

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 133 Your Dept ..... 294 Your College .. 5015							
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	7	9	69	48	0	Class	4.188	0.780	133
	0.0	5.3	6.8	51.9	36.1	0.0	Department	4.289	0.749	294
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	5	10	66	51	0	Class	4.211	0.798	133
	0.8	3.8	7.5	49.6	38.3	0.0	Department	4.303	0.810	294
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	4	8	58	61	0	Class	4.293	0.833	133
	1.5	3.0	6.0	43.6	45.9	0.0	Department	4.347	0.794	294
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	4	17	67	45	0	Class	4.150	0.754	133
	0.0	3.0	12.8	50.4	33.8	0.0	Department	4.231	0.762	294
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	1	11	44	75	0	Class	4.421	0.800	133
	1.5	0.8	8.3	33.1	56.4	0.0	Department	4.432	0.748	294
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	3	24	67	37	1	Class	4.030	0.791	132
	0.8	2.3	18.0	50.4	27.8	0.8	Department	4.130	0.770	293
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	10	71	49	0	Class	4.241	0.719	133
	0.8	1.5	7.5	53.4	36.8	0.0	Department	4.350	0.689	294
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	5	62	66	0	Class	4.459	0.571	133
	0.0	0.0	3.8	46.6	49.6	0.0	Department	4.412	0.679	294
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	14	55	62	0	Class	4.331	0.725	133
	0.0	1.5	10.5	41.4	46.6	0.0	Department	4.303	0.775	294
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	6	57	68	0	Class	4.436	0.655	133
	0.0	1.5	4.5	42.9	51.1	0.0	Department	4.401	0.703	294
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	3	24	68	37	0	Class	4.030	0.788	133
	0.8	2.3	18.0	51.1	27.8	0.0	Department	4.180	0.747	294
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	3	16	72	42	0	Class	4.150	0.712	133
	0.0	2.3	12.0	54.1	31.6	0.0	Department	4.250	0.720	292
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	6	20	63	42	0	Class	4.030	0.887	133
	1.5	4.5	15.0	47.4	31.6	0.0	Department	4.156	0.848	294
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	9	22	56	45	0	Class	4.015	0.921	133
	0.8	6.8	16.5	42.1	33.8	0.0	Department	4.136	0.914	294
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	17	69	44	0	Class	4.158	0.727	133
	0.0	2.3	12.8	51.9	33.1	0.0	Department	4.286	0.701	294
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	6	15	60	51	0	Class	4.158	0.851	133
	0.8	4.5	11.3	45.1	38.3	0.0	Department	4.323	0.771	294
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 137 Your Dept ..... 294 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	9	57	69	0	Class	4.409	0.681	137
	0.0	1.5	6.6	41.6	50.4	0.0	Department	4.289	0.749	294
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	4	11	46	76	0	Class	4.416	0.764	137
	0.0	2.9	8.0	33.6	55.5	0.0	Department	4.303	0.810	294
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	18	40	77	0	Class	4.401	0.771	137
	0.0	1.5	13.1	29.2	56.2	0.0	Department	4.347	0.794	294
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	17	51	67	0	Class	4.336	0.750	137
	0.0	1.5	12.4	37.2	48.9	0.0	Department	4.231	0.762	294
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	8	48	80	0	Class	4.511	0.643	137
	0.0	0.7	5.8	35.0	58.4	0.0	Department	4.432	0.748	294
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	3	14	68	52	0	Class	4.234	0.720	137
	0.0	2.2	10.2	49.6	38.0	0.0	Department	4.130	0.770	293
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	7	59	69	0	Class	4.423	0.661	137
	0.0	1.5	5.1	43.1	50.4	0.0	Department	4.350	0.689	294
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	4	11	49	73	0	Class	4.394	0.761	137
	0.0	2.9	8.0	35.8	53.3	0.0	Department	4.412	0.679	294
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	14	56	64	0	Class	4.321	0.747	137
	0.0	2.2	10.2	40.9	46.7	0.0	Department	4.303	0.775	294
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	6	59	71	0	Class	4.460	0.619	137
	0.0	0.7	4.4	43.1	51.8	0.0	Department	4.401	0.703	294
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	13	65	58	0	Class	4.314	0.672	137
	0.0	0.7	9.5	47.4	42.3	0.0	Department	4.180	0.747	294
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	15	56	64	1	Class	4.346	0.703	136
	0.0	0.7	10.9	40.9	46.7	0.7	Department	4.250	0.720	292
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	17	55	62	0	Class	4.277	0.793	137
	0.7	1.5	12.4	40.1	45.3	0.0	Department	4.156	0.848	294
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	4	15	50	67	0	Class	4.299	0.835	137
	0.7	2.9	10.9	36.5	48.9	0.0	Department	4.136	0.914	294
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	11	58	68	0	Class	4.416	0.638	137
	0.0	0.0	8.0	42.3	49.6	0.0	Department	4.286	0.701	294
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	6	56	73	0	Class	4.453	0.686	137
	0.7	0.7	4.4	40.9	53.3	0.0	Department	4.323	0.771	294
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 24					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 294					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	4	9	10	0	Class	4.167	0.868	24
	0.0	4.2	16.7	37.5	41.7	0.0	Department	4.289	0.749	294
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	2	9	11	0	Class	4.167	1.049	24
	4.2	4.2	8.3	37.5	45.8	0.0	Department	4.303	0.810	294
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	3	10	11	0	Class	4.333	0.702	24
	0.0	0.0	12.5	41.7	45.8	0.0	Department	4.347	0.794	294
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	4	11	8	0	Class	4.083	0.830	24
	0.0	4.2	16.7	45.8	33.3	0.0	Department	4.231	0.762	294
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	6	8	9	0	Class	4.042	0.908	24
	0.0	4.2	25.0	33.3	37.5	0.0	Department	4.432	0.748	294
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	5	9	9	0	Class	4.083	0.881	24
	0.0	4.2	20.8	37.5	37.5	0.0	Department	4.130	0.770	293
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	9	14	0	Class	4.542	0.588	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	37.5	58.3	0.0	Department	4.350	0.689	294
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	10	10	0	Class	4.250	0.737	24
	0.0	0.0	16.7	41.7	41.7	0.0	Department	4.412	0.679	294
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	5	4	12	0	Class	4.042	1.122	24
	0.0	12.5	20.8	16.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.303	0.775	294
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	0	4	11	7	0	Class	3.875	1.116	24
	8.3	0.0	16.7	45.8	29.2	0.0	Department	4.401	0.703	294
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	5	8	11	0	Class	4.250	0.794	24
	0.0	0.0	20.8	33.3	45.8	0.0	Department	4.180	0.747	294
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	2	10	10	1	Class	4.261	0.810	23
	0.0	4.2	8.3	41.7	41.7	4.2	Department	4.250	0.720	292
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	4	9	10	0	Class	4.167	0.868	24
	0.0	4.2	16.7	37.5	41.7	0.0	Department	4.156	0.848	294
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	4	5	5	10	0	Class	3.875	1.154	24
	0.0	16.7	20.8	20.8	41.7	0.0	Department	4.136	0.914	294
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	2	11	10	0	Class	4.250	0.794	24
	0.0	4.2	8.3	45.8	41.7	0.0	Department	4.286	0.701	294
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	10	13	0	Class	4.500	0.590	24
	0.0	0.0	4.2	41.7	54.2	0.0	Department	4.323	0.771	294
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURE, GENERAL							G= Good....(4)			
			# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 105				IB=In Between....(3)			
			Your Dept ..... 105				P= Poor....(2)			
			Your College .. 5015				VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
							Class	4.396	0.736	101
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	12	34	54	4	Department	4.396	0.736	101
	0.0	1.0	11.4	32.4	51.4	3.8	College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
							Class	4.554	0.714	101
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	10	22	68	4	Department	4.554	0.714	101
	0.0	1.0	9.5	21.0	64.8	3.8	College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
							Class	4.426	0.740	101
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	9	34	56	4	Department	4.426	0.740	101
	0.0	1.9	8.6	32.4	53.3	3.8	College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
							Class	4.130	0.837	100
4. the quality of this course.	0	3	20	38	39	5	Department	4.130	0.837	100
	0.0	2.9	19.0	36.2	37.1	4.8	College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
							Class	4.366	0.845	101
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	4	12	28	57	4	Department	4.366	0.845	101
	0.0	3.8	11.4	26.7	54.3	3.8	College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
							Class	4.218	0.996	101
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	5	14	28	52	4	Department	4.218	0.996	101
	1.9	4.8	13.3	26.7	49.5	3.8	College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
							Class	4.485	0.673	101
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	7	35	58	4	Department	4.485	0.673	101
	0.0	1.0	6.7	33.3	55.2	3.8	College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
							Class	4.347	0.793	101
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	3	11	35	52	4	Department	4.347	0.793	101
	0.0	2.9	10.5	33.3	49.5	3.8	College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
							Class	4.257	0.891	101
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	3	15	32	50	4	Department	4.257	0.891	101
	1.0	2.9	14.3	30.5	47.6	3.8	College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
							Class	4.436	0.830	101
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	2	10	27	61	4	Department	4.436	0.830	101
	1.0	1.9	9.5	25.7	58.1	3.8	College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
							Class	4.190	0.849	100
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	2	16	39	42	5	Department	4.190	0.849	100
	1.0	1.9	15.2	37.1	40.0	4.8	College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
							Class	4.490	0.745	100
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	9	29	61	5	Department	4.490	0.745	100
	1.0	0.0	8.6	27.6	58.1	4.8	College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
							Class	4.505	0.743	101
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	6	31	62	4	Department	4.505	0.743	101
	1.0	1.0	5.7	29.5	59.0	3.8	College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
							Class	4.406	0.724	101
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	11	35	54	4	Department	4.406	0.724	101
	0.0	1.0	10.5	33.3	51.4	3.8	College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
							Class	4.376	0.676	101
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	8	44	48	4	Department	4.376	0.676	101
	0.0	1.0	7.6	41.9	45.7	3.8	College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
							Class	4.400	0.853	100
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	2	6	34	56	5	Department	4.400	0.853	100
	1.9	1.9	5.7	32.4	53.3	4.8	College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 380 Your Dept ..... 745 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	8	23	99	242	4	Class	4.508	0.793	376
	1.1	2.1	6.1	26.1	63.7	1.1	Department	4.257	0.975	740
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	6	26	82	257	7	Class	4.571	0.739	373
	0.5	1.6	6.8	21.6	67.6	1.8	Department	4.303	0.992	736
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	5	27	86	254	6	Class	4.564	0.732	374
	0.5	1.3	7.1	22.6	66.8	1.6	Department	4.287	1.008	738
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	3	3	28	111	228	7	Class	4.496	0.739	373
	0.8	0.8	7.4	29.2	60.0	1.8	Department	4.271	0.903	737
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	4	21	85	261	8	Class	4.616	0.669	372
	0.3	1.1	5.5	22.4	68.7	2.1	Department	4.346	0.895	736
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	8	24	116	225	6	Class	4.487	0.735	374
	0.3	2.1	6.3	30.5	59.2	1.6	Department	4.251	0.926	738
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	4	26	102	242	5	Class	4.547	0.696	375
	0.3	1.1	6.8	26.8	63.7	1.3	Department	4.303	0.891	739
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	5	31	107	230	5	Class	4.488	0.749	375
	0.5	1.3	8.2	28.2	60.5	1.3	Department	4.252	0.945	737
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	12	24	105	230	7	Class	4.472	0.798	373
	0.5	3.2	6.3	27.6	60.5	1.8	Department	4.221	0.987	737
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	3	18	102	250	5	Class	4.587	0.672	375
	0.5	0.8	4.7	26.8	65.8	1.3	Department	4.373	0.851	739
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	6	25	126	216	5	Class	4.461	0.737	375
	0.5	1.6	6.6	33.2	56.8	1.3	Department	4.276	0.850	739
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	4	46	113	211	5	Class	4.411	0.765	375
	0.3	1.1	12.1	29.7	55.5	1.3	Department	4.283	0.861	738
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	9	33	109	223	5	Class	4.451	0.775	375
	0.3	2.4	8.7	28.7	58.7	1.3	Department	4.268	0.896	739
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	5	33	110	225	6	Class	4.479	0.735	374
	0.3	1.3	8.7	28.9	59.2	1.6	Department	4.217	0.970	738
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	3	30	122	219	5	Class	4.480	0.701	375
	0.3	0.8	7.9	32.1	57.6	1.3	Department	4.325	0.840	738
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	4	27	107	232	7	Class	4.504	0.746	373
	0.8	1.1	7.1	28.2	61.1	1.8	Department	4.304	0.900	736
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 333 Your Dept ..... 745 Your College .. 5015								
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	14	26	44	128	120	1	Class	3.946	1.090	332
	4.2	7.8	13.2	38.4	36.0	0.3	Department	4.257	0.975	740
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	16	27	48	98	142	2	Class	3.976	1.157	331
	4.8	8.1	14.4	29.4	42.6	0.6	Department	4.303	0.992	736
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	18	25	54	93	142	1	Class	3.952	1.175	332
	5.4	7.5	16.2	27.9	42.6	0.3	Department	4.287	1.008	738
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	9	18	59	126	120	1	Class	3.994	1.001	332
	2.7	5.4	17.7	37.8	36.0	0.3	Department	4.271	0.903	737
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	10	19	52	120	131	1	Class	4.033	1.026	332
	3.0	5.7	15.6	36.0	39.3	0.3	Department	4.346	0.895	736
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	13	23	43	136	117	1	Class	3.967	1.055	332
	3.9	6.9	12.9	40.8	35.1	0.3	Department	4.251	0.926	738
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	10	19	49	133	121	1	Class	4.012	1.007	332
	3.0	5.7	14.7	39.9	36.3	0.3	Department	4.303	0.891	739
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	11	28	47	121	123	3	Class	3.961	1.075	330
	3.3	8.4	14.1	36.3	36.9	0.9	Department	4.252	0.945	737
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	15	26	47	126	118	1	Class	3.922	1.102	332
	4.5	7.8	14.1	37.8	35.4	0.3	Department	4.221	0.987	737
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	9	17	31	138	137	1	Class	4.136	0.969	332
	2.7	5.1	9.3	41.4	41.1	0.3	Department	4.373	0.851	739
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	7	14	52	140	119	1	Class	4.054	0.934	332
	2.1	4.2	15.6	42.0	35.7	0.3	Department	4.276	0.850	739
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	6	12	59	117	137	2	Class	4.109	0.944	331
	1.8	3.6	17.7	35.1	41.1	0.6	Department	4.283	0.861	738
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	9	14	53	129	127	1	Class	4.057	0.977	332
	2.7	4.2	15.9	38.7	38.1	0.3	Department	4.268	0.896	739
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	14	26	56	118	118	1	Class	3.904	1.101	332
	4.2	7.8	16.8	35.4	35.4	0.3	Department	4.217	0.970	738
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	8	13	42	131	137	2	Class	4.136	0.948	331
	2.4	3.9	12.6	39.3	41.1	0.6	Department	4.325	0.840	738
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	8	23	42	128	130	2	Class	4.054	1.008	331
	2.4	6.9	12.6	38.4	39.0	0.6	Department	4.304	0.900	736
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 32					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 745					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	1	10	20	0	Class	4.531	0.718	32
	0.0	3.1	3.1	31.3	62.5	0.0	Department	4.257	0.975	740
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	0	11	20	0	Class	4.563	0.669	32
	0.0	3.1	0.0	34.4	62.5	0.0	Department	4.303	0.992	736
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	2	7	22	0	Class	4.531	0.879	32
	3.1	0.0	6.3	21.9	68.8	0.0	Department	4.287	1.008	738
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	2	8	21	0	Class	4.531	0.761	32
	0.0	3.1	6.3	25.0	65.6	0.0	Department	4.271	0.903	737
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	11	18	0	Class	4.469	0.671	32
	0.0	0.0	9.4	34.4	56.3	0.0	Department	4.346	0.895	736
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	12	17	0	Class	4.438	0.669	32
	0.0	0.0	9.4	37.5	53.1	0.0	Department	4.251	0.926	738
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	2	10	19	0	Class	4.469	0.761	32
	0.0	3.1	6.3	31.3	59.4	0.0	Department	4.303	0.891	739
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	1	11	19	0	Class	4.500	0.718	32
	0.0	3.1	3.1	34.4	59.4	0.0	Department	4.252	0.945	737
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	2	9	19	0	Class	4.406	0.875	32
	0.0	6.3	6.3	28.1	59.4	0.0	Department	4.221	0.987	737
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	3	12	16	0	Class	4.344	0.787	32
	0.0	3.1	9.4	37.5	50.0	0.0	Department	4.373	0.851	739
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	15	15	0	Class	4.406	0.615	32
	0.0	0.0	6.3	46.9	46.9	0.0	Department	4.276	0.850	739
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	7	22	0	Class	4.594	0.665	32
	0.0	0.0	9.4	21.9	68.8	0.0	Department	4.283	0.861	738
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	6	7	18	0	Class	4.313	0.896	32
	0.0	3.1	18.8	21.9	56.3	0.0	Department	4.268	0.896	739
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	3	6	21	0	Class	4.406	1.012	32
	3.1	3.1	9.4	18.8	65.6	0.0	Department	4.217	0.970	738
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	2	10	19	0	Class	4.469	0.761	32
	0.0	3.1	6.3	31.3	59.4	0.0	Department	4.325	0.840	738
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	1	9	21	0	Class	4.563	0.716	32
	0.0	3.1	3.1	28.1	65.6	0.0	Department	4.304	0.900	736
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 34					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 148					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	13	21	0	Class	4.618	0.493	34
	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.2	61.8	0.0	Department	3.707	1.278	147
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	11	23	0	Class	4.676	0.475	34
	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.4	67.6	0.0	Department	3.736	1.247	148
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	12	20	0	Class	4.529	0.615	34
	0.0	0.0	5.9	35.3	58.8	0.0	Department	3.753	1.235	146
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	18	13	0	Class	4.294	0.629	34
	0.0	0.0	8.8	52.9	38.2	0.0	Department	3.701	1.119	147
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	1	10	21	0	Class	4.471	0.825	34
	0.0	5.9	2.9	29.4	61.8	0.0	Department	3.953	1.174	148
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	21	10	0	Class	4.206	0.592	34
	0.0	0.0	8.8	61.8	29.4	0.0	Department	3.946	0.905	147
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	12	22	0	Class	4.647	0.485	34
	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.3	64.7	0.0	Department	4.047	0.985	148
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	16	18	0	Class	4.529	0.507	34
	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.1	52.9	0.0	Department	3.986	0.914	147
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	16	16	0	Class	4.412	0.609	34
	0.0	0.0	5.9	47.1	47.1	0.0	Department	3.707	1.183	147
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	14	18	0	Class	4.441	0.705	34
	0.0	2.9	2.9	41.2	52.9	0.0	Department	4.136	0.881	147
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	19	11	0	Class	4.206	0.641	34
	0.0	0.0	11.8	55.9	32.4	0.0	Department	3.899	0.931	148
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	12	19	0	Class	4.471	0.662	34
	0.0	0.0	8.8	35.3	55.9	0.0	Department	4.257	0.775	148
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	17	15	0	Class	4.382	0.604	34
	0.0	0.0	5.9	50.0	44.1	0.0	Department	4.122	0.835	147
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	14	18	0	Class	4.471	0.615	34
	0.0	0.0	5.9	41.2	52.9	0.0	Department	3.899	1.042	148
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	16	18	0	Class	4.529	0.507	34
	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.1	52.9	0.0	Department	4.014	0.997	148
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	9	24	0	Class	4.676	0.535	34
	0.0	0.0	2.9	26.5	70.6	0.0	Department	4.061	0.960	147
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947



# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 114					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 148					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	10	21	24	26	32	1	Class	3.434	1.315	113
	8.8	18.4	21.1	22.8	28.1	0.9	Department	3.707	1.278	147
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	10	16	31	26	31	0	Class	3.456	1.270	114
	8.8	14.0	27.2	22.8	27.2	0.0	Department	3.736	1.247	148
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	6	24	23	24	35	2	Class	3.518	1.280	112
	5.3	21.1	20.2	21.1	30.7	1.8	Department	3.753	1.235	146
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	6	19	24	38	26	1	Class	3.522	1.173	113
	5.3	16.7	21.1	33.3	22.8	0.9	Department	3.701	1.119	147
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	9	8	20	37	40	0	Class	3.798	1.221	114
	7.9	7.0	17.5	32.5	35.1	0.0	Department	3.953	1.174	148
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	10	19	52	30	1	Class	3.867	0.968	113
	1.8	8.8	16.7	45.6	26.3	0.9	Department	3.946	0.905	147
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	12	20	45	35	0	Class	3.868	1.026	114
	1.8	10.5	17.5	39.5	30.7	0.0	Department	4.047	0.985	148
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	11	17	58	25	1	Class	3.823	0.947	113
	1.8	9.6	14.9	50.9	21.9	0.9	Department	3.986	0.914	147
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	9	18	20	40	26	1	Class	3.496	1.233	113
	7.9	15.8	17.5	35.1	22.8	0.9	Department	3.707	1.183	147
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	5	17	51	38	1	Class	4.044	0.910	113
	1.8	4.4	14.9	44.7	33.3	0.9	Department	4.136	0.881	147
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	8	26	48	29	0	Class	3.807	0.986	114
	2.6	7.0	22.8	42.1	25.4	0.0	Department	3.899	0.931	148
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	2	15	52	44	0	Class	4.193	0.797	114
	0.9	1.8	13.2	45.6	38.6	0.0	Department	4.257	0.775	148
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	4	17	54	36	1	Class	4.044	0.880	113
	1.8	3.5	14.9	47.4	31.6	0.9	Department	4.122	0.835	147
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	8	26	45	29	0	Class	3.728	1.083	114
	5.3	7.0	22.8	39.5	25.4	0.0	Department	3.899	1.042	148
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	9	21	45	35	0	Class	3.860	1.055	114
	3.5	7.9	18.4	39.5	30.7	0.0	Department	4.014	0.997	148
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	11	17	52	31	1	Class	3.876	0.983	113
	1.8	9.6	14.9	45.6	27.2	0.9	Department	4.061	0.960	147
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 19 Your Dept ..... 111 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	2	2	9	5	0	Class	3.789	1.134	19
	5.3	10.5	10.5	47.4	26.3	0.0	Department	4.318	0.812	110
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	3	3	6	7	0	Class	3.895	1.100	19
	0.0	15.8	15.8	31.6	36.8	0.0	Department	4.455	0.774	110
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	4	6	7	0	Class	3.895	1.150	19
	5.3	5.3	21.1	31.6	36.8	0.0	Department	4.400	0.880	110
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	2	0	3	8	6	0	Class	3.842	1.214	19
	10.5	0.0	15.8	42.1	31.6	0.0	Department	4.345	0.851	110
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	10	7	0	Class	4.263	0.653	19
	0.0	0.0	10.5	52.6	36.8	0.0	Department	4.473	0.673	110
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	0	11	7	0	Class	4.263	0.733	19
	0.0	5.3	0.0	57.9	36.8	0.0	Department	4.413	0.656	109
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	3	7	7	0	Class	4.000	1.000	19
	0.0	10.5	15.8	36.8	36.8	0.0	Department	4.473	0.726	110
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	9	7	0	Class	4.158	0.834	19
	0.0	5.3	10.5	47.4	36.8	0.0	Department	4.482	0.726	110
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	4	6	7	0	Class	3.947	1.026	19
	0.0	10.5	21.1	31.6	36.8	0.0	Department	4.398	0.796	108
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	8	8	1	Class	4.278	0.826	18
	0.0	5.3	5.3	42.1	42.1	5.3	Department	4.495	0.675	109
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	9	9	0	Class	4.421	0.607	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	47.4	47.4	0.0	Department	4.400	0.652	110
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	10	8	0	Class	4.368	0.597	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	52.6	42.1	0.0	Department	4.573	0.613	110
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	10	8	0	Class	4.368	0.597	19
	0.0	0.0	5.3	52.6	42.1	0.0	Department	4.582	0.581	110
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	10	7	0	Class	4.263	0.653	19
	0.0	0.0	10.5	52.6	36.8	0.0	Department	4.455	0.672	110
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	7	9	0	Class	4.316	0.749	19
	0.0	0.0	15.8	36.8	47.4	0.0	Department	4.482	0.674	110
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	4	8	7	0	Class	4.158	0.765	19
	0.0	0.0	21.1	42.1	36.8	0.0	Department	4.333	0.843	108
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 53 Your Dept ..... 111 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	7	24	22	0	Class	4.283	0.690	53
	0.0	0.0	13.2	45.3	41.5	0.0	Department	4.318	0.812	110
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	6	20	27	0	Class	4.396	0.689	53
	0.0	0.0	11.3	37.7	50.9	0.0	Department	4.455	0.774	110
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	6	20	25	0	Class	4.264	0.880	53
	1.9	1.9	11.3	37.7	47.2	0.0	Department	4.400	0.880	110
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	5	22	24	0	Class	4.283	0.794	53
	0.0	3.8	9.4	41.5	45.3	0.0	Department	4.345	0.851	110
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	6	20	26	0	Class	4.340	0.758	53
	0.0	1.9	11.3	37.7	49.1	0.0	Department	4.473	0.673	110
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	6	25	22	0	Class	4.302	0.668	53
	0.0	0.0	11.3	47.2	41.5	0.0	Department	4.413	0.656	109
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	5	21	27	0	Class	4.415	0.663	53
	0.0	0.0	9.4	39.6	50.9	0.0	Department	4.473	0.726	110
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	7	16	29	0	Class	4.377	0.790	53
	0.0	1.9	13.2	30.2	54.7	0.0	Department	4.482	0.726	110
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	5	18	27	1	Class	4.346	0.814	52
	0.0	3.8	9.4	34.0	50.9	1.9	Department	4.398	0.796	108
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	4	22	27	0	Class	4.434	0.636	53
	0.0	0.0	7.5	41.5	50.9	0.0	Department	4.495	0.675	109
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	7	23	23	0	Class	4.302	0.696	53
	0.0	0.0	13.2	43.4	43.4	0.0	Department	4.400	0.652	110
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	6	14	33	0	Class	4.509	0.697	53
	0.0	0.0	11.3	26.4	62.3	0.0	Department	4.573	0.613	110
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	19	32	0	Class	4.566	0.572	53
	0.0	0.0	3.8	35.8	60.4	0.0	Department	4.582	0.581	110
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	7	19	27	0	Class	4.377	0.713	53
	0.0	0.0	13.2	35.8	50.9	0.0	Department	4.455	0.672	110
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	23	27	0	Class	4.453	0.607	53
	0.0	0.0	5.7	43.4	50.9	0.0	Department	4.482	0.674	110
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	2	7	18	25	0	Class	4.208	0.948	53
	1.9	3.8	13.2	34.0	47.2	0.0	Department	4.333	0.843	108
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 39					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 111					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	0	11	26	1	Class	4.632	0.633	38
	0.0	2.6	0.0	28.2	66.7	2.6	Department	4.318	0.812	110
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	5	32	1	Class	4.816	0.457	38
	0.0	0.0	2.6	12.8	82.1	2.6	Department	4.455	0.774	110
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	4	33	1	Class	4.842	0.437	38
	0.0	0.0	2.6	10.3	84.6	2.6	Department	4.400	0.880	110
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	10	27	1	Class	4.684	0.525	38
	0.0	0.0	2.6	25.6	69.2	2.6	Department	4.345	0.851	110
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	9	29	1	Class	4.763	0.431	38
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	74.4	2.6	Department	4.473	0.673	110
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	11	25	2	Class	4.649	0.538	37
	0.0	0.0	2.6	28.2	64.1	5.1	Department	4.413	0.656	109
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	6	31	1	Class	4.789	0.474	38
	0.0	0.0	2.6	15.4	79.5	2.6	Department	4.473	0.726	110
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	8	30	1	Class	4.789	0.413	38
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.5	76.9	2.6	Department	4.482	0.726	110
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	11	26	2	Class	4.703	0.463	37
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.2	66.7	5.1	Department	4.398	0.796	108
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	0	9	28	1	Class	4.684	0.620	38
	0.0	2.6	0.0	23.1	71.8	2.6	Department	4.495	0.675	109
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	14	22	1	Class	4.526	0.603	38
	0.0	0.0	5.1	35.9	56.4	2.6	Department	4.400	0.652	110
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	9	29	1	Class	4.763	0.431	38
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	74.4	2.6	Department	4.573	0.613	110
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	7	29	1	Class	4.711	0.565	38
	0.0	0.0	5.1	17.9	74.4	2.6	Department	4.582	0.581	110
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	9	27	1	Class	4.658	0.582	38
	0.0	0.0	5.1	23.1	69.2	2.6	Department	4.455	0.672	110
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	5	5	28	1	Class	4.605	0.718	38
	0.0	0.0	12.8	12.8	71.8	2.6	Department	4.482	0.674	110
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	8	25	3	Class	4.611	0.645	36
	0.0	0.0	7.7	20.5	64.1	7.7	Department	4.333	0.843	108
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: ECONOMICS		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 622 Your Dept ..... 800 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	10	35	69	237	267	4	Class	4.159	0.945	618
	1.6	5.6	11.1	38.1	42.9	0.6	Department	4.044	1.045	795
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	8	36	75	186	311	6	Class	4.227	0.963	616
	1.3	5.8	12.1	29.9	50.0	1.0	Department	4.121	1.070	794
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	6	26	89	210	286	5	Class	4.206	0.907	617
	1.0	4.2	14.3	33.8	46.0	0.8	Department	4.108	1.008	795
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	3	29	78	272	234	6	Class	4.144	0.848	616
	0.5	4.7	12.5	43.7	37.6	1.0	Department	4.025	0.980	794
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	4	11	55	203	345	4	Class	4.414	0.779	618
	0.6	1.8	8.8	32.6	55.5	0.6	Department	4.354	0.864	796
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	10	29	119	278	180	6	Class	3.956	0.905	616
	1.6	4.7	19.1	44.7	28.9	1.0	Department	3.843	1.007	792
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	23	61	242	290	4	Class	4.286	0.815	618
	0.3	3.7	9.8	38.9	46.6	0.6	Department	4.196	0.904	796
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	20	69	278	245	4	Class	4.191	0.830	618
	1.0	3.2	11.1	44.7	39.4	0.6	Department	4.116	0.924	796
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	8	22	99	243	247	3	Class	4.129	0.896	619
	1.3	3.5	15.9	39.1	39.7	0.5	Department	4.021	0.998	797
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	18	29	241	325	4	Class	4.396	0.778	618
	0.8	2.9	4.7	38.7	52.3	0.6	Department	4.317	0.865	796
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	15	96	313	194	3	Class	4.105	0.755	619
	0.2	2.4	15.4	50.3	31.2	0.5	Department	4.039	0.830	797
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	7	18	109	263	219	6	Class	4.086	0.863	616
	1.1	2.9	17.5	42.3	35.2	1.0	Department	4.101	0.880	794
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	9	32	92	265	219	5	Class	4.058	0.917	617
	1.4	5.1	14.8	42.6	35.2	0.8	Department	4.021	0.967	795
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	8	36	107	249	218	4	Class	4.024	0.936	618
	1.3	5.8	17.2	40.0	35.0	0.6	Department	3.946	1.023	796
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	19	79	269	249	3	Class	4.199	0.811	619
	0.5	3.1	12.7	43.2	40.0	0.5	Department	4.167	0.854	797
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	7	23	76	244	267	5	Class	4.201	0.876	617
	1.1	3.7	12.2	39.2	42.9	0.8	Department	4.143	0.929	795
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: ECONOMICS		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 164 Your Dept ..... 800 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	15	21	30	51	46	1	Class	3.564	1.277	163	
	9.1	12.8	18.3	31.1	28.0	0.6	Department	4.044	1.045	795	
							College	4.279	0.899	4986	
							University	4.152	0.989	43211	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	16	22	19	49	58	0	Class	3.677	1.338	164	
	9.8	13.4	11.6	29.9	35.4	0.0	Department	4.121	1.070	794	
							College	4.346	0.900	4982	
							University	4.221	0.992	43070	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	13	19	26	50	56	0	Class	3.713	1.267	164	
	7.9	11.6	15.9	30.5	34.1	0.0	Department	4.108	1.008	795	
							College	4.305	0.915	4981	
							University	4.176	1.009	43070	
4. the quality of this course.	18	14	37	51	44	0	Class	3.543	1.274	164	
	11.0	8.5	22.6	31.1	26.8	0.0	Department	4.025	0.980	794	
							College	4.265	0.863	4979	
							University	4.124	0.966	42997	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	8	8	19	50	79	0	Class	4.122	1.106	164	
	4.9	4.9	11.6	30.5	48.2	0.0	Department	4.354	0.864	796	
							College	4.409	0.838	4974	
							University	4.301	0.910	43061	
6. your understanding of the course content.	16	25	35	55	31	2	Class	3.370	1.236	162	
	9.8	15.2	21.3	33.5	18.9	1.2	Department	3.843	1.007	792	
							College	4.183	0.876	4968	
							University	4.135	0.917	43010	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	8	18	18	72	48	0	Class	3.817	1.120	164	
	4.9	11.0	11.0	43.9	29.3	0.0	Department	4.196	0.904	796	
							College	4.367	0.791	4906	
							University	4.243	0.894	43045	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	10	16	25	60	53	0	Class	3.793	1.175	164	
	6.1	9.8	15.2	36.6	32.3	0.0	Department	4.116	0.924	796	
							College	4.302	0.846	4904	
							University	4.231	0.904	43022	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	12	24	31	55	42	0	Class	3.555	1.225	164	
	7.3	14.6	18.9	33.5	25.6	0.0	Department	4.021	0.998	797	
							College	4.228	0.931	4904	
							University	4.148	0.992	43036	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	7	13	17	64	63	0	Class	3.994	1.094	164	
	4.3	7.9	10.4	39.0	38.4	0.0	Department	4.317	0.865	796	
							College	4.420	0.784	4903	
							University	4.328	0.864	43018	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	8	9	37	70	40	0	Class	3.762	1.038	164	
	4.9	5.5	22.6	42.7	24.4	0.0	Department	4.039	0.830	797	
							College	4.233	0.790	4906	
							University	4.199	0.833	43015	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	5	3	26	63	67	0	Class	4.122	0.951	164	
	3.0	1.8	15.9	38.4	40.9	0.0	Department	4.101	0.880	794	
							College	4.326	0.795	4895	
							University	4.247	0.862	42989	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	10	8	33	57	56	0	Class	3.860	1.129	164	
	6.1	4.9	20.1	34.8	34.1	0.0	Department	4.021	0.967	795	
							College	4.306	0.848	4904	
							University	4.208	0.939	43019	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	15	18	31	53	47	0	Class	3.604	1.261	164	
	9.1	11.0	18.9	32.3	28.7	0.0	Department	3.946	1.023	796	
							College	4.236	0.896	4903	
							University	4.145	0.969	42985	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	6	7	23	68	60	0	Class	4.030	1.006	164	
	3.7	4.3	14.0	41.5	36.6	0.0	Department	4.167	0.854	797	
							College	4.341	0.780	4907	
							University	4.273	0.841	43027	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	11	7	19	78	49	0	Class	3.896	1.089	164	
	6.7	4.3	11.6	47.6	29.9	0.0	Department	4.143	0.929	795	
							College	4.337	0.827	4893	
							University	4.219	0.926	42947	

