

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 22					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept ..... 98					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 5084					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	4	6	12	0	Class	4.364	0.790	22	
	0.0	0.0	18.2	27.3	54.5	0.0	Department	4.392	0.715	97	
							College	4.156	0.923	5031	
							University	4.212	0.946	37852	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	7	14	0	Class	4.591	0.590	22	
	0.0	0.0	4.5	31.8	63.6	0.0	Department	4.485	0.631	97	
							College	4.206	0.931	5032	
							University	4.275	0.942	37784	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	1	6	14	0	Class	4.500	0.802	22	
	0.0	4.5	4.5	27.3	63.6	0.0	Department	4.423	0.674	97	
							College	4.108	0.990	5026	
							University	4.235	0.962	37800	
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	2	9	9	0	Class	4.136	0.941	22	
	0.0	9.1	9.1	40.9	40.9	0.0	Department	4.299	0.793	97	
							College	4.105	0.899	5025	
							University	4.174	0.943	37744	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	2	1	4	14	0	Class	4.273	1.202	22	
	4.5	9.1	4.5	18.2	63.6	0.0	Department	4.381	0.809	97	
							College	4.277	0.895	5029	
							University	4.340	0.875	37779	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	2	10	9	0	Class	4.227	0.813	22	
	0.0	4.5	9.1	45.5	40.9	0.0	Department	4.381	0.714	97	
							College	4.151	0.817	5020	
							University	4.184	0.883	37743	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	6	15	0	Class	4.636	0.581	22	
	0.0	0.0	4.5	27.3	68.2	0.0	Department	4.640	0.529	86	
							College	4.240	0.832	5023	
							University	4.291	0.864	37818	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	3	17	0	Class	4.682	0.646	22	
	0.0	0.0	9.1	13.6	77.3	0.0	Department	4.500	0.699	86	
							College	4.235	0.835	5022	
							University	4.280	0.870	37791	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	3	1	16	0	Class	4.409	1.054	22	
	0.0	9.1	13.6	4.5	72.7	0.0	Department	4.395	0.786	86	
							College	4.176	0.923	5022	
							University	4.205	0.959	37807	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	2	0	5	14	0	Class	4.318	1.171	22	
	4.5	9.1	0.0	22.7	63.6	0.0	Department	4.477	0.808	86	
							College	4.308	0.825	5016	
							University	4.370	0.824	37776	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	2	9	10	0	Class	4.227	0.973	22	
	4.5	0.0	9.1	40.9	45.5	0.0	Department	4.360	0.750	86	
							College	4.232	0.745	5015	
							University	4.259	0.803	37780	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	7	14	0	Class	4.591	0.590	22	
	0.0	0.0	4.5	31.8	63.6	0.0	Department	4.628	0.532	86	
							College	4.239	0.831	5018	
							University	4.301	0.836	37753	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	5	15	0	Class	4.591	0.666	22	
	0.0	0.0	9.1	22.7	68.2	0.0	Department	4.605	0.580	86	
							College	4.191	0.901	5018	
							University	4.251	0.920	37788	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	0	8	13	0	Class	4.500	0.740	22	
	0.0	4.5	0.0	36.4	59.1	0.0	Department	4.581	0.622	86	
							College	4.128	0.912	5019	
							University	4.213	0.926	37762	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	4	17	0	Class	4.727	0.550	22	
	0.0	0.0	4.5	18.2	77.3	0.0	Department	4.651	0.526	86	
							College	4.249	0.801	5020	
							University	4.323	0.812	37788	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	2	6	13	0	Class	4.409	0.854	22	
	0.0	4.5	9.1	27.3	59.1	0.0	Department	4.570	0.624	86	
							College	4.194	0.884	5016	
							University	4.262	0.898	37729	

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 70					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 98					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5084					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	6	27	35	1	Class	4.391	0.712	69
	0.0	1.4	8.6	38.6	50.0	1.4	Department	4.392	0.715	97
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	3	30	35	1	Class	4.435	0.653	69
	0.0	1.4	4.3	42.9	50.0	1.4	Department	4.485	0.631	97
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	6	30	33	1	Class	4.391	0.647	69
	0.0	0.0	8.6	42.9	47.1	1.4	Department	4.423	0.674	97
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	9	27	32	1	Class	4.304	0.754	69
	0.0	1.4	12.9	38.6	45.7	1.4	Department	4.299	0.793	97
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	4	31	33	1	Class	4.391	0.669	69
	0.0	1.4	5.7	44.3	47.1	1.4	Department	4.381	0.809	97
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	5	29	34	1	Class	4.391	0.691	69
	0.0	1.4	7.1	41.4	48.6	1.4	Department	4.381	0.714	97
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	21	36	12	Class	4.603	0.528	58
	0.0	0.0	1.4	30.0	51.4	17.1	Department	4.640	0.529	86
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	5	23	29	12	Class	4.379	0.721	58
	0.0	1.4	7.1	32.9	41.4	17.1	Department	4.500	0.699	86
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	4	27	26	12	Class	4.345	0.690	58
	0.0	1.4	5.7	38.6	37.1	17.1	Department	4.395	0.786	86
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	5	19	34	12	Class	4.500	0.656	58
	0.0	0.0	7.1	27.1	48.6	17.1	Department	4.477	0.808	86
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	3	28	26	12	Class	4.362	0.667	58
	0.0	1.4	4.3	40.0	37.1	17.1	Department	4.360	0.750	86
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	21	36	12	Class	4.603	0.528	58
	0.0	0.0	1.4	30.0	51.4	17.1	Department	4.628	0.532	86
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	20	36	12	Class	4.586	0.563	58
	0.0	0.0	2.9	28.6	51.4	17.1	Department	4.605	0.580	86
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	3	18	37	12	Class	4.586	0.593	58
	0.0	0.0	4.3	25.7	52.9	17.1	Department	4.581	0.622	86
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	21	36	12	Class	4.603	0.528	58
	0.0	0.0	1.4	30.0	51.4	17.1	Department	4.651	0.526	86
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	21	36	12	Class	4.603	0.528	58
	0.0	0.0	1.4	30.0	51.4	17.1	Department	4.570	0.624	86
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 6					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 98					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5084					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	3	3	0	Class	4.500	0.548	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.392	0.715	97
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
=====										
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.485	0.631	97
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
=====										
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	3	3	0	Class	4.500	0.548	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.423	0.674	97
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
=====										
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.299	0.793	97
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
=====										
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	2	4	0	Class	4.667	0.516	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.381	0.809	97
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
=====										
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.381	0.714	97
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
=====										
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
=====										
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	6	0	Class	5.000	0.000	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.640	0.529	86
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
=====										
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	0	6	0	Class	5.000	0.000	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.500	0.699	86
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
=====										
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.395	0.786	86
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
=====										
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.477	0.808	86
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
=====										
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.360	0.750	86
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
=====										
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	0	6	0	Class	5.000	0.000	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.628	0.532	86
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
