

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

Sheets Scanned: This Level 283
 Your Dept 459
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	32	26	32	90	101	2	Class	3.719	1.340	281
	11.3	9.2	11.3	31.8	35.7	0.7	Department	3.929	1.209	452
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	33	19	36	86	108	1	Class	3.770	1.337	282
	11.7	6.7	12.7	30.4	38.2	0.4	Department	4.009	1.215	452
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	31	28	41	78	104	1	Class	3.695	1.347	282
	11.0	9.9	14.5	27.6	36.7	0.4	Department	3.923	1.235	452
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	22	27	34	96	101	3	Class	3.811	1.243	280
	7.8	9.5	12.0	33.9	35.7	1.1	Department	3.967	1.147	450
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	16	16	38	92	119	2	Class	4.004	1.142	281
	5.7	5.7	13.4	32.5	42.0	0.7	Department	4.177	1.008	452
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	15	24	42	116	83	3	Class	3.814	1.114	280
	5.3	8.5	14.8	41.0	29.3	1.1	Department	3.976	1.020	450
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	19	21	31	105	106	1	Class	3.915	1.178	282
	6.7	7.4	11.0	37.1	37.5	0.4	Department	4.110	1.061	453
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	21	24	45	96	96	1	Class	3.787	1.213	282
	7.4	8.5	15.9	33.9	33.9	0.4	Department	3.989	1.100	453
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	33	27	40	88	94	1	Class	3.649	1.340	282
	11.7	9.5	14.1	31.1	33.2	0.4	Department	3.881	1.193	453
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	25	27	38	97	94	2	Class	3.740	1.262	281
	8.8	9.5	13.4	34.3	33.2	0.7	Department	4.013	1.133	453
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	7	10	57	121	85	3	Class	3.954	0.936	280
	2.5	3.5	20.1	42.8	30.0	1.1	Department	4.100	0.880	451
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	10	7	41	114	110	1	Class	4.089	0.974	282
	3.5	2.5	14.5	40.3	38.9	0.4	Department	4.161	0.932	454
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	21	28	29	108	95	2	Class	3.811	1.217	281
	7.4	9.9	10.2	38.2	33.6	0.7	Department	3.938	1.150	452
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	22	26	38	100	96	1	Class	3.787	1.227	282
	7.8	9.2	13.4	35.3	33.9	0.4	Department	3.958	1.136	454
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	16	15	44	109	98	1	Class	3.915	1.106	282
	5.7	5.3	15.5	38.5	34.6	0.4	Department	4.095	0.998	454
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	18	18	21	98	98	0	Class	3.949	1.182	253
	7.1	7.1	8.3	38.7	38.7	0.0	Department	4.158	1.033	425
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 211
 Your Dept 688
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	4	9	50	145	2	Class	4.598	0.708	209
	0.5	1.9	4.3	23.7	68.7	0.9	Department	4.454	0.842	683
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	5	6	50	146	3	Class	4.611	0.707	208
	0.5	2.4	2.8	23.7	69.2	1.4	Department	4.480	0.819	681
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	4	14	43	147	2	Class	4.584	0.743	209
	0.5	1.9	6.6	20.4	69.7	0.9	Department	4.440	0.859	682
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	1	3	12	50	143	2	Class	4.584	0.710	209
	0.5	1.4	5.7	23.7	67.8	0.9	Department	4.434	0.827	682
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	4	7	40	155	4	Class	4.662	0.684	207
	0.5	1.9	3.3	19.0	73.5	1.9	Department	4.525	0.765	680
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	2	11	51	144	3	Class	4.620	0.633	208
	0.0	0.9	5.2	24.2	68.2	1.4	Department	4.462	0.741	682
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	17	47	145	1	Class	4.600	0.658	210
	0.0	0.5	8.1	22.3	68.7	0.5	Department	4.467	0.770	683
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	6	10	56	138	0	Class	4.536	0.757	211
	0.5	2.8	4.7	26.5	65.4	0.0	Department	4.377	0.851	684
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	5	13	51	139	0	Class	4.507	0.830	211
	1.4	2.4	6.2	24.2	65.9	0.0	Department	4.344	0.919	684
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	1	8	56	145	0	Class	4.626	0.630	211
	0.5	0.5	3.8	26.5	68.7	0.0	Department	4.474	0.750	684
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	2	10	67	132	0	Class	4.559	0.632	211
	0.0	0.9	4.7	31.8	62.6	0.0	Department	4.447	0.696	684
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	5	21	61	123	1	Class	4.438	0.769	210
	0.0	2.4	10.0	28.9	58.3	0.5	Department	4.367	0.805	684
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	7	13	57	131	1	Class	4.467	0.831	210
	0.9	3.3	6.2	27.0	62.1	0.5	Department	4.398	0.815	684
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	8	15	51	135	1	Class	4.481	0.826	210
	0.5	3.8	7.1	24.2	64.0	0.5	Department	4.399	0.813	685
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	1	16	47	145	1	Class	4.590	0.694	210
	0.5	0.5	7.6	22.3	68.7	0.5	Department	4.471	0.726	684
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	2	15	53	140	1	Class	4.576	0.668	210
	0.0	0.9	7.1	25.1	66.4	0.5	Department	4.441	0.785	683
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: ANIMAL SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 461
 Your Dept 688
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	7	13	49	124	265	3	Class	4.369	0.896	458
	1.5	2.8	10.6	26.9	57.5	0.7	Department	4.454	0.842	683
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	6	10	50	119	272	4	Class	4.403	0.866	457
	1.3	2.2	10.8	25.8	59.0	0.9	Department	4.480	0.819	681
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	7	14	51	123	262	4	Class	4.354	0.906	457
	1.5	3.0	11.1	26.7	56.8	0.9	Department	4.440	0.859	682
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	6	12	50	138	251	4	Class	4.348	0.873	457
	1.3	2.6	10.8	29.9	54.4	0.9	Department	4.434	0.827	682
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	4	9	38	134	272	4	Class	4.446	0.799	457
	0.9	2.0	8.2	29.1	59.0	0.9	Department	4.525	0.765	680
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	8	49	154	245	3	Class	4.380	0.780	458
	0.4	1.7	10.6	33.4	53.1	0.7	Department	4.462	0.741	682
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	11	46	142	255	4	Class	4.389	0.815	457
	0.7	2.4	10.0	30.8	55.3	0.9	Department	4.467	0.770	683
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	4	17	58	144	234	4	Class	4.284	0.887	457
	0.9	3.7	12.6	31.2	50.8	0.9	Department	4.377	0.851	684
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	8	20	57	138	234	4	Class	4.247	0.954	457
	1.7	4.3	12.4	29.9	50.8	0.9	Department	4.344	0.919	684
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	5	51	146	251	4	Class	4.389	0.796	457
	0.9	1.1	11.1	31.7	54.4	0.9	Department	4.474	0.750	684
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	3	55	160	239	4	Class	4.389	0.720	457
	0.0	0.7	11.9	34.7	51.8	0.9	Department	4.447	0.696	684
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	3	6	70	145	234	3	Class	4.312	0.824	458
	0.7	1.3	15.2	31.5	50.8	0.7	Department	4.367	0.805	684
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	2	8	62	140	246	3	Class	4.354	0.811	458
	0.4	1.7	13.4	30.4	53.4	0.7	Department	4.398	0.815	684
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	11	59	145	243	2	Class	4.346	0.809	459
	0.2	2.4	12.8	31.5	52.7	0.4	Department	4.399	0.813	685
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	6	52	153	247	3	Class	4.400	0.739	458
	0.0	1.3	11.3	33.2	53.6	0.7	Department	4.471	0.726	684
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	14	51	140	250	4	Class	4.361	0.832	457
	0.4	3.0	11.1	30.4	54.2	0.9	Department	4.441	0.785	683
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: AEROSPACE STUDIES