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: ECONOMICS							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 14					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept ..... 800					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	6	8	0	Class	4.571	0.514	14	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.044	1.045	795	
							College	4.279	0.899	4986	
							University	4.152	0.989	43211	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	5	9	0	Class	4.643	0.497	14	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	0.0	Department	4.121	1.070	794	
							College	4.346	0.900	4982	
							University	4.221	0.992	43070	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	6	7	0	Class	4.429	0.646	14	
	0.0	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	0.0	Department	4.108	1.008	795	
							College	4.305	0.915	4981	
							University	4.176	1.009	43070	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	6	7	0	Class	4.429	0.646	14	
	0.0	0.0	7.1	42.9	50.0	0.0	Department	4.025	0.980	794	
							College	4.265	0.863	4979	
							University	4.124	0.966	42997	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	0	5	8	0	Class	4.429	0.852	14	
	0.0	7.1	0.0	35.7	57.1	0.0	Department	4.354	0.864	796	
							College	4.409	0.838	4974	
							University	4.301	0.910	43061	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	7	6	0	Class	4.357	0.633	14	
	0.0	0.0	7.1	50.0	42.9	0.0	Department	3.843	1.007	792	
							College	4.183	0.876	4968	
							University	4.135	0.917	43010	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	5	9	0	Class	4.643	0.497	14	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.7	64.3	0.0	Department	4.196	0.904	796	
							College	4.367	0.791	4906	
							University	4.243	0.894	43045	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	4	9	0	Class	4.571	0.646	14	
	0.0	0.0	7.1	28.6	64.3	0.0	Department	4.116	0.924	796	
							College	4.302	0.846	4904	
							University	4.231	0.904	43022	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	4	10	0	Class	4.714	0.469	14	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.021	0.998	797	
							College	4.228	0.931	4904	
							University	4.148	0.992	43036	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	6	8	0	Class	4.571	0.514	14	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.317	0.865	796	
							College	4.420	0.784	4903	
							University	4.328	0.864	43018	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	9	5	0	Class	4.357	0.497	14	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.3	35.7	0.0	Department	4.039	0.830	797	
							College	4.233	0.790	4906	
							University	4.199	0.833	43015	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	5	8	0	Class	4.500	0.650	14	
	0.0	0.0	7.1	35.7	57.1	0.0	Department	4.101	0.880	794	
							College	4.326	0.795	4895	
							University	4.247	0.862	42989	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	1	5	7	0	Class	4.286	0.914	14	
	0.0	7.1	7.1	35.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.021	0.967	795	
							College	4.306	0.848	4904	
							University	4.208	0.939	43019	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	5	8	0	Class	4.500	0.650	14	
	0.0	0.0	7.1	35.7	57.1	0.0	Department	3.946	1.023	796	
							College	4.236	0.896	4903	
							University	4.145	0.969	42985	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	7	6	0	Class	4.357	0.633	14	
	0.0	0.0	7.1	50.0	42.9	0.0	Department	4.167	0.854	797	
							College	4.341	0.780	4907	
							University	4.273	0.841	43027	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	5	8	0	Class	4.500	0.650	14	
	0.0	0.0	7.1	35.7	57.1	0.0	Department	4.143	0.929	795	
							College	4.337	0.827	4893	
							University	4.219	0.926	42947	