=====										
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.605	0.580	86
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
=====										
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.581	0.622	86
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
=====										
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.651	0.526	86
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
=====										
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	5	0	Class	4.833	0.408	6
	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0	Department	4.570	0.624	86
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: AEROSPACE STUDIES							G= Good....(4)				
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 39					IB=In Between....(3)				
		Your Dept ..... 52					P= Poor....(2)				
		Your College .. 5084					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	2	36	0	Class	4.897	0.384	39	
	0.0	0.0	2.6	5.1	92.3	0.0	Department	4.923	0.334	52	
							College	4.156	0.923	5031	
							University	4.212	0.946	37852	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	2	36	0	Class	4.897	0.384	39	
	0.0	0.0	2.6	5.1	92.3	0.0	Department	4.923	0.334	52	
							College	4.206	0.931	5032	
							University	4.275	0.942	37784	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	4	34	0	Class	4.846	0.432	39	
	0.0	0.0	2.6	10.3	87.2	0.0	Department	4.865	0.397	52	
							College	4.108	0.990	5026	
							University	4.235	0.962	37800	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	5	34	0	Class	4.872	0.339	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.8	87.2	0.0	Department	4.865	0.345	52	
							College	4.105	0.899	5025	
							University	4.174	0.943	37744	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	39	0	Class	5.000	0.000	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	5.000	0.000	52	
							College	4.277	0.895	5029	
							University	4.340	0.875	37779	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	3	36	0	Class	4.923	0.270	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	Department	4.923	0.269	52	
							College	4.151	0.817	5020	
							University	4.184	0.883	37743	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	4	35	0	Class	4.897	0.307	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	89.7	0.0	Department	4.904	0.298	52	
							College	4.240	0.832	5023	
							University	4.291	0.864	37818	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	4	34	0	Class	4.846	0.432	39	
	0.0	0.0	2.6	10.3	87.2	0.0	Department	4.865	0.397	52	
							College	4.235	0.835	5022	
							University	4.280	0.870	37791	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	3	36	0	Class	4.923	0.270	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	Department	4.942	0.235	52	
							College	4.176	0.923	5022	
							University	4.205	0.959	37807	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	2	37	0	Class	4.949	0.223	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	94.9	0.0	Department	4.962	0.194	52	
							College	4.308	0.825	5016	
							University	4.370	0.824	37776	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	5	34	0	Class	4.872	0.339	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.8	87.2	0.0	Department	4.904	0.298	52	
							College	4.232	0.745	5015	
							University	4.259	0.803	37780	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	2	37	0	Class	4.949	0.223	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	94.9	0.0	Department	4.942	0.235	52	
							College	4.239	0.831	5018	
							University	4.301	0.836	37753	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	0	39	0	Class	5.000	0.000	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.981	0.139	52	
							College	4.191	0.901	5018	
							University	4.251	0.920	37788	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	3	36	0	Class	4.923	0.270	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	Department	4.942	0.235	52	
							College	4.128	0.912	5019	
							University	4.213	0.926	37762	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	4	35	0	Class	4.897	0.307	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	89.7	0.0	Department	4.904	0.298	52	
							College	4.249	0.801	5020	
							University	4.323	0.812	37788	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	37	0	Class	4.949	0.223	39	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	94.9	0.0	Department	4.942	0.235	52	
							College	4.194	0.884	5016	
							University	4.262	0.898	37729	

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: AEROSPACE STUDIES		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 13 Your Dept ..... 52 Your College .. 5084					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	0	13	0	Class	5.000	0.000	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.923	0.334	52
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	13	0	Class	5.000	0.000	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.923	0.334	52
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	1	12	0	Class	4.923	0.277	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	Department	4.865	0.397	52
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	2	11	0	Class	4.846	0.376	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.0	Department	4.865	0.345	52
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	13	0	Class	5.000	0.000	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	5.000	0.000	52
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	1	12	0	Class	4.923	0.277	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	Department	4.923	0.269	52
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	12	0	Class	4.923	0.277	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	Department	4.904	0.298	52
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	1	12	0	Class	4.923	0.277	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	Department	4.865	0.397	52
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	0	13	0	Class	5.000	0.000	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.942	0.235	52
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	0	13	0	Class	5.000	0.000	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.962	0.194	52
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	0	13	0	Class	5.000	0.000	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.904	0.298	52
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1	12	0	Class	4.923	0.277	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	Department	4.942	0.235	52
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	1	12	0	Class	4.923	0.277	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	Department	4.981	0.139	52
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	0	13	0	Class	5.000	0.000	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.942	0.235	52
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	1	12	0	Class	4.923	0.277	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	Department	4.904	0.298	52
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	12	0	Class	4.923	0.277	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	Department	4.942	0.235	52
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 97 Your Dept ..... 913 Your College .. 5084						VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	5	31	57	2	Class	4.495	0.742	95	
	1.0	1.0	5.2	32.0	58.8	2.1	Department	4.045	0.978	904	
							College	4.156	0.923	5031	
							University	4.212	0.946	37852	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	4	36	53	2	Class	4.463	0.727	95	
	1.0	1.0	4.1	37.1	54.6	2.1	Department	4.076	1.009	905	
							College	4.206	0.931	5032	
							University	4.275	0.942	37784	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	5	27	61	3	Class	4.564	0.697	94	
	1.0	0.0	5.2	27.8	62.9	3.1	Department	3.998	0.995	902	
							College	4.108	0.990	5026	
							University	4.235	0.962	37800	
4. the quality of this course.	1	1	8	42	43	2	Class	4.316	0.762	95	
	1.0	1.0	8.2	43.3	44.3	2.1	Department	3.965	0.976	905	
							College	4.105	0.899	5025	
							University	4.174	0.943	37744	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	3	6	25	59	2	Class	4.432	0.907	95	
	2.1	3.1	6.2	25.8	60.8	2.1	Department	4.186	0.940	905	
							College	4.277	0.895	5029	
							University	4.340	0.875	37779	