Sheets Scanned: This Level 33
 Your Dept 33
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	8	25	0	Class	4.758	0.435	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.2	75.8	0.0	Department	4.758	0.435	33
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	8	25	0	Class	4.758	0.435	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.2	75.8	0.0	Department	4.758	0.435	33
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	6	26	0	Class	4.758	0.502	33
	0.0	0.0	3.0	18.2	78.8	0.0	Department	4.758	0.502	33
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	10	23	0	Class	4.697	0.467	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.3	69.7	0.0	Department	4.697	0.467	33
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	2	7	23	0	Class	4.576	0.751	33
	0.0	3.0	6.1	21.2	69.7	0.0	Department	4.576	0.751	33
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	5	12	16	0	Class	4.333	0.736	33
	0.0	0.0	15.2	36.4	48.5	0.0	Department	4.333	0.736	33
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	10	23	0	Class	4.697	0.467	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.3	69.7	0.0	Department	4.697	0.467	33
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	8	25	0	Class	4.758	0.435	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.2	75.8	0.0	Department	4.758	0.435	33
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	1	12	19	0	Class	4.485	0.712	33
	0.0	3.0	3.0	36.4	57.6	0.0	Department	4.485	0.712	33
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	0	6	26	0	Class	4.727	0.626	33
	0.0	3.0	0.0	18.2	78.8	0.0	Department	4.727	0.626	33
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	1	17	14	0	Class	4.333	0.692	33
	0.0	3.0	3.0	51.5	42.4	0.0	Department	4.333	0.692	33
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	8	15	10	0	Class	4.061	0.747	33
	0.0	0.0	24.2	45.5	30.3	0.0	Department	4.061	0.747	33
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	3	15	15	0	Class	4.364	0.653	33
	0.0	0.0	9.1	45.5	45.5	0.0	Department	4.364	0.653	33
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	4	10	19	0	Class	4.455	0.711	33
	0.0	0.0	12.1	30.3	57.6	0.0	Department	4.455	0.711	33
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	9	22	0	Class	4.606	0.609	33
	0.0	0.0	6.1	27.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.606	0.609	33
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	11	22	0	Class	4.667	0.479	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.667	0.479	33
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses

Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT

Sheets Scanned: This Level 71
 Your Dept 187
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	10	15	32	14	0	Class	3.704	0.947	71
	0.0	14.1	21.1	45.1	19.7	0.0	Department	4.016	1.019	187
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	7	20	27	16	1	Class	3.743	0.928	70
	0.0	9.9	28.2	38.0	22.5	1.4	Department	4.091	1.012	186
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	14	22	22	11	1	Class	3.400	1.027	70
	1.4	19.7	31.0	31.0	15.5	1.4	Department	3.973	1.088	186
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	1	6	22	29	12	1	Class	3.643	0.917	70
	1.4	8.5	31.0	40.8	16.9	1.4	Department	3.882	1.064	186
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	8	33	27	2	Class	4.246	0.715	69
	0.0	1.4	11.3	46.5	38.0	2.8	Department	4.335	0.818	185
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	5	13	36	14	2	Class	3.826	0.890	69
	1.4	7.0	18.3	50.7	19.7	2.8	Department	4.109	0.905	184
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	6	13	36	15	1	Class	3.857	0.856	70
	0.0	8.5	18.3	50.7	21.1	1.4	Department	4.167	0.844	186
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	10	14	32	14	1	Class	3.714	0.950	70
	0.0	14.1	19.7	45.1	19.7	1.4	Department	3.941	0.998	186
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	4	23	32	11	1	Class	3.714	0.801	70
	0.0	5.6	32.4	45.1	15.5	1.4	Department	3.951	0.968	185
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	6	5	39	20	1	Class	4.043	0.842	70
	0.0	8.5	7.0	54.9	28.2	1.4	Department	4.242	0.845	186
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	5	10	40	14	1	Class	3.871	0.867	70
	1.4	7.0	14.1	56.3	19.7	1.4	Department	4.161	0.904	186
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	13	30	25	1	Class	4.114	0.808	70
	0.0	2.8	18.3	42.3	35.2	1.4	Department	4.306	0.811	186
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	13	30	26	1	Class	4.157	0.773	70
	0.0	1.4	18.3	42.3	36.6	1.4	Department	4.324	0.761	185
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	17	35	15	1	Class	3.886	0.790	70
	0.0	4.2	23.9	49.3	21.1	1.4	Department	4.097	0.904	185
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	2	15	39	14	1	Class	3.929	0.729	70
	0.0	2.8	21.1	54.9	19.7	1.4	Department	4.167	0.825	186
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	7	15	31	16	1	Class	3.771	0.966	70
	1.4	9.9	21.1	43.7	22.5	1.4	Department	4.183	0.900	186
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: AGRICULTURAL SYSTEM MANAGEMENT							G= Good....(4)			
	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 116						IB=In Between....(3)			
	Your Dept 187						P= Poor....(2)			
	Your College .. 4285						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	3	5	17	31	60	0	Class	4.207	1.017	116
	2.6	4.3	14.7	26.7	51.7	0.0	Department	4.016	1.019	187
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991

2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	8	10	29	67	0	Class	4.302	1.006	116
	1.7	6.9	8.6	25.0	57.8	0.0	Department	4.091	1.012	186
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	7	9	32	66	0	Class	4.319	0.974	116
	1.7	6.0	7.8	27.6	56.9	0.0	Department	3.973	1.088	186
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978

4. the quality of this course.	5	9	14	38	50	0	Class	4.026	1.123	116
	4.3	7.8	12.1	32.8	43.1	0.0	Department	3.882	1.064	186
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	2	18	25	70	0	Class	4.388	0.872	116
	0.9	1.7	15.5	21.6	60.3	0.0	Department	4.335	0.818	185
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945

6. your understanding of the course content.	1	4	14	39	57	1	Class	4.278	0.874	115
	0.9	3.4	12.1	33.6	49.1	0.9	Department	4.109	0.905	184
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	10	45	58	0	Class	4.353	0.783	116
	0.9	1.7	8.6	38.8	50.0	0.0	Department	4.167	0.844	186
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	3	7	15	44	47	0	Class	4.078	1.006	116
	2.6	6.0	12.9	37.9	40.5	0.0	Department	3.941	0.998	186
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	9	17	35	52	1	Class	4.096	1.034	115
	1.7	7.8	14.7	30.2	44.8	0.9	Department	3.951	0.968	185
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	5	5	45	60	0	Class	4.362	0.828	116
	0.9	4.3	4.3	38.8	51.7	0.0	Department	4.242	0.845	186
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	3	11	38	62	0	Class	4.336	0.884	116
	1.7	2.6	9.5	32.8	53.4	0.0	Department	4.161	0.904	186
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	2	10	37	66	0	Class	4.422	0.793	116
	0.9	1.7	8.6	31.9	56.9	0.0	Department	4.306	0.811	186
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	8	43	62	1	Class	4.426	0.738	115
	0.9	0.9	6.9	37.1	53.4	0.9	Department	4.324	0.761	185
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	7	8	44	54	1	Class	4.226	0.946	115
	1.7	6.0	6.9	37.9	46.6	0.9	Department	4.097	0.904	185
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	2	11	44	57	0	Class	4.310	0.849	116
	1.7	1.7	9.5	37.9	49.1	0.0	Department	4.167	0.825	186
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	10	39	65	0	Class	4.431	0.760	116
	0.9	0.9	8.6	33.6	56.0	0.0	Department	4.183	0.900	186
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: BIOLOGY							G= Good....(4)			
	# Sheets Scanned:	This Level	15			IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept	15			P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College	..	4285			VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	3	4	4	3	0	Class	3.333	1.234	15
	6.7	20.0	26.7	26.7	20.0	0.0	Department	3.333	1.234	15
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991

2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	2	2	6	3	0	Class	3.400	1.352	15
	13.3	13.3	13.3	40.0	20.0	0.0	Department	3.400	1.352	15
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	4	7	3	0	Class	3.800	0.862	15
	0.0	6.7	26.7	46.7	20.0	0.0	Department	3.800	0.862	15
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978

4. the quality of this course.	1	2	4	5	3	0	Class	3.467	1.187	15
	6.7	13.3	26.7	33.3	20.0	0.0	Department	3.467	1.187	15
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	0	3	6	4	0	Class	3.667	1.291	15
	13.3	0.0	20.0	40.0	26.7	0.0	Department	3.667	1.291	15
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945

