	
							College	4.367	0.792	9691	
							University	4.265	0.881	80863	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.374	0.783	107	
							College	4.297	0.847	9689	
							University	4.254	0.889	80813	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.290	0.880	107	
							College	4.224	0.932	9693	
							University	4.175	0.977	80843	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	3	3	0	Class	4.500	0.548	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.439	0.815	107	
							College	4.413	0.790	9688	
							University	4.347	0.846	80794	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.226	0.843	106	
							College	4.255	0.786	9692	
							University	4.227	0.820	80795	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.509	0.733	106	
							College	4.339	0.789	9677	
							University	4.272	0.850	80742	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.514	0.732	107	
							College	4.297	0.868	9686	
							University	4.228	0.930	80807	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.421	0.714	107	
							College	4.249	0.886	9683	
							University	4.177	0.950	80747	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.393	0.670	107	
							College	4.346	0.775	9692	
							University	4.297	0.828	80815	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.425	0.839	106	
							College	4.340	0.825	9669	
							University	4.239	0.913	80676	

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 588 Your Dept 1516 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	5	12	34	144	389	4	Class	4.541	0.769	584
	0.9	2.0	5.8	24.5	66.2	0.7	Department	4.368	0.891	1509
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	12	34	126	406	7	Class	4.583	0.740	581
	0.5	2.0	5.8	21.4	69.0	1.2	Department	4.400	0.902	1503
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	8	41	125	405	6	Class	4.582	0.728	582
	0.5	1.4	7.0	21.3	68.9	1.0	Department	4.381	0.920	1506
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	5	7	39	156	374	7	Class	4.527	0.748	581
	0.9	1.2	6.6	26.5	63.6	1.2	Department	4.378	0.853	1503
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	6	26	139	407	8	Class	4.626	0.654	580
	0.3	1.0	4.4	23.6	69.2	1.4	Department	4.424	0.844	1503
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	11	38	164	367	6	Class	4.517	0.732	582
	0.3	1.9	6.5	27.9	62.4	1.0	Department	4.361	0.833	1506
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	6	32	164	379	5	Class	4.564	0.678	583
	0.3	1.0	5.4	27.9	64.5	0.9	Department	4.402	0.814	1509
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	7	46	157	370	5	Class	4.516	0.736	583
	0.5	1.2	7.8	26.7	62.9	0.9	Department	4.354	0.863	1504
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	14	37	156	370	7	Class	4.504	0.778	581
	0.7	2.4	6.3	26.5	62.9	1.2	Department	4.332	0.901	1507
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	5	23	155	396	6	Class	4.608	0.657	582
	0.5	0.9	3.9	26.4	67.3	1.0	Department	4.442	0.796	1506
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	10	37	184	349	5	Class	4.485	0.736	583
	0.5	1.7	6.3	31.3	59.4	0.9	Department	4.363	0.791	1508
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	8	66	172	333	5	Class	4.410	0.796	583
	0.7	1.4	11.2	29.3	56.6	0.9	Department	4.341	0.838	1508
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	12	43	169	354	6	Class	4.473	0.777	582
	0.7	2.0	7.3	28.7	60.2	1.0	Department	4.306	0.911	1508
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	8	45	166	359	6	Class	4.491	0.755	582
	0.7	1.4	7.7	28.2	61.1	1.0	Department	4.322	0.912	1508
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	6	35	179	360	6	Class	4.527	0.688	582
	0.3	1.0	6.0	30.4	61.2	1.0	Department	4.426	0.778	1507
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	6	35	166	370	7	Class	4.535	0.717	581
	0.7	1.0	6.0	28.2	62.9	1.2	Department	4.409	0.814	1503
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 859 Your Dept 1516 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	21	37	79	296	423	3	Class	4.242	0.961	856
	2.4	4.3	9.2	34.5	49.2	0.3	Department	4.368	0.891	1509
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	22	39	86	252	455	5	Class	4.263	0.990	854
	2.6	4.5	10.0	29.3	53.0	0.6	Department	4.400	0.902	1503
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	25	36	103	236	455	4	Class	4.240	1.014	855
	2.9	4.2	12.0	27.5	53.0	0.5	Department	4.381	0.920	1506
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	15	29	93	290	426	6	Class	4.270	0.912	853
	1.7	3.4	10.8	33.8	49.6	0.7	Department	4.378	0.853	1503
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	18	30	82	282	442	5	Class	4.288	0.928	854
	2.1	3.5	9.5	32.8	51.5	0.6	Department	4.424	0.844	1503
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	14	29	86	330	396	4	Class	4.246	0.887	855
	1.6	3.4	10.0	38.4	46.1	0.5	Department	4.361	0.833	1506
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	14	29	77	319	418	2	Class	4.281	0.884	857
	1.6	3.4	9.0	37.1	48.7	0.2	Department	4.402	0.814	1509
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	15	37	94	297	410	6	Class	4.231	0.933	853
	1.7	4.3	10.9	34.6	47.7	0.7	Department	4.354	0.863	1504
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	20	39	90	301	407	2	Class	4.209	0.964	857
	2.3	4.5	10.5	35.0	47.4	0.2	Department	4.332	0.901	1507
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	16	22	58	321	438	4	Class	4.337	0.860	855
	1.9	2.6	6.8	37.4	51.0	0.5	Department	4.442	0.796	1506
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	8	23	87	343	395	3	Class	4.278	0.824	856
	0.9	2.7	10.1	39.9	46.0	0.3	Department	4.363	0.791	1508
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	9	22	119	283	423	3	Class	4.272	0.870	856
	1.0	2.6	13.9	32.9	49.2	0.3	Department	4.341	0.838	1508
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	19	39	108	283	408	2	Class	4.193	0.973	857
	2.2	4.5	12.6	32.9	47.5	0.2	Department	4.306	0.911	1508
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	22	40	100	283	412	2	Class	4.194	0.989	857
	2.6	4.7	11.6	32.9	48.0	0.2	Department	4.322	0.912	1508
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	11	21	67	314	443	3	Class	4.352	0.829	856
	1.3	2.4	7.8	36.6	51.6	0.3	Department	4.426	0.778	1507
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	12	31	65	316	429	6	Class	4.312	0.869	853
	1.4	3.6	7.6	36.8	49.9	0.7	Department	4.409	0.814	1503
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 69					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 1516					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	1	28	38	0	Class	4.478	0.678	69
	0.0	2.9	1.4	40.6	55.1	0.0	Department	4.368	0.891	1509
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	1	23	42	1	Class	4.544	0.679	68
	0.0	2.9	1.4	33.3	60.9	1.4	Department	4.400	0.902	1503
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	6	20	41	0	Class	4.435	0.831	69
	1.4	1.4	8.7	29.0	59.4	0.0	Department	4.381	0.920	1506
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	4	23	40	0	Class	4.464	0.739	69
	0.0	2.9	5.8	33.3	58.0	0.0	Department	4.378	0.853	1503
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	9	17	41	0	Class	4.406	0.828	69
	0.0	2.9	13.0	24.6	59.4	0.0	Department	4.424	0.844	1503
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	5	23	40	0	Class	4.478	0.699	69
	0.0	1.4	7.2	33.3	58.0	0.0	Department	4.361	0.833	1506
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	2	23	42	0	Class	4.522	0.699	69
	0.0	2.9	2.9	33.3	60.9	0.0	Department	4.402	0.814	1509
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	2	24	40	1	Class	4.500	0.702	68
	0.0	2.9	2.9	34.8	58.0	1.4	Department	4.354	0.863	1504
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	5	21	40	0	Class	4.420	0.812	69
	0.0	4.3	7.2	30.4	58.0	0.0	Department	4.332	0.901	1507
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	9	21	37	0	Class	4.348	0.819	69
	0.0	2.9	13.0	30.4	53.6	0.0	Department	4.442	0.796	1506
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	5	30	33	0	Class	4.377	0.688	69
	0.0	1.4	7.2	43.5	47.8	0.0	Department	4.363	0.791	1508
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	4	16	48	0	Class	4.609	0.669	69
	0.0	1.4	5.8	23.2	69.6	0.0	Department	4.341	0.838	1508
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	11	16	39	0	Class	4.304	0.944	69
	1.4	2.9	15.9	23.2	56.5	0.0	Department	4.306	0.911	1508
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	2	5	16	45	0	Class	4.478	0.868	69
	1.4	2.9	7.2	23.2	65.2	0.0	Department	4.322	0.912	1508
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	4	21	42	0	Class	4.493	0.740	69
	0.0	2.9	5.8	30.4	60.9	0.0	Department	4.426	0.778	1507
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	3	19	45	0	Class	4.551	0.718	69
	0.0	2.9	4.3	27.5	65.2	0.0	Department	4.409	0.814	1503
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 113					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 312					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	8	19	50	33	1	Class	3.929	0.956	112	
	1.8	7.1	16.8	44.2	29.2	0.9	Department	3.754	1.156	309	
							College	4.273	0.902	9775	
							University	4.180	0.970	81063	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	10	22	39	40	1	Class	3.955	0.999	112	
	0.9	8.8	19.5	34.5	35.4	0.9	Department	3.794	1.159	310	
							College	4.340	0.897	9762	
							University	4.246	0.969	80854	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	6	10	28	37	31	1	Class	3.688	1.131	112	
	5.3	8.8	24.8	32.7	27.4	0.9	Department	3.714	1.209	308	
							College	4.287	0.927	9764	
							University	4.204	0.988	80870	
4. the quality of this course.	2	13	21	52	24	1	Class	3.741	0.984	112	
	1.8	11.5	18.6	46.0	21.2	0.9	Department	3.709	1.075	309	
							College	4.263	0.873	9756	
							University	4.147	0.956	80741	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	3	7	53	48	1	Class	4.286	0.776	112	
	0.9	2.7	6.2	46.9	42.5	0.9	Department	4.129	0.990	310	
							College	4.410	0.832	9754	
							University	4.319	0.894	80840	
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	4	15	61	31	1	Class	4.045	0.799	112	
	0.9	3.5	13.3	54.0	27.4	0.9	Department	3.987	0.872	309	
							College	4.198	0.865	9742	
							University	4.158	0.901	80753	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	4	15	58	34	1	Class	4.071	0.813	112	
	0.9	3.5	13.3	51.3	30.1	0.9	Department	4.013	0.945	310	
							College	4.367	0.792	9691	
							University	4.265	0.881	80863	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	4	12	61	34	1	Class	4.098	0.794	112	
	0.9	3.5	10.6	54.0	30.1	0.9	Department	3.968	0.900	309	
							College	4.297	0.847	9689	
							University	4.254	0.889	80813	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	9	26	47	29	1	Class	3.839	0.935	112	
	0.9	8.0	23.0	41.6	25.7	0.9	Department	3.689	1.081	309	
							College	4.224	0.932	9693	
							University	4.175	0.977	80843	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	12	53	45	1	Class	4.259	0.720	112	
	0.0	1.8	10.6	46.9	39.8	0.9	Department	4.149	0.836	309	
							College	4.413	0.790	9688	
							University	4.347	0.846	80794	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	19	57	33	1	Class	4.071	0.756	112	
	0.0	2.7	16.8	50.4	29.2	0.9	Department	4.000	0.840	310	
							College	4.255	0.786	9692	
							University	4.227	0.820	80795	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	15	50	47	1	Class	4.286	0.690	112	
	0.0	0.0	13.3	44.2	41.6	0.9	Department	4.219	0.748	310	
							College	4.339	0.789	9677	
							University	4.272	0.850	80742	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	3	9	61	38	2	Class	4.207	0.702	111	
	0.0	2.7	8.0	54.0	33.6	1.8	Department	4.149	0.793	308	
							College	4.297	0.868	9686	
							University	4.228	0.930	80807	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	7	21	48	34	2	Class	3.964	0.914	111	
	0.9	6.2	18.6	42.5	30.1	1.8	Department	3.896	0.985	309	
							College	4.249	0.886	9683	
							University	4.177	0.950	80747	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	21	57	32	1	Class	4.063	0.739	112	
	0.0	1.8	18.6	50.4	28.3	0.9	Department	3.994	0.889	310	
							College	4.346	0.775	9692	
							University	4.297	0.828	80815	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	3	16	50	39	1	Class	4.045	0.962	112	
	3.5	2.7	14.2	44.2	34.5	0.9	Department	3.971	0.978	309	
							College	4.340	0.825	9669	
							University	4.239	0.913	80676	

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 199					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 312					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	12	29	39	52	65	2	Class	3.655	1.246	197
	6.0	14.6	19.6	26.1	32.7	1.0	Department	3.754	1.156	309
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	12	24	44	49	69	1	Class	3.702	1.233	198