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	4	41	49	2	Class	4.442	0.680	95	
	1.0	0.0	4.1	42.3	50.5	2.1	Department	4.139	0.792	904	
							College	4.151	0.817	5020	
							University	4.184	0.883	37743	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	7	40	48	2	Class	4.432	0.630	95	
	0.0	0.0	7.2	41.2	49.5	2.1	Department	4.141	0.854	905	
							College	4.240	0.832	5023	
							University	4.291	0.864	37818	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	1	4	37	52	2	Class	4.453	0.726	95	
	1.0	1.0	4.1	38.1	53.6	2.1	Department	4.151	0.855	905	
							College	4.235	0.835	5022	
							University	4.280	0.870	37791	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	10	31	53	2	Class	4.432	0.724	95	
	0.0	1.0	10.3	32.0	54.6	2.1	Department	4.085	0.965	905	
							College	4.176	0.923	5022	
							University	4.205	0.959	37807	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	5	32	56	2	Class	4.484	0.742	95	
	1.0	1.0	5.2	33.0	57.7	2.1	Department	4.261	0.811	904	
							College	4.308	0.825	5016	
							University	4.370	0.824	37776	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	5	38	49	3	Class	4.426	0.695	94	
	0.0	2.1	5.2	39.2	50.5	3.1	Department	4.238	0.687	903	
							College	4.232	0.745	5015	
							University	4.259	0.803	37780	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	16	29	48	2	Class	4.295	0.824	95	
	0.0	2.1	16.5	29.9	49.5	2.1	Department	4.162	0.928	903	
							College	4.239	0.831	5018	
							University	4.301	0.836	37753	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	8	35	50	2	Class	4.400	0.735	95	
	0.0	2.1	8.2	36.1	51.5	2.1	Department	4.064	1.033	905	
							College	4.191	0.901	5018	
							University	4.251	0.920	37788	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	12	31	52	2	Class	4.421	0.708	95	
	0.0	0.0	12.4	32.0	53.6	2.1	Department	4.058	0.928	904	
							College	4.128	0.912	5019	
							University	4.213	0.926	37762	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	8	37	49	2	Class	4.411	0.692	95	
	0.0	1.0	8.2	38.1	50.5	2.1	Department	4.133	0.842	903	
							College	4.249	0.801	5020	
							University	4.323	0.812	37788	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	10	26	58	3	Class	4.511	0.684	94	
	0.0	0.0	10.3	26.8	59.8	3.1	Department	4.064	0.948	902	
							College	4.194	0.884	5016	
							University	4.262	0.898	37729	

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information			Number of Students:				Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING			# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 816 Your Dept ..... 913 Your College .. 5084				VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	18	59	113	340	279	7	Class	3.993	0.989	809
	2.2	7.2	13.8	41.7	34.2	0.9	Department	4.045	0.978	904
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
2. the instructor as a teacher.	26	49	112	310	313	6	Class	4.031	1.028	810
	3.2	6.0	13.7	38.0	38.4	0.7	Department	4.076	1.009	905
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	18	63	139	324	264	8	Class	3.932	1.004	808
	2.2	7.7	17.0	39.7	32.4	1.0	Department	3.998	0.995	902
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
4. the quality of this course.	21	58	130	354	247	6	Class	3.923	0.990	810
	2.6	7.1	15.9	43.4	30.3	0.7	Department	3.965	0.976	905
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	15	43	85	324	343	6	Class	4.157	0.940	810
	1.8	5.3	10.4	39.7	42.0	0.7	Department	4.186	0.940	905
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
6. your understanding of the course content.	8	20	111	411	259	7	Class	4.104	0.797	809
	1.0	2.5	13.6	50.4	31.7	0.9	Department	4.139	0.792	904
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	13	28	106	375	288	6	Class	4.107	0.871	810
	1.6	3.4	13.0	46.0	35.3	0.7	Department	4.141	0.854	905
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	11	32	97	382	288	6	Class	4.116	0.862	810
	1.3	3.9	11.9	46.8	35.3	0.7	Department	4.151	0.855	905
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	19	47	118	321	305	6	Class	4.044	0.982	810
	2.3	5.8	14.5	39.3	37.4	0.7	Department	4.085	0.965	905
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	7	27	73	364	338	7	Class	4.235	0.816	809
	0.9	3.3	8.9	44.6	41.4	0.9	Department	4.261	0.811	904
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	6	78	444	277	7	Class	4.216	0.683	809
	0.5	0.7	9.6	54.4	33.9	0.9	Department	4.238	0.687	903
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	18	25	122	299	344	8	Class	4.146	0.938	808
	2.2	3.1	15.0	36.6	42.2	1.0	Department	4.162	0.928	903
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	36	39	108	313	314	6	Class	4.025	1.056	810
	4.4	4.8	13.2	38.4	38.5	0.7	Department	4.064	1.033	905
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	18	37	133	348	273	7	Class	4.015	0.941	809
	2.2	4.5	16.3	42.6	33.5	0.9	Department	4.058	0.928	904
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	12	25	110	384	277	8	Class	4.100	0.853	808
	1.5	3.1	13.5	47.1	33.9	1.0	Department	4.133	0.842	903
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	22	45	101	373	267	8	Class	4.012	0.961	808
	2.7	5.5	12.4	45.7	32.7	1.0	Department	4.064	0.948	902
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 204 Your Dept ..... 432 Your College .. 5084					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	11	14	26	80	73	0	Class	3.931	1.116	204	
	5.4	6.9	12.7	39.2	35.8	0.0	Department	4.134	1.042	432	
							College	4.156	0.923	5031	
							University	4.212	0.946	37852	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	8	16	22	69	89	0	Class	4.054	1.102	204	
	3.9	7.8	10.8	33.8	43.6	0.0	Department	4.229	1.033	432	
							College	4.206	0.931	5032	
							University	4.275	0.942	37784	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	18	21	23	55	87	0	Class	3.843	1.315	204	
	8.8	10.3	11.3	27.0	42.6	0.0	Department	4.049	1.236	432	
							College	4.108	0.990	5026	
							University	4.235	0.962	37800	
4. the quality of this course.	5	14	33	92	59	1	Class	3.916	0.974	203	
	2.5	6.9	16.2	45.1	28.9	0.5	Department	4.121	0.953	430	
							College	4.105	0.899	5025	
							University	4.174	0.943	37744	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	4	3	21	83	92	1	Class	4.261	0.854	203	
	2.0	1.5	10.3	40.7	45.1	0.5	Department	4.360	0.803	431	
							College	4.277	0.895	5029	
							University	4.340	0.875	37779	
6. your understanding of the course content.	7	11	27	107	51	1	Class	3.906	0.952	203	
	3.4	5.4	13.2	52.5	25.0	0.5	Department	4.172	0.840	431	
							College	4.151	0.817	5020	
							University	4.184	0.883	37743	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	12	22	102	63	0	Class	4.010	0.936	204	
	2.5	5.9	10.8	50.0	30.9	0.0	Department	4.188	0.891	432	
							College	4.240	0.832	5023	
							University	4.291	0.864	37818	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	5	13	26	83	77	0	Class	4.049	0.991	204	
	2.5	6.4	12.7	40.7	37.7	0.0	Department	4.183	0.935	432	
							College	4.235	0.835	5022	
							University	4.280	0.870	37791	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	14	26	83	77	0	Class	4.054	0.979	204	
	2.0	6.9	12.7	40.7	37.7	0.0	Department	4.174	0.980	432	
							College	4.176	0.923	5022	
							University	4.205	0.959	37807	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	6	8	29	75	85	1	Class	4.108	0.989	203	
	2.9	3.9	14.2	36.8	41.7	0.5	Department	4.248	0.913	431	
							College	4.308	0.825	5016	
							University	4.370	0.824	37776	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	2	14	119	64	2	Class	4.183	0.727	202	
	1.5	1.0	6.9	58.3	31.4	1.0	Department	4.275	0.700	429	
							College	4.232	0.745	5015	
							University	4.259	0.803	37780	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	5	47	82	67	0	Class	4.005	0.891	204	
	1.5	2.5	23.0	40.2	32.8	0.0	Department	4.248	0.835	432	
							College	4.239	0.831	5018	
							University	4.301	0.836	37753	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	11	40	76	74	0	Class	4.015	0.955	204	
	1.5	5.4	19.6	37.3	36.3	0.0	Department	4.197	0.924	432	
							College	4.191	0.901	5018	
							University	4.251	0.920	37788	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	7	13	33	82	69	0	Class	3.946	1.032	204	
	3.4	6.4	16.2	40.2	33.8	0.0	Department	4.109	0.985	432	
							College	4.128	0.912	5019	
							University	4.213	0.926	37762	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	7	9	26	92	70	0	Class	4.025	0.980	204	