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 15 Your Dept ..... 82 Your College .. 5015								
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.305	0.827	82
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.402	0.814	82
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	3	12	0	Class	4.800	0.414	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.390	0.716	82
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.012	0.975	82
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.402	0.768	82
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	7	7	0	Class	4.400	0.632	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	46.7	46.7	0.0	Department	4.098	0.826	82
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.329	0.704	82
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	5	9	0	Class	4.533	0.640	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	33.3	60.0	0.0	Department	4.159	0.824	82
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.098	0.938	82
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	5	10	0	Class	4.667	0.488	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.220	0.917	82
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	6	8	0	Class	4.467	0.640	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.207	0.698	82
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	5	8	0	Class	4.400	0.737	15
	0.0	0.0	13.3	33.3	53.3	0.0	Department	4.358	0.747	81
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	3.716	1.257	81
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	5	10	0	Class	4.667	0.488	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.123	0.886	81
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	6	8	0	Class	4.467	0.640	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.210	0.754	81
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.321	0.722	81
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947



# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: ENTOMOLOGY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 55 Your Dept ..... 82 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	2	7	28	17	0	Class	4.055	0.870	55
	1.8	3.6	12.7	50.9	30.9	0.0	Department	4.305	0.827	82
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	3	2	26	23	0	Class	4.218	0.896	55
	1.8	5.5	3.6	47.3	41.8	0.0	Department	4.402	0.814	82
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	2	34	17	0	Class	4.182	0.748	55
	1.8	1.8	3.6	61.8	30.9	0.0	Department	4.390	0.716	82
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	1	5	17	23	9	0	Class	3.618	0.933	55
	1.8	9.1	30.9	41.8	16.4	0.0	Department	4.012	0.975	82
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	5	28	20	0	Class	4.182	0.819	55
	1.8	1.8	9.1	50.9	36.4	0.0	Department	4.402	0.768	82
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	2	11	30	11	0	Class	3.873	0.840	55
	1.8	3.6	20.0	54.5	20.0	0.0	Department	4.098	0.826	82
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	5	33	16	0	Class	4.145	0.731	55
	1.8	0.0	9.1	60.0	29.1	0.0	Department	4.329	0.704	82
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	1	12	30	11	0	Class	3.891	0.809	55
	1.8	1.8	21.8	54.5	20.0	0.0	Department	4.159	0.824	82
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	4	14	26	10	0	Class	3.727	0.912	55
	1.8	7.3	25.5	47.3	18.2	0.0	Department	4.098	0.938	82
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	3	6	29	15	0	Class	3.945	0.970	55
	3.6	5.5	10.9	52.7	27.3	0.0	Department	4.220	0.917	82
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	5	37	12	0	Class	4.073	0.690	55
	1.8	0.0	9.1	67.3	21.8	0.0	Department	4.207	0.698	82
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	5	29	19	1	Class	4.204	0.762	54
	1.8	0.0	9.1	52.7	34.5	1.8	Department	4.358	0.747	81
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	5	13	9	18	9	1	Class	3.241	1.258	54
	9.1	23.6	16.4	32.7	16.4	1.8	Department	3.716	1.257	81
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	2	9	32	9	1	Class	3.815	0.892	54
	3.6	3.6	16.4	58.2	16.4	1.8	Department	4.123	0.886	81
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	9	32	12	1	Class	4.000	0.752	54
	1.8	0.0	16.4	58.2	21.8	1.8	Department	4.210	0.754	81
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	3	35	14	1	Class	4.111	0.744	54
	1.8	1.8	5.5	63.6	25.5	1.8	Department	4.321	0.722	81
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ENTOMOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 12					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 82					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.305	0.827	82
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	2	10	0	Class	4.833	0.389	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.402	0.814	82
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	2	10	0	Class	4.833	0.389	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.390	0.716	82
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.012	0.975	82
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class	5.000	0.000	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.402	0.768	82
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	3	9	0	Class	4.750	0.452	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.098	0.826	82
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	10	0	Class	4.833	0.389	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.329	0.704	82
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.159	0.824	82
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class	5.000	0.000	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.098	0.938	82
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.220	0.917	82
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	4	7	0	Class	4.500	0.674	12
	0.0	0.0	8.3	33.3	58.3	0.0	Department	4.207	0.698	82
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	0	12	0	Class	5.000	0.000	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.358	0.747	81
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	3	9	0	Class	4.750	0.452	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	3.716	1.257	81
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	2	10	0	Class	4.833	0.389	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.123	0.886	81
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	2	10	0	Class	4.833	0.389	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.210	0.754	81
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	11	0	Class	4.917	0.289	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	Department	4.321	0.722	81
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: FOOD SAFETY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 64 Your Dept ..... 64 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	6	7	20	29	0	Class	4.063	1.111	64
	3.1	9.4	10.9	31.3	45.3	0.0	Department	4.063	1.111	64
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	3	4	20	33	0	Class	4.172	1.149	64
	6.3	4.7	6.3	31.3	51.6	0.0	Department	4.172	1.149	64
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	3	6	4	17	34	0	Class	4.141	1.180	64
	4.7	9.4	6.3	26.6	53.1	0.0	Department	4.141	1.180	64
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	1	4	8	22	29	0	Class	4.156	0.979	64
	1.6	6.3	12.5	34.4	45.3	0.0	Department	4.156	0.979	64
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	3	8	22	30	1	Class	4.254	0.861	63
	0.0	4.7	12.5	34.4	46.9	1.6	Department	4.254	0.861	63
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	3	9	24	28	0	Class	4.203	0.858	64
	0.0	4.7	14.1	37.5	43.8	0.0	Department	4.203	0.858	64
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	3	9	19	30	0	Class	4.094	1.109	64
	4.7	4.7	14.1	29.7	46.9	0.0	Department	4.094	1.109	64
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	5	4	20	33	0	Class	4.203	1.072	64
	3.1	7.8	6.3	31.3	51.6	0.0	Department	4.203	1.072	64
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	8	6	15	32	0	Class	4.016	1.241	64
	4.7	12.5	9.4	23.4	50.0	0.0	Department	4.016	1.241	64
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	7	26	31	0	Class	4.375	0.678	64
	0.0	0.0	10.9	40.6	48.4	0.0	Department	4.375	0.678	64
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	10	26	27	0	Class	4.234	0.771	64
	0.0	1.6	15.6	40.6	42.2	0.0	Department	4.234	0.771	64
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	4	8	16	34	1	Class	4.238	1.011	63
	1.6	6.3	12.5	25.0	53.1	1.6	Department	4.238	1.011	63
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	2	1	22	37	0	Class	4.406	0.921	64
	3.1	3.1	1.6	34.4	57.8	0.0	Department	4.406	0.921	64
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	6	5	14	35	0	Class	4.094	1.256	64
	6.3	9.4	7.8	21.9	54.7	0.0	Department	4.094	1.256	64
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	3	5	20	33	0	Class	4.203	1.086	64
	4.7	4.7	7.8	31.3	51.6	0.0	Department	4.203	1.086	64
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	0	16	15	30	0	Class	4.078	1.074	64
	4.7	0.0	25.0	23.4	46.9	0.0	Department	4.078	1.074	64
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: MICROBIOLOGY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 167 Your Dept ..... 609 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	6	2	21	52	82	4	Class	4.239	0.980	163
	3.6	1.2	12.6	31.1	49.1	2.4	Department	4.260	0.879	604
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	7	3	23	41	89	4	Class	4.239	1.047	163
	4.2	1.8	13.8	24.6	53.3	2.4	Department	4.350	0.860	603
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	6	5	21	41	88	6	Class	4.242	1.041	161
	3.6	3.0	12.6	24.6	52.7	3.6	Department	4.276	0.927	601
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	2	4	21	50	86	4	Class	4.313	0.879	163
	1.2	2.4	12.6	29.9	51.5	2.4	Department	4.312	0.815	602
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	6	7	15	45	89	5	Class	4.259	1.043	162
	3.6	4.2	9.0	26.9	53.3	3.0	Department	4.313	0.923	601
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	3	23	58	76	5	Class	4.253	0.858	162
	1.2	1.8	13.8	34.7	45.5	3.0	Department	4.274	0.819	603
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	2	13	28	39	2	Class	4.116	1.056	86
	4.5	2.3	14.8	31.8	44.3	2.3	Department	4.387	0.789	525
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	2	11	30	37	2	Class	4.047	1.137	86
	6.8	2.3	12.5	34.1	42.0	2.3	Department	4.234	0.912	526
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	5	2	17	31	31	2	Class	3.942	1.088	86
	5.7	2.3	19.3	35.2	35.2	2.3	Department	4.034	1.063	526
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	3	7	33	39	2	Class	4.163	1.039	86
	4.5	3.4	8.0	37.5	44.3	2.3	Department	4.389	0.816	525
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	4	17	33	31	2	Class	4.035	0.926	86
	1.1	4.5	19.3	37.5	35.2	2.3	Department	4.276	0.796	526
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	1	21	29	31	2	Class	3.953	1.039	86
	4.5	1.1	23.9	33.0	35.2	2.3	Department	4.319	0.815	524
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	6	3	10	33	34	2	Class	4.000	1.138	86
	6.8	3.4	11.4	37.5	38.6	2.3	Department	4.310	0.832	525
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	5	3	15	30	33	2	Class	3.965	1.111	86
	5.7	3.4	17.0	34.1	37.5	2.3	Department	4.231	0.874	524
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	4	10	37	33	2	Class	4.105	0.946	86
	2.3	4.5	11.4	42.0	37.5	2.3	Department	4.359	0.765	526
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	15	37	33	2	Class	4.174	0.800	86
	1.1	0.0	17.0	42.0	37.5	2.3	Department	4.320	0.789	525
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: MICROBIOLOGY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 416 Your Dept ..... 609 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	16	38	170	188	0	Class	4.255	0.849	416
	1.0	3.8	9.1	40.9	45.2	0.0	Department	4.260	0.879	604
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	9	29	160	214	0	Class	4.373	0.787	416
	1.0	2.2	7.0	38.5	51.4	0.0	Department	4.350	0.860	603
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	5	18	40	150	202	1	Class	4.267	0.892	415
	1.2	4.3	9.6	36.1	48.6	0.2	Department	4.276	0.927	601
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	4	7	43	168	193	1	Class	4.299	0.797	415
	1.0	1.7	10.3	40.4	46.4	0.2	Department	4.312	0.815	602
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	5	19	32	142	217	1	Class	4.318	0.890	415
	1.2	4.6	7.7	34.1	52.2	0.2	Department	4.313	0.923	601
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	8	44	174	185	0	Class	4.264	0.817	416
	1.2	1.9	10.6	41.8	44.5	0.0	Department	4.274	0.819	603
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	2	4	34	154	221	1	Class	4.417	0.724	415
	0.5	1.0	8.2	37.0	53.1	0.2	Department	4.387	0.789	525
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	18	41	159	195	0	Class	4.262	0.859	416
	0.7	4.3	9.9	38.2	46.9	0.0	Department	4.234	0.912	526
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	11	37	54	142	172	0	Class	4.026	1.067	416
	2.6	8.9	13.0	34.1	41.3	0.0	Department	4.034	1.063	526
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	7	21	158	224	1	Class	4.419	0.769	415
	1.2	1.7	5.0	38.0	53.8	0.2	Department	4.389	0.816	525
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	5	39	180	188	0	Class	4.305	0.767	416
	1.0	1.2	9.4	43.3	45.2	0.0	Department	4.276	0.796	526
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	1	48	150	212	2	Class	4.370	0.753	414
	0.7	0.2	11.5	36.1	51.0	0.5	Department	4.319	0.815	524
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	7	36	169	201	1	Class	4.349	0.749	415
	0.5	1.7	8.7	40.6	48.3	0.2	Department	4.310	0.832	525
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	12	44	171	184	2	Class	4.258	0.817	414
	0.7	2.9	10.6	41.1	44.2	0.5	Department	4.231	0.874	524
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	4	29	170	210	0	Class	4.394	0.724	416
	0.7	1.0	7.0	40.9	50.5	0.0	Department	4.359	0.765	526
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	10	31	171	199	1	Class	4.328	0.795	415
	1.0	2.4	7.5	41.1	47.8	0.2	Department	4.320	0.789	525
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses								VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: MICROBIOLOGY								G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 26						IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 609						P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	9	14	1	Class	4.480	0.653	25	
	0.0	0.0	7.7	34.6	53.8	3.8	Department	4.260	0.879	604	
							College	4.279	0.899	4986	
							University	4.152	0.989	43211	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	7	17	2	Class	4.708	0.464	24	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.9	65.4	7.7	Department	4.350	0.860	603	
							College	4.346	0.900	4982	
							University	4.221	0.992	43070	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	5	18	1	Class	4.640	0.638	25	
	0.0	0.0	7.7	19.2	69.2	3.8	Department	4.276	0.927	601	
							College	4.305	0.915	4981	
							University	4.176	1.009	43070	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	7	15	2	Class	4.542	0.658	24	
	0.0	0.0	7.7	26.9	57.7	7.7	Department	4.312	0.815	602	
							College	4.265	0.863	4979	
							University	4.124	0.966	42997	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	10	14	2	Class	4.583	0.504	24	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.5	53.8	7.7	Department	4.313	0.923	601	
							College	4.409	0.838	4974	
							University	4.301	0.910	43061	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	11	14	1	Class	4.560	0.507	25	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.3	53.8	3.8	Department	4.274	0.819	603	
							College	4.183	0.876	4968	
							University	4.135	0.917	43010	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	4	20	2	Class	4.833	0.381	24	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	76.9	7.7	Department	4.387	0.789	525	
							College	4.367	0.791	4906	
							University	4.243	0.894	43045	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	7	14	2	Class	4.417	0.830	24	
	0.0	3.8	7.7	26.9	53.8	7.7	Department	4.234	0.912	526	
							College	4.302	0.846	4904	
							University	4.231	0.904	43022	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	4	4	16	2	Class	4.500	0.780	24	
	0.0	0.0	15.4	15.4	61.5	7.7	Department	4.034	1.063	526	
							College	4.228	0.931	4904	
							University	4.148	0.992	43036	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	8	16	2	Class	4.667	0.482	24	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.8	61.5	7.7	Department	4.389	0.816	525	
							College	4.420	0.784	4903	
							University	4.328	0.864	43018	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	7	16	2	Class	4.625	0.576	24	
	0.0	0.0	3.8	26.9	61.5	7.7	Department	4.276	0.796	526	
							College	4.233	0.790	4906	
							University	4.199	0.833	43015	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	6	18	2	Class	4.750	0.442	24	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	69.2	7.7	Department	4.319	0.815	524	
							College	4.326	0.795	4895	
							University	4.247	0.862	42989	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	4	19	2	Class	4.750	0.532	24	
	0.0	0.0	3.8	15.4	73.1	7.7	Department	4.310	0.832	525	
							College	4.306	0.848	4904	
							University	4.208	0.939	43019	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	5	18	2	Class	4.708	0.550	24	
	0.0	0.0	3.8	19.2	69.2	7.7	Department	4.231	0.874	524	
							College	4.236	0.896	4903	
							University	4.145	0.969	42985	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	8	16	2	Class	4.667	0.482	24	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.8	61.5	7.7	Department	4.359	0.765	526	
							College	4.341	0.780	4907	
							University	4.273	0.841	43027	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	7	17	2	Class	4.708	0.464	24	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.9	65.4	7.7	Department	4.320	0.789	525	
							College	4.337	0.827	4893	
							University	4.219	0.926	42947	