	6.0	12.1	22.1	24.6	34.7	0.5	Department	3.794	1.159	310
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	11	28	37	47	73	3	Class	3.730	1.254	196
	5.5	14.1	18.6	23.6	36.7	1.5	Department	3.714	1.209	308
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	7	27	41	67	55	2	Class	3.690	1.125	197
	3.5	13.6	20.6	33.7	27.6	1.0	Department	3.709	1.075	309
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	9	8	34	62	85	1	Class	4.040	1.084	198
	4.5	4.0	17.1	31.2	42.7	0.5	Department	4.129	0.990	310
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	12	32	94	56	2	Class	3.954	0.911	197
	1.5	6.0	16.1	47.2	28.1	1.0	Department	3.987	0.872	309
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	19	28	77	71	1	Class	3.980	1.012	198
	1.5	9.5	14.1	38.7	35.7	0.5	Department	4.013	0.945	310
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	15	31	95	52	2	Class	3.893	0.950	197
	2.0	7.5	15.6	47.7	26.1	1.0	Department	3.968	0.900	309
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	11	27	37	76	46	2	Class	3.604	1.150	197
	5.5	13.6	18.6	38.2	23.1	1.0	Department	3.689	1.081	309
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	8	28	88	70	2	Class	4.086	0.891	197
	1.5	4.0	14.1	44.2	35.2	1.0	Department	4.149	0.836	309
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	9	36	95	55	1	Class	3.960	0.883	198
	1.5	4.5	18.1	47.7	27.6	0.5	Department	4.000	0.840	310
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	2	27	94	73	1	Class	4.182	0.779	198
	1.0	1.0	13.6	47.2	36.7	0.5	Department	4.219	0.748	310
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	7	26	93	69	2	Class	4.117	0.840	197
	1.0	3.5	13.1	46.7	34.7	1.0	Department	4.149	0.793	308
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	7	12	41	80	58	1	Class	3.859	1.023	198
	3.5	6.0	20.6	40.2	29.1	0.5	Department	3.896	0.985	309
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	5	11	33	88	61	1	Class	3.955	0.963	198
	2.5	5.5	16.6	44.2	30.7	0.5	Department	3.994	0.889	310
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	14	37	79	63	2	Class	3.929	0.987	197
	2.0	7.0	18.6	39.7	31.7	1.0	Department	3.971	0.978	309
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 30					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 178					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	2	2	12	13	0	Class	4.133	1.042	30
	3.3	6.7	6.7	40.0	43.3	0.0	Department	4.369	0.789	176
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	3	3	9	15	0	Class	4.200	0.997	30
	0.0	10.0	10.0	30.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.483	0.740	176
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	5	8	15	0	Class	4.167	1.053	30
	3.3	3.3	16.7	26.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.409	0.857	176
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	2	0	3	11	14	0	Class	4.167	1.085	30
	6.7	0.0	10.0	36.7	46.7	0.0	Department	4.386	0.827	176
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	13	15	0	Class	4.433	0.626	30
	0.0	0.0	6.7	43.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.509	0.668	175
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	0	16	13	0	Class	4.367	0.669	30
	0.0	3.3	0.0	53.3	43.3	0.0	Department	4.383	0.725	175
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	3	11	14	0	Class	4.233	0.898	30
	0.0	6.7	10.0	36.7	46.7	0.0	Department	4.483	0.693	176
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	12	15	0	Class	4.367	0.765	30
	0.0	3.3	6.7	40.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.472	0.717	176
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	4	9	15	0	Class	4.233	0.935	30
	0.0	6.7	13.3	30.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.420	0.791	174
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	11	16	1	Class	4.448	0.736	29
	0.0	3.3	3.3	36.7	53.3	3.3	Department	4.531	0.632	175
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	12	17	0	Class	4.533	0.571	30
	0.0	0.0	3.3	40.0	56.7	0.0	Department	4.386	0.692	176
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	13	16	0	Class	4.500	0.572	30
	0.0	0.0	3.3	43.3	53.3	0.0	Department	4.545	0.631	176
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	13	16	0	Class	4.500	0.572	30
	0.0	0.0	3.3	43.3	53.3	0.0	Department	4.511	0.693	176
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	13	15	0	Class	4.433	0.626	30
	0.0	0.0	6.7	43.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.426	0.745	176
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	11	16	0	Class	4.433	0.679	30
	0.0	0.0	10.0	36.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.489	0.650	176
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	6	11	13	0	Class	4.233	0.774	30
	0.0	0.0	20.0	36.7	43.3	0.0	Department	4.305	0.896	174
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 84					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 178					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	11	34	37	0	Class	4.262	0.778	84	
	0.0	2.4	13.1	40.5	44.0	0.0	Department	4.369	0.789	176	
							College	4.273	0.902	9775	
							University	4.180	0.970	81063	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	10	31	42	0	Class	4.357	0.739	84	
	0.0	1.2	11.9	36.9	50.0	0.0	Department	4.483	0.740	176	
							College	4.340	0.897	9762	
							University	4.246	0.969	80854	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	4	9	30	40	0	Class	4.238	0.913	84	
	1.2	4.8	10.7	35.7	47.6	0.0	Department	4.409	0.857	176	
							College	4.287	0.927	9764	
							University	4.204	0.988	80870	
4. the quality of this course.	0	4	11	29	40	0	Class	4.250	0.863	84	
	0.0	4.8	13.1	34.5	47.6	0.0	Department	4.386	0.827	176	
							College	4.263	0.873	9756	
							University	4.147	0.956	80741	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	3	6	33	42	0	Class	4.357	0.771	84	
	0.0	3.6	7.1	39.3	50.0	0.0	Department	4.509	0.668	175	
							College	4.410	0.832	9754	
							University	4.319	0.894	80840	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	12	32	38	0	Class	4.262	0.793	84	
	0.0	2.4	14.3	38.1	45.2	0.0	Department	4.383	0.725	175	
							College	4.198	0.865	9742	
							University	4.158	0.901	80753	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	10	32	42	0	Class	4.381	0.693	84	
	0.0	0.0	11.9	38.1	50.0	0.0	Department	4.483	0.693	176	
							College	4.367	0.792	9691	
							University	4.265	0.881	80863	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	11	27	44	0	Class	4.345	0.799	84	
	0.0	2.4	13.1	32.1	52.4	0.0	Department	4.472	0.717	176	
							College	4.297	0.847	9689	
							University	4.254	0.889	80813	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	10	26	43	1	Class	4.301	0.866	83	
	0.0	4.8	11.9	31.0	51.2	1.2	Department	4.420	0.791	174	
							College	4.224	0.932	9693	
							University	4.175	0.977	80843	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	6	37	41	0	Class	4.417	0.625	84	
	0.0	0.0	7.1	44.0	48.8	0.0	Department	4.531	0.632	175	
							College	4.413	0.790	9688	
							University	4.347	0.846	80794	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	13	35	35	0	Class	4.238	0.754	84	
	0.0	1.2	15.5	41.7	41.7	0.0	Department	4.386	0.692	176	
							College	4.255	0.786	9692	
							University	4.227	0.820	80795	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	12	24	48	0	Class	4.429	0.733	84	
	0.0	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	4.545	0.631	176	
							College	4.339	0.789	9677	
							University	4.272	0.850	80742	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	3	4	30	47	0	Class	4.440	0.750	84	
	0.0	3.6	4.8	35.7	56.0	0.0	Department	4.511	0.693	176	
							College	4.297	0.868	9686	
							University	4.228	0.930	80807	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	13	26	43	0	Class	4.310	0.821	84	
	0.0	2.4	15.5	31.0	51.2	0.0	Department	4.426	0.745	176	
							College	4.249	0.886	9683	
							University	4.177	0.950	80747	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	5	37	42	0	Class	4.440	0.608	84	
	0.0	0.0	6.0	44.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.489	0.650	176	
							College	4.346	0.775	9692	
							University	4.297	0.828	80815	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	3	11	29	39	0	Class	4.190	0.963	84	
	2.4	3.6	13.1	34.5	46.4	0.0	Department	4.305	0.896	174	
							College	4.340	0.825	9669	
							University	4.239	0.913	80670	

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 64					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 178					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	0	20	41	2	Class	4.629	0.579	62
	0.0	1.6	0.0	31.3	64.1	3.1	Department	4.369	0.789	176
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	11	50	2	Class	4.790	0.449	62
	0.0	0.0	1.6	17.2	78.1	3.1	Department	4.483	0.740	176
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	11	49	2	Class	4.758	0.502	62
	0.0	0.0	3.1	17.2	76.6	3.1	Department	4.409	0.857	176
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	18	43	2	Class	4.677	0.505	62
	0.0	0.0	1.6	28.1	67.2	3.1	Department	4.386	0.827	176
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	15	46	3	Class	4.754	0.434	61
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.4	71.9	4.7	Department	4.509	0.668	175
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	4	19	38	3	Class	4.557	0.620	61
	0.0	0.0	6.3	29.7	59.4	4.7	Department	4.383	0.725	175
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	14	47	2	Class	4.742	0.477	62
	0.0	0.0	1.6	21.9	73.4	3.1	Department	4.483	0.693	176
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	17	44	2	Class	4.694	0.499	62
	0.0	0.0	1.6	26.6	68.8	3.1	Department	4.472	0.717	176
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	18	42	3	Class	4.672	0.507	61
	0.0	0.0	1.6	28.1	65.6	4.7	Department	4.420	0.791	174
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	0	14	47	2	Class	4.726	0.548	62
	0.0	1.6	0.0	21.9	73.4	3.1	Department	4.531	0.632	175
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	22	36	2	Class	4.516	0.620	62
	0.0	0.0	6.3	34.4	56.3	3.1	Department	4.386	0.692	176
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	17	45	2	Class	4.726	0.450	62
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.6	70.3	3.1	Department	4.545	0.631	176
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	3	15	43	2	Class	4.613	0.662	62
	0.0	1.6	4.7	23.4	67.2	3.1	Department	4.511	0.693	176
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	6	14	42	2	Class	4.581	0.666	62
	0.0	0.0	9.4	21.9	65.6	3.1	Department	4.426	0.745	176
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	7	12	43	2	Class	4.581	0.691	62
	0.0	0.0	10.9	18.8	67.2	3.1	Department	4.489	0.650	176
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	4	13	40	4	Class	4.500	0.834	60
	0.0	4.7	6.3	20.3	62.5	6.3	Department	4.305	0.896	174
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: ECONOMICS		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1323 Your Dept 1633 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	19	82	175	553	489	5	Class	4.071	0.937	1318
	1.4	6.2	13.2	41.8	37.0	0.4	Department	4.027	1.003	1627
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	18	81	184	469	564	7	Class	4.125	0.961	1316
	1.4	6.1	13.9	35.4	42.6	0.5	Department	4.088	1.025	1626
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	21	87	225	461	523	6	Class	4.046	0.987	1317
	1.6	6.6	17.0	34.8	39.5	0.5	Department	4.014	1.039	1627
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	7	68	191	625	423	9	Class	4.057	0.848	1314
	0.5	5.1	14.4	47.2	32.0	0.7	Department	4.014	0.930	1624
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	10	28	134	483	663	5	Class	4.336	0.803	1318
	0.8	2.1	10.1	36.5	50.1	0.4	Department	4.323	0.852	1628
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	23	73	252	603	364	8	Class	3.922	0.918	1315
	1.7	5.5	19.0	45.6	27.5	0.6	Department	3.875	0.974	1623
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	11	59	147	566	534	6	Class	4.179	0.860	1317
	0.8	4.5	11.1	42.8	40.4	0.5	Department	4.154	0.904	1627
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	12	56	165	622	463	5	Class	4.114	0.846	1318
	0.9	4.2	12.5	47.0	35.0	0.4	Department	4.096	0.906	1628
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	22	68	219	554	455	5	Class	4.026	0.932	1318
	1.7	5.1	16.6	41.9	34.4	0.4	Department	3.985	0.992	1628
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	15	33	82	561	627	5	Class	4.329	0.795	1318
	1.1	2.5	6.2	42.4	47.4	0.4	Department	4.287	0.874	1628
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	5	41	205	678	390	4	Class	4.067	0.778	1319
	0.4	3.1	15.5	51.2	29.5	0.3	Department	4.048	0.816	1629
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	15	31	254	582	431	10	Class	4.053	0.847	1313