	3.4	4.4	12.7	45.1	34.3	0.0	Department	4.192	0.920	432	
							College	4.249	0.801	5020	
							University	4.323	0.812	37788	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	6	16	20	92	70	0	Class	4.000	1.012	204	
	2.9	7.8	9.8	45.1	34.3	0.0	Department	4.081	1.020	432	
							College	4.194	0.884	5016	
							University	4.262	0.898	37729	



# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 194 Your Dept ..... 432 Your College .. 5084					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	17	20	55	102	0	Class	4.247	0.961	194
	0.0	8.8	10.3	28.4	52.6	0.0	Department	4.134	1.042	432
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	14	23	41	115	0	Class	4.314	0.976	194
	0.5	7.2	11.9	21.1	59.3	0.0	Department	4.229	1.033	432
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	7	20	18	39	110	0	Class	4.160	1.174	194
	3.6	10.3	9.3	20.1	56.7	0.0	Department	4.049	1.236	432
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
4. the quality of this course.	2	9	27	61	94	1	Class	4.223	0.928	193
	1.0	4.6	13.9	31.4	48.5	0.5	Department	4.121	0.953	430
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	3	15	71	104	0	Class	4.412	0.745	194
	0.5	1.5	7.7	36.6	53.6	0.0	Department	4.360	0.803	431
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	17	86	91	0	Class	4.381	0.643	194
	0.0	0.0	8.8	44.3	46.9	0.0	Department	4.172	0.840	431
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	9	21	65	99	0	Class	4.309	0.844	194
	0.0	4.6	10.8	33.5	51.0	0.0	Department	4.188	0.891	432
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	10	23	65	95	0	Class	4.253	0.895	194
	0.5	5.2	11.9	33.5	49.0	0.0	Department	4.183	0.935	432
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	4	10	25	50	105	0	Class	4.247	1.003	194
	2.1	5.2	12.9	25.8	54.1	0.0	Department	4.174	0.980	432
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	5	15	71	100	0	Class	4.340	0.850	194
	1.5	2.6	7.7	36.6	51.5	0.0	Department	4.248	0.913	431
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	16	91	84	1	Class	4.332	0.672	193
	0.0	1.0	8.2	46.9	43.3	0.5	Department	4.275	0.700	429
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	4	14	67	109	0	Class	4.448	0.720	194
	0.0	2.1	7.2	34.5	56.2	0.0	Department	4.248	0.835	432
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	7	22	61	102	0	Class	4.309	0.886	194
	1.0	3.6	11.3	31.4	52.6	0.0	Department	4.197	0.924	432
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	3	11	19	72	89	0	Class	4.201	0.942	194
	1.5	5.7	9.8	37.1	45.9	0.0	Department	4.109	0.985	432
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	9	20	68	96	0	Class	4.284	0.868	194
	0.5	4.6	10.3	35.1	49.5	0.0	Department	4.192	0.920	432
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	5	14	25	61	89	0	Class	4.108	1.050	194
	2.6	7.2	12.9	31.4	45.9	0.0	Department	4.081	1.020	432
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 34 Your Dept ..... 432 Your College .. 5084								
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	1	5	27	0	Class	4.706	0.676	34
	0.0	2.9	2.9	14.7	79.4	0.0	Department	4.134	1.042	432
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	0	4	29	0	Class	4.794	0.592	34
	0.0	2.9	0.0	11.8	85.3	0.0	Department	4.229	1.033	432
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	2	5	26	0	Class	4.647	0.734	34
	0.0	2.9	5.9	14.7	76.5	0.0	Department	4.049	1.236	432
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	6	27	0	Class	4.765	0.496	34
	0.0	0.0	2.9	17.6	79.4	0.0	Department	4.121	0.953	430
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	2	5	26	0	Class	4.647	0.734	34
	0.0	2.9	5.9	14.7	76.5	0.0	Department	4.360	0.803	431
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	11	21	0	Class	4.559	0.613	34
	0.0	0.0	5.9	32.4	61.8	0.0	Department	4.172	0.840	431
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	11	21	0	Class	4.559	0.613	34
	0.0	0.0	5.9	32.4	61.8	0.0	Department	4.188	0.891	432
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	10	22	0	Class	4.588	0.609	34
	0.0	0.0	5.9	29.4	64.7	0.0	Department	4.183	0.935	432
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	2	11	20	0	Class	4.471	0.748	34
	0.0	2.9	5.9	32.4	58.8	0.0	Department	4.174	0.980	432
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	11	21	0	Class	4.559	0.613	34
	0.0	0.0	5.9	32.4	61.8	0.0	Department	4.248	0.913	431
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	13	19	0	Class	4.500	0.615	34
	0.0	0.0	5.9	38.2	55.9	0.0	Department	4.275	0.700	429
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	1	10	22	0	Class	4.559	0.705	34
	0.0	2.9	2.9	29.4	64.7	0.0	Department	4.248	0.835	432
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	1	7	25	0	Class	4.647	0.691	34
	0.0	2.9	2.9	20.6	73.5	0.0	Department	4.197	0.924	432
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	1	10	22	0	Class	4.559	0.705	34
	0.0	2.9	2.9	29.4	64.7	0.0	Department	4.109	0.985	432
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	9	24	0	Class	4.676	0.535	34
	0.0	0.0	2.9	26.5	70.6	0.0	Department	4.192	0.920	432
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	7	6	21	0	Class	4.412	0.821	34
	0.0	0.0	20.6	17.6	61.8	0.0	Department	4.081	1.020	432
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 104					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 731					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5084					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	7	20	41	31	2	Class	3.882	1.018	102
	2.9	6.7	19.2	39.4	29.8	1.9	Department	4.222	0.817	720
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	10	22	36	35	1	Class	3.932	0.973	103
	0.0	9.6	21.2	34.6	33.7	1.0	Department	4.298	0.818	722
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	4	12	29	28	30	1	Class	3.660	1.134	103
	3.8	11.5	27.9	26.9	28.8	1.0	Department	4.186	0.883	721
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
4. the quality of this course.	1	10	21	42	28	2	Class	3.843	0.972	102
	1.0	9.6	20.2	40.4	26.9	1.9	Department	4.146	0.831	721
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	3	12	30	56	2	Class	4.343	0.873	102
	1.0	2.9	11.5	28.8	53.8	1.9	Department	4.362	0.896	721
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	13	20	48	17	3	Class	3.624	1.008	101
	2.9	12.5	19.2	46.2	16.3	2.9	Department	4.054	0.847	718
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	6	17	47	32	1	Class	4.000	0.897	103
	1.0	5.8	16.3	45.2	30.8	1.0	Department	4.280	0.786	722
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	3	17	49	33	2	Class	4.098	0.777	102
	0.0	2.9	16.3	47.1	31.7	1.9	Department	4.325	0.738	720
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	3	17	45	35	2	Class	4.059	0.899	102
	1.9	2.9	16.3	43.3	33.7	1.9	Department	4.258	0.815	721
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	5	7	41	48	3	Class	4.307	0.809	101
	0.0	4.8	6.7	39.4	46.2	2.9	Department	4.401	0.772	720
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	19	55	24	3	Class	3.990	0.742	101
	0.0	2.9	18.3	52.9	23.1	2.9	Department	4.192	0.763	719
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	5	32	39	27	1	Class	3.854	0.868	103
	0.0	4.8	30.8	37.5	26.0	1.0	Department	4.320	0.775	722
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	6	16	41	37	2	Class	4.029	0.969	102
	1.9	5.8	15.4	39.4	35.6	1.9	Department	4.335	0.801	719
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	7	20	44	29	3	Class	3.921	0.924	101
	1.0	6.7	19.2	42.3	27.9	2.9	Department	4.156	0.885	720
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	22	53	25	1	Class	3.971	0.760	103
	0.0	2.9	21.2	51.0	24.0	1.0	Department	4.270	0.781	722
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	3	14	55	28	1	Class	3.990	0.891	103
	2.9	2.9	13.5	52.9	26.9	1.0	Department	4.212	0.839	721
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 591					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 731					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5084					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	15	53	265	249	6	Class	4.268	0.771	585
	0.5	2.5	9.0	44.8	42.1	1.0	Department	4.222	0.817	720
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
2. the instructor as a teacher.	5	10	52	224	295	5	Class	4.355	0.782	586
	0.8	1.7	8.8	37.9	49.9	0.8	Department	4.298	0.818	722
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	4	12	74	231	264	6	Class	4.263	0.808	585