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 87					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 155					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	30	54	1	Class	4.605	0.538	86
	0.0	0.0	2.3	34.5	62.1	1.1	Department	4.519	0.649	154
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	3	26	57	1	Class	4.628	0.554	86
	0.0	0.0	3.4	29.9	65.5	1.1	Department	4.584	0.693	154
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	24	60	1	Class	4.674	0.519	86
	0.0	0.0	2.3	27.6	69.0	1.1	Department	4.584	0.674	154
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	31	53	1	Class	4.593	0.540	86
	0.0	0.0	2.3	35.6	60.9	1.1	Department	4.526	0.678	154
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	2	16	66	1	Class	4.686	0.690	86
	1.1	1.1	2.3	18.4	75.9	1.1	Department	4.578	0.774	154
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	24	60	2	Class	4.694	0.489	85
	0.0	0.0	1.1	27.6	69.0	2.3	Department	4.562	0.667	153
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	35	50	1	Class	4.570	0.521	86
	0.0	0.0	1.1	40.2	57.5	1.1	Department	4.545	0.606	154
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	3	29	54	1	Class	4.593	0.561	86
	0.0	0.0	3.4	33.3	62.1	1.1	Department	4.532	0.628	154
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	29	54	1	Class	4.593	0.561	86
	0.0	0.0	3.4	33.3	62.1	1.1	Department	4.519	0.679	154
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	3	23	58	1	Class	4.593	0.675	86
	0.0	2.3	3.4	26.4	66.7	1.1	Department	4.532	0.751	154
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	35	48	1	Class	4.523	0.568	86
	0.0	0.0	3.4	40.2	55.2	1.1	Department	4.494	0.629	154
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	8	34	44	1	Class	4.419	0.659	86
	0.0	0.0	9.2	39.1	50.6	1.1	Department	4.506	0.639	154
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	5	33	46	1	Class	4.430	0.712	86
	0.0	2.3	5.7	37.9	52.9	1.1	Department	4.448	0.801	154
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	9	29	47	1	Class	4.419	0.727	86
	0.0	1.1	10.3	33.3	54.0	1.1	Department	4.396	0.736	154
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	10	31	44	1	Class	4.372	0.736	86
	0.0	1.1	11.5	35.6	50.6	1.1	Department	4.422	0.721	154
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	4	31	49	1	Class	4.477	0.698	86
	0.0	2.3	4.6	35.6	56.3	1.1	Department	4.422	0.774	154
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 43					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 155					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	8	11	24	0	Class	4.372	0.787	43
	0.0	0.0	18.6	25.6	55.8	0.0	Department	4.519	0.649	154
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	6	5	31	0	Class	4.535	0.827	43
	0.0	2.3	14.0	11.6	72.1	0.0	Department	4.584	0.693	154
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	7	7	29	0	Class	4.512	0.768	43
	0.0	0.0	16.3	16.3	67.4	0.0	Department	4.584	0.674	154
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	4	10	27	0	Class	4.442	0.854	43
	0.0	4.7	9.3	23.3	62.8	0.0	Department	4.526	0.678	154
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	4	12	26	0	Class	4.465	0.767	43
	0.0	2.3	9.3	27.9	60.5	0.0	Department	4.578	0.774	154
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	3	17	22	0	Class	4.395	0.728	43
	0.0	2.3	7.0	39.5	51.2	0.0	Department	4.562	0.667	153
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	3	12	27	0	Class	4.512	0.736	43
	0.0	2.3	7.0	27.9	62.8	0.0	Department	4.545	0.606	154
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	5	12	26	0	Class	4.488	0.703	43
	0.0	0.0	11.6	27.9	60.5	0.0	Department	4.532	0.628	154
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	4	11	25	0	Class	4.349	0.923	43
	0.0	7.0	9.3	25.6	58.1	0.0	Department	4.519	0.679	154
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	3	12	26	0	Class	4.442	0.825	43
	0.0	4.7	7.0	27.9	60.5	0.0	Department	4.532	0.751	154
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	5	14	24	0	Class	4.442	0.700	43
	0.0	0.0	11.6	32.6	55.8	0.0	Department	4.494	0.629	154
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	11	30	0	Class	4.651	0.573	43
	0.0	0.0	4.7	25.6	69.8	0.0	Department	4.506	0.639	154
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	2	1	8	31	0	Class	4.535	0.935	43
	2.3	4.7	2.3	18.6	72.1	0.0	Department	4.448	0.801	154
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	3	15	23	0	Class	4.372	0.817	43
	0.0	4.7	7.0	34.9	53.5	0.0	Department	4.396	0.736	154
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	3	12	27	0	Class	4.512	0.736	43
	0.0	2.3	7.0	27.9	62.8	0.0	Department	4.422	0.721	154
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	5	10	26	0	Class	4.372	0.952	43
	2.3	2.3	11.6	23.3	60.5	0.0	Department	4.422	0.774	154
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947



