

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses

Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 47  
 Your Dept ..... 200  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	3	2	14	27	1	Class	4.413	0.858	46
	0.0	6.4	4.3	29.8	57.4	2.1	Department	3.990	0.847	199
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	2	4	14	26	1	Class	4.391	0.829	46
	0.0	4.3	8.5	29.8	55.3	2.1	Department	4.141	0.853	199
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	4	5	13	23	2	Class	4.222	0.974	45
	0.0	8.5	10.6	27.7	48.9	4.3	Department	3.995	0.903	196
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	0	4	1	16	25	1	Class	4.348	0.900	46
	0.0	8.5	2.1	34.0	53.2	2.1	Department	4.015	0.807	199
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	3	2	14	27	1	Class	4.413	0.858	46
	0.0	6.4	4.3	29.8	57.4	2.1	Department	4.211	0.826	199
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	1	6	16	22	1	Class	4.239	0.923	46
	2.1	2.1	12.8	34.0	46.8	2.1	Department	4.219	0.700	196
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	4	15	27	1	Class	4.500	0.658	46
	0.0	0.0	8.5	31.9	57.4	2.1	Department	4.236	0.772	199
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	18	25	1	Class	4.457	0.690	46
	0.0	2.1	4.3	38.3	53.2	2.1	Department	4.090	0.854	199
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	1	16	26	1	Class	4.413	0.832	46
	0.0	6.4	2.1	34.0	55.3	2.1	Department	3.894	0.987	199
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	5	12	28	1	Class	4.457	0.780	46
	0.0	2.1	10.6	25.5	59.6	2.1	Department	4.286	0.781	199
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	3	22	19	1	Class	4.261	0.773	46
	0.0	4.3	6.4	46.8	40.4	2.1	Department	4.231	0.701	199
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	13	31	2	Class	4.667	0.522	45
	0.0	0.0	2.1	27.7	66.0	4.3	Department	4.566	0.632	198
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	14	30	1	Class	4.609	0.577	46
	0.0	0.0	4.3	29.8	63.8	2.1	Department	4.367	0.726	199
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	4	18	23	1	Class	4.370	0.741	46
	0.0	2.1	8.5	38.3	48.9	2.1	Department	4.196	0.770	199
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	22	22	1	Class	4.435	0.583	46
	0.0	0.0	4.3	46.8	46.8	2.1	Department	4.216	0.695	199
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	5	17	24	1	Class	4.413	0.686	46
	0.0	0.0	10.6	36.2	51.1	2.1	Department	4.196	0.763	199
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses

Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 136  
 Your Dept ..... 200  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	12	20	80	24	0	Class	3.853	0.812	136
	0.0	8.8	14.7	58.8	17.6	0.0	Department	3.990	0.847	199
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	8	15	71	41	0	Class	4.051	0.846	136
	0.7	5.9	11.0	52.2	30.1	0.0	Department	4.141	0.853	199
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	7	34	57	36	1	Class	3.889	0.887	135
	0.7	5.1	25.0	41.9	26.5	0.7	Department	3.995	0.903	196
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	0	5	34	69	28	0	Class	3.882	0.770	136
	0.0	3.7	25.0	50.7	20.6	0.0	Department	4.015	0.807	199
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	7	16	64	49	0	Class	4.140	0.818	136
	0.0	5.1	11.8	47.1	36.0	0.0	Department	4.211	0.826	199
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	6	80	45	3	Class	4.263	0.614	133
	0.0	1.5	4.4	58.8	33.1	2.2	Department	4.219	0.700	196
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	5	11	70	50	0	Class	4.213	0.744	136
	0.0	3.7	8.1	51.5	36.8	0.0	Department	4.236	0.772	199
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	8	24	61	43	0	Class	4.022	0.856	136
	0.0	5.9	17.6	44.9	31.6	0.0	Department	4.090	0.854	199
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	14	32	56	32	0	Class	3.750	0.979	136
	1.5	10.3	23.5	41.2	23.5	0.0	Department	3.894	0.987	199
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	9	71	52	0	Class	4.250	0.738	136
	0.7	2.2	6.6	52.2	38.2	0.0	Department	4.286	0.781	199
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	5	81	48	0	Class	4.287	0.607	136
	0.0	1.5	3.7	59.6	35.3	0.0	Department	4.231	0.701	199
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	4	47	85	0	Class	4.596	0.549	136
	0.0	0.0	2.9	34.6	62.5	0.0	Department	4.566	0.632	198
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	11	65	59	0	Class	4.331	0.689	136
	0.7	0.0	8.1	47.8	43.4	0.0	Department	4.367	0.726	199
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	2	14	74	45	0	Class	4.176	0.729	136
	0.7	1.5	10.3	54.4	33.1	0.0	Department	4.196	0.770	199
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	17	73	45	0	Class	4.191	0.672	136
	0.0	0.7	12.5	53.7	33.1	0.0	Department	4.216	0.695	199
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	5	14	73	44	0	Class	4.147	0.746	136
	0.0	3.7	10.3	53.7	32.4	0.0	Department	4.196	0.763	199
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL & BIOSYSTEMS ENG