	1.1	2.3	19.2	44.0	32.6	0.8	Department	4.094	0.854	1623
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	32	85	223	577	399	7	Class	3.932	0.973	1316
	2.4	6.4	16.9	43.6	30.2	0.5	Department	3.954	0.990	1626
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	19	83	278	549	389	5	Class	3.915	0.939	1318
	1.4	6.3	21.0	41.5	29.4	0.4	Department	3.912	0.985	1628
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	11	43	188	619	458	4	Class	4.114	0.826	1319
	0.8	3.3	14.2	46.8	34.6	0.3	Department	4.120	0.852	1629
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	21	57	176	566	496	7	Class	4.109	0.904	1316
	1.6	4.3	13.3	42.8	37.5	0.5	Department	4.105	0.930	1626
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: ECONOMICS		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 285 Your Dept 1633 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	23	26	45	88	102	1	Class	3.775	1.252	284
	8.1	9.1	15.8	30.9	35.8	0.4	Department	4.027	1.003	1627
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	22	31	28	86	118	0	Class	3.867	1.277	285
	7.7	10.9	9.8	30.2	41.4	0.0	Department	4.088	1.025	1626
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	21	29	41	85	109	0	Class	3.814	1.252	285
	7.4	10.2	14.4	29.8	38.2	0.0	Department	4.014	1.039	1627
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	22	22	54	87	100	0	Class	3.775	1.224	285
	7.7	7.7	18.9	30.5	35.1	0.0	Department	4.014	0.930	1624
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	11	13	25	80	156	0	Class	4.253	1.051	285
	3.9	4.6	8.8	28.1	54.7	0.0	Department	4.323	0.852	1628
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	20	32	54	103	74	2	Class	3.633	1.188	283
	7.0	11.2	18.9	36.1	26.0	0.7	Department	3.875	0.974	1623
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	10	27	27	113	108	0	Class	3.989	1.083	285
	3.5	9.5	9.5	39.6	37.9	0.0	Department	4.154	0.904	1627
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	16	18	39	95	117	0	Class	3.979	1.144	285
	5.6	6.3	13.7	33.3	41.1	0.0	Department	4.096	0.906	1628
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	18	33	51	85	98	0	Class	3.744	1.222	285
	6.3	11.6	17.9	29.8	34.4	0.0	Department	3.985	0.992	1628
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	17	18	26	92	132	0	Class	4.067	1.159	285
	6.0	6.3	9.1	32.3	46.3	0.0	Department	4.287	0.874	1628
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	9	11	58	116	91	0	Class	3.944	0.981	285
	3.2	3.9	20.4	40.7	31.9	0.0	Department	4.048	0.816	1629
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	5	4	42	98	136	0	Class	4.249	0.883	285
	1.8	1.4	14.7	34.4	47.7	0.0	Department	4.094	0.854	1623
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	13	11	49	97	115	0	Class	4.018	1.070	285
	4.6	3.9	17.2	34.0	40.4	0.0	Department	3.954	0.990	1626
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	19	21	46	95	104	0	Class	3.856	1.185	285
	6.7	7.4	16.1	33.3	36.5	0.0	Department	3.912	0.985	1628
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	8	10	43	106	118	0	Class	4.109	0.974	285
	2.8	3.5	15.1	37.2	41.4	0.0	Department	4.120	0.852	1629
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	15	10	31	120	109	0	Class	4.046	1.052	285
	5.3	3.5	10.9	42.1	38.2	0.0	Department	4.105	0.930	1626
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses Dept: ECONOMICS		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 25 Your Dept 1633 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	10	15	0	Class	4.600	0.500	25
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.027	1.003	1627
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	8	17	0	Class	4.680	0.476	25
	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.0	68.0	0.0	Department	4.088	1.025	1626
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	8	16	0	Class	4.600	0.577	25
	0.0	0.0	4.0	32.0	64.0	0.0	Department	4.014	1.039	1627
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	11	13	0	Class	4.480	0.586	25
	0.0	0.0	4.0	44.0	52.0	0.0	Department	4.014	0.930	1624
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	1	9	14	0	Class	4.440	0.768	25
	0.0	4.0	4.0	36.0	56.0	0.0	Department	4.323	0.852	1628
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	5	11	9	0	Class	4.160	0.746	25
	0.0	0.0	20.0	44.0	36.0	0.0	Department	3.875	0.974	1623
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	8	17	0	Class	4.680	0.476	25
	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.0	68.0	0.0	Department	4.154	0.904	1627
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	3	7	15	0	Class	4.480	0.714	25
	0.0	0.0	12.0	28.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.096	0.906	1628
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	10	15	0	Class	4.600	0.500	25
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	3.985	0.992	1628
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	8	16	0	Class	4.600	0.577	25
	0.0	0.0	4.0	32.0	64.0	0.0	Department	4.287	0.874	1628
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	15	8	0	Class	4.240	0.597	25
	0.0	0.0	8.0	60.0	32.0	0.0	Department	4.048	0.816	1629
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	12	12	0	Class	4.440	0.583	25
	0.0	0.0	4.0	48.0	48.0	0.0	Department	4.094	0.854	1623
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	2	8	14	0	Class	4.400	0.816	25
	0.0	4.0	8.0	32.0	56.0	0.0	Department	3.954	0.990	1626
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	3	9	13	0	Class	4.400	0.707	25
	0.0	0.0	12.0	36.0	52.0	0.0	Department	3.912	0.985	1628
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	10	14	0	Class	4.520	0.586	25
	0.0	0.0	4.0	40.0	56.0	0.0	Department	4.120	0.852	1629
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	6	17	0	Class	4.600	0.645	25
	0.0	0.0	8.0	24.0	68.0	0.0	Department	4.105	0.930	1626
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 15					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 96					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.365	0.796	96	
							College	4.273	0.902	9775	
							University	4.180	0.970	81063	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.448	0.780	96	
							College	4.340	0.897	9762	
							University	4.246	0.969	80854	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	3	12	0	Class	4.800	0.414	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.427	0.692	96	
							College	4.287	0.927	9764	
							University	4.204	0.988	80870	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.104	0.957	96	
							College	4.263	0.873	9756	
							University	4.147	0.956	80741	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.479	0.740	96	
							College	4.410	0.832	9754	
							University	4.319	0.894	80840	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	7	7	0	Class	4.400	0.632	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	46.7	46.7	0.0	Department	4.177	0.808	96	
							College	4.198	0.865	9742	
							University	4.158	0.901	80753	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.406	0.689	96	
							College	4.367	0.792	9691	
							University	4.265	0.881	80863	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	5	9	0	Class	4.533	0.640	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	33.3	60.0	0.0	Department	4.229	0.801	96	
							College	4.297	0.847	9689	
							University	4.254	0.889	80813	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.156	0.898	96	
							College	4.224	0.932	9693	
							University	4.175	0.977	80843	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	5	10	0	Class	4.667	0.488	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.281	0.891	96	
							College	4.413	0.790	9688	
							University	4.347	0.846	80794	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	6	8	0	Class	4.467	0.640	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.271	0.688	96	
							College	4.255	0.786	9692	
							University	4.227	0.820	80795	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	5	8	0	Class	4.400	0.737	15	
	0.0	0.0	13.3	33.3	53.3	0.0	Department	4.411	0.737	95	
							College	4.339	0.789	9677	
							University	4.272	0.850	80742	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	3.874	1.231	95	
							College	4.297	0.868	9686	
							University	4.228	0.930	80807	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	5	10	0	Class	4.667	0.488	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.211	0.862	95	
							College	4.249	0.886	9683	
							University	4.177	0.950	80747	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	6	8	0	Class	4.467	0.640	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.232	0.736	95	
							College	4.346	0.775	9692	
							University	4.297	0.828	80815	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.389	0.704	95	
							College	4.340	0.825	9669	
							University	4.239	0.913	80670	

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 55					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 96					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	2	7	28	17	0	Class	4.055	0.870	55	
	1.8	3.6	12.7	50.9	30.9	0.0	Department	4.365	0.796	96	
							College	4.273	0.902	9775	
							University	4.180	0.970	81063	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	3	2	26	23	0	Class	4.218	0.896	55	
	1.8	5.5	3.6	47.3	41.8	0.0	Department	4.448	0.780	96	
							College	4.340	0.897	9762	
							University	4.246	0.969	80854	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	2	34	17	0	Class	4.182	0.748	55	
	1.8	1.8	3.6	61.8	30.9	0.0	Department	4.427	0.692	96	
							College	4.287	0.927	9764	
							University	4.204	0.988	80870	
4. the quality of this course.	1	5	17	23	9	0	Class	3.618	0.933	55	
	1.8	9.1	30.9	41.8	16.4	0.0	Department	4.104	0.957	96	
							College	4.263	0.873	9756	
							University	4.147	0.956	80741	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	5	28	20	0	Class	4.182	0.819	55	
	1.8	1.8	9.1	50.9	36.4	0.0	Department	4.479	0.740	96	
							College	4.410	0.832	9754	
							University	4.319	0.894	80840	
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	2	11	30	11	0	Class	3.873	0.840	55	
	1.8	3.6	20.0	54.5	20.0	0.0	Department	4.177	0.808	96	
							College	4.198	0.865	9742	
							University	4.158	0.901	80753	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	5	33	16	0	Class	4.145	0.731	55	
	1.8	0.0	9.1	60.0	29.1	0.0	Department	4.406	0.689	96	
							College	4.367	0.792	9691	
							University	4.265	0.881	80863	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	1	12	30	11	0	Class	3.891	0.809	55	
	1.8	1.8	21.8	54.5	20.0	0.0	Department	4.229	0.801	96	
							College	4.297	0.847	9689	
							University	4.254	0.889	80813	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	4	14	26	10	0	Class	3.727	0.912	55	
	1.8	7.3	25.5	47.3	18.2	0.0	Department	4.156	0.898	96	
							College	4.224	0.932	9693	
							University	4.175	0.977	80843	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	3	6	29	15	0	Class	3.945	0.970	55	
	3.6	5.5	10.9	52.7	27.3	0.0	Department	4.281	0.891	96	
							College	4.413	0.790	9688	
							University	4.347	0.846	80794	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	5	37	12	0	Class	4.073	0.690	55	
	1.8	0.0	9.1	67.3	21.8	0.0	Department	4.271	0.688	96	
							College	4.255	0.786	9692	
							University	4.227	0.820	80795	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	5	29	19	1	Class	4.204	0.762	54	
	1.8	0.0	9.1	52.7	34.5	1.8	Department	4.411	0.737	95	
							College	4.339	0.789	9677	
							University	4.272	0.850	80742	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	5	13	9	18	9	1	Class	3.241	1.258	54	
	9.1	23.6	16.4	32.7	16.4	1.8	Department	3.874	1.231	95	
							College	4.297	0.868	9686	
							University	4.228	0.930	80807	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	2	9	32	9	1	Class	3.815	0.892	54	
	3.6	3.6	16.4	58.2	16.4	1.8	Department	4.211	0.862	95	
							College	4.249	0.886	9683	
							University	4.177	0.950	80747	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	9	32	12	1	Class	4.000	0.752	54	
	1.8	0.0	16.4	58.2	21.8	1.8	Department	4.232	0.736	95	
							College	4.346	0.775	9692	
							University	4.297	0.828	80815	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	3	35	14	1	Class	4.111	0.744	54	
	1.8	1.8	5.5	63.6	25.5	1.8	Department	4.389	0.704	95	
							College	4.340	0.825	9699	
							University	4.239	0.913	80670	

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 26					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 96					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	5	21	0	Class	4.808	0.402	26
	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.2	80.8	0.0	Department	4.365	0.796	96