North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)				
# Sheets Scanned: This Level ....		25					IB=In Between....(3)				
Your Dept .....		155					P= Poor....(2)				
Your College ..		5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	0	10	14	0	Class	4.480	0.714	25	
	0.0	4.0	0.0	40.0	56.0	0.0	Department	4.519	0.649	154	
							College	4.279	0.899	4986	
							University	4.152	0.989	43211	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	0	0	8	16	0	Class	4.520	0.872	25	
	4.0	0.0	0.0	32.0	64.0	0.0	Department	4.584	0.693	154	
							College	4.346	0.900	4982	
							University	4.221	0.992	43070	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	1	9	14	0	Class	4.400	0.913	25	
	4.0	0.0	4.0	36.0	56.0	0.0	Department	4.584	0.674	154	
							College	4.305	0.915	4981	
							University	4.176	1.009	43070	
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	1	9	14	0	Class	4.440	0.768	25	
	0.0	4.0	4.0	36.0	56.0	0.0	Department	4.526	0.678	154	
							College	4.265	0.863	4979	
							University	4.124	0.966	42997	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	0	8	15	0	Class	4.400	1.000	25	
	4.0	4.0	0.0	32.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.578	0.774	154	
							College	4.409	0.838	4974	
							University	4.301	0.910	43061	
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	2	7	15	0	Class	4.400	0.957	25	
	4.0	0.0	8.0	28.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.562	0.667	153	
							College	4.183	0.876	4968	
							University	4.135	0.917	43010	
=====											
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
=====											
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	8	15	0	Class	4.520	0.653	25	
	0.0	0.0	8.0	32.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.545	0.606	154	
							College	4.367	0.791	4906	
							University	4.243	0.894	43045	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	3	9	13	0	Class	4.400	0.707	25	
	0.0	0.0	12.0	36.0	52.0	0.0	Department	4.532	0.628	154	
							College	4.302	0.846	4904	
							University	4.231	0.904	43022	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	11	14	0	Class	4.560	0.507	25	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.0	56.0	0.0	Department	4.519	0.679	154	
							College	4.228	0.931	4904	
							University	4.148	0.992	43036	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	3	4	17	0	Class	4.480	0.872	25	
	0.0	4.0	12.0	16.0	68.0	0.0	Department	4.532	0.751	154	
							College	4.420	0.784	4903	
							University	4.328	0.864	43018	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	0	10	14	0	Class	4.480	0.714	25	
	0.0	4.0	0.0	40.0	56.0	0.0	Department	4.494	0.629	154	
							College	4.233	0.790	4906	
							University	4.199	0.833	43015	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	2	7	16	0	Class	4.560	0.651	25	
	0.0	0.0	8.0	28.0	64.0	0.0	Department	4.506	0.639	154	
							College	4.326	0.795	4895	
							University	4.247	0.862	42989	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	3	7	14	0	Class	4.360	0.860	25	
	0.0	4.0	12.0	28.0	56.0	0.0	Department	4.448	0.801	154	
							College	4.306	0.848	4904	
							University	4.208	0.939	43019	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	12	11	0	Class	4.360	0.638	25	
	0.0	0.0	8.0	48.0	44.0	0.0	Department	4.396	0.736	154	
							College	4.236	0.896	4903	
							University	4.145	0.969	42985	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	10	13	0	Class	4.440	0.651	25	
	0.0	0.0	8.0	40.0	52.0	0.0	Department	4.422	0.721	154	
							College	4.341	0.780	4907	
							University	4.273	0.841	43027	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	11	11	0	Class	4.320	0.690	25	
	0.0	0.0	12.0	44.0	44.0	0.0	Department	4.422	0.774	154	
							College	4.337	0.827	4893	
							University	4.219	0.926	42947	

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: PLANT SCIENCES		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 302 Your Dept ..... 1091 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	5	17	100	178	0	Class	4.480	0.737	302
	0.7	1.7	5.6	33.1	58.9	0.0	Department	4.381	0.800	1085
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	7	20	92	181	0	Class	4.467	0.776	302
	0.7	2.3	6.6	30.5	59.9	0.0	Department	4.437	0.788	1085
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	5	10	34	87	166	0	Class	4.321	0.918	302
	1.7	3.3	11.3	28.8	55.0	0.0	Department	4.343	0.859	1085
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	2	7	20	101	172	0	Class	4.437	0.774	302
	0.7	2.3	6.6	33.4	57.0	0.0	Department	4.358	0.778	1084
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	3	6	80	210	1	Class	4.638	0.642	301
	0.7	1.0	2.0	26.5	69.5	0.3	Department	4.490	0.779	1082
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	9	24	118	147	2	Class	4.330	0.802	300
	0.7	3.0	7.9	39.1	48.7	0.7	Department	4.234	0.826	1083
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	5	14	113	169	1	Class	4.482	0.666	301
	0.0	1.7	4.6	37.4	56.0	0.3	Department	4.436	0.708	1083
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	3	14	121	161	1	Class	4.449	0.694	301
	0.7	1.0	4.6	40.1	53.3	0.3	Department	4.396	0.762	1082
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	6	19	105	168	2	Class	4.437	0.758	300
	0.7	2.0	6.3	34.8	55.6	0.7	Department	4.389	0.799	1083
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	6	9	97	189	1	Class	4.558	0.654	301
	0.0	2.0	3.0	32.1	62.6	0.3	Department	4.490	0.729	1082
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	2	19	135	143	2	Class	4.390	0.668	300
	0.3	0.7	6.3	44.7	47.4	0.7	Department	4.298	0.761	1081
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	25	106	169	1	Class	4.468	0.676	301
	0.3	0.0	8.3	35.1	56.0	0.3	Department	4.418	0.728	1082
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	4	14	109	174	1	Class	4.505	0.651	301
	0.0	1.3	4.6	36.1	57.6	0.3	Department	4.470	0.710	1083
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	4	23	117	156	1	Class	4.405	0.718	301
	0.3	1.3	7.6	38.7	51.7	0.3	Department	4.358	0.793	1083
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	3	13	122	162	1	Class	4.465	0.661	301
	0.3	1.0	4.3	40.4	53.6	0.3	Department	4.426	0.712	1083
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	2	9	111	176	1	Class	4.512	0.686	301
	1.0	0.7	3.0	36.8	58.3	0.3	Department	4.427	0.744	1078
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: PLANT SCIENCES		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 660 Your Dept ..... 1091 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	8	14	62	250	322	4	Class	4.317	0.825	656
	1.2	2.1	9.4	37.9	48.8	0.6	Department	4.381	0.800	1085
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	7	12	53	228	356	4	Class	4.393	0.799	656
	1.1	1.8	8.0	34.5	53.9	0.6	Department	4.437	0.788	1085
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	6	21	63	233	332	5	Class	4.319	0.844	655
	0.9	3.2	9.5	35.3	50.3	0.8	Department	4.343	0.859	1085
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	3	17	68	269	297	6	Class	4.284	0.790	654
	0.5	2.6	10.3	40.8	45.0	0.9	Department	4.358	0.778	1084
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	6	16	54	209	370	5	Class	4.406	0.815	655
	0.9	2.4	8.2	31.7	56.1	0.8	Department	4.490	0.779	1082
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	8	19	88	293	247	5	Class	4.148	0.847	655
	1.2	2.9	13.3	44.4	37.4	0.8	Department	4.234	0.826	1083
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	4	8	51	261	331	5	Class	4.385	0.735	655
	0.6	1.2	7.7	39.5	50.2	0.8	Department	4.436	0.708	1083
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	5	11	56	260	322	6	Class	4.350	0.770	654
	0.8	1.7	8.5	39.4	48.8	0.9	Department	4.396	0.762	1082
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	7	15	60	238	335	5	Class	4.342	0.822	655
	1.1	2.3	9.1	36.1	50.8	0.8	Department	4.389	0.799	1083
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	6	16	32	237	362	7	Class	4.429	0.775	653
	0.9	2.4	4.8	35.9	54.8	1.1	Department	4.490	0.729	1082
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	6	14	82	284	268	6	Class	4.214	0.812	654
	0.9	2.1	12.4	43.0	40.6	0.9	Department	4.298	0.761	1081
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	5	73	245	329	6	Class	4.367	0.734	654
	0.3	0.8	11.1	37.1	49.8	0.9	Department	4.418	0.728	1082
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	9	49	232	364	5	Class	4.449	0.706	655
	0.2	1.4	7.4	35.2	55.2	0.8	Department	4.470	0.710	1083
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	10	71	253	315	5	Class	4.315	0.797	655
	0.9	1.5	10.8	38.3	47.7	0.8	Department	4.358	0.793	1083
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	6	53	261	330	6	Class	4.387	0.727	654
	0.6	0.9	8.0	39.5	50.0	0.9	Department	4.426	0.712	1083
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	10	53	259	325	9	Class	4.369	0.750	651
	0.6	1.5	8.0	39.2	49.2	1.4	Department	4.427	0.744	1078
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: PLANT SCIENCES							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 129					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 1091					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	3	8	38	77	2	Class	4.472	0.785	127
	0.8	2.3	6.2	29.5	59.7	1.6	Department	4.381	0.800	1085
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
-----										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	2	7	28	89	2	Class	4.591	0.738	127
	0.8	1.6	5.4	21.7	69.0	1.6	Department	4.437	0.788	1085
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
-----										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	5	7	33	83	1	Class	4.516	0.773	128
	0.0	3.9	5.4	25.6	64.3	0.8	Department	4.343	0.859	1085
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
-----										
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	7	38	81	1	Class	4.547	0.674	128
	0.0	1.6	5.4	29.5	62.8	0.8	Department	4.358	0.778	1084
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
-----										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	2	4	28	89	3	Class	4.571	0.834	126
	2.3	1.6	3.1	21.7	69.0	2.3	Department	4.490	0.779	1082
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
-----										
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	1	7	50	69	1	Class	4.445	0.708	128
	0.8	0.8	5.4	38.8	53.5	0.8	Department	4.234	0.826	1083
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
-----										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	7	35	84	2	Class	4.591	0.634	127
	0.0	0.8	5.4	27.1	65.1	1.6	Department	4.436	0.708	1083
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
-----										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	4	6	30	85	2	Class	4.512	0.853	127
	1.6	3.1	4.7	23.3	65.9	1.6	Department	4.396	0.762	1082
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
-----										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	9	32	83	1	Class	4.516	0.763	128
	0.0	3.1	7.0	24.8	64.3	0.8	Department	4.389	0.799	1083
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
-----										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	6	31	90	1	Class	4.641	0.611	128
	0.0	0.8	4.7	24.0	69.8	0.8	Department	4.490	0.729	1082
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
-----										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	6	47	73	2	Class	4.512	0.628	127
	0.0	0.8	4.7	36.4	56.6	1.6	Department	4.298	0.761	1081
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
-----										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	1	9	27	88	2	Class	4.559	0.793	127
	1.6	0.8	7.0	20.9	68.2	1.6	Department	4.418	0.728	1082
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
-----										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	2	12	26	85	2	Class	4.496	0.853	127
	1.6	1.6	9.3	20.2	65.9	1.6	Department	4.470	0.710	1083
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
-----										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	2	8	29	84	2	Class	4.472	0.924	127
	3.1	1.6	6.2	22.5	65.1	1.6	Department	4.358	0.793	1083
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
-----										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	2	7	36	82	1	Class	4.531	0.742	128
	0.8	1.6	5.4	27.9	63.6	0.8	Department	4.426	0.712	1083
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
-----										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	3	5	33	83	3	Class	4.524	0.817	126
	1.6	2.3	3.9	25.6	64.3	2.3	Department	4.427	0.744	1078
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 202 Your Dept ..... 269 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	4	15	88	94	1	Class	4.353	0.707	201
	0.0	2.0	7.4	43.6	46.5	0.5	Department	4.451	0.693	268
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	6	12	89	95	0	Class	4.351	0.726	202
	0.0	3.0	5.9	44.1	47.0	0.0	Department	4.442	0.724	269
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	5	16	81	99	0	Class	4.347	0.772	202
	0.5	2.5	7.9	40.1	49.0	0.0	Department	4.424	0.752	269
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	5	22	93	82	0	Class	4.248	0.745	202
	0.0	2.5	10.9	46.0	40.6	0.0	Department	4.375	0.751	269
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	10	76	116	0	Class	4.525	0.592	202
	0.0	0.0	5.0	37.6	57.4	0.0	Department	4.599	0.569	267
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	4	22	112	59	3	Class	4.116	0.753	199
	1.0	2.0	10.9	55.4	29.2	1.5	Department	4.273	0.746	264
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	3	10	93	96	0	Class	4.396	0.655	202
	0.0	1.5	5.0	46.0	47.5	0.0	Department	4.494	0.644	269
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	2	23	87	89	0	Class	4.292	0.746	202
	0.5	1.0	11.4	43.1	44.1	0.0	Department	4.409	0.725	269
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	22	89	87	0	Class	4.282	0.736	202
	0.0	2.0	10.9	44.1	43.1	0.0	Department	4.387	0.743	269
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	9	83	109	0	Class	4.485	0.609	202
	0.0	0.5	4.5	41.1	54.0	0.0	Department	4.567	0.605	268
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	17	120	63	0	Class	4.208	0.628	202
	0.0	1.0	8.4	59.4	31.2	0.0	Department	4.331	0.633	269
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	30	86	84	0	Class	4.248	0.739	202
	0.0	1.0	14.9	42.6	41.6	0.0	Department	4.387	0.727	269
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	10	15	88	89	0	Class	4.267	0.803	202
	0.0	5.0	7.4	43.6	44.1	0.0	Department	4.420	0.762	269
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	22	94	83	0	Class	4.272	0.712	202
	0.0	1.5	10.9	46.5	41.1	0.0	Department	4.405	0.715	269
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	12	103	84	0	Class	4.327	0.656	202
	0.0	1.5	5.9	51.0	41.6	0.0	Department	4.431	0.658	269
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	1	13	86	100	0	Class	4.391	0.720	202
	1.0	0.5	6.4	42.6	49.5	0.0	Department	4.494	0.694	269
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 67					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept ..... 269					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	1	12	53	0	Class	4.746	0.560	67	
	0.0	1.5	1.5	17.9	79.1	0.0	Department	4.451	0.693	268	
							College	4.279	0.899	4986	
							University	4.152	0.989	43211	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	1	11	53	0	Class	4.716	0.647	67	
	0.0	3.0	1.5	16.4	79.1	0.0	Department	4.442	0.724	269	
							College	4.346	0.900	4982	
							University	4.221	0.992	43070	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	3	14	49	0	Class	4.657	0.641	67	
	0.0	1.5	4.5	20.9	73.1	0.0	Department	4.424	0.752	269	
							College	4.305	0.915	4981	
							University	4.176	1.009	43070	
4. the quality of this course.	1	0	1	10	55	0	Class	4.761	0.630	67	
	1.5	0.0	1.5	14.9	82.1	0.0	Department	4.375	0.751	269	
							College	4.265	0.863	4979	
							University	4.124	0.966	42997	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	9	55	2	Class	4.831	0.417	65	
	0.0	0.0	1.5	13.4	82.1	3.0	Department	4.599	0.569	267	
							College	4.409	0.838	4974	
							University	4.301	0.910	43061	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	14	50	2	Class	4.754	0.469	65	
	0.0	0.0	1.5	20.9	74.6	3.0	Department	4.273	0.746	264	
							College	4.183	0.876	4968	
							University	4.135	0.917	43010	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	0	11	55	0	Class	4.791	0.509	67	
	0.0	1.5	0.0	16.4	82.1	0.0	Department	4.494	0.644	269	
							College	4.367	0.791	4906	
							University	4.243	0.894	43045	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	0	13	53	0	Class	4.761	0.525	67	
	0.0	1.5	0.0	19.4	79.1	0.0	Department	4.409	0.725	269	
							College	4.302	0.846	4904	
							University	4.231	0.904	43022	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	2	10	53	0	Class	4.701	0.675	67	
	0.0	3.0	3.0	14.9	79.1	0.0	Department	4.387	0.743	269	
							College	4.228	0.931	4904	
							University	4.148	0.992	43036	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	7	57	1	Class	4.818	0.524	66	
	0.0	1.5	1.5	10.4	85.1	1.5	Department	4.567	0.605	268	
							College	4.420	0.784	4903	
							University	4.328	0.864	43018	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	18	48	0	Class	4.701	0.493	67	
	0.0	0.0	1.5	26.9	71.6	0.0	Department	4.331	0.633	269	
							College	4.233	0.790	4906	
							University	4.199	0.833	43015	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	7	57	0	Class	4.806	0.500	67	
	0.0	0.0	4.5	10.4	85.1	0.0	Department	4.387	0.727	269	
							College	4.326	0.795	4895	
							University	4.247	0.862	42989	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	8	59	0	Class	4.881	0.327	67	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.9	88.1	0.0	Department	4.420	0.762	269	
							College	4.306	0.848	4904	
							University	4.208	0.939	43019	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	2	6	58	0	Class	4.806	0.557	67	
	0.0	1.5	3.0	9.0	86.6	0.0	Department	4.405	0.715	269	
							College	4.236	0.896	4903	
							University	4.145	0.969	42985	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	1	12	53	0	Class	4.746	0.560	67	
	0.0	1.5	1.5	17.9	79.1	0.0	Department	4.431	0.658	269	
							College	4.341	0.780	4907	
							University	4.273	0.841	43027	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	0	10	56	0	Class	4.806	0.500	67	
	0.0	1.5	0.0	14.9	83.6	0.0	Department	4.494	0.694	269	
							College	4.337	0.827	4893	
							University	4.219	0.926	42947	