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 17  
 Your Dept ..... 200  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	5	8	4	0	Class	3.941	0.748	17
	0.0	0.0	29.4	47.1	23.5	0.0	Department	3.990	0.847	199
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	2	7	7	0	Class	4.176	0.883	17
	0.0	5.9	11.8	41.2	41.2	0.0	Department	4.141	0.853	199
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	8	6	1	Class	4.250	0.683	16
	0.0	0.0	11.8	47.1	35.3	5.9	Department	3.995	0.903	196
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	12	4	0	Class	4.176	0.529	17
	0.0	0.0	5.9	70.6	23.5	0.0	Department	4.015	0.807	199
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	7	7	0	Class	4.235	0.752	17
	0.0	0.0	17.6	41.2	41.2	0.0	Department	4.211	0.826	199
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	4	12	1	0	Class	3.824	0.529	17
	0.0	0.0	23.5	70.6	5.9	0.0	Department	4.219	0.700	196
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	5	8	3	0	Class	3.706	0.985	17
	5.9	0.0	29.4	47.1	17.6	0.0	Department	4.236	0.772	199
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	0	5	9	2	0	Class	3.647	0.931	17
	5.9	0.0	29.4	52.9	11.8	0.0	Department	4.090	0.854	199
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	0	6	7	3	0	Class	3.647	0.996	17
	5.9	0.0	35.3	41.2	17.6	0.0	Department	3.894	0.987	199
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	2	7	7	0	Class	4.118	1.054	17
	5.9	0.0	11.8	41.2	41.2	0.0	Department	4.286	0.781	199
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	0	5	8	3	0	Class	3.706	0.985	17
	5.9	0.0	29.4	47.1	17.6	0.0	Department	4.231	0.701	199
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	4	4	8	0	Class	4.059	1.144	17
	5.9	0.0	23.5	23.5	47.1	0.0	Department	4.566	0.632	198
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	4	5	7	0	Class	4.000	1.118	17
	5.9	0.0	23.5	29.4	41.2	0.0	Department	4.367	0.726	199
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	4	7	5	0	Class	3.882	1.054	17
	5.9	0.0	23.5	41.2	29.4	0.0	Department	4.196	0.770	199
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	3	10	3	0	Class	3.824	0.951	17
	5.9	0.0	17.6	58.8	17.6	0.0	Department	4.216	0.695	199
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	2	9	5	0	Class	4.000	1.000	17
	5.9	0.0	11.8	52.9	29.4	0.0	Department	4.196	0.763	199
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: AEROSPACE STUDIES

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 51  
 Your Dept ..... 67  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	6	45	0	Class	4.882	0.325	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	88.2	0.0	Department	4.881	0.327	67
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	3	48	0	Class	4.941	0.238	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	Department	4.925	0.265	67
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	7	44	0	Class	4.863	0.348	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.7	86.3	0.0	Department	4.881	0.327	67
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	7	44	0	Class	4.863	0.348	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.7	86.3	0.0	Department	4.866	0.344	67
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	3	48	0	Class	4.941	0.238	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	Department	4.955	0.208	67
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	6	44	1	Class	4.880	0.328	50
	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	86.3	2.0	Department	4.909	0.290	66
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	48	0	Class	4.941	0.238	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	Department	4.925	0.265	67
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	5	46	0	Class	4.902	0.300	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.8	90.2	0.0	Department	4.881	0.327	67
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	4	47	0	Class	4.922	0.272	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.8	92.2	0.0	Department	4.896	0.308	67
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	2	48	0	Class	4.922	0.337	51
	0.0	0.0	2.0	3.9	94.1	0.0	Department	4.925	0.317	67
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	7	44	0	Class	4.863	0.348	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.7	86.3	0.0	Department	4.851	0.359	67
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	3	48	0	Class	4.941	0.238	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	Department	4.955	0.208	67
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	3	48	0	Class	4.941	0.238	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	94.1	0.0	Department	4.955	0.208	67
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	2	49	0	Class	4.961	0.196	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	96.1	0.0	Department	4.955	0.208	67
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	4	47	0	Class	4.922	0.272	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.8	92.2	0.0	Department	4.925	0.265	67
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	49	0	Class	4.961	0.196	51
	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	96.1	0.0	Department	4.970	0.171	67
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: AEROSPACE STUDIES

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 16  
 Your Dept ..... 67  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	2	14	0	Class	4.875	0.342	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	Department	4.881	0.327	67
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	2	14	0	Class	4.875	0.342	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	Department	4.925	0.265	67
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	1	15	0	Class	4.938	0.250	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	93.8	0.0	Department	4.881	0.327	67
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	2	14	0	Class	4.875	0.342	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	Department	4.866	0.344	67
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	16	0	Class	5.000	0.000	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.955	0.208	67
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	0	16	0	Class	5.000	0.000	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.909	0.290	66
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	14	0	Class	4.875	0.342	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0	Department	4.925	0.265	67
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	3	13	0	Class	4.813	0.403	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	Department	4.881	0.327	67
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	3	13	0	Class	4.813	0.403	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	Department	4.896	0.308	67
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	1	15	0	Class	4.938	0.250	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	93.8	0.0	Department	4.925	0.317	67
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	3	13	0	Class	4.813	0.403	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.8	81.3	0.0	Department	4.851	0.359	67
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	0	16	0	Class	5.000	0.000	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.955	0.208	67
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	0	16	0	Class	5.000	0.000	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.955	0.208	67
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	1	15	0	Class	4.938	0.250	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	93.8	0.0	Department	4.955	0.208	67
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	1	15	0	Class	4.938	0.250	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	93.8	0.0	Department	4.925	0.265	67
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	16	0	Class	5.000	0.000	16
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.970	0.171	67
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329





# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: CIVIL ENGINEERING

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 19  
 Your Dept ..... 1652  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	4	11	4	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.1	57.9	21.1	Department	4.150	0.865	1635
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	5	10	4	Class	4.667	0.488	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.3	52.6	21.1	Department	4.174	0.904	1635
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	6	8	5	Class	4.571	0.514	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.6	42.1	26.3	Department	4.080	0.929	1632
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	6	9	4	Class	4.600	0.507	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.6	47.4	21.1	Department	4.095	0.863	1635
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	4	9	6	Class	4.692	0.480	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.1	47.4	31.6	Department	4.309	0.802	1632
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	9	5	4	Class	4.267	0.594	15
	0.0	0.0	5.3	47.4	26.3	21.1	Department	4.150	0.788	1630
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	4	10	5	Class	4.714	0.469	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.1	52.6	26.3	Department	4.201	0.813	1635
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	5	9	5	Class	4.643	0.497	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.3	47.4	26.3	Department	4.267	0.775	1635
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	0	5	8	5	Class	4.429	0.852	14
	0.0	5.3	0.0	26.3	42.1	26.3	Department	4.146	0.921	1634
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	6	7	6	Class	4.538	0.519	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.6	36.8	31.6	Department	4.345	0.751	1631
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	5	7	6	Class	4.462	0.660	13
	0.0	0.0	5.3	26.3	36.8	31.6	Department	4.250	0.717	1632
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	5	9	5	Class	4.643	0.497	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.3	47.4	26.3	Department	4.289	0.797	1632
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	4	9	6	Class	4.692	0.480	13
	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.1	47.4	31.6	Department	4.180	0.930	1631
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	2	10	7	Class	4.833	0.389	12
	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.5	52.6	36.8	Department	4.120	0.873	1630
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	4	10	5	Class	4.714	0.469	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.1	52.6	26.3	Department	4.250	0.741	1629
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	5	9	5	Class	4.643	0.497	14
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.3	47.4	26.3	Department	4.161	0.897	1629
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329





# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 495  
 Your Dept ..... 892  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	22	58	212	190	9	Class	4.156	0.862	486
	0.8	4.4	11.7	42.8	38.4	1.8	Department	4.132	0.884	877
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	22	62	172	228	7	Class	4.225	0.894	488
	0.8	4.4	12.5	34.7	46.1	1.4	Department	4.187	0.893	879
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	4	17	71	180	217	6	Class	4.204	0.873	489
	0.8	3.4	14.3	36.4	43.8	1.2	Department	4.080	0.988	880
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	4	21	82	194	188	6	Class	4.106	0.888	489
	0.8	4.2	16.6	39.2	38.0	1.2	Department	4.092	0.878	879
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	6	22	61	179	221	6	Class	4.200	0.910	489
	1.2	4.4	12.3	36.2	44.6	1.2	Department	4.270	0.860	879
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	14	60	227	183	9	Class	4.183	0.787	486
	0.4	2.8	12.1	45.9	37.0	1.8	Department	4.152	0.802	875
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	15	39	233	201	6	Class	4.264	0.751	489
	0.2	3.0	7.9	47.1	40.6	1.2	Department	4.210	0.795	880
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	11	47	224	205	7	Class	4.273	0.743	488
	0.2	2.2	9.5	45.3	41.4	1.4	Department	4.231	0.774	879
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	16	60	217	194	6	Class	4.196	0.806	489
	0.4	3.2	12.1	43.8	39.2	1.2	Department	4.163	0.818	880
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	7	17	49	199	217	6	Class	4.231	0.872	489
	1.4	3.4	9.9	40.2	43.8	1.2	Department	4.290	0.817	880
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	7	57	242	183	6	Class	4.229	0.705	489
	0.0	1.4	11.5	48.9	37.0	1.2	Department	4.185	0.707	879
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	8	76	196	207	8	Class	4.236	0.770	487
	0.0	1.6	15.4	39.6	41.8	1.6	Department	4.219	0.780	878
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	4	14	59	228	182	8	Class	4.170	0.810	487
	0.8	2.8	11.9	46.1	36.8	1.6	Department	4.175	0.815	878
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	20	77	209	179	8	Class	4.115	0.846	487
	0.4	4.0	15.6	42.2	36.2	1.6	Department	4.120	0.853	878
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	9	50	206	220	8	Class	4.300	0.759	487
	0.4	1.8	10.1	41.6	44.4	1.6	Department	4.218	0.793	878
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	6	15	64	197	204	9	Class	4.189	0.867	486
	1.2	3.0	12.9	39.8	41.2	1.8	Department	4.162	0.883	877
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses  
 Dept: CONSTR MGMT/ENGINEERING