6. your understanding of the course content.	1	3	4	5	2	0	Class	3.267	1.163	15
	6.7	20.0	26.7	33.3	13.3	0.0	Department	3.267	1.163	15
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	2	5	2	4	1	Class	3.429	1.284	14
	6.7	13.3	33.3	13.3	26.7	6.7	Department	3.429	1.284	14
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	3	2	6	3	0	Class	3.467	1.246	15
	6.7	20.0	13.3	40.0	20.0	0.0	Department	3.467	1.246	15
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	1	3	6	4	0	Class	3.733	1.163	15
	6.7	6.7	20.0	40.0	26.7	0.0	Department	3.733	1.163	15
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	0	1	6	7	0	Class	4.200	1.082	15
	6.7	0.0	6.7	40.0	46.7	0.0	Department	4.200	1.082	15
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	1	3	3	5	3	0	Class	3.400	1.242	15
	6.7	20.0	20.0	33.3	20.0	0.0	Department	3.400	1.242	15
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	0	4	5	5	0	Class	3.867	1.125	15
	6.7	0.0	26.7	33.3	33.3	0.0	Department	3.867	1.125	15
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	2	6	6	0	Class	4.133	0.915	15
	0.0	6.7	13.3	40.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.133	0.915	15
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	2	3	2	3	5	0	Class	3.400	1.502	15
	13.3	20.0	13.3	20.0	33.3	0.0	Department	3.400	1.502	15
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	0	2	5	7	0	Class	4.133	1.125	15
	6.7	0.0	13.3	33.3	46.7	0.0	Department	4.133	1.125	15
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	1	5	4	3	1	Class	3.500	1.160	14
	6.7	6.7	33.3	26.7	20.0	6.7	Department	3.500	1.160	14
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES

Sheets Scanned: This Level 10
 Your Dept 64
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	2	6	2	0	Class	4.000	0.667	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.594	0.583	64
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	6	2	0	Class	4.000	0.667	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.578	0.586	64
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	4	4	0	Class	4.200	0.789	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.641	0.601	64
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	5	3	0	Class	4.100	0.738	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	50.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.516	0.591	64
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	6	4	0	Class	4.400	0.516	10
	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.547	0.561	64
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	5	3	0	Class	4.100	0.738	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	50.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.500	0.617	64
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	2	6	2	0	Class	4.000	0.667	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.531	0.590	64
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	5	3	0	Class	4.100	0.738	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	50.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.500	0.617	64
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	5	2	0	Class	3.900	0.738	10
	0.0	0.0	30.0	50.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.484	0.690	64
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	5	3	0	Class	4.100	0.738	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	50.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.594	0.583	64
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	4	4	0	Class	4.200	0.789	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.429	0.640	63
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	5	3	2	0	Class	3.700	0.823	10
	0.0	0.0	50.0	30.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.547	0.688	64
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	5	3	0	Class	4.100	0.738	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	50.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.516	0.591	64
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	3	4	3	0	Class	4.000	0.816	10
	0.0	0.0	30.0	40.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.469	0.642	64
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	3	3	4	0	Class	4.100	0.876	10
	0.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.547	0.615	64
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	6	2	0	Class	4.000	0.667	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.484	0.617	64
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES

Sheets Scanned: This Level 21
 Your Dept 64
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	6	14	0	Class	4.619	0.590	21
	0.0	0.0	4.8	28.6	66.7	0.0	Department	4.594	0.583	64
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	6	14	0	Class	4.619	0.590	21
	0.0	0.0	4.8	28.6	66.7	0.0	Department	4.578	0.586	64
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	5	15	0	Class	4.667	0.577	21
	0.0	0.0	4.8	23.8	71.4	0.0	Department	4.641	0.601	64
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	9	11	0	Class	4.476	0.602	21
	0.0	0.0	4.8	42.9	52.4	0.0	Department	4.516	0.591	64
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	5	14	0	Class	4.571	0.676	21
	0.0	0.0	9.5	23.8	66.7	0.0	Department	4.547	0.561	64
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	5	15	0	Class	4.667	0.577	21
	0.0	0.0	4.8	23.8	71.4	0.0	Department	4.500	0.617	64
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	8	12	0	Class	4.524	0.602	21
	0.0	0.0	4.8	38.1	57.1	0.0	Department	4.531	0.590	64
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	2	7	12	0	Class	4.476	0.680	21
	0.0	0.0	9.5	33.3	57.1	0.0	Department	4.500	0.617	64
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	6	13	0	Class	4.524	0.680	21
	0.0	0.0	9.5	28.6	61.9	0.0	Department	4.484	0.690	64
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	6	14	0	Class	4.619	0.590	21
	0.0	0.0	4.8	28.6	66.7	0.0	Department	4.594	0.583	64
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	10	9	1	Class	4.400	0.598	20
	0.0	0.0	4.8	47.6	42.9	4.8	Department	4.429	0.640	63
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	4	16	0	Class	4.714	0.561	21
	0.0	0.0	4.8	19.0	76.2	0.0	Department	4.547	0.688	64
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	9	11	0	Class	4.476	0.602	21
	0.0	0.0	4.8	42.9	52.4	0.0	Department	4.516	0.591	64
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	9	11	0	Class	4.476	0.602	21
	0.0	0.0	4.8	42.9	52.4	0.0	Department	4.469	0.642	64
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	7	13	0	Class	4.571	0.598	21
	0.0	0.0	4.8	33.3	61.9	0.0	Department	4.547	0.615	64
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	7	12	0	Class	4.476	0.680	21
	0.0	0.0	9.5	33.3	57.1	0.0	Department	4.484	0.617	64
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: CEREAL & FOOD SCIENCES

Sheets Scanned: This Level 33
 Your Dept 64
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	8	25	0	Class	4.758	0.435	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.2	75.8	0.0	Department	4.594	0.583	64
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	9	24	0	Class	4.727	0.452	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.0	Department	4.578	0.586	64
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	1	6	26	0	Class	4.758	0.502	33
	0.0	0.0	3.0	18.2	78.8	0.0	Department	4.641	0.601	64
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	11	22	0	Class	4.667	0.479	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.516	0.591	64
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	14	19	0	Class	4.576	0.502	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.4	57.6	0.0	Department	4.547	0.561	64
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	14	18	0	Class	4.515	0.566	33
	0.0	0.0	3.0	42.4	54.5	0.0	Department	4.500	0.617	64
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	10	23	0	Class	4.697	0.467	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.3	69.7	0.0	Department	4.531	0.590	64
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	12	21	0	Class	4.636	0.489	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	0.0	Department	4.500	0.617	64
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	2	8	23	0	Class	4.636	0.603	33
	0.0	0.0	6.1	24.2	69.7	0.0	Department	4.484	0.690	64
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	9	24	0	Class	4.727	0.452	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	72.7	0.0	Department	4.594	0.583	64
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	12	19	0	Class	4.515	0.619	33
	0.0	0.0	6.1	36.4	57.6	0.0	Department	4.429	0.640	63
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	8	24	0	Class	4.697	0.529	33
	0.0	0.0	3.0	24.2	72.7	0.0	Department	4.547	0.688	64
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	11	22	0	Class	4.667	0.479	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.516	0.591	64
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	11	21	0	Class	4.606	0.556	33
	0.0	0.0	3.0	33.3	63.6	0.0	Department	4.469	0.642	64
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	11	22	0	Class	4.667	0.479	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	Department	4.547	0.615	64
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	12	21	0	Class	4.636	0.489	33
	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.4	63.6	0.0	Department	4.484	0.617	64
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: ECONOMICS