	0.7	2.0	12.5	39.1	44.7	1.0	Department	4.186	0.883	721
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
4. the quality of this course.	2	15	86	252	231	5	Class	4.186	0.800	586
	0.3	2.5	14.6	42.6	39.1	0.8	Department	4.146	0.831	721
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	12	19	50	174	331	5	Class	4.353	0.917	586
	2.0	3.2	8.5	29.4	56.0	0.8	Department	4.362	0.896	721
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	18	96	268	201	7	Class	4.113	0.797	584
	0.2	3.0	16.2	45.3	34.0	1.2	Department	4.054	0.847	718
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	11	44	260	267	4	Class	4.317	0.763	587
	0.8	1.9	7.4	44.0	45.2	0.7	Department	4.280	0.786	722
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	9	46	243	286	5	Class	4.369	0.724	586
	0.3	1.5	7.8	41.1	48.4	0.8	Department	4.325	0.738	720
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	19	55	236	275	4	Class	4.300	0.796	587
	0.3	3.2	9.3	39.9	46.5	0.7	Department	4.258	0.815	721
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	6	8	41	209	323	4	Class	4.422	0.768	587
	1.0	1.4	6.9	35.4	54.7	0.7	Department	4.401	0.772	720
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	14	72	266	233	5	Class	4.222	0.764	586
	0.2	2.4	12.2	45.0	39.4	0.8	Department	4.192	0.763	719
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	8	54	219	304	4	Class	4.388	0.738	587
	0.3	1.4	9.1	37.1	51.4	0.7	Department	4.320	0.775	722
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	13	40	228	301	5	Class	4.381	0.767	586
	0.7	2.2	6.8	38.6	50.9	0.8	Department	4.335	0.801	719
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	10	18	71	244	244	4	Class	4.182	0.884	587
	1.7	3.0	12.0	41.3	41.3	0.7	Department	4.156	0.885	720
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	5	10	51	247	274	4	Class	4.320	0.773	587
	0.8	1.7	8.6	41.8	46.4	0.7	Department	4.270	0.781	722
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	8	13	62	251	252	5	Class	4.239	0.832	586
	1.4	2.2	10.5	42.5	42.6	0.8	Department	4.212	0.839	721
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 36					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 731					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5084					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	3	12	18	3	Class	4.455	0.666	33
	0.0	0.0	8.3	33.3	50.0	8.3	Department	4.222	0.817	720
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	15	16	3	Class	4.424	0.614	33
	0.0	0.0	5.6	41.7	44.4	8.3	Department	4.298	0.818	722
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	3	12	18	3	Class	4.455	0.666	33
	0.0	0.0	8.3	33.3	50.0	8.3	Department	4.186	0.883	721
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	4	13	16	3	Class	4.364	0.699	33
	0.0	0.0	11.1	36.1	44.4	8.3	Department	4.146	0.831	721
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	14	19	3	Class	4.576	0.502	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.9	52.8	8.3	Department	4.362	0.896	721
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	3	13	16	3	Class	4.333	0.777	33
	0.0	2.8	8.3	36.1	44.4	8.3	Department	4.054	0.847	718
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	12	18	4	Class	4.500	0.622	32
	0.0	0.0	5.6	33.3	50.0	11.1	Department	4.280	0.786	722
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	6	12	14	4	Class	4.250	0.762	32
	0.0	0.0	16.7	33.3	38.9	11.1	Department	4.325	0.738	720
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	5	15	11	4	Class	4.125	0.793	32
	0.0	2.8	13.9	41.7	30.6	11.1	Department	4.258	0.815	721
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	2	15	14	4	Class	4.313	0.738	32
	0.0	2.8	5.6	41.7	38.9	11.1	Department	4.401	0.772	720
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	2	16	13	4	Class	4.281	0.729	32
	0.0	2.8	5.6	44.4	36.1	11.1	Department	4.192	0.763	719
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	12	19	4	Class	4.563	0.564	32
	0.0	0.0	2.8	33.3	52.8	11.1	Department	4.320	0.775	722
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	14	16	5	Class	4.484	0.570	31
	0.0	0.0	2.8	38.9	44.4	13.9	Department	4.335	0.801	719
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	15	15	4	Class	4.406	0.615	32
	0.0	0.0	5.6	41.7	41.7	11.1	Department	4.156	0.885	720
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	4	11	16	4	Class	4.313	0.821	32
	0.0	2.8	11.1	30.6	44.4	11.1	Department	4.270	0.781	722
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	12	17	4	Class	4.438	0.669	32
	0.0	0.0	8.3	33.3	47.2	11.1	Department	4.212	0.839	721
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 20 Your Dept ..... 20 Your College .. 5084								
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	7	12	0	Class	4.550	0.605	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	35.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.550	0.605	20
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	3	16	0	Class	4.750	0.550	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	15.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.750	0.550	20
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	5	14	0	Class	4.650	0.587	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	25.0	70.0	0.0	Department	4.650	0.587	20
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	9	10	0	Class	4.450	0.605	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	45.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.450	0.605	20
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	5	15	0	Class	4.750	0.444	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0	Department	4.750	0.444	20
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	12	7	0	Class	4.300	0.571	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	60.0	35.0	0.0	Department	4.300	0.571	20
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	6	13	0	Class	4.600	0.598	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	30.0	65.0	0.0	Department	4.600	0.598	20
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	1	10	8	0	Class	4.250	0.786	20
	0.0	5.0	5.0	50.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.250	0.786	20
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	10	9	0	Class	4.400	0.598	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	50.0	45.0	0.0	Department	4.400	0.598	20
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	7	13	0	Class	4.650	0.489	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.0	65.0	0.0	Department	4.650	0.489	20
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	10	10	0	Class	4.500	0.513	20
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.500	0.513	20
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	6	13	0	Class	4.600	0.598	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	30.0	65.0	0.0	Department	4.600	0.598	20
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	8	10	0	Class	4.400	0.681	20
	0.0	0.0	10.0	40.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.400	0.681	20
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	1	11	7	0	Class	4.200	0.768	20
	0.0	5.0	5.0	55.0	35.0	0.0	Department	4.200	0.768	20
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	9	9	0	Class	4.350	0.671	20
	0.0	0.0	10.0	45.0	45.0	0.0	Department	4.350	0.671	20
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	10	9	0	Class	4.400	0.598	20
	0.0	0.0	5.0	50.0	45.0	0.0	Department	4.400	0.598	20
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL							VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 9 Your Dept ..... 328 Your College .. 5084								
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	1	1	6	0	Class	4.333	1.118	9
	0.0	11.1	11.1	11.1	66.7	0.0	Department	4.417	0.779	326
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	2	6	0	Class	4.556	0.726	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	22.2	66.7	0.0	Department	4.399	0.789	326
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	4	4	0	Class	4.333	0.707	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	44.4	44.4	0.0	Department	4.417	0.814	326
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	1	3	3	0	Class	3.778	1.202	9
	0.0	22.2	11.1	33.3	33.3	0.0	Department	4.184	0.959	326
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	3	5	0	Class	4.444	0.726	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	0.0	Department	4.641	0.695	326