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 54  
 Your Dept ..... 892  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	4	24	25	0	Class	4.352	0.705	54
	0.0	1.9	7.4	44.4	46.3	0.0	Department	4.132	0.884	877
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	1	5	25	23	0	Class	4.296	0.717	54
	0.0	1.9	9.3	46.3	42.6	0.0	Department	4.187	0.893	879
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	6	20	27	0	Class	4.352	0.756	54
	0.0	1.9	11.1	37.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.080	0.988	880
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	0	2	4	18	30	0	Class	4.407	0.790	54
	0.0	3.7	7.4	33.3	55.6	0.0	Department	4.092	0.878	879
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	4	20	29	0	Class	4.426	0.716	54
	0.0	1.9	7.4	37.0	53.7	0.0	Department	4.270	0.860	879
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	6	25	22	1	Class	4.302	0.668	53
	0.0	0.0	11.1	46.3	40.7	1.9	Department	4.152	0.802	875
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	2	9	20	23	0	Class	4.185	0.848	54
	0.0	3.7	16.7	37.0	42.6	0.0	Department	4.210	0.795	880
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	3	18	33	0	Class	4.556	0.604	54
	0.0	0.0	5.6	33.3	61.1	0.0	Department	4.231	0.774	879
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	3	21	28	0	Class	4.389	0.763	54
	0.0	3.7	5.6	38.9	51.9	0.0	Department	4.163	0.818	880
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	5	15	33	0	Class	4.481	0.746	54
	0.0	1.9	9.3	27.8	61.1	0.0	Department	4.290	0.817	880
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	7	35	10	1	Class	4.019	0.635	53
	0.0	1.9	13.0	64.8	18.5	1.9	Department	4.185	0.707	879
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	6	16	32	0	Class	4.481	0.693	54
	0.0	0.0	11.1	29.6	59.3	0.0	Department	4.219	0.780	878
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	4	16	32	0	Class	4.444	0.793	54
	0.0	3.7	7.4	29.6	59.3	0.0	Department	4.175	0.815	878
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	2	7	16	29	0	Class	4.333	0.847	54
	0.0	3.7	13.0	29.6	53.7	0.0	Department	4.120	0.853	878
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	3	6	19	26	0	Class	4.259	0.873	54
	0.0	5.6	11.1	35.2	48.1	0.0	Department	4.218	0.793	878
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	14	13	26	0	Class	4.185	0.892	54
	0.0	1.9	25.9	24.1	48.1	0.0	Department	4.162	0.883	877
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329



# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGR

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 1440  
 Your Dept ..... 2186  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	19	59	181	552	601	28	Class	4.174	0.901	1412
	1.3	4.1	12.6	38.3	41.7	1.9	Department	4.129	0.902	2132
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	16	54	152	507	682	29	Class	4.265	0.881	1411
	1.1	3.8	10.6	35.2	47.4	2.0	Department	4.187	0.905	2131
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	17	66	197	517	614	29	Class	4.166	0.918	1411
	1.2	4.6	13.7	35.9	42.6	2.0	Department	4.112	0.917	2128
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	19	62	180	613	535	31	Class	4.123	0.889	1409
	1.3	4.3	12.5	42.6	37.2	2.2	Department	4.066	0.899	2125
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	25	44	123	418	801	29	Class	4.365	0.898	1411
	1.7	3.1	8.5	29.0	55.6	2.0	Department	4.309	0.889	2129
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	10	56	217	630	497	30	Class	4.098	0.849	1410
	0.7	3.9	15.1	43.8	34.5	2.1	Department	4.071	0.850	2126
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	5	39	119	599	650	28	Class	4.310	0.769	1412
	0.3	2.7	8.3	41.6	45.1	1.9	Department	4.240	0.800	2130
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	7	42	140	551	671	29	Class	4.302	0.805	1411
	0.5	2.9	9.7	38.3	46.6	2.0	Department	4.230	0.814	2131
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	17	65	179	503	646	30	Class	4.203	0.915	1410
	1.2	4.5	12.4	34.9	44.9	2.1	Department	4.137	0.928	2128
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	10	44	118	498	739	31	Class	4.357	0.819	1409
	0.7	3.1	8.2	34.6	51.3	2.2	Department	4.310	0.833	2128
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	4	38	191	580	597	30	Class	4.226	0.801	1410
	0.3	2.6	13.3	40.3	41.5	2.1	Department	4.179	0.798	2128
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	15	27	167	459	743	29	Class	4.338	0.839	1411
	1.0	1.9	11.6	31.9	51.6	2.0	Department	4.282	0.852	2129
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	18	38	126	507	721	30	Class	4.330	0.846	1410
	1.3	2.6	8.8	35.2	50.1	2.1	Department	4.269	0.853	2128
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	15	67	195	528	606	29	Class	4.164	0.909	1411
	1.0	4.7	13.5	36.7	42.1	2.0	Department	4.116	0.926	2128
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	7	26	134	578	666	29	Class	4.325	0.762	1411
	0.5	1.8	9.3	40.1	46.3	2.0	Department	4.248	0.786	2128
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	24	46	139	539	664	28	Class	4.256	0.887	1412
	1.7	3.2	9.7	37.4	46.1	1.9	Department	4.203	0.880	2130
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329



# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 105  
 Your Dept ..... 105  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	2	3	17	51	31	1	Class	4.019	0.870	104
	1.9	2.9	16.2	48.6	29.5	1.0	Department	4.019	0.870	104
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	4	18	53	27	1	Class	3.952	0.874	104
	1.9	3.8	17.1	50.5	25.7	1.0	Department	3.952	0.874	104
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	8	25	44	25	1	Class	3.788	0.962	104
	1.9	7.6	23.8	41.9	23.8	1.0	Department	3.788	0.962	104
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	1	1	15	56	31	1	Class	4.106	0.749	104
	1.0	1.0	14.3	53.3	29.5	1.0	Department	4.106	0.749	104
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	3	41	58	1	Class	4.490	0.654	104
	0.0	1.9	2.9	39.0	55.2	1.0	Department	4.490	0.654	104
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	5	74	23	2	Class	4.155	0.538	103
	0.0	1.0	4.8	70.5	21.9	1.9	Department	4.155	0.538	103
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	17	60	26	1	Class	4.058	0.708	104
	1.0	0.0	16.2	57.1	24.8	1.0	Department	4.058	0.708	104
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	9	57	38	1	Class	4.279	0.615	104
	0.0	0.0	8.6	54.3	36.2	1.0	Department	4.279	0.615	104
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	3	12	54	35	1	Class	4.163	0.739	104
	0.0	2.9	11.4	51.4	33.3	1.0	Department	4.163	0.739	104
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	50	52	1	Class	4.471	0.574	104
	0.0	1.0	1.0	47.6	49.5	1.0	Department	4.471	0.574	104
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	9	64	31	1	Class	4.212	0.586	104
	0.0	0.0	8.6	61.0	29.5	1.0	Department	4.212	0.586	104
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	14	42	48	1	Class	4.327	0.703	104
	0.0	0.0	13.3	40.0	45.7	1.0	Department	4.327	0.703	104
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	12	50	42	1	Class	4.288	0.664	104
	0.0	0.0	11.4	47.6	40.0	1.0	Department	4.288	0.664	104
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	4	14	50	35	1	Class	4.096	0.842	104
	1.0	3.8	13.3	47.6	33.3	1.0	Department	4.096	0.842	104
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	13	64	26	1	Class	4.106	0.637	104
	0.0	1.0	12.4	61.0	24.8	1.0	Department	4.106	0.637	104
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	2	17	48	36	1	Class	4.115	0.816	104
	1.0	1.9	16.2	45.7	34.3	1.0	Department	4.115	0.816	104
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 96  
 Your Dept ..... 819  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	5	42	46	1	Class	4.379	0.732	95
	1.0	1.0	5.2	43.8	47.9	1.0	Department	4.431	0.765	808
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	1	5	48	40	0	Class	4.281	0.791	96
	2.1	1.0	5.2	50.0	41.7	0.0	Department	4.475	0.756	808
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	4	25	65	0	Class	4.594	0.674	96
	0.0	2.1	4.2	26.0	67.7	0.0	Department	4.476	0.767	809
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	0	4	7	49	36	0	Class	4.219	0.757	96
	0.0	4.2	7.3	51.0	37.5	0.0	Department	4.253	0.842	810
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	2	21	72	0	Class	4.708	0.560	96
	0.0	1.0	2.1	21.9	75.0	0.0	Department	4.621	0.642	808
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	4	33	58	0	Class	4.531	0.680	96
	1.0	0.0	4.2	34.4	60.4	0.0	Department	4.530	0.719	808
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	2	40	53	0	Class	4.510	0.598	96
	0.0	1.0	2.1	41.7	55.2	0.0	Department	4.465	0.739	810
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	3	9	30	53	0	Class	4.365	0.860	96
	1.0	3.1	9.4	31.3	55.2	0.0	Department	4.374	0.820	808
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	7	34	54	0	Class	4.469	0.680	96
	0.0	1.0	7.3	35.4	56.3	0.0	Department	4.428	0.780	809
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	5	20	68	1	Class	4.611	0.734	95
	1.0	1.0	5.2	20.8	70.8	1.0	Department	4.497	0.754	807
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	6	31	58	0	Class	4.521	0.665	96
	0.0	1.0	6.3	32.3	60.4	0.0	Department	4.520	0.682	809
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	20	73	0	Class	4.729	0.513	96
	0.0	0.0	3.1	20.8	76.0	0.0	Department	4.342	0.779	807
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	31	63	0	Class	4.635	0.526	96
	0.0	0.0	2.1	32.3	65.6	0.0	Department	4.400	0.801	809
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	0	10	31	54	0	Class	4.427	0.764	96
	1.0	0.0	10.4	32.3	56.3	0.0	Department	4.342	0.827	809
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	10	39	46	0	Class	4.344	0.752	96
	1.0	0.0	10.4	40.6	47.9	0.0	Department	4.330	0.777	806
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	35	58	0	Class	4.573	0.557	96
	0.0	0.0	3.1	36.5	60.4	0.0	Department	4.407	0.786	809
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329



# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: ENGINEERING GENERAL

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 712  
 Your Dept ..... 819  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	6	9	60	228	399	10	Class	4.432	0.772	702
	0.8	1.3	8.4	32.0	56.0	1.4	Department	4.431	0.765	808
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	7	7	47	211	429	11	Class	4.495	0.751	701
	1.0	1.0	6.6	29.6	60.3	1.5	Department	4.475	0.756	808
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	7	12	49	223	411	10	Class	4.452	0.781	702
	1.0	1.7	6.9	31.3	57.7	1.4	Department	4.476	0.767	809
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	6	21	92	257	327	9	Class	4.249	0.855	703
	0.8	2.9	12.9	36.1	45.9	1.3	Department	4.253	0.842	810
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	6	2	24	200	469	11	Class	4.603	0.654	701
	0.8	0.3	3.4	28.1	65.9	1.5	Department	4.621	0.642	808
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	10	38	207	441	11	Class	4.525	0.728	701
	0.7	1.4	5.3	29.1	61.9	1.5	Department	4.530	0.719	808
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	7	12	37	246	401	9	Class	4.454	0.759	703
	1.0	1.7	5.2	34.6	56.3	1.3	Department	4.465	0.739	810
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	6	21	54	247	373	11	Class	4.369	0.819	701
	0.8	2.9	7.6	34.7	52.4	1.5	Department	4.374	0.820	808
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	5	21	44	238	394	10	Class	4.417	0.796	702
	0.7	2.9	6.2	33.4	55.3	1.4	Department	4.428	0.780	809
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	5	15	37	223	421	11	Class	4.484	0.755	701
	0.7	2.1	5.2	31.3	59.1	1.5	Department	4.497	0.754	807
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	5	2	42	229	424	10	Class	4.517	0.687	702
	0.7	0.3	5.9	32.2	59.6	1.4	Department	4.520	0.682	809
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	4	6	109	250	331	12	Class	4.283	0.797	700
	0.6	0.8	15.3	35.1	46.5	1.7	Department	4.342	0.779	807
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	10	10	70	237	375	10	Class	4.363	0.830	702
	1.4	1.4	9.8	33.3	52.7	1.4	Department	4.400	0.801	809
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	6	17	82	235	362	10	Class	4.325	0.838	702
	0.8	2.4	11.5	33.0	50.8	1.4	Department	4.342	0.827	809
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	7	7	75	275	335	13	Class	4.322	0.784	699
	1.0	1.0	10.5	38.6	47.1	1.8	Department	4.330	0.777	806
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	7	18	52	248	377	10	Class	4.382	0.812	702
	1.0	2.5	7.3	34.8	52.9	1.4	Department	4.407	0.786	809
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329





# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses

Dept: INDUSTRIAL & MANUFACTURING ENG

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 967

Your Dept ..... 1035

Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)

G= Good....(4)

IB=In Between....(3)

P= Poor....(2)

VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	15	56	127	435	326	8	Class	4.044	0.920	959
	1.6	5.8	13.1	45.0	33.7	0.8	Department	4.074	0.922	1027
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	20	43	134	399	363	8	Class	4.087	0.937	959
	2.1	4.4	13.9	41.3	37.5	0.8	Department	4.116	0.938	1027
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	21	70	168	383	317	8	Class	3.944	0.996	959
	2.2	7.2	17.4	39.6	32.8	0.8	Department	3.983	0.998	1027
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	17	47	150	432	312	9	Class	4.018	0.916	958
	1.8	4.9	15.5	44.7	32.3	0.9	Department	4.044	0.913	1026
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	19	37	113	368	421	9	Class	4.185	0.926	958
	2.0	3.8	11.7	38.1	43.5	0.9	Department	4.212	0.922	1026
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	14	31	118	446	347	11	Class	4.131	0.855	956
	1.4	3.2	12.2	46.1	35.9	1.1	Department	4.150	0.858	1024
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	50	122	456	329	7	Class	4.102	0.835	960
	0.3	5.2	12.6	47.2	34.0	0.7	Department	4.124	0.831	1028
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	14	45	116	442	343	7	Class	4.099	0.888	960
	1.4	4.7	12.0	45.7	35.5	0.7	Department	4.125	0.885	1028
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	13	65	123	418	340	8	Class	4.050	0.935	959
	1.3	6.7	12.7	43.2	35.2	0.8	Department	4.082	0.934	1027
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	11	33	81	418	417	7	Class	4.247	0.836	960
	1.1	3.4	8.4	43.2	43.1	0.7	Department	4.268	0.826	1028
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	6	15	99	500	340	7	Class	4.201	0.732	960
	0.6	1.6	10.2	51.7	35.2	0.7	Department	4.210	0.735	1028
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	6	14	179	405	355	8	Class	4.136	0.809	959
	0.6	1.4	18.5	41.9	36.7	0.8	Department	4.125	0.814	1027
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	14	46	141	409	348	9	Class	4.076	0.911	958
	1.4	4.8	14.6	42.3	36.0	0.9	Department	4.099	0.900	1026
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	20	39	171	419	309	9	Class	4.000	0.923	958
	2.1	4.0	17.7	43.3	32.0	0.9	Department	4.022	0.921	1025
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	7	14	134	474	332	6	Class	4.155	0.765	961
	0.7	1.4	13.9	49.0	34.3	0.6	Department	4.164	0.765	1028
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	16	43	138	431	331	8	Class	4.062	0.903	959
	1.7	4.4	14.3	44.6	34.2	0.8	Department	4.077	0.902	1027
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329



# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses  
 Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 1226  
 Your Dept ..... 4033  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	32	90	154	492	452	6	Class	4.018	1.014	1220
	2.6	7.3	12.6	40.1	36.9	0.5	Department	4.179	0.941	3986
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	30	78	173	412	527	6	Class	4.089	1.022	1220
	2.4	6.4	14.1	33.6	43.0	0.5	Department	4.230	0.948	3986
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	30	88	193	458	450	7	Class	3.993	1.019	1219
	2.4	7.2	15.7	37.4	36.7	0.6	Department	4.153	0.977	3981
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	20	71	176	521	429	9	Class	4.042	0.937	1217
	1.6	5.8	14.4	42.5	35.0	0.7	Department	4.168	0.897	3971
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	40	73	193	455	456	9	Class	3.998	1.034	1217
	3.3	6.0	15.7	37.1	37.2	0.7	Department	4.222	0.933	3970
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	31	49	182	589	365	10	Class	3.993	0.917	1216
	2.5	4.0	14.8	48.0	29.8	0.8	Department	4.169	0.832	3967
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	19	53	129	518	501	6	Class	4.171	0.895	1220
	1.5	4.3	10.5	42.3	40.9	0.5	Department	4.300	0.841	3984
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	24	51	113	514	519	5	Class	4.190	0.909	1221
	2.0	4.2	9.2	41.9	42.3	0.4	Department	4.292	0.849	3982
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	36	88	190	463	443	6	Class	3.975	1.036	1220
	2.9	7.2	15.5	37.8	36.1	0.5	Department	4.160	0.988	3984
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	19	54	123	493	528	9	Class	4.197	0.903	1217
	1.5	4.4	10.0	40.2	43.1	0.7	Department	4.290	0.882	3985
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	11	45	181	618	366	5	Class	4.051	0.821	1221
	0.9	3.7	14.8	50.4	29.9	0.4	Department	4.236	0.767	3985
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	17	36	212	496	459	6	Class	4.102	0.885	1220
	1.4	2.9	17.3	40.5	37.4	0.5	Department	4.284	0.818	3983
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	27	70	211	489	425	4	Class	3.994	0.974	1222
	2.2	5.7	17.2	39.9	34.7	0.3	Department	4.210	0.898	3984
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	30	95	215	470	412	4	Class	3.932	1.020	1222
	2.4	7.7	17.5	38.3	33.6	0.3	Department	4.152	0.938	3983
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	10	11	122	529	548	6	Class	4.307	0.752	1220
	0.8	0.9	10.0	43.1	44.7	0.5	Department	4.367	0.741	3981
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	22	49	138	515	494	8	Class	4.158	0.905	1218
	1.8	4.0	11.3	42.0	40.3	0.7	Department	4.263	0.879	3981
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329

# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses  
 Dept: MECHANICAL ENGINEERING

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 2726  
 Your Dept ..... 4033  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	35	121	276	978	1282	34	Class	4.245	0.903	2692
	1.3	4.4	10.1	35.9	47.0	1.2	Department	4.179	0.941	3986
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	37	113	287	869	1386	34	Class	4.283	0.913	2692
	1.4	4.1	10.5	31.9	50.8	1.2	Department	4.230	0.948	3986
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	53	118	316	897	1304	38	Class	4.221	0.953	2688
	1.9	4.3	11.6	32.9	47.8	1.4	Department	4.153	0.977	3981
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	30	95	334	1022	1200	45	Class	4.219	0.876	2681
	1.1	3.5	12.3	37.5	44.0	1.7	Department	4.168	0.897	3971
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	40	79	252	946	1363	46	Class	4.311	0.871	2680
	1.5	2.9	9.2	34.7	50.0	1.7	Department	4.222	0.933	3970
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	21	53	284	1211	1108	49	Class	4.245	0.781	2677
	0.8	1.9	10.4	44.4	40.6	1.8	Department	4.169	0.832	3967
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	26	76	201	1011	1376	36	Class	4.351	0.814	2690
	1.0	2.8	7.4	37.1	50.5	1.3	Department	4.300	0.841	3984
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	24	76	234	1003	1350	39	Class	4.332	0.820	2687
	0.9	2.8	8.6	36.8	49.5	1.4	Department	4.292	0.849	3982
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	57	126	276	901	1330	36	Class	4.235	0.959	2690
	2.1	4.6	10.1	33.1	48.8	1.3	Department	4.160	0.988	3984
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	46	82	212	969	1385	32	Class	4.323	0.874	2694
	1.7	3.0	7.8	35.5	50.8	1.2	Department	4.290	0.882	3985
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	8	50	228	1206	1198	36	Class	4.314	0.730	2690
	0.3	1.8	8.4	44.2	43.9	1.3	Department	4.236	0.767	3985
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	18	31	302	969	1369	37	Class	4.354	0.776	2689
	0.7	1.1	11.1	35.5	50.2	1.4	Department	4.284	0.818	3983
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	40	64	251	1029	1304	38	Class	4.299	0.847	2688
	1.5	2.3	9.2	37.7	47.8	1.4	Department	4.210	0.898	3984
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	43	83	295	1014	1252	39	Class	4.246	0.885	2687
	1.6	3.0	10.8	37.2	45.9	1.4	Department	4.152	0.938	3983
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	21	29	195	1077	1365	39	Class	4.390	0.736	2687
	0.8	1.1	7.2	39.5	50.1	1.4	Department	4.367	0.741	3981
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	47	76	220	1019	1327	37	Class	4.303	0.869	2689
	1.7	2.8	8.1	37.4	48.7	1.4	Department	4.263	0.879	3981
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329