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	6	20	0	Class	4.769	0.430	26
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	Department	4.448	0.780	96
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	7	19	0	Class	4.731	0.452	26
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.9	73.1	0.0	Department	4.427	0.692	96
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	4	21	0	Class	4.769	0.514	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	15.4	80.8	0.0	Department	4.104	0.957	96
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	25	0	Class	4.962	0.196	26
	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	96.2	0.0	Department	4.479	0.740	96
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	8	18	0	Class	4.692	0.471	26
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.8	69.2	0.0	Department	4.177	0.808	96
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	4	22	0	Class	4.846	0.368	26
	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.0	Department	4.406	0.689	96
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	6	20	0	Class	4.769	0.430	26
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	Department	4.229	0.801	96
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	7	19	0	Class	4.731	0.452	26
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.9	73.1	0.0	Department	4.156	0.898	96
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	4	21	0	Class	4.769	0.514	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	15.4	80.8	0.0	Department	4.281	0.891	96
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	9	16	0	Class	4.577	0.578	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	34.6	61.5	0.0	Department	4.271	0.688	96
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	2	23	0	Class	4.846	0.464	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	7.7	88.5	0.0	Department	4.411	0.737	95
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	6	20	0	Class	4.769	0.430	26
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	Department	3.874	1.231	95
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	6	20	0	Class	4.769	0.430	26
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	Department	4.211	0.862	95
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	9	16	0	Class	4.577	0.578	26
	0.0	0.0	3.8	34.6	61.5	0.0	Department	4.232	0.736	95
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	4	22	0	Class	4.846	0.368	26
	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.0	Department	4.389	0.704	95
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: FOOD SAFETY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 104 Your Dept 107 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	8	11	29	54	0	Class	4.202	1.037	104
	1.9	7.7	10.6	27.9	51.9	0.0	Department	4.224	1.031	107
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	5	10	27	57	1	Class	4.243	1.071	103
	3.8	4.8	9.6	26.0	54.8	1.0	Department	4.264	1.063	106
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	4	8	7	26	59	0	Class	4.231	1.117	104
	3.8	7.7	6.7	25.0	56.7	0.0	Department	4.252	1.108	107
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	1	6	12	35	50	0	Class	4.221	0.934	104
	1.0	5.8	11.5	33.7	48.1	0.0	Department	4.243	0.930	107
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	3	10	36	54	1	Class	4.369	0.780	103
	0.0	2.9	9.6	34.6	51.9	1.0	Department	4.387	0.775	106
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	5	9	40	50	0	Class	4.298	0.823	104
	0.0	4.8	8.7	38.5	48.1	0.0	Department	4.318	0.820	107
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	4	17	29	51	0	Class	4.163	1.025	104
	2.9	3.8	16.3	27.9	49.0	0.0	Department	4.187	1.020	107
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	6	5	36	55	0	Class	4.308	0.946	104
	1.9	5.8	4.8	34.6	52.9	0.0	Department	4.327	0.939	107
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	10	9	29	53	0	Class	4.144	1.110	104
	2.9	9.6	8.7	27.9	51.0	0.0	Department	4.168	1.103	107
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	8	38	57	0	Class	4.452	0.681	104
	0.0	1.0	7.7	36.5	54.8	0.0	Department	4.467	0.677	107
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	11	40	51	0	Class	4.346	0.747	104
	0.0	1.9	10.6	38.5	49.0	0.0	Department	4.364	0.745	107
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	4	11	27	57	2	Class	4.284	1.009	102
	2.9	3.8	10.6	26.0	54.8	1.9	Department	4.305	1.001	105
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	2	3	31	63	1	Class	4.427	0.946	103
	3.8	1.9	2.9	29.8	60.6	1.0	Department	4.438	0.940	105
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	6	8	24	59	1	Class	4.204	1.175	103
	5.8	5.8	7.7	23.1	56.7	1.0	Department	4.226	1.165	106
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	3	9	30	57	1	Class	4.291	1.016	103
	3.8	2.9	8.7	28.8	54.8	1.0	Department	4.311	1.008	106
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	0	25	24	51	1	Class	4.165	0.991	103
	2.9	0.0	24.0	23.1	49.0	1.0	Department	4.189	0.987	106
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: FOOD SAFETY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 3					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 107					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.224	1.031	107
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.264	1.063	106
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.252	1.108	107
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.243	0.930	107
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.387	0.775	106
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.318	0.820	107
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.187	1.020	107
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.327	0.939	107
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.168	1.103	107
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.467	0.677	107
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.364	0.745	107
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.305	1.001	105
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	0	2	1	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	33.3	Department	4.438	0.940	105
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.226	1.165	106
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.311	1.008	106
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	3	0	Class	5.000	0.000	3
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.189	0.987	106
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: MICROBIOLOGY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 594 Your Dept 1250 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	9	22	55	204	298	6	Class	4.293	0.896	588
	1.5	3.7	9.3	34.3	50.2	1.0	Department	4.264	0.885	1242
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	11	16	52	178	331	6	Class	4.364	0.893	588
	1.9	2.7	8.8	30.0	55.7	1.0	Department	4.376	0.847	1240
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	10	23	55	177	319	10	Class	4.322	0.922	584
	1.7	3.9	9.3	29.8	53.7	1.7	Department	4.295	0.905	1237
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	3	20	59	212	292	8	Class	4.314	0.827	586
	0.5	3.4	9.9	35.7	49.2	1.3	Department	4.296	0.825	1238
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	18	30	52	189	296	9	Class	4.222	1.015	585
	3.0	5.1	8.8	31.8	49.8	1.5	Department	4.286	0.938	1237
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	15	85	233	248	9	Class	4.207	0.832	585
	0.7	2.5	14.3	39.2	41.8	1.5	Department	4.245	0.808	1239
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	7	9	42	181	271	5	Class	4.373	0.819	510
	1.4	1.7	8.2	35.1	52.6	1.0	Department	4.413	0.772	1161
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	10	9	51	185	256	4	Class	4.307	0.869	511
	1.9	1.7	9.9	35.9	49.7	0.8	Department	4.265	0.893	1164
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	9	11	58	184	249	4	Class	4.278	0.877	511
	1.7	2.1	11.3	35.7	48.3	0.8	Department	4.139	1.014	1164
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	8	17	44	179	262	5	Class	4.314	0.882	510
	1.6	3.3	8.5	34.8	50.9	1.0	Department	4.349	0.845	1162
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	20	64	213	208	6	Class	4.181	0.855	509
	0.8	3.9	12.4	41.4	40.4	1.2	Department	4.262	0.793	1162
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	10	7	64	193	235	6	Class	4.250	0.871	509
	1.9	1.4	12.4	37.5	45.6	1.2	Department	4.329	0.803	1160
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	10	16	36	191	256	6	Class	4.310	0.884	509
	1.9	3.1	7.0	37.1	49.7	1.2	Department	4.339	0.823	1161
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	11	12	56	184	245	7	Class	4.260	0.903	508
	2.1	2.3	10.9	35.7	47.6	1.4	Department	4.298	0.839	1159
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	5	10	43	205	246	6	Class	4.330	0.792	509
	1.0	1.9	8.3	39.8	47.8	1.2	Department	4.367	0.759	1162
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	7	7	44	202	248	7	Class	4.333	0.803	508
	1.4	1.4	8.5	39.2	48.2	1.4	Department	4.366	0.770	1159
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: MICROBIOLOGY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 598 Your Dept 1250 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	7	29	58	238	266	0	Class	4.216	0.892	598
	1.2	4.8	9.7	39.8	44.5	0.0	Department	4.264	0.885	1242
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	7	16	43	219	312	1	Class	4.362	0.823	597
	1.2	2.7	7.2	36.6	52.2	0.2	Department	4.376	0.847	1240
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	8	26	63	214	286	1	Class	4.246	0.906	597
	1.3	4.3	10.5	35.8	47.8	0.2	Department	4.295	0.905	1237
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	6	15	70	232	274	1	Class	4.261	0.837	597
	1.0	2.5	11.7	38.8	45.8	0.2	Department	4.296	0.825	1238
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	7	24	50	203	313	1	Class	4.325	0.878	597
	1.2	4.0	8.4	33.9	52.3	0.2	Department	4.286	0.938	1237
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	7	9	65	258	259	0	Class	4.259	0.801	598
	1.2	1.5	10.9	43.1	43.3	0.0	Department	4.245	0.808	1239
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	10	38	221	323	2	Class	4.424	0.744	596
	0.7	1.7	6.4	37.0	54.0	0.3	Department	4.413	0.772	1161
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	8	32	62	221	275	0	Class	4.209	0.925	598
	1.3	5.4	10.4	37.0	46.0	0.0	Department	4.265	0.893	1164
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	22	57	74	199	246	0	Class	3.987	1.118	598
	3.7	9.5	12.4	33.3	41.1	0.0	Department	4.139	1.014	1164
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	7	19	36	224	311	1	Class	4.362	0.827	597
	1.2	3.2	6.0	37.5	52.0	0.2	Department	4.349	0.845	1162
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	5	6	52	271	264	0	Class	4.309	0.741	598
	0.8	1.0	8.7	45.3	44.1	0.0	Department	4.262	0.793	1162
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	4	70	215	304	2	Class	4.364	0.754	596
	0.5	0.7	11.7	36.0	50.8	0.3	Department	4.329	0.803	1160
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	13	52	235	293	1	Class	4.340	0.781	597
	0.7	2.2	8.7	39.3	49.0	0.2	Department	4.339	0.823	1161
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	17	57	240	279	2	Class	4.300	0.796	596
	0.5	2.8	9.5	40.1	46.7	0.3	Department	4.298	0.839	1159
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	11	43	240	301	0	Class	4.380	0.742	598
	0.5	1.8	7.2	40.1	50.3	0.0	Department	4.367	0.759	1162
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	12	38	243	299	2	Class	4.378	0.752	596
	0.7	2.0	6.4	40.6	50.0	0.3	Department	4.366	0.770	1159
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: MICROBIOLOGY							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 58					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 1250					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	3	23	30	2	Class	4.482	0.603	56	
	0.0	0.0	5.2	39.7	51.7	3.4	Department	4.264	0.885	1242	
							College	4.273	0.902	9775	
							University	4.180	0.970	81063	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	19	36	3	Class	4.655	0.480	55	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.8	62.1	5.2	Department	4.376	0.847	1240	
							College	4.340	0.897	9762	
							University	4.246	0.969	80854	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	5	16	35	2	Class	4.536	0.660	56	
	0.0	0.0	8.6	27.6	60.3	3.4	Department	4.295	0.905	1237	
							College	4.287	0.927	9764	
							University	4.204	0.988	80870	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	4	20	31	3	Class	4.491	0.635	55	
	0.0	0.0	6.9	34.5	53.4	5.2	Department	4.296	0.825	1238	
							College	4.263	0.873	9756	
							University	4.147	0.956	80741	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	19	33	3	Class	4.545	0.603	55	