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: RANGE SCIENCE		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 63 Your Dept ..... 82 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	4	28	31	0	Class	4.429	0.615	63
	0.0	0.0	6.3	44.4	49.2	0.0	Department	4.463	0.613	82
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	5	19	39	0	Class	4.540	0.643	63
	0.0	0.0	7.9	30.2	61.9	0.0	Department	4.573	0.629	82
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	3	21	39	0	Class	4.571	0.588	63
	0.0	0.0	4.8	33.3	61.9	0.0	Department	4.585	0.628	82
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	4	28	31	0	Class	4.429	0.615	63
	0.0	0.0	6.3	44.4	49.2	0.0	Department	4.476	0.613	82
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	4	20	39	0	Class	4.556	0.616	63
	0.0	0.0	6.3	31.7	61.9	0.0	Department	4.598	0.585	82
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	10	36	17	0	Class	4.111	0.650	63
	0.0	0.0	15.9	57.1	27.0	0.0	Department	4.207	0.643	82
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	24	36	0	Class	4.524	0.592	63
	0.0	0.0	4.8	38.1	57.1	0.0	Department	4.573	0.567	82
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	4	32	27	0	Class	4.365	0.604	63
	0.0	0.0	6.3	50.8	42.9	0.0	Department	4.402	0.606	82
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	7	28	26	0	Class	4.238	0.777	63
	0.0	3.2	11.1	44.4	41.3	0.0	Department	4.268	0.817	82
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	3	22	38	0	Class	4.556	0.590	63
	0.0	0.0	4.8	34.9	60.3	0.0	Department	4.598	0.563	82
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	8	44	11	0	Class	4.048	0.551	63
	0.0	0.0	12.7	69.8	17.5	0.0	Department	4.134	0.561	82
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	6	24	33	0	Class	4.429	0.665	63
	0.0	0.0	9.5	38.1	52.4	0.0	Department	4.463	0.652	82
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	7	32	23	0	Class	4.222	0.706	63
	0.0	1.6	11.1	50.8	36.5	0.0	Department	4.329	0.704	82
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	8	27	27	0	Class	4.270	0.745	63
	0.0	1.6	12.7	42.9	42.9	0.0	Department	4.354	0.726	82
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	30	29	0	Class	4.397	0.610	63
	0.0	0.0	6.3	47.6	46.0	0.0	Department	4.451	0.632	82
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	2	25	35	0	Class	4.492	0.644	63
	0.0	1.6	3.2	39.7	55.6	0.0	Department	4.561	0.611	82
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: RANGE SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 4					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 82					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.463	0.613	82
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.573	0.629	82
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.585	0.628	82
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.476	0.613	82
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.598	0.585	82
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	2	2	0	Class	4.500	0.577	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.207	0.643	82
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.573	0.567	82
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.402	0.606	82
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.268	0.817	82
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	1	3	0	Class	4.750	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.598	0.563	82
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	3	1	0	Class	4.250	0.500	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	Department	4.134	0.561	82
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.463	0.652	82
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.329	0.704	82
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.354	0.726	82
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.451	0.632	82
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	4	0	Class	5.000	0.000	4
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.561	0.611	82
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947



# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: RANGE SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 15					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept ..... 82					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	6	8	0	Class	4.467	0.640	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.463	0.613	82	
							College	4.279	0.899	4986	
							University	4.152	0.989	43211	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	4	10	0	Class	4.600	0.632	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	26.7	66.7	0.0	Department	4.573	0.629	82	
							College	4.346	0.900	4982	
							University	4.221	0.992	43070	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	0	4	10	0	Class	4.533	0.834	15	
	0.0	6.7	0.0	26.7	66.7	0.0	Department	4.585	0.628	82	
							College	4.305	0.915	4981	
							University	4.176	1.009	43070	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	5	9	0	Class	4.533	0.640	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	33.3	60.0	0.0	Department	4.476	0.613	82	
							College	4.265	0.863	4979	
							University	4.124	0.966	42997	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	5	10	0	Class	4.667	0.488	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.598	0.585	82	
							College	4.409	0.838	4974	
							University	4.301	0.910	43061	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	7	8	0	Class	4.533	0.516	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.207	0.643	82	
							College	4.183	0.876	4968	
							University	4.135	0.917	43010	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	5	10	0	Class	4.667	0.488	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.573	0.567	82	
							College	4.367	0.791	4906	
							University	4.243	0.894	43045	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	6	8	0	Class	4.467	0.640	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.402	0.606	82	
							College	4.302	0.846	4904	
							University	4.231	0.904	43022	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	0	6	7	0	Class	4.200	1.014	15	
	0.0	13.3	0.0	40.0	46.7	0.0	Department	4.268	0.817	82	
							College	4.228	0.931	4904	
							University	4.148	0.992	43036	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.598	0.563	82	
							College	4.420	0.784	4903	
							University	4.328	0.864	43018	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	8	7	0	Class	4.467	0.516	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.3	46.7	0.0	Department	4.134	0.561	82	
							College	4.233	0.790	4906	
							University	4.199	0.833	43015	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	6	8	0	Class	4.467	0.640	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.463	0.652	82	
							College	4.326	0.795	4895	
							University	4.247	0.862	42989	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	4	10	0	Class	4.600	0.632	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	26.7	66.7	0.0	Department	4.329	0.704	82	
							College	4.306	0.848	4904	
							University	4.208	0.939	43019	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	5	9	0	Class	4.533	0.640	15	
	0.0	0.0	6.7	33.3	60.0	0.0	Department	4.354	0.726	82	
							College	4.236	0.896	4903	
							University	4.145	0.969	42985	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	3	10	0	Class	4.533	0.743	15	
	0.0	0.0	13.3	20.0	66.7	0.0	Department	4.451	0.632	82	
							College	4.341	0.780	4907	
							University	4.273	0.841	43027	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.561	0.611	82	
							College	4.337	0.827	4893	
							University	4.219	0.926	42947	

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: SOIL SCIENCE		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 91 Your Dept ..... 183 Your College .. 5015					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	3	24	63	0	Class	4.637	0.606	91	
	0.0	1.1	3.3	26.4	69.2	0.0	Department	4.536	0.701	183	
							College	4.279	0.899	4986	
							University	4.152	0.989	43211	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	7	16	68	0	Class	4.670	0.616	91	
	0.0	0.0	7.7	17.6	74.7	0.0	Department	4.628	0.623	183	
							College	4.346	0.900	4982	
							University	4.221	0.992	43070	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	5	19	67	0	Class	4.681	0.575	91	
	0.0	0.0	5.5	20.9	73.6	0.0	Department	4.508	0.777	183	
							College	4.305	0.915	4981	
							University	4.176	1.009	43070	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	24	64	0	Class	4.670	0.539	91	
	0.0	0.0	3.3	26.4	70.3	0.0	Department	4.552	0.660	183	
							College	4.265	0.863	4979	
							University	4.124	0.966	42997	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	4	20	64	1	Class	4.622	0.680	90	
	0.0	2.2	4.4	22.0	70.3	1.1	Department	4.533	0.777	182	
							College	4.409	0.838	4974	
							University	4.301	0.910	43061	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	8	44	36	2	Class	4.292	0.678	89	
	0.0	1.1	8.8	48.4	39.6	2.2	Department	4.194	0.792	180	
							College	4.183	0.876	4968	
							University	4.135	0.917	43010	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	1	33	55	1	Class	4.578	0.580	90	
	0.0	1.1	1.1	36.3	60.4	1.1	Department	4.571	0.578	182	
							College	4.367	0.791	4906	
							University	4.243	0.894	43045	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	5	31	53	0	Class	4.484	0.705	91	
	0.0	2.2	5.5	34.1	58.2	0.0	Department	4.344	0.849	183	
							College	4.302	0.846	4904	
							University	4.231	0.904	43022	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	0	33	57	0	Class	4.604	0.555	91	
	0.0	1.1	0.0	36.3	62.6	0.0	Department	4.333	0.854	183	
							College	4.228	0.931	4904	
							University	4.148	0.992	43036	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	31	60	0	Class	4.659	0.477	91	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.1	65.9	0.0	Department	4.519	0.710	183	
							College	4.420	0.784	4903	
							University	4.328	0.864	43018	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	9	48	34	0	Class	4.275	0.634	91	
	0.0	0.0	9.9	52.7	37.4	0.0	Department	4.224	0.733	183	
							College	4.233	0.790	4906	
							University	4.199	0.833	43015	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	10	35	45	1	Class	4.389	0.682	90	
	0.0	0.0	11.0	38.5	49.5	1.1	Department	4.560	0.617	182	
							College	4.326	0.795	4895	
							University	4.247	0.862	42989	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	8	31	52	0	Class	4.484	0.656	91	
	0.0	0.0	8.8	34.1	57.1	0.0	Department	4.486	0.725	183	
							College	4.306	0.848	4904	
							University	4.208	0.939	43019	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	5	34	52	0	Class	4.516	0.603	91	
	0.0	0.0	5.5	37.4	57.1	0.0	Department	4.508	0.654	183	
							College	4.236	0.896	4903	
							University	4.145	0.969	42985	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	7	31	52	0	Class	4.473	0.689	91	
	0.0	1.1	7.7	34.1	57.1	0.0	Department	4.514	0.670	183	
							College	4.341	0.780	4907	
							University	4.273	0.841	43027	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	3	27	60	0	Class	4.604	0.612	91	
	0.0	1.1	3.3	29.7	65.9	0.0	Department	4.557	0.668	183	
							College	4.337	0.827	4893	
							University	4.219	0.926	42947	