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	2	2	3	0	Class	3.667	1.225	9
	0.0	22.2	22.2	22.2	33.3	0.0	Department	4.531	0.700	326
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	3	4	0	Class	4.222	0.833	9
	0.0	0.0	22.2	33.3	44.4	0.0	Department	4.439	0.741	326
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	1	3	0	4	0	Class	3.556	1.509	9
	11.1	11.1	33.3	0.0	44.4	0.0	Department	4.423	0.776	326
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	2	3	3	0	Class	3.778	1.302	9
	11.1	0.0	22.2	33.3	33.3	0.0	Department	4.445	0.766	326
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	2	1	2	3	0	Class	3.444	1.509	9
	11.1	22.2	11.1	22.2	33.3	0.0	Department	4.518	0.747	326
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	3	2	0	Class	3.778	0.833	9
	0.0	0.0	44.4	33.3	22.2	0.0	Department	4.534	0.650	326
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	3	6	0	Class	4.667	0.500	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.331	0.773	326
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	2	5	0	Class	4.333	0.866	9
	0.0	0.0	22.2	22.2	55.6	0.0	Department	4.436	0.736	326
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	3	5	0	Class	4.444	0.726	9
	0.0	0.0	11.1	33.3	55.6	0.0	Department	4.325	0.803	326
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	3	4	0	Class	4.222	0.833	9
	0.0	0.0	22.2	33.3	44.4	0.0	Department	4.258	0.842	326
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	2	5	0	Class	4.333	0.866	9
	0.0	0.0	22.2	22.2	55.6	0.0	Department	4.393	0.784	326
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 306 Your Dept ..... 328 Your College .. 5084						VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	7	22	108	165	2	Class	4.405	0.778	304	
	0.7	2.3	7.2	35.3	53.9	0.7	Department	4.417	0.779	326	
							College	4.156	0.923	5031	
							University	4.212	0.946	37852	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	5	27	107	162	2	Class	4.382	0.795	304	
	1.0	1.6	8.8	35.0	52.9	0.7	Department	4.399	0.789	326	
							College	4.206	0.931	5032	
							University	4.275	0.942	37784	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	4	6	25	98	171	2	Class	4.401	0.827	304	
	1.3	2.0	8.2	32.0	55.9	0.7	Department	4.417	0.814	326	
							College	4.108	0.990	5026	
							University	4.235	0.962	37800	
4. the quality of this course.	7	14	33	114	136	2	Class	4.178	0.959	304	
	2.3	4.6	10.8	37.3	44.4	0.7	Department	4.184	0.959	326	
							College	4.105	0.899	5025	
							University	4.174	0.943	37744	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	4	10	66	221	2	Class	4.638	0.704	304	
	1.0	1.3	3.3	21.6	72.2	0.7	Department	4.641	0.695	326	
							College	4.277	0.895	5029	
							University	4.340	0.875	37779	
6. your understanding of the course content.	3	0	12	101	188	2	Class	4.549	0.668	304	
	1.0	0.0	3.9	33.0	61.4	0.7	Department	4.531	0.700	326	
							College	4.151	0.817	5020	
							University	4.184	0.883	37743	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	8	17	112	166	2	Class	4.428	0.746	304	
	0.3	2.6	5.6	36.6	54.2	0.7	Department	4.439	0.741	326	
							College	4.240	0.832	5023	
							University	4.291	0.864	37818	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	6	21	107	169	2	Class	4.438	0.738	304	
	0.3	2.0	6.9	35.0	55.2	0.7	Department	4.423	0.776	326	
							College	4.235	0.835	5022	
							University	4.280	0.870	37791	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	5	19	105	173	2	Class	4.454	0.743	304	
	0.7	1.6	6.2	34.3	56.5	0.7	Department	4.445	0.766	326	
							College	4.176	0.923	5022	
							University	4.205	0.959	37807	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	2	2	17	88	195	2	Class	4.553	0.692	304	
	0.7	0.7	5.6	28.8	63.7	0.7	Department	4.518	0.747	326	
							College	4.308	0.825	5016	
							University	4.370	0.824	37776	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	18	98	187	2	Class	4.546	0.638	304	
	0.3	0.0	5.9	32.0	61.1	0.7	Department	4.534	0.650	326	
							College	4.232	0.745	5015	
							University	4.259	0.803	37780	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	2	48	106	147	2	Class	4.303	0.780	304	
	0.3	0.7	15.7	34.6	48.0	0.7	Department	4.331	0.773	326	
							College	4.239	0.831	5018	
							University	4.301	0.836	37753	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	3	25	108	166	2	Class	4.424	0.741	304	
	0.7	1.0	8.2	35.3	54.2	0.7	Department	4.436	0.736	326	
							College	4.191	0.901	5018	
							University	4.251	0.920	37788	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	3	47	103	149	2	Class	4.296	0.811	304	
	0.7	1.0	15.4	33.7	48.7	0.7	Department	4.325	0.803	326	
							College	4.128	0.912	5019	
							University	4.213	0.926	37762	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	3	9	36	120	136	2	Class	4.240	0.847	304	
	1.0	2.9	11.8	39.2	44.4	0.7	Department	4.258	0.842	326	
							College	4.249	0.801	5020	
							University	4.323	0.812	37788	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	9	23	111	160	2	Class	4.382	0.779	304	
	0.3	2.9	7.5	36.3	52.3	0.7	Department	4.393	0.784	326	
							College	4.194	0.884	5016	
							University	4.262	0.898	37729	



# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 13					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 328					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5084					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	3	10	0	Class	4.769	0.439	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	Department	4.417	0.779	326
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	2	10	0	Class	4.692	0.630	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	15.4	76.9	0.0	Department	4.399	0.789	326
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	2	11	0	Class	4.846	0.376	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.0	Department	4.417	0.814	326
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	3	9	0	Class	4.615	0.650	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	23.1	69.2	0.0	Department	4.184	0.959	326
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	2	11	0	Class	4.846	0.376	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.0	Department	4.641	0.695	326
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	2	10	0	Class	4.692	0.630	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	15.4	76.9	0.0	Department	4.531	0.700	326
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	11	0	Class	4.846	0.376	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	84.6	0.0	Department	4.439	0.741	326
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	2	10	0	Class	4.692	0.630	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	15.4	76.9	0.0	Department	4.423	0.776	326
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	2	10	0	Class	4.692	0.630	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	15.4	76.9	0.0	Department	4.445	0.766	326
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	3	8	0	Class	4.462	0.776	13
	0.0	0.0	15.4	23.1	61.5	0.0	Department	4.518	0.747	326
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	3	10	0	Class	4.769	0.439	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	Department	4.534	0.650	326
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	1	11	0	Class	4.769	0.599	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	7.7	84.6	0.0	Department	4.331	0.773	326
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	3	10	0	Class	4.769	0.439	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	76.9	0.0	Department	4.436	0.736	326
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	1	12	0	Class	4.923	0.277	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	92.3	0.0	Department	4.325	0.803	326
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	2	10	0	Class	4.692	0.630	13
	0.0	0.0	7.7	15.4	76.9	0.0	Department	4.258	0.842	326
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	0	1	11	0	Class	4.692	0.855	13
	0.0	7.7	0.0	7.7	84.6	0.0	Department	4.393	0.784	326
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:				
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)				
Dept: INDUSTRIAL & MANUFACTURING ENG							G= Good....(4)				
# Sheets Scanned: This Level ....		48					IB=In Between....(3)				
Your Dept .....		500					P= Poor....(2)				
Your College ..		5084					VP= Very Poor....(1)				
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.											