	0.0	0.0	5.2	32.8	56.9	5.2	Department	4.286	0.938	1237	
							College	4.410	0.832	9754	
							University	4.319	0.894	80840	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	26	29	2	Class	4.500	0.539	56	
	0.0	0.0	1.7	44.8	50.0	3.4	Department	4.245	0.808	1239	
							College	4.198	0.865	9742	
							University	4.158	0.901	80753	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	14	39	3	Class	4.673	0.546	55	
	0.0	0.0	3.4	24.1	67.2	5.2	Department	4.413	0.772	1161	
							College	4.367	0.792	9691	
							University	4.265	0.881	80863	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	3	19	32	3	Class	4.491	0.690	55	
	0.0	1.7	5.2	32.8	55.2	5.2	Department	4.265	0.893	1164	
							College	4.297	0.847	9689	
							University	4.254	0.889	80813	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	6	15	34	3	Class	4.509	0.690	55	
	0.0	0.0	10.3	25.9	58.6	5.2	Department	4.139	1.014	1164	
							College	4.224	0.932	9693	
							University	4.175	0.977	80843	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	4	17	34	3	Class	4.545	0.633	55	
	0.0	0.0	6.9	29.3	58.6	5.2	Department	4.349	0.845	1162	
							College	4.413	0.790	9688	
							University	4.347	0.846	80794	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	2	20	32	3	Class	4.509	0.663	55	
	0.0	1.7	3.4	34.5	55.2	5.2	Department	4.262	0.793	1162	
							College	4.255	0.786	9692	
							University	4.227	0.820	80795	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	15	39	3	Class	4.691	0.505	55	
	0.0	0.0	1.7	25.9	67.2	5.2	Department	4.329	0.803	1160	
							College	4.339	0.789	9677	
							University	4.272	0.850	80742	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	4	14	37	3	Class	4.600	0.627	55	
	0.0	0.0	6.9	24.1	63.8	5.2	Department	4.339	0.823	1161	
							College	4.297	0.868	9686	
							University	4.228	0.930	80807	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	17	36	3	Class	4.618	0.561	55	
	0.0	0.0	3.4	29.3	62.1	5.2	Department	4.298	0.839	1159	
							College	4.249	0.886	9683	
							University	4.177	0.950	80747	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	19	34	3	Class	4.582	0.567	55	
	0.0	0.0	3.4	32.8	58.6	5.2	Department	4.367	0.759	1162	
							College	4.346	0.775	9692	
							University	4.297	0.828	80815	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	1	20	33	3	Class	4.545	0.633	55	
	0.0	1.7	1.7	34.5	56.9	5.2	Department	4.366	0.770	1159	
							College	4.340	0.825	9669	
							University	4.239	0.913	80676	

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 87						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 226						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9817						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	30	54	1	Class	4.605	0.538	86	
	0.0	0.0	2.3	34.5	62.1	1.1	Department	4.551	0.693	225	
							College	4.273	0.902	9775	
							University	4.180	0.970	81063	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	3	26	57	1	Class	4.628	0.554	86	
	0.0	0.0	3.4	29.9	65.5	1.1	Department	4.640	0.668	225	
							College	4.340	0.897	9762	
							University	4.246	0.969	80854	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	24	60	1	Class	4.674	0.519	86	
	0.0	0.0	2.3	27.6	69.0	1.1	Department	4.653	0.630	225	
							College	4.287	0.927	9764	
							University	4.204	0.988	80870	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	31	53	1	Class	4.593	0.540	86	
	0.0	0.0	2.3	35.6	60.9	1.1	Department	4.538	0.694	225	
							College	4.263	0.873	9756	
							University	4.147	0.956	80741	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	2	16	66	1	Class	4.686	0.690	86	
	1.1	1.1	2.3	18.4	75.9	1.1	Department	4.644	0.724	225	
							College	4.410	0.832	9754	
							University	4.319	0.894	80840	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	24	60	2	Class	4.694	0.489	85	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	27.6	69.0	2.3	Department	4.580	0.651	224	
							College	4.198	0.865	9742	
							University	4.158	0.901	80753	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	35	50	1	Class	4.570	0.521	86	
	0.0	0.0	1.1	40.2	57.5	1.1	Department	4.600	0.575	225	
							College	4.367	0.792	9691	
							University	4.265	0.881	80863	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	3	29	54	1	Class	4.593	0.561	86	
	0.0	0.0	3.4	33.3	62.1	1.1	Department	4.560	0.610	225	
							College	4.297	0.847	9689	
							University	4.254	0.889	80813	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	29	54	1	Class	4.593	0.561	86	
	0.0	0.0	3.4	33.3	62.1	1.1	Department	4.524	0.707	225	
							College	4.224	0.932	9693	
							University	4.175	0.977	80843	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	3	23	58	1	Class	4.593	0.675	86	
	0.0	2.3	3.4	26.4	66.7	1.1	Department	4.556	0.724	225	
							College	4.413	0.790	9688	
							University	4.347	0.846	80794	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	35	48	1	Class	4.523	0.568	86	
	0.0	0.0	3.4	40.2	55.2	1.1	Department	4.507	0.620	225	
							College	4.255	0.786	9692	
							University	4.227	0.820	80795	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	8	34	44	1	Class	4.419	0.659	86	
	0.0	0.0	9.2	39.1	50.6	1.1	Department	4.582	0.608	225	
							College	4.339	0.789	9677	
							University	4.272	0.850	80742	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	5	33	46	1	Class	4.430	0.712	86	
	0.0	2.3	5.7	37.9	52.9	1.1	Department	4.560	0.730	225	
							College	4.297	0.868	9686	
							University	4.228	0.930	80807	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	9	29	47	1	Class	4.419	0.727	86	
	0.0	1.1	10.3	33.3	54.0	1.1	Department	4.516	0.682	225	
							College	4.249	0.886	9683	
							University	4.177	0.950	80747	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	10	31	44	1	Class	4.372	0.736	86	
	0.0	1.1	11.5	35.6	50.6	1.1	Department	4.520	0.675	225	
							College	4.346	0.775	9692	
							University	4.297	0.828	80815	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	4	31	49	1	Class	4.477	0.698	86	
	0.0	2.3	4.6	35.6	56.3	1.1	Department	4.480	0.750	225	
							College	4.340	0.825	9669	
							University	4.239	0.913	80676	

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good...(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT							G= Good...(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 88					IB=In Between...(3)			
		Your Dept 226					P= Poor...(2)			
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor...(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	9	19	59	0	Class	4.545	0.726	88
	0.0	1.1	10.2	21.6	67.0	0.0	Department	4.551	0.693	225
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	6	11	70	0	Class	4.705	0.646	88
	0.0	1.1	6.8	12.5	79.5	0.0	Department	4.640	0.668	225
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	7	12	69	0	Class	4.705	0.609	88
	0.0	0.0	8.0	13.6	78.4	0.0	Department	4.653	0.630	225
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	0	3	4	21	60	0	Class	4.568	0.740	88
	0.0	3.4	4.5	23.9	68.2	0.0	Department	4.538	0.694	225
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	4	18	65	0	Class	4.670	0.620	88
	0.0	1.1	4.5	20.5	73.9	0.0	Department	4.644	0.724	225
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	3	31	53	0	Class	4.545	0.623	88
	0.0	1.1	3.4	35.2	60.2	0.0	Department	4.580	0.651	224
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	3	20	64	0	Class	4.670	0.601	88
	0.0	1.1	3.4	22.7	72.7	0.0	Department	4.600	0.575	225
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	7	24	57	0	Class	4.568	0.640	88
	0.0	0.0	8.0	27.3	64.8	0.0	Department	4.560	0.610	225
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	3	6	23	55	0	Class	4.455	0.856	88
	1.1	3.4	6.8	26.1	62.5	0.0	Department	4.524	0.707	225
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	6	24	56	0	Class	4.523	0.727	88
	0.0	2.3	6.8	27.3	63.6	0.0	Department	4.556	0.724	225
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	6	31	51	0	Class	4.511	0.625	88
	0.0	0.0	6.8	35.2	58.0	0.0	Department	4.507	0.620	225
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	20	65	0	Class	4.705	0.529	88
	0.0	0.0	3.4	22.7	73.9	0.0	Department	4.582	0.608	225
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	3	15	67	0	Class	4.648	0.759	88
	1.1	2.3	3.4	17.0	76.1	0.0	Department	4.560	0.730	225
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	3	24	59	0	Class	4.591	0.672	88
	0.0	2.3	3.4	27.3	67.0	0.0	Department	4.516	0.682	225
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	3	22	62	0	Class	4.648	0.607	88
	0.0	1.1	3.4	25.0	70.5	0.0	Department	4.520	0.675	225
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	5	21	60	0	Class	4.568	0.755	88
	1.1	1.1	5.7	23.9	68.2	0.0	Department	4.480	0.750	225
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction
College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)				
# Sheets Scanned: This Level		51					IB=In Between....(3)				
Your Dept		226					P= Poor....(2)				
Your College ..		9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	2	0	17	31	0	Class	4.471	0.857	51	
							Department	4.551	0.693	225	
	2.0	3.9	0.0	33.3	60.8	0.0	College	4.273	0.902	9775	
							University	4.180	0.970	81063	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	0	0	15	34	0	Class	4.549	0.856	51	
							Department	4.640	0.668	225	
	3.9	0.0	0.0	29.4	66.7	0.0	College	4.340	0.897	9762	
							University	4.246	0.969	80854	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	1	15	33	0	Class	4.529	0.809	51	
							Department	4.653	0.630	225	
	2.0	2.0	2.0	29.4	64.7	0.0	College	4.287	0.927	9764	
							University	4.204	0.988	80870	
4. the quality of this course.	0	3	2	18	28	0	Class	4.392	0.827	51	
							Department	4.538	0.694	225	
	0.0	5.9	3.9	35.3	54.9	0.0	College	4.263	0.873	9756	
							University	4.147	0.956	80741	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	1	0	13	35	0	Class	4.529	0.924	51	
							Department	4.644	0.724	225	
	3.9	2.0	0.0	25.5	68.6	0.0	College	4.410	0.832	9754	
							University	4.319	0.894	80840	
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	1	4	13	32	0	Class	4.451	0.879	51	
							Department	4.580	0.651	224	
	2.0	2.0	7.8	25.5	62.7	0.0	College	4.198	0.865	9742	
							University	4.158	0.901	80753	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	18	30	0	Class	4.529	0.612	51	
							Department	4.600	0.575	225	
	0.0	0.0	5.9	35.3	58.8	0.0	College	4.367	0.792	9691	
							University	4.265	0.881	80863	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	18	29	0	Class	4.490	0.644	51	
							Department	4.560	0.610	225	
	0.0	0.0	7.8	35.3	56.9	0.0	College	4.297	0.847	9689	
							University	4.254	0.889	80813	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	1	19	30	0	Class	4.529	0.644	51	
							Department	4.524	0.707	225	
	0.0	2.0	2.0	37.3	58.8	0.0	College	4.224	0.932	9693	
							University	4.175	0.977	80843	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	4	9	36	0	Class	4.549	0.808	51	
							Department	4.556	0.724	225	
	0.0	3.9	7.8	17.6	70.6	0.0	College	4.413	0.790	9688	
							University	4.347	0.846	80794	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	3	18	29	0	Class	4.471	0.703	51	
							Department	4.507	0.620	225	
	0.0	2.0	5.9	35.3	56.9	0.0	College	4.255	0.786	9692	
							University	4.227	0.820	80795	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	12	36	0	Class	4.647	0.594	51	
							Department	4.582	0.608	225	
	0.0	0.0	5.9	23.5	70.6	0.0	College	4.339	0.789	9677	
							University	4.272	0.850	80742	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	3	10	37	0	Class	4.627	0.692	51	
							Department	4.560	0.730	225	
	0.0	2.0	5.9	19.6	72.5	0.0	College	4.297	0.868	9686	
							University	4.228	0.930	80807	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	3	17	31	0	Class	4.549	0.610	51	
							Department	4.516	0.682	225	
	0.0	0.0	5.9	33.3	60.8	0.0	College	4.249	0.886	9683	
							University	4.177	0.950	80747	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	15	32	0	Class	4.549	0.642	51	
							Department	4.520	0.675	225	
	0.0	0.0	7.8	29.4	62.7	0.0	College	4.346	0.775	9692	
							University	4.297	0.828	80815	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	5	18	26	0	Class	4.333	0.816	51	
							Department	4.480	0.750	225	
	0.0	3.9	9.8	35.3	51.0	0.0	College	4.340	0.825	9669	
							University	4.239	0.913	80676	

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: PLANT SCIENCES		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 555 Your Dept 1866 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	12	18	54	194	276	1	Class	4.271	0.921	554
	2.2	3.2	9.7	35.0	49.7	0.2	Department	4.361	0.841	1858
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	9	18	59	191	277	1	Class	4.280	0.898	554