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 56					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 183					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	2	3	24	27	0	Class	4.357	0.749	56
	0.0	3.6	5.4	42.9	48.2	0.0	Department	4.536	0.701	183
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	6	15	35	0	Class	4.518	0.687	56
	0.0	0.0	10.7	26.8	62.5	0.0	Department	4.628	0.623	183
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	3	8	16	28	0	Class	4.196	0.999	56
	1.8	5.4	14.3	28.6	50.0	0.0	Department	4.508	0.777	183
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	6	20	29	0	Class	4.375	0.752	56
	0.0	1.8	10.7	35.7	51.8	0.0	Department	4.552	0.660	183
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	4	5	17	30	0	Class	4.304	0.913	56
	0.0	7.1	8.9	30.4	53.6	0.0	Department	4.533	0.777	182
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	4	6	26	19	0	Class	4.036	0.953	56
	1.8	7.1	10.7	46.4	33.9	0.0	Department	4.194	0.792	180
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	26	28	0	Class	4.464	0.571	56
	0.0	0.0	3.6	46.4	50.0	0.0	Department	4.571	0.578	182
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	6	9	17	24	0	Class	4.054	1.017	56
	0.0	10.7	16.1	30.4	42.9	0.0	Department	4.344	0.849	183
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	4	8	20	22	0	Class	4.000	1.079	56
	3.6	7.1	14.3	35.7	39.3	0.0	Department	4.333	0.854	183
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	4	6	20	26	0	Class	4.214	0.909	56
	0.0	7.1	10.7	35.7	46.4	0.0	Department	4.519	0.710	183
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	9	25	20	0	Class	4.125	0.810	56
	0.0	3.6	16.1	44.6	35.7	0.0	Department	4.224	0.733	183
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	17	38	0	Class	4.661	0.514	56
	0.0	0.0	1.8	30.4	67.9	0.0	Department	4.560	0.617	182
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	6	18	31	0	Class	4.411	0.757	56
	0.0	1.8	10.7	32.1	55.4	0.0	Department	4.486	0.725	183
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	7	19	30	0	Class	4.411	0.708	56
	0.0	0.0	12.5	33.9	53.6	0.0	Department	4.508	0.654	183
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	6	16	34	0	Class	4.500	0.688	56
	0.0	0.0	10.7	28.6	60.7	0.0	Department	4.514	0.670	183
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	7	15	33	0	Class	4.429	0.783	56
	0.0	1.8	12.5	26.8	58.9	0.0	Department	4.557	0.668	183
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 36					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 183					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	4	5	26	0	Class	4.556	0.809	36
	0.0	2.8	11.1	13.9	72.2	0.0	Department	4.536	0.701	183
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	9	26	0	Class	4.694	0.525	36
	0.0	0.0	2.8	25.0	72.2	0.0	Department	4.628	0.623	183
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	1	11	23	0	Class	4.556	0.695	36
	0.0	2.8	2.8	30.6	63.9	0.0	Department	4.508	0.777	183
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	5	7	24	0	Class	4.528	0.736	36
	0.0	0.0	13.9	19.4	66.7	0.0	Department	4.552	0.660	183
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	2	5	28	0	Class	4.667	0.717	36
	0.0	2.8	5.6	13.9	77.8	0.0	Department	4.533	0.777	182
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	7	14	14	1	Class	4.200	0.759	35
	0.0	0.0	19.4	38.9	38.9	2.8	Department	4.194	0.792	180
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	6	28	0	Class	4.722	0.566	36
	0.0	0.0	5.6	16.7	77.8	0.0	Department	4.571	0.578	182
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	4	9	22	0	Class	4.444	0.809	36
	0.0	2.8	11.1	25.0	61.1	0.0	Department	4.344	0.849	183
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	5	14	15	0	Class	4.167	0.878	36
	0.0	5.6	13.9	38.9	41.7	0.0	Department	4.333	0.854	183
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	2	6	27	0	Class	4.639	0.723	36
	0.0	2.8	5.6	16.7	75.0	0.0	Department	4.519	0.710	183
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	6	12	17	0	Class	4.250	0.841	36
	0.0	2.8	16.7	33.3	47.2	0.0	Department	4.224	0.733	183
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	4	31	0	Class	4.833	0.447	36
	0.0	0.0	2.8	11.1	86.1	0.0	Department	4.560	0.617	182
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	2	6	27	0	Class	4.611	0.838	36
	2.8	0.0	5.6	16.7	75.0	0.0	Department	4.486	0.725	183
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	4	5	27	0	Class	4.639	0.683	36
	0.0	0.0	11.1	13.9	75.0	0.0	Department	4.508	0.654	183
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	9	25	0	Class	4.639	0.593	36
	0.0	0.0	5.6	25.0	69.4	0.0	Department	4.514	0.670	183
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	9	25	0	Class	4.639	0.593	36
	0.0	0.0	5.6	25.0	69.4	0.0	Department	4.557	0.668	183
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: UNIVERSITY GENERAL							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 23					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 23					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5015					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	3	13	6	0	Class	4.043	0.767	23
	0.0	4.3	13.0	56.5	26.1	0.0	Department	4.043	0.767	23
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	1	11	9	0	Class	4.174	0.887	23
	0.0	8.7	4.3	47.8	39.1	0.0	Department	4.174	0.887	23
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	2	12	8	0	Class	4.174	0.778	23
	0.0	4.3	8.7	52.2	34.8	0.0	Department	4.174	0.778	23
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	3	5	8	7	0	Class	3.826	1.029	23
	0.0	13.0	21.7	34.8	30.4	0.0	Department	3.826	1.029	23
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	0	10	11	0	Class	4.304	0.876	23
	0.0	8.7	0.0	43.5	47.8	0.0	Department	4.304	0.876	23
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	9	12	0	Class	4.435	0.662	23
	0.0	0.0	8.7	39.1	52.2	0.0	Department	4.435	0.662	23
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	2	8	12	0	Class	4.348	0.832	23
	0.0	4.3	8.7	34.8	52.2	0.0	Department	4.348	0.832	23
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	3	9	9	0	Class	4.087	0.949	23
	0.0	8.7	13.0	39.1	39.1	0.0	Department	4.087	0.949	23
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	2	3	7	9	0	Class	3.826	1.302	23
	8.7	8.7	13.0	30.4	39.1	0.0	Department	3.826	1.302	23
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	2	8	11	0	Class	4.174	1.072	23
	4.3	4.3	8.7	34.8	47.8	0.0	Department	4.174	1.072	23
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	10	10	0	Class	4.304	0.703	23
	0.0	0.0	13.0	43.5	43.5	0.0	Department	4.304	0.703	23
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	4	8	9	0	Class	4.000	1.087	23
	4.3	4.3	17.4	34.8	39.1	0.0	Department	4.000	1.087	23
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	2	9	11	0	Class	4.304	0.822	23
	0.0	4.3	8.7	39.1	47.8	0.0	Department	4.304	0.822	23
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	4	8	8	0	Class	3.913	1.041	23
	0.0	13.0	17.4	34.8	34.8	0.0	Department	3.913	1.041	23
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	5	6	9	0	Class	3.913	1.083	23
	0.0	13.0	21.7	26.1	39.1	0.0	Department	3.913	1.083	23
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	4	9	10	0	Class	4.261	0.752	23
	0.0	0.0	17.4	39.1	43.5	0.0	Department	4.261	0.752	23
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947

# Student Rating of Instruction

## College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Fall 2017

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 167 Your Dept ..... 254 Your College .. 5015								
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	5	16	50	95	0	Class	4.395	0.828	167
	0.6	3.0	9.6	29.9	56.9	0.0	Department	4.445	0.797	254
							College	4.279	0.899	4986
							University	4.152	0.989	43211
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	11	41	113	0	Class	4.587	0.670	167
	0.0	1.2	6.6	24.6	67.7	0.0	Department	4.579	0.677	254
							College	4.346	0.900	4982
							University	4.221	0.992	43070
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	10	46	110	0	Class	4.587	0.633	167
	0.0	0.6	6.0	27.5	65.9	0.0	Department	4.555	0.691	254
							College	4.305	0.915	4981
							University	4.176	1.009	43070
4. the quality of this course.	1	4	13	52	97	0	Class	4.437	0.788	167
	0.6	2.4	7.8	31.1	58.1	0.0	Department	4.469	0.752	254
							College	4.265	0.863	4979
							University	4.124	0.966	42997
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	8	11	50	97	0	Class	4.401	0.858	167
	0.6	4.8	6.6	29.9	58.1	0.0	Department	4.466	0.784	253
							College	4.409	0.838	4974
							University	4.301	0.910	43061
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	4	17	65	79	0	Class	4.287	0.837	167
	1.2	2.4	10.2	38.9	47.3	0.0	Department	4.366	0.817	254
							College	4.183	0.876	4968
							University	4.135	0.917	43010
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	1	11	57	97	0	Class	4.485	0.702	167
	0.6	0.6	6.6	34.1	58.1	0.0	Department	4.500	0.676	254
							College	4.367	0.791	4906
							University	4.243	0.894	43045
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	0	13	50	102	0	Class	4.497	0.743	167
	1.2	0.0	7.8	29.9	61.1	0.0	Department	4.500	0.732	254
							College	4.302	0.846	4904
							University	4.231	0.904	43022
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	2	8	62	94	0	Class	4.473	0.701	167
	0.6	1.2	4.8	37.1	56.3	0.0	Department	4.433	0.776	254
							College	4.228	0.931	4904
							University	4.148	0.992	43036
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	11	55	99	0	Class	4.497	0.702	167
	0.6	0.6	6.6	32.9	59.3	0.0	Department	4.539	0.669	254
							College	4.420	0.784	4903
							University	4.328	0.864	43018
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	5	21	63	77	0	Class	4.257	0.836	167
	0.6	3.0	12.6	37.7	46.1	0.0	Department	4.331	0.801	254
							College	4.233	0.790	4906
							University	4.199	0.833	43015
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	4	26	50	86	1	Class	4.313	0.823	166
	0.0	2.4	15.6	29.9	51.5	0.6	Department	4.391	0.777	253
							College	4.326	0.795	4895
							University	4.247	0.862	42989
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	3	11	56	96	0	Class	4.455	0.750	167
	0.6	1.8	6.6	33.5	57.5	0.0	Department	4.492	0.710	254
							College	4.306	0.848	4904
							University	4.208	0.939	43019
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	3	16	59	87	1	Class	4.373	0.782	166
	0.6	1.8	9.6	35.3	52.1	0.6	Department	4.439	0.746	253
							College	4.236	0.896	4903
							University	4.145	0.969	42985
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	1	12	58	95	0	Class	4.467	0.710	167
	0.6	0.6	7.2	34.7	56.9	0.0	Department	4.524	0.663	254
							College	4.341	0.780	4907
							University	4.273	0.841	43027
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	2	10	58	94	0	Class	4.425	0.810	167
	1.8	1.2	6.0	34.7	56.3	0.0	Department	4.472	0.758	254
							College	4.337	0.827	4893
							University	4.219	0.926	42947