Sheets Scanned: This Level 691
 Your Dept 842
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	22	43	131	265	211	19	Class	3.893	1.025	672
	3.2	6.2	19.0	38.4	30.5	2.7	Department	3.857	1.023	820
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	18	49	113	252	238	21	Class	3.960	1.029	670
	2.6	7.1	16.4	36.5	34.4	3.0	Department	3.927	1.030	820
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	24	58	123	245	219	22	Class	3.862	1.079	669
	3.5	8.4	17.8	35.5	31.7	3.2	Department	3.853	1.061	819
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	12	34	140	292	192	21	Class	3.922	0.925	670
	1.7	4.9	20.3	42.3	27.8	3.0	Department	3.899	0.930	820
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	12	20	67	266	306	20	Class	4.243	0.882	671
	1.7	2.9	9.7	38.5	44.3	2.9	Department	4.234	0.875	820
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	15	41	142	322	148	23	Class	3.819	0.922	668
	2.2	5.9	20.5	46.6	21.4	3.3	Department	3.795	0.930	814
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	11	27	117	278	239	19	Class	4.052	0.915	672
	1.6	3.9	16.9	40.2	34.6	2.7	Department	4.043	0.909	821
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	9	39	94	297	233	19	Class	4.051	0.915	672
	1.3	5.6	13.6	43.0	33.7	2.7	Department	4.035	0.907	822
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	20	45	126	274	208	18	Class	3.899	1.011	673
	2.9	6.5	18.2	39.7	30.1	2.6	Department	3.883	1.014	823
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	9	19	56	290	299	18	Class	4.264	0.832	673
	1.3	2.7	8.1	42.0	43.3	2.6	Department	4.213	0.870	822
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	12	18	125	335	183	18	Class	3.979	0.852	673
	1.7	2.6	18.1	48.5	26.5	2.6	Department	3.939	0.855	823
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	11	37	177	268	180	18	Class	3.845	0.935	673
	1.6	5.4	25.6	38.8	26.0	2.6	Department	3.922	0.925	822
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	28	47	172	253	173	18	Class	3.737	1.048	673
	4.1	6.8	24.9	36.6	25.0	2.6	Department	3.783	1.045	823
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	21	45	190	235	180	20	Class	3.757	1.022	671
	3.0	6.5	27.5	34.0	26.0	2.9	Department	3.775	1.027	821
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	5	25	118	319	206	18	Class	4.034	0.835	673
	0.7	3.6	17.1	46.2	29.8	2.6	Department	4.040	0.828	823
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	14	26	145	271	215	20	Class	3.964	0.938	671
	2.0	3.8	21.0	39.2	31.1	2.9	Department	3.963	0.946	820
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: ECONOMICS

Sheets Scanned: This Level 136
 Your Dept 842
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	5	15	27	64	22	3	Class	3.624	1.012	133
	3.7	11.0	19.9	47.1	16.2	2.2	Department	3.857	1.023	820
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	4	16	23	62	30	1	Class	3.726	1.033	135
	2.9	11.8	16.9	45.6	22.1	0.7	Department	3.927	1.030	820
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	15	29	58	31	1	Class	3.748	0.983	135
	1.5	11.0	21.3	42.6	22.8	0.7	Department	3.853	1.061	819
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	3	12	30	64	26	1	Class	3.726	0.950	135
	2.2	8.8	22.1	47.1	19.1	0.7	Department	3.899	0.930	820
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	4	17	59	52	2	Class	4.157	0.866	134
	1.5	2.9	12.5	43.4	38.2	1.5	Department	4.234	0.875	820
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	5	11	32	64	20	4	Class	3.629	0.968	132
	3.7	8.1	23.5	47.1	14.7	2.9	Department	3.795	0.930	814
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	3	6	22	71	32	2	Class	3.918	0.885	134
	2.2	4.4	16.2	52.2	23.5	1.5	Department	4.043	0.909	821
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	7	25	69	32	1	Class	3.904	0.871	135
	1.5	5.1	18.4	50.7	23.5	0.7	Department	4.035	0.907	822
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	17	23	59	33	1	Class	3.756	1.033	135
	2.2	12.5	16.9	43.4	24.3	0.7	Department	3.883	1.014	823
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	4	13	11	67	39	2	Class	3.925	1.016	134
	2.9	9.6	8.1	49.3	28.7	1.5	Department	4.213	0.870	822
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	3	6	39	67	20	1	Class	3.704	0.856	135
	2.2	4.4	28.7	49.3	14.7	0.7	Department	3.939	0.855	823
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	0	19	57	56	2	Class	4.231	0.803	134
	1.5	0.0	14.0	41.9	41.2	1.5	Department	3.922	0.925	822
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	5	10	17	62	41	1	Class	3.919	1.030	135
	3.7	7.4	12.5	45.6	30.1	0.7	Department	3.783	1.045	823
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	7	10	22	63	33	1	Class	3.778	1.063	135
	5.1	7.4	16.2	46.3	24.3	0.7	Department	3.775	1.027	821
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	2	3	22	72	36	1	Class	4.015	0.810	135
	1.5	2.2	16.2	52.9	26.5	0.7	Department	4.040	0.828	823
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	4	11	17	67	35	2	Class	3.881	0.989	134
	2.9	8.1	12.5	49.3	25.7	1.5	Department	3.963	0.946	820
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: ECONOMICS

Sheets Scanned: This Level 15
 Your Dept 842
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	8	6	0	Class	4.333	0.617	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	53.3	40.0	0.0	Department	3.857	1.023	820
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	4	3	8	0	Class	4.267	0.884	15
	0.0	0.0	26.7	20.0	53.3	0.0	Department	3.927	1.030	820
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	5	8	0	Class	4.400	0.737	15
	0.0	0.0	13.3	33.3	53.3	0.0	Department	3.853	1.061	819
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	5	8	0	Class	4.400	0.737	15
	0.0	0.0	13.3	33.3	53.3	0.0	Department	3.899	0.930	820
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	7	8	0	Class	4.533	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.234	0.875	820
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	2	7	5	1	Class	4.214	0.699	14
	0.0	0.0	13.3	46.7	33.3	6.7	Department	3.795	0.930	814
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	4	11	0	Class	4.733	0.458	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	73.3	0.0	Department	4.043	0.909	821
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	5	9	0	Class	4.533	0.640	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	33.3	60.0	0.0	Department	4.035	0.907	822
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	4	8	0	Class	4.333	0.816	15
	0.0	0.0	20.0	26.7	53.3	0.0	Department	3.883	1.014	823
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	6	8	0	Class	4.467	0.640	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.213	0.870	822
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	9	5	0	Class	4.267	0.594	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	60.0	33.3	0.0	Department	3.939	0.855	823
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	4	10	0	Class	4.600	0.632	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	26.7	66.7	0.0	Department	3.922	0.925	822
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	6	9	0	Class	4.600	0.507	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	3.783	1.045	823
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	5	9	0	Class	4.533	0.640	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	33.3	60.0	0.0	Department	3.775	1.027	821
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	7	8	0	Class	4.533	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	53.3	0.0	Department	4.040	0.828	823
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	3	11	0	Class	4.667	0.617	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	20.0	73.3	0.0	Department	3.963	0.946	820
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: ENVIRONMENT & CONSERVATION