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	16	30	0	Class	4.583	0.577	48	
	0.0	0.0	4.2	33.3	62.5	0.0	Department	4.133	0.867	495	
							College	4.156	0.923	5032	
							University	4.212	0.946	37852	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	9	37	0	Class	4.729	0.536	48	
	0.0	0.0	4.2	18.8	77.1	0.0	Department	4.152	0.899	495	
							College	4.206	0.931	5032	
							University	4.275	0.942	37784	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	5	9	34	0	Class	4.604	0.676	48	
	0.0	0.0	10.4	18.8	70.8	0.0	Department	4.047	0.947	494	
							College	4.108	0.990	5026	
							University	4.235	0.962	37800	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	19	27	0	Class	4.521	0.583	48	
	0.0	0.0	4.2	39.6	56.3	0.0	Department	4.099	0.845	494	
							College	4.105	0.899	5025	
							University	4.174	0.943	37744	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	17	31	0	Class	4.646	0.483	48	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.4	64.6	0.0	Department	4.221	0.853	494	
							College	4.277	0.895	5029	
							University	4.340	0.875	37779	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	3	24	21	0	Class	4.375	0.606	48	
	0.0	0.0	6.3	50.0	43.8	0.0	Department	4.117	0.739	494	
							College	4.151	0.817	5020	
							University	4.184	0.883	37743	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	22	26	0	Class	4.542	0.504	48	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.8	54.2	0.0	Department	4.154	0.810	495	
							College	4.240	0.832	5023	
							University	4.291	0.864	37818	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	16	29	0	Class	4.521	0.684	48	
	0.0	2.1	4.2	33.3	60.4	0.0	Department	4.141	0.805	495	
							College	4.235	0.835	5022	
							University	4.280	0.870	37791	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	19	29	0	Class	4.604	0.494	48	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.6	60.4	0.0	Department	4.180	0.817	494	
							College	4.176	0.923	5022	
							University	4.205	0.959	37807	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	16	32	0	Class	4.667	0.476	48	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.255	0.772	494	
							College	4.308	0.825	5016	
							University	4.370	0.824	37776	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	22	23	0	Class	4.417	0.613	48	
	0.0	0.0	6.3	45.8	47.9	0.0	Department	4.162	0.716	495	
							College	4.232	0.745	5015	
							University	4.259	0.803	37780	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	10	23	15	0	Class	4.104	0.722	48	
	0.0	0.0	20.8	47.9	31.3	0.0	Department	4.139	0.757	495	
							College	4.239	0.831	5018	
							University	4.301	0.836	37753	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	21	26	0	Class	4.521	0.545	48	
	0.0	0.0	2.1	43.8	54.2	0.0	Department	4.126	0.824	493	
							College	4.191	0.901	5018	
							University	4.251	0.920	37788	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	20	26	0	Class	4.500	0.583	48	
	0.0	0.0	4.2	41.7	54.2	0.0	Department	4.067	0.878	495	
							College	4.128	0.912	5019	
							University	4.213	0.926	37762	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	22	25	0	Class	4.500	0.546	48	
	0.0	0.0	2.1	45.8	52.1	0.0	Department	4.200	0.730	495	
							College	4.249	0.801	5020	
							University	4.323	0.812	37788	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	23	25	0	Class	4.521	0.505	48	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.9	52.1	0.0	Department	4.061	0.869	494	
							College	4.194	0.884	5016	
							University	4.262	0.898	37722	

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: INDUSTRIAL & MANUFACTURING ENG							G= Good....(4)			
		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 452					IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept ..... 500					P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College .. 5084					VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.										
S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	8	15	62	208	154	5	Class	4.085	0.879	447
	1.8	3.3	13.7	46.0	34.1	1.1	Department	4.133	0.867	495
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
2. the instructor as a teacher.	8	18	64	193	164	5	Class	4.089	0.909	447
	1.8	4.0	14.2	42.7	36.3	1.1	Department	4.152	0.899	495
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	7	27	82	179	151	6	Class	3.987	0.953	446
	1.5	6.0	18.1	39.6	33.4	1.3	Department	4.047	0.947	494
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
4. the quality of this course.	6	17	65	217	141	6	Class	4.054	0.857	446
	1.3	3.8	14.4	48.0	31.2	1.3	Department	4.099	0.845	494
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	8	13	50	197	178	6	Class	4.175	0.872	446
	1.8	2.9	11.1	43.6	39.4	1.3	Department	4.221	0.853	494
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
6. your understanding of the course content.	4	6	64	244	128	6	Class	4.090	0.747	446
	0.9	1.3	14.2	54.0	28.3	1.3	Department	4.117	0.739	494
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	16	52	225	149	5	Class	4.112	0.826	447
	1.1	3.5	11.5	49.8	33.0	1.1	Department	4.154	0.810	495
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	15	44	245	137	5	Class	4.101	0.807	447
	1.3	3.3	9.7	54.2	30.3	1.1	Department	4.141	0.805	495
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	5	13	59	209	160	6	Class	4.135	0.832	446
	1.1	2.9	13.1	46.2	35.4	1.3	Department	4.180	0.817	494
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	3	14	40	218	171	6	Class	4.211	0.785	446
	0.7	3.1	8.8	48.2	37.8	1.3	Department	4.255	0.772	494
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	7	51	252	134	5	Class	4.134	0.721	447
	0.7	1.5	11.3	55.8	29.6	1.1	Department	4.162	0.716	495
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	9	75	206	157	5	Class	4.143	0.762	447
	0.0	2.0	16.6	45.6	34.7	1.1	Department	4.139	0.757	495
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	5	19	52	227	142	7	Class	4.083	0.838	445
	1.1	4.2	11.5	50.2	31.4	1.5	Department	4.126	0.824	493
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	4	24	77	196	146	5	Class	4.020	0.892	447
	0.9	5.3	17.0	43.4	32.3	1.1	Department	4.067	0.878	495
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	4	6	49	240	148	5	Class	4.168	0.740	447
	0.9	1.3	10.8	53.1	32.7	1.1	Department	4.200	0.730	495
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	5	26	64	215	136	6	Class	4.011	0.886	446
	1.1	5.8	14.2	47.6	30.1	1.3	Department	4.061	0.869	494
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 591 Your Dept ..... 1970 Your College .. 5084					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	14	48	115	270	141	3	Class	3.810	0.970	588
	2.4	8.1	19.5	45.7	23.9	0.5	Department	4.106	0.946	1948
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
2. the instructor as a teacher.	16	44	112	240	176	3	Class	3.878	1.010	588
	2.7	7.4	19.0	40.6	29.8	0.5	Department	4.165	0.950	1946
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	21	67	133	223	142	5	Class	3.679	1.072	586
	3.6	11.3	22.5	37.7	24.0	0.8	Department	4.059	1.010	1946
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
4. the quality of this course.	8	36	115	293	132	7	Class	3.865	0.881	584
	1.4	6.1	19.5	49.6	22.3	1.2	Department	4.098	0.882	1943
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	16	57	115	250	151	2	Class	3.786	1.019	589
	2.7	9.6	19.5	42.3	25.5	0.3	Department	4.190	0.926	1946
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
6. your understanding of the course content.	11	38	143	282	115	2	Class	3.767	0.901	589
	1.9	6.4	24.2	47.7	19.5	0.3	Department	4.095	0.840	1941
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	9	33	89	296	160	4	Class	3.963	0.887	587
	1.5	5.6	15.1	50.1	27.1	0.7	Department	4.230	0.848	1948
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	9	26	93	293	166	4	Class	3.990	0.870	587
	1.5	4.4	15.7	49.6	28.1	0.7	Department	4.210	0.855	1949
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	15	60	111	253	148	4	Class	3.782	1.017	587
	2.5	10.2	18.8	42.8	25.0	0.7	Department	4.105	0.978	1949
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	9	28	63	303	184	4	Class	4.065	0.864	587
	1.5	4.7	10.7	51.3	31.1	0.7	Department	4.253	0.855	1946
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	8	35	130	295	119	4	Class	3.821	0.869	587
	1.4	5.9	22.0	49.9	20.1	0.7	Department	4.176	0.786	1948
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	9	27	123	280	147	5	Class	3.903	0.880	586