# College of Engineering

North Dakota State University Annual Report Information  
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report  
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Fall 2018 & Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S\_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses

Dept: MATERIALS & NANOTECHNOLOGY

# Sheets Scanned: This Level .... 51  
 Your Dept ..... 51  
 Your College .. 11040

VG= Very Good....(5)  
 G= Good....(4)  
 IB=In Between....(3)  
 P= Poor....(2)  
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.  
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	1	8	17	18	6	Class	4.111	0.935	45
	2.0	2.0	15.7	33.3	35.3	11.8	Department	4.111	0.935	45
							College	4.169	0.904	10880
							University	4.190	0.970	77591
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	1	12	12	19	6	Class	4.044	0.999	45
	2.0	2.0	23.5	23.5	37.3	11.8	Department	4.044	0.999	45
							College	4.216	0.915	10881
							University	4.251	0.969	77448
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	2	10	12	19	7	Class	4.045	1.033	44
	2.0	3.9	19.6	23.5	37.3	13.7	Department	4.045	1.033	44
							College	4.134	0.951	10868
							University	4.214	0.989	77519
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	6	20	18	6	Class	4.222	0.765	45
	0.0	2.0	11.8	39.2	35.3	11.8	Department	4.222	0.765	45
							College	4.127	0.886	10861
							University	4.160	0.954	77404
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	5	13	26	6	Class	4.422	0.783	45
	0.0	2.0	9.8	25.5	51.0	11.8	Department	4.422	0.783	45
							College	4.292	0.879	10859
							University	4.337	0.893	77426
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	9	19	16	7	Class	4.159	0.745	44
	0.0	0.0	17.6	37.3	31.4	13.7	Department	4.159	0.745	44
							College	4.176	0.823	10839
							University	4.167	0.909	77361
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	5	19	18	6	Class	4.133	0.944	45
	2.0	3.9	9.8	37.3	35.3	11.8	Department	4.133	0.944	45
							College	4.262	0.818	10882
							University	4.266	0.893	77563
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	2	11	11	20	6	Class	4.044	1.043	45
	2.0	3.9	21.6	21.6	39.2	11.8	Department	4.044	1.043	45
							College	4.260	0.827	10878
							University	4.268	0.893	77523
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	1	10	15	18	6	Class	4.067	0.963	45
	2.0	2.0	19.6	29.4	35.3	11.8	Department	4.067	0.963	45
							College	4.165	0.934	10877
							University	4.190	0.981	77527
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	5	14	25	6	Class	4.378	0.860	45
	2.0	0.0	9.8	27.5	49.0	11.8	Department	4.378	0.860	45
							College	4.321	0.830	10874
							University	4.365	0.846	77498
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	20	21	6	Class	4.378	0.650	45
	0.0	0.0	7.8	39.2	41.2	11.8	Department	4.378	0.650	45
							College	4.246	0.753	10876
							University	4.233	0.828	77465
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	13	12	19	6	Class	4.089	0.900	45
	0.0	2.0	25.5	23.5	37.3	11.8	Department	4.089	0.900	45
							College	4.277	0.814	10870
							University	4.283	0.858	77461
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	3	20	22	6	Class	4.422	0.621	45
	0.0	0.0	5.9	39.2	43.1	11.8	Department	4.422	0.621	45
							College	4.227	0.877	10871
							University	4.236	0.938	77472
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	1	9	15	20	6	Class	4.200	0.842	45
	0.0	2.0	17.6	29.4	39.2	11.8	Department	4.200	0.842	45
							College	4.145	0.907	10868
							University	4.187	0.958	77451
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	6	19	20	6	Class	4.311	0.701	45
	0.0	0.0	11.8	37.3	39.2	11.8	Department	4.311	0.701	45
							College	4.290	0.760	10865
							University	4.307	0.831	77479
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	5	18	21	6	Class	4.289	0.843	45
	2.0	0.0	9.8	35.3	41.2	11.8	Department	4.289	0.843	45
							College	4.223	0.878	10868
							University	4.239	0.923	77329