Sheets Scanned: This Level 7
 Your Dept 7
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.857	0.378	7
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.857	0.378	7
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.714	0.488	7
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.857	0.378	7
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.857	0.378	7
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.857	0.378	7
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.857	0.378	7
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.571	0.535	7
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.714	0.488	7
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.714	0.488	7
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.714	0.488	7
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.714	0.488	7
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.714	0.488	7
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.714	0.488	7
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.714	0.488	7
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.571	0.535	7
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: ENTOMOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 4
 Your Dept 14
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.571 4.199 4.208	0.000 0.646 0.983 0.960	4 14 4246 35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.786 4.252 4.270	0.000 0.426 0.979 0.952	4 14 4241 35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.786 4.207 4.234	0.000 0.426 1.006 0.974	4 14 4239 35978
4. the quality of this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 25.0	3 75.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.750 4.214 4.198 4.175	0.500 0.802 0.932 0.951	4 14 4238 35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.857 4.386 4.343	0.000 0.363 0.856 0.892	4 14 4240 35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	1 25.0	3 75.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	4.750 4.643 4.151 4.190	0.500 0.497 0.907 0.895	4 14 4230 35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.643 4.293 4.281	0.000 0.497 0.871 0.882	4 14 4244 35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.500 4.243 4.280	0.000 0.650 0.905 0.888	4 14 4247 35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.500 4.169 4.207	0.000 0.855 0.990 0.975	4 14 4248 35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.857 4.374 4.375	0.000 0.363 0.842 0.840	4 14 4246 35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.571 4.211 4.256	0.000 0.514 0.841 0.815	4 14 4245 35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.857 4.274 4.287	0.000 0.363 0.859 0.855	4 14 4248 35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.857 4.241 4.243	0.000 0.363 0.921 0.937	4 14 4246 35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.714 4.194 4.202	0.000 0.469 0.956 0.951	4 14 4246 35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.571 4.316 4.323	0.000 0.514 0.809 0.818	4 14 4250 35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.0	4 100.0	0 0.0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.500 4.284 4.250	0.000 0.760 0.878 0.914	4 14 4215 35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: ENTOMOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 10
 Your Dept 14
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	4	5	0	Class	4.400	0.699	10
	0.0	0.0	10.0	40.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.571	0.646	14
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	3	7	0	Class	4.700	0.483	10
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	70.0	0.0	Department	4.786	0.426	14
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	3	7	0	Class	4.700	0.483	10
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	70.0	0.0	Department	4.786	0.426	14
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	3	4	3	0	Class	4.000	0.816	10
	0.0	0.0	30.0	40.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.214	0.802	14
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	2	8	0	Class	4.800	0.422	10
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.857	0.363	14
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	4	6	0	Class	4.600	0.516	10
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.643	0.497	14
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	5	5	0	Class	4.500	0.527	10
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.643	0.497	14
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	5	4	0	Class	4.300	0.675	10
	0.0	0.0	10.0	50.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.500	0.650	14
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	3	1	6	0	Class	4.300	0.949	10
	0.0	0.0	30.0	10.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.500	0.855	14
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	2	8	0	Class	4.800	0.422	10
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.857	0.363	14
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	6	4	0	Class	4.400	0.516	10
	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.571	0.514	14
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	2	8	0	Class	4.800	0.422	10
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.857	0.363	14
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	2	8	0	Class	4.800	0.422	10
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	80.0	0.0	Department	4.857	0.363	14
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	4	6	0	Class	4.600	0.516	10
	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	60.0	0.0	Department	4.714	0.469	14
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	6	4	0	Class	4.400	0.516	10
	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.571	0.514	14
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	3	5	0	Class	4.300	0.823	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	30.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.500	0.760	14
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: MICROBIOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 191
 Your Dept 580
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	10	28	52	97	0	Class	4.194	1.010	191
	2.1	5.2	14.7	27.2	50.8	0.0	Department	4.333	0.888	580
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	2	6	22	38	123	0	Class	4.435	0.891	191
	1.0	3.1	11.5	19.9	64.4	0.0	Department	4.413	0.875	579
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	2	7	22	54	106	0	Class	4.335	0.896	191
	1.0	3.7	11.5	28.3	55.5	0.0	Department	4.391	0.880	580
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	3	8	26	71	83	0	Class	4.168	0.925	191
	1.6	4.2	13.6	37.2	43.5	0.0	Department	4.290	0.873	580
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	3	2	14	62	110	0	Class	4.435	0.804	191
	1.6	1.0	7.3	32.5	57.6	0.0	Department	4.369	0.898	580
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	7	27	69	86	0	Class	4.204	0.892	191
	1.0	3.7	14.1	36.1	45.0	0.0	Department	4.202	0.853	580
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	4	20	62	105	0	Class	4.403	0.761	191
	0.0	2.1	10.5	32.5	55.0	0.0	Department	4.400	0.784	578
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	10	26	63	91	1	Class	4.237	0.880	190
	0.0	5.2	13.6	33.0	47.6	0.5	Department	4.333	0.850	577
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	2	12	32	55	90	0	Class	4.147	0.984	191
	1.0	6.3	16.8	28.8	47.1	0.0	Department	4.289	0.920	578
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	1	3	20	63	104	0	Class	4.393	0.780	191
	0.5	1.6	10.5	33.0	54.5	0.0	Department	4.433	0.783	578
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	5	23	77	86	0	Class	4.277	0.776	191
	0.0	2.6	12.0	40.3	45.0	0.0	Department	4.249	0.797	578
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	30	63	96	0	Class	4.325	0.774	191
	0.0	1.0	15.7	33.0	50.3	0.0	Department	4.336	0.786	578
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	6	20	69	95	0	Class	4.314	0.824	191
	0.5	3.1	10.5	36.1	49.7	0.0	Department	4.334	0.839	578
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	9	24	54	103	0	Class	4.304	0.901	191
	0.5	4.7	12.6	28.3	53.9	0.0	Department	4.327	0.864	578
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	7	19	67	98	0	Class	4.340	0.804	191
	0.0	3.7	9.9	35.1	51.3	0.0	Department	4.394	0.749	578
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	3	24	64	100	0	Class	4.366	0.762	191
	0.0	1.6	12.6	33.5	52.4	0.0	Department	4.384	0.762	578
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: MICROBIOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 11
 Your Dept 580
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	0	11	0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.333 4.199 4.208	0.000 0.888 0.983 0.960	11 580 4246 35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	11	0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.413 4.252 4.270	0.000 0.875 0.979 0.952	11 579 4241 35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	0	11	0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.391 4.207 4.234	0.000 0.880 1.006 0.974	11 580 4239 35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	0	11	0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.290 4.198 4.175	0.000 0.873 0.932 0.951	11 580 4238 35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	11	0	Class Department College University	5.000 4.369 4.386 4.343	0.000 0.898 0.856 0.892	11 580 4240 35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	2	9	0	Class Department College University	4.818 4.202 4.151 4.190	0.405 0.853 0.907 0.895	11 580 4230 35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	10	0	Class Department College University	4.909 4.400 4.293 4.281	0.302 0.784 0.871 0.882	11 578 4244 35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	1	10	0	Class Department College University	4.909 4.333 4.243 4.280	0.302 0.850 0.905 0.888	11 577 4247 35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	2	9	0	Class Department College University	4.818 4.289 4.169 4.207	0.405 0.920 0.990 0.975	11 578 4248 35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	2	9	0	Class Department College University	4.818 4.433 4.374 4.375	0.405 0.783 0.842 0.840	11 578 4246 35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	3	8	0	Class Department College University	4.727 4.249 4.211 4.256	0.467 0.797 0.841 0.815	11 578 4245 35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	1	10	0	Class Department College University	4.909 4.336 4.274 4.287	0.302 0.786 0.859 0.855	11 578 4248 35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	1	10	0	Class Department College University	4.909 4.334 4.241 4.243	0.302 0.839 0.921 0.937	11 578 4246 35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	1	10	0	Class Department College University	4.909 4.327 4.194 4.202	0.302 0.864 0.956 0.951	11 578 4246 35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	1	10	0	Class Department College University	4.909 4.394 4.316 4.323	0.302 0.749 0.809 0.818	11 578 4250 35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	10	0	Class Department College University	4.909 4.384 4.284 4.250	0.302 0.762 0.878 0.914	11 578 4215 35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses

Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Sheets Scanned: This Level 65
 Your Dept 75
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	3	17	44	0	Class	4.600	0.657	65
	0.0	1.5	4.6	26.2	67.7	0.0	Department	4.622	0.635	74
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	0	2	10	52	0	Class	4.723	0.673	65
	1.5	0.0	3.1	15.4	80.0	0.0	Department	4.743	0.642	74
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	1	13	50	0	Class	4.723	0.573	65
	0.0	1.5	1.5	20.0	76.9	0.0	Department	4.743	0.550	74
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	0	24	40	0	Class	4.585	0.583	65
	0.0	1.5	0.0	36.9	61.5	0.0	Department	4.595	0.571	74
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	3	14	47	0	Class	4.646	0.648	65
	0.0	1.5	4.6	21.5	72.3	0.0	Department	4.676	0.622	74
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	5	31	29	0	Class	4.369	0.627	65
	0.0	0.0	7.7	47.7	44.6	0.0	Department	4.432	0.621	74
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	4	18	43	0	Class	4.600	0.607	65
	0.0	0.0	6.2	27.7	66.2	0.0	Department	4.622	0.590	74
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	4	15	44	0	Class	4.554	0.751	65
	0.0	3.1	6.2	23.1	67.7	0.0	Department	4.554	0.743	74
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	2	14	48	0	Class	4.677	0.615	65
	0.0	1.5	3.1	21.5	73.8	0.0	Department	4.676	0.599	74
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	1	14	48	0	Class	4.662	0.668	65
	0.0	3.1	1.5	21.5	73.8	0.0	Department	4.676	0.643	74
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	6	24	35	0	Class	4.446	0.662	65
	0.0	0.0	9.2	36.9	53.8	0.0	Department	4.459	0.666	74
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	5	9	51	0	Class	4.708	0.605	65
	0.0	0.0	7.7	13.8	78.5	0.0	Department	4.703	0.591	74
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	2	2	21	40	0	Class	4.523	0.709	65
	0.0	3.1	3.1	32.3	61.5	0.0	Department	4.514	0.726	74
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	18	47	0	Class	4.723	0.451	65
	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.7	72.3	0.0	Department	4.730	0.447	74
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	0	20	44	0	Class	4.646	0.571	65
	0.0	1.5	0.0	30.8	67.7	0.0	Department	4.649	0.560	74
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	23	39	0	Class	4.554	0.587	65
	0.0	0.0	4.6	35.4	60.0	0.0	Department	4.595	0.571	74
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Sheets Scanned: This Level 10
 Your Dept 75
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	2	7	1	Class	4.778	0.441	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	70.0	10.0	Department	4.622	0.635	74
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	1	8	1	Class	4.889	0.333	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	80.0	10.0	Department	4.743	0.642	74
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	1	8	1	Class	4.889	0.333	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	80.0	10.0	Department	4.743	0.550	74
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	3	6	1	Class	4.667	0.500	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	60.0	10.0	Department	4.595	0.571	74
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	1	8	1	Class	4.889	0.333	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	80.0	10.0	Department	4.676	0.622	74
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	1	8	1	Class	4.889	0.333	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	80.0	10.0	Department	4.432	0.621	74
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	2	7	1	Class	4.778	0.441	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	70.0	10.0	Department	4.622	0.590	74
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	2	6	1	Class	4.556	0.726	9
	0.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	60.0	10.0	Department	4.554	0.743	74
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	3	6	1	Class	4.667	0.500	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	60.0	10.0	Department	4.676	0.599	74
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	2	7	1	Class	4.778	0.441	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	70.0	10.0	Department	4.676	0.643	74
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	2	6	1	Class	4.556	0.726	9
	0.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	60.0	10.0	Department	4.459	0.666	74
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	3	6	1	Class	4.667	0.500	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	60.0	10.0	Department	4.703	0.591	74
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	2	1	6	1	Class	4.444	0.882	9
	0.0	0.0	20.0	10.0	60.0	10.0	Department	4.514	0.726	74
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	2	7	1	Class	4.778	0.441	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	70.0	10.0	Department	4.730	0.447	74
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	3	6	1	Class	4.667	0.500	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	60.0	10.0	Department	4.649	0.560	74
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	8	1	Class	4.889	0.333	9
	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	80.0	10.0	Department	4.595	0.571	74
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: PAG

Sheets Scanned: This Level 7
 Your Dept 7
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	0	7	0	Class	5.000	0.000	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	5.000	0.000	7
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	7	0	Class	5.000	0.000	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	5.000	0.000	7
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.857	0.378	7
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.857	0.378	7
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.714	0.488	7
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	4	3	0	Class	4.429	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	57.1	42.9	0.0	Department	4.429	0.535	7
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.857	0.378	7
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.714	0.488	7
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.857	0.378	7
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	3	4	0	Class	4.571	0.535	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0	Department	4.571	0.535	7
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	2	4	0	Class	4.429	0.787	7
	0.0	0.0	14.3	28.6	57.1	0.0	Department	4.429	0.787	7
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	0	7	0	Class	5.000	0.000	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	5.000	0.000	7
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.857	0.378	7
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	0	7	0	Class	5.000	0.000	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	5.000	0.000	7
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	2	5	0	Class	4.714	0.488	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	71.4	0.0	Department	4.714	0.488	7
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	1	6	0	Class	4.857	0.378	7
	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	85.7	0.0	Department	4.857	0.378	7
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: PLANT SCIENCES

Sheets Scanned: This Level 68
 Your Dept 813
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	3	15	50	0	Class	4.691	0.553	68
	0.0	0.0	4.4	22.1	73.5	0.0	Department	4.173	0.971	811
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	15	51	0	Class	4.721	0.514	68
	0.0	0.0	2.9	22.1	75.0	0.0	Department	4.200	0.990	811
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	6	15	46	1	Class	4.597	0.653	67
	0.0	0.0	8.8	22.1	67.6	1.5	Department	4.182	1.011	809
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	4	18	46	0	Class	4.618	0.599	68
	0.0	0.0	5.9	26.5	67.6	0.0	Department	4.187	0.914	809
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	3	10	55	0	Class	4.765	0.522	68
	0.0	0.0	4.4	14.7	80.9	0.0	Department	4.442	0.823	810
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	22	46	0	Class	4.676	0.471	68
	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.4	67.6	0.0	Department	4.143	0.935	807
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	2	12	53	0	Class	4.721	0.595	68
	0.0	1.5	2.9	17.6	77.9	0.0	Department	4.278	0.874	810
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	5	16	47	0	Class	4.618	0.624	68
	0.0	0.0	7.4	23.5	69.1	0.0	Department	4.266	0.870	811
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	2	5	22	39	0	Class	4.441	0.761	68
	0.0	2.9	7.4	32.4	57.4	0.0	Department	4.196	0.962	811
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	3	14	51	0	Class	4.706	0.548	68
	0.0	0.0	4.4	20.6	75.0	0.0	Department	4.461	0.767	809
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	1	18	49	0	Class	4.706	0.490	68
	0.0	0.0	1.5	26.5	72.1	0.0	Department	4.210	0.895	811
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	5	62	0	Class	4.897	0.352	68
	0.0	0.0	1.5	7.4	91.2	0.0	Department	4.389	0.813	810
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	10	57	0	Class	4.824	0.421	68
	0.0	0.0	1.5	14.7	83.8	0.0	Department	4.435	0.743	810
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	9	58	0	Class	4.838	0.409	68
	0.0	0.0	1.5	13.2	85.3	0.0	Department	4.268	0.926	809
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	19	48	0	Class	4.691	0.496	68
	0.0	0.0	1.5	27.9	70.6	0.0	Department	4.329	0.790	811
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	1	0	1	11	55	0	Class	4.750	0.632	68
	1.5	0.0	1.5	16.2	80.9	0.0	Department	4.318	0.863	811
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses
 Dept: PLANT PATHOLOGY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 10
 Your Dept 39
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	4	3	3	0	Class	3.900	0.876	10
	0.0	0.0	40.0	30.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.154	0.904	39
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	6	2	0	Class	4.000	0.667	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.103	0.912	39
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	7	3	0	Class	4.300	0.483	10
	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.179	0.914	39
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	2	6	1	0	Class	3.700	0.823	10
	0.0	10.0	20.0	60.0	10.0	0.0	Department	4.128	0.894	39
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	0	4	5	0	Class	4.300	0.949	10
	0.0	10.0	0.0	40.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.436	0.788	39
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	4	5	1	0	Class	3.700	0.675	10
	0.0	0.0	40.0	50.0	10.0	0.0	Department	4.333	0.772	39
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	2	5	2	0	Class	3.800	0.919	10
	0.0	10.0	20.0	50.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.051	0.999	39
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	1	7	2	0	Class	4.100	0.568	10
	0.0	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.410	0.595	39
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	1	6	3	0	Class	4.200	0.632	10
	0.0	0.0	10.0	60.0	30.0	0.0	Department	4.359	0.778	39
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	5	4	0	Class	4.300	0.675	10
	0.0	0.0	10.0	50.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.436	0.754	39
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	4	4	2	0	Class	3.800	0.789	10
	0.0	0.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.179	0.790	39
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	3	5	2	0	Class	3.900	0.738	10
	0.0	0.0	30.0	50.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.410	0.715	39
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	1	7	2	0	Class	4.100	0.568	10
	0.0	0.0	10.0	70.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.487	0.556	39
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	8	1	0	Class	4.000	0.471	10
	0.0	0.0	10.0	80.0	10.0	0.0	Department	4.103	0.852	39
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	2	6	2	0	Class	4.000	0.667	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.359	0.668	39
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	2	6	2	0	Class	4.000	0.667	10
	0.0	0.0	20.0	60.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.256	0.751	39
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: RANGE SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 15
 Your Dept 45
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	1	6	8	0	Class	4.467	0.640	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.400	0.751	45
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	5	8	0	Class	4.400	0.737	15
	0.0	0.0	13.3	33.3	53.3	0.0	Department	4.556	0.586	45
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	1	1	7	6	0	Class	4.200	0.862	15
	0.0	6.7	6.7	46.7	40.0	0.0	Department	4.523	0.698	44
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	6	6	1	Class	4.286	0.726	14
	0.0	0.0	13.3	40.0	40.0	6.7	Department	4.477	0.698	44
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	4	4	6	0	Class	4.000	1.000	15
	0.0	6.7	26.7	26.7	40.0	0.0	Department	4.444	0.813	45
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	1	0	5	6	3	0	Class	3.667	1.047	15
	6.7	0.0	33.3	40.0	20.0	0.0	Department	4.222	0.902	45
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	1	0	8	6	0	Class	4.267	0.799	15
	0.0	6.7	0.0	53.3	40.0	0.0	Department	4.489	0.661	45
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	8	7	0	Class	4.467	0.516	15
	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.3	46.7	0.0	Department	4.467	0.726	45
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	2	6	6	0	Class	4.133	0.915	15
	0.0	6.7	13.3	40.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.400	0.780	45
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	2	5	6	0	Class	4.000	1.069	15
	0.0	13.3	13.3	33.3	40.0	0.0	Department	4.422	0.783	45
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	3	8	4	0	Class	4.067	0.704	15
	0.0	0.0	20.0	53.3	26.7	0.0	Department	4.289	0.661	45
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	6	5	2	0	Class	3.400	1.056	15
	6.7	6.7	40.0	33.3	13.3	0.0	Department	4.222	1.042	45
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	4	8	3	0	Class	3.933	0.704	15
	0.0	0.0	26.7	53.3	20.0	0.0	Department	4.356	0.802	45
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	4	4	5	0	Class	3.733	1.223	15
	6.7	6.7	26.7	26.7	33.3	0.0	Department	4.178	1.029	45
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	5	9	0	Class	4.533	0.640	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	33.3	60.0	0.0	Department	4.600	0.618	45
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	1	6	8	0	Class	4.467	0.640	15
	0.0	0.0	6.7	40.0	53.3	0.0	Department	4.556	0.586	45
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: FOOD SAFETY							G= Good....(4)			
	# Sheets Scanned:	This Level	21			IB=In Between....(3)			
		Your Dept	23			P= Poor....(2)			
		Your College	..	4285			VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	3	3	15	0	Class	4.571	0.746	21
	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	0.0	Department	4.565	0.728	23
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991