	1.6	3.2	10.6	34.4	49.9	0.2	Department	4.411	0.822	1856
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	9	22	66	182	274	2	Class	4.248	0.928	553
	1.6	4.0	11.9	32.8	49.4	0.4	Department	4.352	0.861	1857
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	12	26	66	183	267	1	Class	4.204	0.972	554
	2.2	4.7	11.9	33.0	48.1	0.2	Department	4.329	0.846	1857
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	12	14	30	162	335	2	Class	4.436	0.876	553
	2.2	2.5	5.4	29.2	60.4	0.4	Department	4.469	0.809	1853
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	7	19	71	229	226	3	Class	4.174	0.872	552
	1.3	3.4	12.8	41.3	40.7	0.5	Department	4.255	0.834	1854
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	14	39	217	282	2	Class	4.383	0.743	553
	0.2	2.5	7.0	39.1	50.8	0.4	Department	4.434	0.722	1856
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	7	16	45	221	264	2	Class	4.300	0.836	553
	1.3	2.9	8.1	39.8	47.6	0.4	Department	4.379	0.797	1854
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	12	23	56	198	263	3	Class	4.226	0.944	552
	2.2	4.1	10.1	35.7	47.4	0.5	Department	4.340	0.861	1856
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	10	25	205	310	2	Class	4.463	0.717	553
	0.5	1.8	4.5	36.9	55.9	0.4	Department	4.501	0.712	1855
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	8	59	246	237	3	Class	4.283	0.740	552
	0.4	1.4	10.6	44.3	42.7	0.5	Department	4.329	0.761	1854
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	1	47	207	296	2	Class	4.436	0.686	553
	0.4	0.2	8.5	37.3	53.3	0.4	Department	4.457	0.718	1853
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	4	41	215	292	2	Class	4.434	0.678	553
	0.2	0.7	7.4	38.7	52.6	0.4	Department	4.490	0.691	1856
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	8	57	219	266	2	Class	4.333	0.762	553
	0.5	1.4	10.3	39.5	47.9	0.4	Department	4.392	0.780	1855
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	7	40	224	279	2	Class	4.391	0.724	553
	0.5	1.3	7.2	40.4	50.3	0.4	Department	4.445	0.710	1856
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	5	7	30	226	285	2	Class	4.409	0.732	553
	0.9	1.3	5.4	40.7	51.4	0.4	Department	4.423	0.757	1847
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: PLANT SCIENCES		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 1077 Your Dept 1866 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	13	22	85	384	568	5	Class	4.373	0.813	1072
	1.2	2.0	7.9	35.7	52.7	0.5	Department	4.361	0.841	1858
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	11	19	79	339	623	6	Class	4.442	0.790	1071
	1.0	1.8	7.3	31.5	57.8	0.6	Department	4.411	0.822	1856
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	11	31	88	360	581	6	Class	4.372	0.834	1071
	1.0	2.9	8.2	33.4	53.9	0.6	Department	4.352	0.861	1857
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	6	25	100	396	543	7	Class	4.350	0.789	1070
	0.6	2.3	9.3	36.8	50.4	0.6	Department	4.329	0.846	1857
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	9	19	73	338	631	7	Class	4.461	0.770	1070
	0.8	1.8	6.8	31.4	58.6	0.6	Department	4.469	0.809	1853
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	12	27	118	437	475	8	Class	4.250	0.834	1069
	1.1	2.5	11.0	40.6	44.1	0.7	Department	4.255	0.834	1854
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	6	12	78	401	574	6	Class	4.424	0.724	1071
	0.6	1.1	7.2	37.2	53.3	0.6	Department	4.434	0.722	1856
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	9	17	82	408	554	7	Class	4.384	0.766	1070
	0.8	1.6	7.6	37.9	51.4	0.6	Department	4.379	0.797	1854
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	14	21	91	372	573	6	Class	4.372	0.824	1071
	1.3	1.9	8.4	34.5	53.2	0.6	Department	4.340	0.861	1856
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	7	17	56	361	628	8	Class	4.484	0.727	1069
	0.6	1.6	5.2	33.5	58.3	0.7	Department	4.501	0.712	1855
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	9	17	116	424	504	7	Class	4.306	0.791	1070
	0.8	1.6	10.8	39.4	46.8	0.6	Department	4.329	0.761	1854
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	7	109	353	596	8	Class	4.431	0.731	1069
	0.4	0.6	10.1	32.8	55.3	0.7	Department	4.457	0.718	1853
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	10	67	359	632	6	Class	4.500	0.682	1071
	0.3	0.9	6.2	33.3	58.7	0.6	Department	4.490	0.691	1856
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	8	14	104	377	567	7	Class	4.384	0.774	1070
	0.7	1.3	9.7	35.0	52.6	0.6	Department	4.392	0.780	1855
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	6	9	76	399	580	7	Class	4.437	0.712	1070
	0.6	0.8	7.1	37.0	53.9	0.6	Department	4.445	0.710	1856
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	9	16	77	395	567	13	Class	4.405	0.760	1064
	0.8	1.5	7.1	36.7	52.6	1.2	Department	4.423	0.757	1847
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses Dept: PLANT SCIENCES		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 234 Your Dept 1866 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	3	12	71	144	2	Class	4.517	0.732	232
	0.9	1.3	5.1	30.3	61.5	0.9	Department	4.361	0.841	1858
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	3	12	55	159	3	Class	4.584	0.729	231
	0.9	1.3	5.1	23.5	67.9	1.3	Department	4.411	0.822	1856
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	6	20	53	153	1	Class	4.506	0.794	233
	0.4	2.6	8.5	22.6	65.4	0.4	Department	4.352	0.861	1857
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	1	5	11	69	147	1	Class	4.528	0.725	233
	0.4	2.1	4.7	29.5	62.8	0.4	Department	4.329	0.846	1857
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	4	6	51	164	4	Class	4.587	0.814	230
	2.1	1.7	2.6	21.8	70.1	1.7	Department	4.469	0.809	1853
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	1	12	88	130	1	Class	4.472	0.695	233
	0.9	0.4	5.1	37.6	55.6	0.4	Department	4.255	0.834	1854
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	10	66	154	2	Class	4.599	0.637	232
	0.4	0.4	4.3	28.2	65.8	0.9	Department	4.434	0.722	1856
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	7	9	55	157	3	Class	4.541	0.817	231
	1.3	3.0	3.8	23.5	67.1	1.3	Department	4.379	0.797	1854
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	7	18	64	143	1	Class	4.464	0.799	233
	0.4	3.0	7.7	27.4	61.1	0.4	Department	4.340	0.861	1856
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	10	51	170	1	Class	4.670	0.600	233
	0.0	0.9	4.3	21.8	72.6	0.4	Department	4.501	0.712	1855
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	10	79	141	2	Class	4.547	0.622	232
	0.0	0.9	4.3	33.8	60.3	0.9	Department	4.329	0.761	1854
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	2	13	47	167	3	Class	4.623	0.711	231
	0.9	0.9	5.6	20.1	71.4	1.3	Department	4.457	0.718	1853
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	3	16	49	162	2	Class	4.578	0.752	232
	0.9	1.3	6.8	20.9	69.2	0.9	Department	4.490	0.691	1856
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	5	3	11	48	165	2	Class	4.573	0.824	232
	2.1	1.3	4.7	20.5	70.5	0.9	Department	4.392	0.780	1855
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	2	9	64	157	1	Class	4.605	0.649	233
	0.4	0.9	3.8	27.4	67.1	0.4	Department	4.445	0.710	1856
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	5	11	56	155	4	Class	4.543	0.796	230
	1.3	2.1	4.7	23.9	66.2	1.7	Department	4.423	0.757	1847
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 213					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 311					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	4	15	93	100	1	Class	4.363	0.699	212
	0.0	1.9	7.0	43.7	46.9	0.5	Department	4.484	0.681	310
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	6	12	93	102	0	Class	4.366	0.718	213
	0.0	2.8	5.6	43.7	47.9	0.0	Department	4.479	0.713	311
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	5	16	85	106	0	Class	4.362	0.762	213
	0.5	2.3	7.5	39.9	49.8	0.0	Department	4.473	0.731	311
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	0	5	23	95	90	0	Class	4.268	0.745	213
	0.0	2.3	10.8	44.6	42.3	0.0	Department	4.412	0.752	311
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	11	78	124	0	Class	4.531	0.595	213
	0.0	0.0	5.2	36.6	58.2	0.0	Department	4.599	0.587	309
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	4	23	117	64	3	Class	4.129	0.750	210
	0.9	1.9	10.8	54.9	30.0	1.4	Department	4.294	0.737	306
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	3	10	97	103	0	Class	4.408	0.650	213
	0.0	1.4	4.7	45.5	48.4	0.0	Department	4.527	0.631	311
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	2	23	89	98	0	Class	4.319	0.741	213
	0.5	0.9	10.8	41.8	46.0	0.0	Department	4.441	0.706	311
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	23	90	96	0	Class	4.305	0.737	213
	0.0	1.9	10.8	42.3	45.1	0.0	Department	4.415	0.748	311
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	10	88	114	0	Class	4.479	0.611	213
	0.0	0.5	4.7	41.3	53.5	0.0	Department	4.568	0.608	310
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	18	126	67	0	Class	4.211	0.628	213
	0.0	0.9	8.5	59.2	31.5	0.0	Department	4.354	0.635	311
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	30	89	92	0	Class	4.272	0.734	213
	0.0	0.9	14.1	41.8	43.2	0.0	Department	4.434	0.710	311
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	10	15	91	97	0	Class	4.291	0.795	213
	0.0	4.7	7.0	42.7	45.5	0.0	Department	4.463	0.748	311
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	22	99	89	0	Class	4.286	0.706	213
	0.0	1.4	10.3	46.5	41.8	0.0	Department	4.442	0.698	310
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	12	107	91	0	Class	4.343	0.651	213
	0.0	1.4	5.6	50.2	42.7	0.0	Department	4.453	0.660	311
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	1	14	90	106	0	Class	4.394	0.717	213
	0.9	0.5	6.6	42.3	49.8	0.0	Department	4.514	0.681	311
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 98					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 311					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	3	16	78	0	Class	4.745	0.562	98
	0.0	1.0	3.1	16.3	79.6	0.0	Department	4.484	0.681	310
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	4	13	79	0	Class	4.724	0.639	98
	0.0	2.0	4.1	13.3	80.6	0.0	Department	4.479	0.713	311
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	4	17	76	0	Class	4.714	0.592	98
	0.0	1.0	4.1	17.3	77.6	0.0	Department	4.473	0.731	311
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	1	1	3	14	79	0	Class	4.724	0.670	98
	1.0	1.0	3.1	14.3	80.6	0.0	Department	4.412	0.752	311
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	5	14	77	2	Class	4.750	0.543	96
	0.0	0.0	5.1	14.3	78.6	2.0	Department	4.599	0.587	309
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	4	25	67	2	Class	4.656	0.559	96
	0.0	0.0	4.1	25.5	68.4	2.0	Department	4.294	0.737	306
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	1	16	80	0	Class	4.786	0.503	98
	0.0	1.0	1.0	16.3	81.6	0.0	Department	4.527	0.631	311
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	1	24	72	0	Class	4.704	0.541	98
	0.0	1.0	1.0	24.5	73.5	0.0	Department	4.441	0.706	311
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	5	15	75	0	Class	4.653	0.719	98
	0.0	3.1	5.1	15.3	76.5	0.0	Department	4.415	0.748	311
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	3	14	79	1	Class	4.763	0.555	97
	0.0	1.0	3.1	14.3	80.6	1.0	Department	4.568	0.608	310
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	27	68	0	Class	4.663	0.536	98
	0.0	0.0	3.1	27.6	69.4	0.0	Department	4.354	0.635	311
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	4	13	81	0	Class	4.786	0.503	98
	0.0	0.0	4.1	13.3	82.7	0.0	Department	4.434	0.710	311
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	0	13	84	0	Class	4.837	0.447	98
	0.0	1.0	0.0	13.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.463	0.748	311
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	3	12	81	1	Class	4.784	0.544	97
	0.0	1.0	3.1	12.2	82.7	1.0	Department	4.442	0.698	310
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	2	20	74	0	Class	4.694	0.616	98
	0.0	2.0	2.0	20.4	75.5	0.0	Department	4.453	0.660	311
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	1	17	79	0	Class	4.776	0.508	98
	0.0	1.0	1.0	17.3	80.6	0.0	Department	4.514	0.681	311
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: RANGE SCIENCE		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 63 Your Dept 155 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	4	28	31	0	Class	4.429	0.615	63
	0.0	0.0	6.3	44.4	49.2	0.0	Department	4.510	0.638	155
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	5	19	39	0	Class	4.540	0.643	63
	0.0	0.0	7.9	30.2	61.9	0.0	Department	4.626	0.594	155
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	3	21	39	0	Class	4.571	0.588	63
	0.0	0.0	4.8	33.3	61.9	0.0	Department	4.561	0.635	155
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	4	28	31	0	Class	4.429	0.615	63