	1.5	4.6	20.8	47.4	24.9	0.8	Department	4.208	0.838	1945
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	12	48	134	273	120	4	Class	3.751	0.940	587
	2.0	8.1	22.7	46.2	20.3	0.7	Department	4.121	0.908	1948
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	16	53	131	267	119	5	Class	3.717	0.978	586
	2.7	9.0	22.2	45.2	20.1	0.8	Department	4.085	0.930	1947
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	14	88	293	190	4	Class	4.116	0.766	587
	0.3	2.4	14.9	49.6	32.1	0.7	Department	4.275	0.778	1947
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	11	35	101	268	172	4	Class	3.945	0.933	587
	1.9	5.9	17.1	45.3	29.1	0.7	Department	4.229	0.858	1946
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:					Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 1336 Your Dept ..... 1970 Your College .. 5084					VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	26	42	148	494	610	16	Class	4.227	0.910	1320
	1.9	3.1	11.1	37.0	45.7	1.2	Department	4.106	0.946	1948
							College	4.156	0.923	5031
							University	4.212	0.946	37852
2. the instructor as a teacher.	20	47	134	455	662	18	Class	4.284	0.896	1318
	1.5	3.5	10.0	34.1	49.6	1.3	Department	4.165	0.950	1946
							College	4.206	0.931	5032
							University	4.275	0.942	37784
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	23	58	154	460	625	16	Class	4.217	0.936	1320
	1.7	4.3	11.5	34.4	46.8	1.2	Department	4.059	1.010	1946
							College	4.108	0.990	5026
							University	4.235	0.962	37800
4. the quality of this course.	17	49	147	563	543	17	Class	4.187	0.868	1319
	1.3	3.7	11.0	42.1	40.6	1.3	Department	4.098	0.882	1943
							College	4.105	0.899	5025
							University	4.174	0.943	37744
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	17	26	122	462	691	18	Class	4.354	0.829	1318
	1.3	1.9	9.1	34.6	51.7	1.3	Department	4.190	0.926	1946
							College	4.277	0.895	5029
							University	4.340	0.875	37779
6. your understanding of the course content.	12	25	125	634	517	23	Class	4.233	0.770	1313
	0.9	1.9	9.4	47.5	38.7	1.7	Department	4.095	0.840	1941
							College	4.151	0.817	5020
							University	4.184	0.883	37743
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	13	32	99	526	651	15	Class	4.340	0.799	1321
	1.0	2.4	7.4	39.4	48.7	1.1	Department	4.230	0.848	1948
							College	4.240	0.832	5023
							University	4.291	0.864	37818
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	18	37	101	542	624	14	Class	4.299	0.833	1322
	1.3	2.8	7.6	40.6	46.7	1.0	Department	4.210	0.855	1949
							College	4.235	0.835	5022
							University	4.280	0.870	37791
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	30	47	135	481	629	14	Class	4.234	0.933	1322
	2.2	3.5	10.1	36.0	47.1	1.0	Department	4.105	0.978	1949
							College	4.176	0.923	5022
							University	4.205	0.959	37807
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	20	34	100	508	657	17	Class	4.325	0.842	1319
	1.5	2.5	7.5	38.0	49.2	1.3	Department	4.253	0.855	1946
							College	4.308	0.825	5016
							University	4.370	0.824	37776
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	5	11	111	616	578	15	Class	4.326	0.695	1321
	0.4	0.8	8.3	46.1	43.3	1.1	Department	4.176	0.786	1948
							College	4.232	0.745	5015
							University	4.259	0.803	37780
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	11	16	151	493	648	17	Class	4.328	0.789	1319
	0.8	1.2	11.3	36.9	48.5	1.3	Department	4.208	0.838	1945
							College	4.239	0.831	5018
							University	4.301	0.836	37753
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	15	39	118	534	615	15	Class	4.283	0.834	1321
	1.1	2.9	8.8	40.0	46.0	1.1	Department	4.121	0.908	1948
							College	4.191	0.901	5018
							University	4.251	0.920	37788
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	18	42	135	538	588	15	Class	4.238	0.861	1321
	1.3	3.1	10.1	40.3	44.0	1.1	Department	4.085	0.930	1947
							College	4.128	0.912	5019
							University	4.213	0.926	37762
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	15	20	98	560	627	16	Class	4.336	0.774	1320
	1.1	1.5	7.3	41.9	46.9	1.2	Department	4.275	0.778	1947
							College	4.249	0.801	5020
							University	4.323	0.812	37788
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	16	19	107	526	651	17	Class	4.347	0.788	1319
	1.2	1.4	8.0	39.4	48.7	1.3	Department	4.229	0.858	1946
							College	4.194	0.884	5016
							University	4.262	0.898	37729

# Student Rating of Instruction College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information  
Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
Report Generated on 26JUN18 Using Data from Spring 2018

Department Information		Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING		# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 43 Your Dept ..... 1970 Your College .. 5084						VG= Very Good....(5) G= Good....(4) IB=In Between....(3) P= Poor....(2) VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.											
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	3	13	23	3	Class	4.450	0.749	40	
	0.0	2.3	7.0	30.2	53.5	7.0	Department	4.106	0.946	1948	
							College	4.156	0.923	5031	
							University	4.212	0.946	37852	
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	2	10	26	3	Class	4.500	0.816	40	
	0.0	4.7	4.7	23.3	60.5	7.0	Department	4.165	0.950	1946	
							College	4.206	0.931	5032	
							University	4.275	0.942	37784	
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	1	3	11	24	3	Class	4.400	0.928	40	
	2.3	2.3	7.0	25.6	55.8	7.0	Department	4.059	1.010	1946	
							College	4.108	0.990	5026	
							University	4.235	0.962	37800	
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	11	26	3	Class	4.575	0.636	40	
	0.0	0.0	7.0	25.6	60.5	7.0	Department	4.098	0.882	1943	
							College	4.105	0.899	5025	
							University	4.174	0.943	37744	
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	1	8	30	4	Class	4.744	0.498	39	
	0.0	0.0	2.3	18.6	69.8	9.3	Department	4.190	0.926	1946	
							College	4.277	0.895	5029	
							University	4.340	0.875	37779	
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	3	14	21	4	Class	4.410	0.751	39	
	0.0	2.3	7.0	32.6	48.8	9.3	Department	4.095	0.840	1941	
							College	4.151	0.817	5020	
							University	4.184	0.883	37743	
Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R	
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	1	6	30	3	Class	4.550	0.959	40	
	2.3	4.7	2.3	14.0	69.8	7.0	Department	4.230	0.848	1948	
							College	4.240	0.832	5023	
							University	4.291	0.864	37818	
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	13	24	3	Class	4.500	0.716	40	
	0.0	2.3	4.7	30.2	55.8	7.0	Department	4.210	0.855	1949	
							College	4.235	0.835	5022	
							University	4.280	0.870	37791	
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	1	13	25	3	Class	4.550	0.677	40	
	0.0	2.3	2.3	30.2	58.1	7.0	Department	4.105	0.978	1949	
							College	4.176	0.923	5022	
							University	4.205	0.959	37807	
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	10	28	3	Class	4.625	0.667	40	
	0.0	2.3	2.3	23.3	65.1	7.0	Department	4.253	0.855	1946	
							College	4.308	0.825	5016	
							University	4.370	0.824	37776	
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	15	21	3	Class	4.425	0.675	40	
	0.0	0.0	9.3	34.9	48.8	7.0	Department	4.176	0.786	1948	
							College	4.232	0.745	5015	
							University	4.259	0.803	37780	
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	10	30	3	Class	4.750	0.439	40	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.3	69.8	7.0	Department	4.208	0.838	1945	
							College	4.239	0.831	5018	
							University	4.301	0.836	37753	
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	3	1	3	11	22	3	Class	4.200	1.181	40	
	7.0	2.3	7.0	25.6	51.2	7.0	Department	4.121	0.908	1948	
							College	4.191	0.901	5018	
							University	4.251	0.920	37788	
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	1	14	23	3	Class	4.425	0.874	40	
	2.3	2.3	2.3	32.6	53.5	7.0	Department	4.085	0.930	1947	
							College	4.128	0.912	5019	
							University	4.213	0.926	37762	
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	4	8	28	3	Class	4.600	0.672	40	
	0.0	0.0	9.3	18.6	65.1	7.0	Department	4.275	0.778	1947	
							College	4.249	0.801	5020	
							University	4.323	0.812	37788	
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	3	7	28	3	Class	4.500	0.934	40	
	2.3	2.3	7.0	16.3	65.1	7.0	Department	4.229	0.858	1946	
							College	4.194	0.884	5016	
							University	4.262	0.898	37729	