2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	2	5	13	1	Class	4.550	0.686	20
	0.0	0.0	9.5	23.8	61.9	4.8	Department	4.591	0.666	22
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965

3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	2	4	15	0	Class	4.619	0.669	21
	0.0	0.0	9.5	19.0	71.4	0.0	Department	4.652	0.647	23
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978

4. the quality of this course.	0	0	2	6	13	0	Class	4.524	0.680	21
	0.0	0.0	9.5	28.6	61.9	0.0	Department	4.565	0.662	23
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962

5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	1	2	2	16	0	Class	4.571	0.870	21
	0.0	4.8	9.5	9.5	76.2	0.0	Department	4.609	0.839	23
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945

6. your understanding of the course content.	0	1	1	7	12	0	Class	4.429	0.811	21
	0.0	4.8	4.8	33.3	57.1	0.0	Department	4.478	0.790	23
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	3	4	14	0	Class	4.524	0.750	21
	0.0	0.0	14.3	19.0	66.7	0.0	Department	4.565	0.728	23
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992

8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	2	1	3	15	0	Class	4.476	0.981	21
	0.0	9.5	4.8	14.3	71.4	0.0	Department	4.522	0.947	23
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985

9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	1	3	16	0	Class	4.619	0.805	21
	0.0	4.8	4.8	14.3	76.2	0.0	Department	4.652	0.775	23
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986

10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	1	4	16	0	Class	4.714	0.561	21
	0.0	0.0	4.8	19.0	76.2	0.0	Department	4.739	0.541	23
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980

11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	1	1	3	16	0	Class	4.619	0.805	21
	0.0	4.8	4.8	14.3	76.2	0.0	Department	4.652	0.775	23
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946

12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	1	2	4	14	0	Class	4.476	0.873	21
	0.0	4.8	9.5	19.0	66.7	0.0	Department	4.522	0.846	23
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964

13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	1	1	4	15	0	Class	4.571	0.811	21
	0.0	4.8	4.8	19.0	71.4	0.0	Department	4.609	0.783	23
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967

14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	1	5	15	0	Class	4.667	0.577	21
	0.0	0.0	4.8	23.8	71.4	0.0	Department	4.696	0.559	23
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948

15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	0	5	15	0	Class	4.619	0.740	21
	0.0	4.8	0.0	23.8	71.4	0.0	Department	4.652	0.714	23
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966

16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	3	15	0	Class	4.571	0.746	21
	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	0.0	Department	4.609	0.722	23
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: FOOD SAFETY

Sheets Scanned: This Level 2
 Your Dept 23
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	1	1	0	Class	4.500	0.707	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	Department	4.565	0.728	23
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.591	0.666	22
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.652	0.647	23
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.565	0.662	23
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.609	0.839	23
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.478	0.790	23
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.565	0.728	23
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.522	0.947	23
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.652	0.775	23
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.739	0.541	23
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.652	0.775	23
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.522	0.846	23
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.609	0.783	23
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.696	0.559	23
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.652	0.714	23
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	0	0	2	0	Class	5.000	0.000	2
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	Department	4.609	0.722	23
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: SOIL SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 111
 Your Dept 197
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	1	8	38	62	2	Class	4.477	0.675	109
	0.0	0.9	7.2	34.2	55.9	1.8	Department	4.415	0.854	195
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	1	0	6	35	67	2	Class	4.532	0.688	109
	0.9	0.0	5.4	31.5	60.4	1.8	Department	4.446	0.856	195
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	0	13	35	60	2	Class	4.404	0.771	109
	0.9	0.0	11.7	31.5	54.1	1.8	Department	4.303	0.906	195
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	1	0	7	37	63	3	Class	4.491	0.704	108
	0.9	0.0	6.3	33.3	56.8	2.7	Department	4.443	0.782	194
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	1	1	8	34	65	2	Class	4.477	0.753	109
	0.9	0.9	7.2	30.6	58.6	1.8	Department	4.492	0.762	195
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	2	4	16	43	44	2	Class	4.128	0.924	109
	1.8	3.6	14.4	38.7	39.6	1.8	Department	4.159	0.903	195
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	0	6	45	58	1	Class	4.445	0.685	110
	0.9	0.0	5.4	40.5	52.3	0.9	Department	4.423	0.797	196
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	1	2	6	46	55	1	Class	4.382	0.754	110
	0.9	1.8	5.4	41.4	49.5	0.9	Department	4.347	0.849	196
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	1	5	11	36	57	1	Class	4.300	0.894	110
	0.9	4.5	9.9	32.4	51.4	0.9	Department	4.245	0.983	196
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	2	6	35	67	1	Class	4.518	0.687	110
	0.0	1.8	5.4	31.5	60.4	0.9	Department	4.459	0.767	196
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	1	22	48	36	2	Class	4.055	0.859	109
	1.8	0.9	19.8	43.2	32.4	1.8	Department	4.118	0.903	195
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	1	1	16	50	42	1	Class	4.191	0.784	110
	0.9	0.9	14.4	45.0	37.8	0.9	Department	4.327	0.788	196
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	0	14	45	50	1	Class	4.300	0.761	110
	0.9	0.0	12.6	40.5	45.0	0.9	Department	4.388	0.786	196
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	1	12	48	48	1	Class	4.282	0.768	110
	0.9	0.9	10.8	43.2	43.2	0.9	Department	4.352	0.819	196
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	5	40	64	1	Class	4.518	0.631	110
	0.0	0.9	4.5	36.0	57.7	0.9	Department	4.500	0.698	196
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	3	1	4	44	58	1	Class	4.391	0.836	110
	2.7	0.9	3.6	39.6	52.3	0.9	Department	4.372	0.888	196
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information	Number of Students:						Response Key:			
S_Lev: 300 & 400 Level Courses							VG= Very Good....(5)			
Dept: SOIL SCIENCE							G= Good....(4)			
	# Sheets Scanned: This Level 55						IB=In Between....(3)			
	Your Dept 197						P= Poor....(2)			
	Your College .. 4285						VP= Very Poor....(1)			
Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question. S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.										
Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	4	1	9	17	24	0	Class	4.018	1.163	55
	7.3	1.8	16.4	30.9	43.6	0.0	Department	4.415	0.854	195
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	3	2	10	14	26	0	Class	4.055	1.145	55
	5.5	3.6	18.2	25.5	47.3	0.0	Department	4.446	0.856	195
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	1	5	16	11	22	0	Class	3.873	1.106	55
	1.8	9.1	29.1	20.0	40.0	0.0	Department	4.303	0.906	195
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	1	4	3	23	24	0	Class	4.182	0.964	55
	1.8	7.3	5.5	41.8	43.6	0.0	Department	4.443	0.782	194
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	2	7	16	30	0	Class	4.345	0.844	55
	0.0	3.6	12.7	29.1	54.5	0.0	Department	4.492	0.762	195
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	5	10	20	20	0	Class	4.000	0.962	55
	0.0	9.1	18.2	36.4	36.4	0.0	Department	4.159	0.903	195
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904