	0.0	0.0	6.3	44.4	49.2	0.0	Department	4.497	0.648	155
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	4	20	39	0	Class	4.556	0.616	63
	0.0	0.0	6.3	31.7	61.9	0.0	Department	4.542	0.741	155
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	10	36	17	0	Class	4.111	0.650	63
	0.0	0.0	15.9	57.1	27.0	0.0	Department	4.303	0.678	155
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	24	36	0	Class	4.524	0.592	63
	0.0	0.0	4.8	38.1	57.1	0.0	Department	4.626	0.548	155
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	32	27	0	Class	4.365	0.604	63
	0.0	0.0	6.3	50.8	42.9	0.0	Department	4.368	0.747	155
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	7	28	26	0	Class	4.238	0.777	63
	0.0	3.2	11.1	44.4	41.3	0.0	Department	4.290	0.853	155
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	3	22	38	0	Class	4.556	0.590	63
	0.0	0.0	4.8	34.9	60.3	0.0	Department	4.490	0.750	155
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	8	44	11	0	Class	4.048	0.551	63
	0.0	0.0	12.7	69.8	17.5	0.0	Department	4.239	0.635	155
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	6	24	33	0	Class	4.429	0.665	63
	0.0	0.0	9.5	38.1	52.4	0.0	Department	4.477	0.668	155
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	7	32	23	0	Class	4.222	0.706	63
	0.0	1.6	11.1	50.8	36.5	0.0	Department	4.361	0.805	155
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	8	27	27	0	Class	4.270	0.745	63
	0.0	1.6	12.7	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.394	0.743	155
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	30	29	0	Class	4.397	0.610	63
	0.0	0.0	6.3	47.6	46.0	0.0	Department	4.523	0.585	155
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	2	25	35	0	Class	4.492	0.644	63
	0.0	1.6	3.2	39.7	55.6	0.0	Department	4.581	0.623	155
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: RANGE SCIENCE		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 39 Your Dept 155 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	1	4	33	0	Class	4.769	0.627	39
	0.0	2.6	2.6	10.3	84.6	0.0	Department	4.510	0.638	155
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	4	34	0	Class	4.846	0.432	39
	0.0	0.0	2.6	10.3	87.2	0.0	Department	4.626	0.594	155
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	9	30	0	Class	4.769	0.427	39
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	Department	4.561	0.635	155
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	10	28	0	Class	4.692	0.521	39
	0.0	0.0	2.6	25.6	71.8	0.0	Department	4.497	0.648	155
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	0	5	32	0	Class	4.692	0.832	39
	2.6	2.6	0.0	12.8	82.1	0.0	Department	4.542	0.741	155
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	5	9	25	0	Class	4.513	0.721	39
	0.0	0.0	12.8	23.1	64.1	0.0	Department	4.303	0.678	155
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	6	32	0	Class	4.795	0.469	39
	0.0	0.0	2.6	15.4	82.1	0.0	Department	4.626	0.548	155
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	3	7	28	0	Class	4.564	0.852	39
	2.6	0.0	7.7	17.9	71.8	0.0	Department	4.368	0.747	155
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	3	10	25	0	Class	4.487	0.854	39
	2.6	0.0	7.7	25.6	64.1	0.0	Department	4.290	0.853	155
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	2	0	6	30	0	Class	4.590	0.938	39
	2.6	5.1	0.0	15.4	76.9	0.0	Department	4.490	0.750	155
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	4	11	23	0	Class	4.436	0.788	39
	0.0	2.6	10.3	28.2	59.0	0.0	Department	4.239	0.635	155
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	4	34	0	Class	4.846	0.432	39
	0.0	0.0	2.6	10.3	87.2	0.0	Department	4.477	0.668	155
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	0	5	33	0	Class	4.795	0.570	39
	0.0	2.6	0.0	12.8	84.6	0.0	Department	4.361	0.805	155
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	7	31	0	Class	4.769	0.485	39
	0.0	0.0	2.6	17.9	79.5	0.0	Department	4.394	0.743	155
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	8	30	0	Class	4.744	0.498	39
	0.0	0.0	2.6	20.5	76.9	0.0	Department	4.523	0.585	155
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	5	31	0	Class	4.718	0.605	39
	0.0	0.0	7.7	12.8	79.5	0.0	Department	4.581	0.623	155
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: RANGE SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 53					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept 155					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	4	23	26	0	Class	4.415	0.633	53	
	0.0	0.0	7.5	43.4	49.1	0.0	Department	4.510	0.638	155	
							College	4.273	0.902	9775	
							University	4.180	0.970	81063	
=====											
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	3	17	33	0	Class	4.566	0.605	53	
	0.0	0.0	5.7	32.1	62.3	0.0	Department	4.626	0.594	155	
							College	4.340	0.897	9762	
							University	4.246	0.969	80854	
=====											
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	6	17	29	0	Class	4.396	0.768	53	
	0.0	1.9	11.3	32.1	54.7	0.0	Department	4.561	0.635	155	
							College	4.287	0.927	9764	
							University	4.204	0.988	80870	
=====											
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	5	17	30	0	Class	4.434	0.747	53	
	0.0	1.9	9.4	32.1	56.6	0.0	Department	4.497	0.648	155	
							College	4.263	0.873	9756	
							University	4.147	0.956	80741	
=====											
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	0	4	19	29	0	Class	4.415	0.795	53	
	1.9	0.0	7.5	35.8	54.7	0.0	Department	4.542	0.741	155	
							College	4.410	0.832	9754	
							University	4.319	0.894	80840	
=====											
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	4	25	24	0	Class	4.377	0.627	53	
	0.0	0.0	7.5	47.2	45.3	0.0	Department	4.303	0.678	155	
							College	4.198	0.865	9742	
							University	4.158	0.901	80753	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	18	34	0	Class	4.623	0.527	53	
	0.0	0.0	1.9	34.0	64.2	0.0	Department	4.626	0.548	155	
							College	4.367	0.792	9691	
							University	4.265	0.881	80863	
=====											
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	6	25	21	0	Class	4.226	0.800	53	
	1.9	0.0	11.3	47.2	39.6	0.0	Department	4.368	0.747	155	
							College	4.297	0.847	9689	
							University	4.254	0.889	80813	
=====											
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	5	3	21	24	0	Class	4.208	0.927	53	
	0.0	9.4	5.7	39.6	45.3	0.0	Department	4.290	0.853	155	
							College	4.224	0.932	9693	
							University	4.175	0.977	80843	
=====											
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	6	20	26	0	Class	4.340	0.758	53	
	0.0	1.9	11.3	37.7	49.1	0.0	Department	4.490	0.750	155	
							College	4.413	0.790	9688	
							University	4.347	0.846	80794	
=====											
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	32	19	0	Class	4.321	0.547	53	
	0.0	0.0	3.8	60.4	35.8	0.0	Department	4.239	0.635	155	
							College	4.255	0.786	9692	
							University	4.227	0.820	80795	
=====											
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	5	26	21	0	Class	4.264	0.711	53	
	0.0	1.9	9.4	49.1	39.6	0.0	Department	4.477	0.668	155	
							College	4.339	0.789	9677	
							University	4.272	0.850	80742	
=====											
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	1	4	23	23	0	Class	4.208	0.948	53	
	3.8	1.9	7.5	43.4	43.4	0.0	Department	4.361	0.805	155	
							College	4.297	0.868	9686	
							University	4.228	0.930	80807	
=====											
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	6	21	24	0	Class	4.264	0.812	53	
	0.0	3.8	11.3	39.6	45.3	0.0	Department	4.394	0.743	155	
							College	4.249	0.886	9683	
							University	4.177	0.950	80747	
=====											
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	22	29	0	Class	4.509	0.576	53	
	0.0	0.0	3.8	41.5	54.7	0.0	Department	4.523	0.585	155	
							College	4.346	0.775	9692	
							University	4.297	0.828	80815	
=====											
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	16	34	0	Class	4.585	0.602	53	
	0.0	0.0	5.7	30.2	64.2	0.0	Department	4.581	0.623	155	
							College	4.340	0.825	9669	
							University	4.239	0.913	80670	

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: SOIL SCIENCE		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 255 Your Dept 429 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	4	13	68	170	0	Class	4.584	0.664	255
	0.0	1.6	5.1	26.7	66.7	0.0	Department	4.466	0.784	429
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	1	14	49	189	0	Class	4.655	0.675	255
	0.8	0.4	5.5	19.2	74.1	0.0	Department	4.562	0.764	429
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	4	16	67	167	0	Class	4.549	0.719	255
	0.4	1.6	6.3	26.3	65.5	0.0	Department	4.415	0.870	429
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	19	75	159	0	Class	4.533	0.668	255
	0.0	0.8	7.5	29.4	62.4	0.0	Department	4.471	0.734	429
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	18	65	169	1	Class	4.579	0.659	254
	0.0	0.8	7.1	25.5	66.3	0.4	Department	4.530	0.741	428
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	6	33	118	93	3	Class	4.167	0.801	252
	0.8	2.4	12.9	46.3	36.5	1.2	Department	4.169	0.821	425
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	5	89	157	2	Class	4.581	0.596	253
	0.4	0.4	2.0	34.9	61.6	0.8	Department	4.504	0.700	427
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	5	18	81	151	0	Class	4.482	0.715	255
	0.0	2.0	7.1	31.8	59.2	0.0	Department	4.382	0.802	429
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	8	15	77	155	0	Class	4.486	0.747	255
	0.0	3.1	5.9	30.2	60.8	0.0	Department	4.368	0.834	429
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	9	76	168	0	Class	4.604	0.618	255
	0.4	0.4	3.5	29.8	65.9	0.0	Department	4.527	0.725	429
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	8	32	108	106	0	Class	4.216	0.811	255
	0.4	3.1	12.5	42.4	41.6	0.0	Department	4.210	0.810	429
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	2	22	100	129	1	Class	4.394	0.713	254
	0.4	0.8	8.6	39.2	50.6	0.4	Department	4.451	0.701	428
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	3	16	89	146	1	Class	4.488	0.670	254
	0.0	1.2	6.3	34.9	57.3	0.4	Department	4.472	0.706	428
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	20	85	147	1	Class	4.484	0.675	254
	0.0	0.8	7.8	33.3	57.6	0.4	Department	4.451	0.724	428
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	21	87	144	1	Class	4.469	0.681	254
	0.0	0.8	8.2	34.1	56.5	0.4	Department	4.472	0.682	428
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	2	12	79	160	1	Class	4.555	0.662	254
	0.4	0.8	4.7	31.0	62.7	0.4	Department	4.479	0.766	428
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: SOIL SCIENCE		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 110 Your Dept 429 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	6	16	44	42	0	Class	4.073	0.955	110
	1.8	5.5	14.5	40.0	38.2	0.0	Department	4.466	0.784	429
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	3	15	34	55	0	Class	4.227	0.974	110
	2.7	2.7	13.6	30.9	50.0	0.0	Department	4.562	0.764	429
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	11	21	30	45	0	Class	3.936	1.119	110
	2.7	10.0	19.1	27.3	40.9	0.0	Department	4.415	0.870	429
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	2	3	11	43	51	0	Class	4.255	0.882	110
	1.8	2.7	10.0	39.1	46.4	0.0	Department	4.471	0.734	429
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	5	7	39	57	0	Class	4.309	0.916	110
	1.8	4.5	6.4	35.5	51.8	0.0	Department	4.530	0.741	428
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	5	10	55	37	0	Class	4.073	0.926	110
	2.7	4.5	9.1	50.0	33.6	0.0	Department	4.169	0.821	425
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	3	14	47	44	0	Class	4.164	0.883	110
	1.8	2.7	12.7	42.7	40.0	0.0	Department	4.504	0.700	427
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	7	13	45	43	0	Class	4.091	0.963	110
	1.8	6.4	11.8	40.9	39.1	0.0	Department	4.382	0.802	429
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	4	13	47	42	0	Class	4.082	0.987	110
	3.6	3.6	11.8	42.7	38.2	0.0	Department	4.368	0.834	429
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	4	8	43	53	0	Class	4.282	0.890	110
	1.8	3.6	7.3	39.1	48.2	0.0	Department	4.527	0.725	429
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	2	15	51	40	0	Class	4.136	0.851	110
	1.8	1.8	13.6	46.4	36.4	0.0	Department	4.210	0.810	429
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	11	43	55	0	Class	4.373	0.740	110
	0.9	0.0	10.0	39.1	50.0	0.0	Department	4.451	0.701	428
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	11	44	53	0	Class	4.336	0.770	110
	0.9	0.9	10.0	40.0	48.2	0.0	Department	4.472	0.706	428
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	17	45	46	0	Class	4.218	0.806	110
	0.9	0.9	15.5	40.9	41.8	0.0	Department	4.451	0.724	428
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	14	40	55	0	Class	4.355	0.737	110
	0.0	0.9	12.7	36.4	50.0	0.0	Department	4.472	0.682	428
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	3	12	41	51	0	Class	4.218	0.942	110
	2.7	2.7	10.9	37.3	46.4	0.0	Department	4.479	0.766	428
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 64					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 429					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.672	0.668	64
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	4	10	49	0	Department	4.466	0.784	429
	0.0	1.6	6.3	15.6	76.6	0.0	College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
							Class	4.766	0.463	64
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	13	50	0	Department	4.562	0.764	429
	0.0	0.0	1.6	20.3	78.1	0.0	College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
							Class	4.703	0.582	64
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	1	14	48	0	Department	4.415	0.870	429
	0.0	1.6	1.6	21.9	75.0	0.0	College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
							Class	4.594	0.635	64
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	5	16	43	0	Department	4.471	0.734	429
	0.0	0.0	7.8	25.0	67.2	0.0	College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
							Class	4.719	0.629	64
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	3	9	51	0	Department	4.530	0.741	428
	0.0	1.6	4.7	14.1	79.7	0.0	College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
							Class	4.349	0.676	63
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	7	27	29	1	Department	4.169	0.821	425
	0.0	0.0	10.9	42.2	45.3	1.6	College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.781	0.487	64
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	10	52	0	Department	4.504	0.700	427
	0.0	0.0	3.1	15.6	81.3	0.0	College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
							Class	4.484	0.713	64
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	5	20	38	0	Department	4.382	0.802	429
	0.0	1.6	7.8	31.3	59.4	0.0	College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
							Class	4.391	0.769	64
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	5	23	34	0	Department	4.368	0.834	429
	0.0	3.1	7.8	35.9	53.1	0.0	College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
							Class	4.641	0.721	64
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	3	11	48	0	Department	4.527	0.725	429
	0.0	3.1	4.7	17.2	75.0	0.0	College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
							Class	4.313	0.732	64
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	7	27	29	0	Department	4.210	0.810	429
	0.0	1.6	10.9	42.2	45.3	0.0	College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
							Class	4.813	0.432	64
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	10	53	0	Department	4.451	0.701	428
	0.0	0.0	1.6	15.6	82.8	0.0	College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
							Class	4.641	0.698	64
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	2	15	46	0	Department	4.472	0.706	428
	1.6	0.0	3.1	23.4	71.9	0.0	College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
							Class	4.719	0.654	64
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	4	7	52	0	Department	4.451	0.724	428
	0.0	1.6	6.3	10.9	81.3	0.0	College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
							Class	4.688	0.531	64
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	16	46	0	Department	4.472	0.682	428
	0.0	0.0	3.1	25.0	71.9	0.0	College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
							Class	4.625	0.724	64
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	3	14	46	0	Department	4.479	0.766	428
	1.6	0.0	4.7	21.9	71.9	0.0	College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: UNIVERSITY GENERAL							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 23					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept 23					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 9817					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	3	13	6	0	Class	4.043	0.767	23
							Department	4.043	0.767	23
	0.0	4.3	13.0	56.5	26.1	0.0	College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	1	11	9	0	Class	4.174	0.887	23
							Department	4.174	0.887	23
	0.0	8.7	4.3	47.8	39.1	0.0	College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	2	12	8	0	Class	4.174	0.778	23
							Department	4.174	0.778	23
	0.0	4.3	8.7	52.2	34.8	0.0	College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	3	5	8	7	0	Class	3.826	1.029	23
							Department	3.826	1.029	23
	0.0	13.0	21.7	34.8	30.4	0.0	College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	0	10	11	0	Class	4.304	0.876	23
							Department	4.304	0.876	23
	0.0	8.7	0.0	43.5	47.8	0.0	College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	9	12	0	Class	4.435	0.662	23
							Department	4.435	0.662	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	39.1	52.2	0.0	College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	2	8	12	0	Class	4.348	0.832	23
							Department	4.348	0.832	23
	0.0	4.3	8.7	34.8	52.2	0.0	College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	3	9	9	0	Class	4.087	0.949	23
							Department	4.087	0.949	23
	0.0	8.7	13.0	39.1	39.1	0.0	College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	2	3	7	9	0	Class	3.826	1.302	23
							Department	3.826	1.302	23
	8.7	8.7	13.0	30.4	39.1	0.0	College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	2	8	11	0	Class	4.174	1.072	23
							Department	4.174	1.072	23
	4.3	4.3	8.7	34.8	47.8	0.0	College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	10	10	0	Class	4.304	0.703	23
							Department	4.304	0.703	23
	0.0	0.0	13.0	43.5	43.5	0.0	College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	4	8	9	0	Class	4.000	1.087	23
							Department	4.000	1.087	23
	4.3	4.3	17.4	34.8	39.1	0.0	College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	2	9	11	0	Class	4.304	0.822	23
							Department	4.304	0.822	23
	0.0	4.3	8.7	39.1	47.8	0.0	College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	4	8	8	0	Class	3.913	1.041	23
							Department	3.913	1.041	23
	0.0	13.0	17.4	34.8	34.8	0.0	College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	5	6	9	0	Class	3.913	1.083	23
							Department	3.913	1.083	23
	0.0	13.0	21.7	26.1	39.1	0.0	College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	4	9	10	0	Class	4.261	0.752	23
							Department	4.261	0.752	23
	0.0	0.0	17.4	39.1	43.5	0.0	College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 259 Your Dept 522 Your College .. 9817								
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	8	21	71	156	0	Class	4.425	0.857	259
	1.2	3.1	8.1	27.4	60.2	0.0	Department	4.437	0.866	522
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	5	17	59	175	2	Class	4.564	0.737	257
	0.4	1.9	6.6	22.8	67.6	0.8	Department	4.535	0.784	520
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	2	16	67	173	0	Class	4.579	0.680	259
	0.4	0.8	6.2	25.9	66.8	0.0	Department	4.536	0.746	522
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	2	4	20	79	154	0	Class	4.463	0.769	259
	0.8	1.5	7.7	30.5	59.5	0.0	Department	4.444	0.818	522
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	10	17	69	161	0	Class	4.456	0.840	259
	0.8	3.9	6.6	26.6	62.2	0.0	Department	4.501	0.767	521
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	5	19	95	136	2	Class	4.393	0.774	257
	0.8	1.9	7.3	36.7	52.5	0.8	Department	4.469	0.749	520
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	3	16	79	160	0	Class	4.521	0.701	259
	0.4	1.2	6.2	30.5	61.8	0.0	Department	4.494	0.767	522
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	1	18	71	164	1	Class	4.512	0.775	258
	1.5	0.4	6.9	27.4	63.3	0.4	Department	4.511	0.760	521
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	2	14	85	154	0	Class	4.479	0.769	259
	1.5	0.8	5.4	32.8	59.5	0.0	Department	4.462	0.805	522
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	2	18	78	159	0	Class	4.506	0.728	259
	0.8	0.8	6.9	30.1	61.4	0.0	Department	4.567	0.679	522
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	6	27	90	134	1	Class	4.357	0.792	258
	0.4	2.3	10.4	34.7	51.7	0.4	Department	4.441	0.747	521
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	4	36	66	152	1	Class	4.419	0.786	258
	0.0	1.5	13.9	25.5	58.7	0.4	Department	4.493	0.726	521
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	3	15	77	162	0	Class	4.521	0.728	259
	0.8	1.2	5.8	29.7	62.5	0.0	Department	4.550	0.700	522
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	3	25	76	152	1	Class	4.446	0.778	258
	0.8	1.2	9.7	29.3	58.7	0.4	Department	4.485	0.755	520
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	3	16	82	157	0	Class	4.510	0.701	259
	0.4	1.2	6.2	31.7	60.6	0.0	Department	4.534	0.709	522
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	2	17	78	158	0	Class	4.483	0.784	259
	1.5	0.8	6.6	30.1	61.0	0.0	Department	4.485	0.796	522
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676

Student Rating of Instruction

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 27JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017 & Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE		# Sheets Scanned: This Level 263 Your Dept 522 Your College .. 9817					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	11	17	66	166	0	Class	4.449	0.876	263
	1.1	4.2	6.5	25.1	63.1	0.0	Department	4.437	0.866	522
							College	4.273	0.902	9775
							University	4.180	0.970	81063
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	12	15	60	175	0	Class	4.506	0.828	263
	0.4	4.6	5.7	22.8	66.5	0.0	Department	4.535	0.784	520
							College	4.340	0.897	9762
							University	4.246	0.969	80854
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	9	25	56	173	0	Class	4.494	0.805	263
	0.0	3.4	9.5	21.3	65.8	0.0	Department	4.536	0.746	522
							College	4.287	0.927	9764
							University	4.204	0.988	80870
4. the quality of this course.	3	8	24	67	161	0	Class	4.426	0.866	263
	1.1	3.0	9.1	25.5	61.2	0.0	Department	4.444	0.818	522
							College	4.263	0.873	9756
							University	4.147	0.956	80741
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	5	14	76	167	1	Class	4.546	0.686	262
	0.0	1.9	5.3	28.9	63.5	0.4	Department	4.501	0.767	521
							College	4.410	0.832	9754
							University	4.319	0.894	80840
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	7	14	71	171	0	Class	4.544	0.718	263
	0.0	2.7	5.3	27.0	65.0	0.0	Department	4.469	0.749	520
							College	4.198	0.865	9742
							University	4.158	0.901	80753
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	12	15	70	165	0	Class	4.468	0.828	263
	0.4	4.6	5.7	26.6	62.7	0.0	Department	4.494	0.767	522
							College	4.367	0.792	9691
							University	4.265	0.881	80863
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	7	19	70	167	0	Class	4.510	0.746	263
	0.0	2.7	7.2	26.6	63.5	0.0	Department	4.511	0.760	521
							College	4.297	0.847	9689
							University	4.254	0.889	80813
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	10	24	64	164	0	Class	4.445	0.840	263
	0.4	3.8	9.1	24.3	62.4	0.0	Department	4.462	0.805	522
							College	4.224	0.932	9693
							University	4.175	0.977	80843
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	3	11	67	182	0	Class	4.627	0.622	263
	0.0	1.1	4.2	25.5	69.2	0.0	Department	4.567	0.679	522
							College	4.413	0.790	9688
							University	4.347	0.846	80794
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	6	12	83	162	0	Class	4.525	0.692	263
	0.0	2.3	4.6	31.6	61.6	0.0	Department	4.441	0.747	521
							College	4.255	0.786	9692
							University	4.227	0.820	80795
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	3	15	75	170	0	Class	4.567	0.656	263
	0.0	1.1	5.7	28.5	64.6	0.0	Department	4.493	0.726	521
							College	4.339	0.789	9677
							University	4.272	0.850	80742
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	4	9	77	172	0	Class	4.578	0.672	263
	0.4	1.5	3.4	29.3	65.4	0.0	Department	4.550	0.700	522
							College	4.297	0.868	9686
							University	4.228	0.930	80807
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	6	19	69	168	1	Class	4.523	0.731	262
	0.0	2.3	7.2	26.2	63.9	0.4	Department	4.485	0.755	520
							College	4.249	0.886	9683
							University	4.177	0.950	80747
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	4	11	74	172	0	Class	4.559	0.717	263
	0.8	1.5	4.2	28.1	65.4	0.0	Department	4.534	0.709	522
							College	4.346	0.775	9692
							University	4.297	0.828	80815
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	6	17	71	166	0	Class	4.487	0.810	263
	1.1	2.3	6.5	27.0	63.1	0.0	Department	4.485	0.796	522
							College	4.340	0.825	9669
							University	4.239	0.913	80676