Please rate:	SD	D	N	A	SA	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R

7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	1	4	7	15	28	0	Class	4.182	1.038	55
	1.8	7.3	12.7	27.3	50.9	0.0	Department	4.423	0.797	196
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	2	3	4	21	25	0	Class	4.164	1.032	55
	3.6	5.5	7.3	38.2	45.5	0.0	Department	4.347	0.849	196
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	3	4	9	14	25	0	Class	3.982	1.194	55
	5.5	7.3	16.4	25.5	45.5	0.0	Department	4.245	0.983	196
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	3	8	19	25	0	Class	4.200	0.890	55
	0.0	5.5	14.5	34.5	45.5	0.0	Department	4.459	0.767	196
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	2	3	9	20	21	0	Class	4.000	1.054	55
	3.6	5.5	16.4	36.4	38.2	0.0	Department	4.118	0.903	195
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	2	7	17	29	0	Class	4.327	0.840	55
	0.0	3.6	12.7	30.9	52.7	0.0	Department	4.327	0.788	196
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	1	1	5	17	31	0	Class	4.382	0.871	55
	1.8	1.8	9.1	30.9	56.4	0.0	Department	4.388	0.786	196
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	1	3	5	18	28	0	Class	4.255	0.966	55
	1.8	5.5	9.1	32.7	50.9	0.0	Department	4.352	0.819	196
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	1	1	5	20	28	0	Class	4.327	0.862	55
	1.8	1.8	9.1	36.4	50.9	0.0	Department	4.500	0.698	196
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	2	3	5	17	28	0	Class	4.200	1.061	55
	3.6	5.5	9.1	30.9	50.9	0.0	Department	4.372	0.888	196
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 600, 700 & 800 Level Courses
 Dept: SOIL SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 31
 Your Dept 197
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	0	0	0	3	28	0	Class	4.903	0.301	31
	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.7	90.3	0.0	Department	4.415	0.854	195
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	0	1	3	27	0	Class	4.839	0.454	31
	0.0	0.0	3.2	9.7	87.1	0.0	Department	4.446	0.856	195
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	0	3	3	25	0	Class	4.710	0.643	31
	0.0	0.0	9.7	9.7	80.6	0.0	Department	4.303	0.906	195
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	0	1	6	24	0	Class	4.742	0.514	31
	0.0	0.0	3.2	19.4	77.4	0.0	Department	4.443	0.782	194
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	0	0	2	2	27	0	Class	4.806	0.543	31
	0.0	0.0	6.5	6.5	87.1	0.0	Department	4.492	0.762	195
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	1	12	18	0	Class	4.548	0.568	31
	0.0	0.0	3.2	38.7	58.1	0.0	Department	4.159	0.903	195
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	0	1	5	25	0	Class	4.774	0.497	31
	0.0	0.0	3.2	16.1	80.6	0.0	Department	4.423	0.797	196
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	2	7	21	0	Class	4.548	0.768	31
	0.0	3.2	6.5	22.6	67.7	0.0	Department	4.347	0.849	196
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	2	8	20	0	Class	4.516	0.769	31
	0.0	3.2	6.5	25.8	64.5	0.0	Department	4.245	0.983	196
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	1	1	4	25	0	Class	4.710	0.693	31
	0.0	3.2	3.2	12.9	80.6	0.0	Department	4.459	0.767	196
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	2	10	19	0	Class	4.548	0.624	31
	0.0	0.0	6.5	32.3	61.3	0.0	Department	4.118	0.903	195
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	0	0	1	4	26	0	Class	4.806	0.477	31
	0.0	0.0	3.2	12.9	83.9	0.0	Department	4.327	0.788	196
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	0	3	3	25	0	Class	4.710	0.643	31
	0.0	0.0	9.7	9.7	80.6	0.0	Department	4.388	0.786	196
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	0	2	3	26	0	Class	4.774	0.560	31
	0.0	0.0	6.5	9.7	83.9	0.0	Department	4.352	0.819	196
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	0	1	6	24	0	Class	4.742	0.514	31
	0.0	0.0	3.2	19.4	77.4	0.0	Department	4.500	0.698	196
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	0	3	6	22	0	Class	4.613	0.667	31
	0.0	0.0	9.7	19.4	71.0	0.0	Department	4.372	0.888	196
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907

College of Agriculture, Food Systems, and Natural Resources

North Dakota State University Semester Report Information
 Student Rating of Instruction --- Course Level Summary Report
 Report Generated on 24JUN19 Using Data from Spring 2019

Department Information

Number of Students:

Response Key:

S_Lev: 100 & 200 Level Courses
 Dept: VETERINARY SCIENCE

Sheets Scanned: This Level 54
 Your Dept 187
 Your College .. 4285

VG= Very Good....(5)
 G= Good....(4)
 IB=In Between....(3)
 P= Poor....(2)
 VP= Very Poor....(1)

Frequencies (top row) and percents (bottom row) are provided to the right of each question.
 S.D. is the Standard Deviation and #R is the Number of Valid Responses.

Please rate:	VP	P	IB	G	VG	OMIT	AREA	MEAN	S.D.	#R
1. your satisfaction with the instruction in this course.	1	4	4	14	31	0	Class	4.296	1.021	54
	1.9	7.4	7.4	25.9	57.4	0.0	Department	4.561	0.762	187
							College	4.199	0.983	4246
							University	4.208	0.960	35991
2. the instructor as a teacher.	0	3	6	15	30	0	Class	4.333	0.890	54
	0.0	5.6	11.1	27.8	55.6	0.0	Department	4.604	0.706	187
							College	4.252	0.979	4241
							University	4.270	0.952	35965
3. the ability of the instructor to communicate effectively.	0	2	6	14	31	1	Class	4.396	0.840	53
	0.0	3.7	11.1	25.9	57.4	1.9	Department	4.624	0.688	186
							College	4.207	1.006	4239
							University	4.234	0.974	35978
4. the quality of this course.	0	1	5	16	32	0	Class	4.463	0.745	54
	0.0	1.9	9.3	29.6	59.3	0.0	Department	4.588	0.661	187
							College	4.198	0.932	4238
							University	4.175	0.951	35962
5. the fairness of procedures for grading this course.	2	1	3	17	31	0	Class	4.370	0.958	54
	3.7	1.9	5.6	31.5	57.4	0.0	Department	4.540	0.825	187
							College	4.386	0.856	4240
							University	4.343	0.892	35945
6. your understanding of the course content.	0	0	5	22	27	0	Class	4.407	0.659	54
	0.0	0.0	9.3	40.7	50.0	0.0	Department	4.561	0.631	187
							College	4.151	0.907	4230
							University	4.190	0.895	35904
7. instructor created an atmosphere conducive to learning.	0	3	7	14	30	0	Class	4.315	0.907	54
	0.0	5.6	13.0	25.9	55.6	0.0	Department	4.604	0.698	187
							College	4.293	0.871	4244
							University	4.281	0.882	35992
8. instructor provided well-defined course objectives.	0	1	4	17	32	0	Class	4.481	0.720	54
	0.0	1.9	7.4	31.5	59.3	0.0	Department	4.658	0.640	187
							College	4.243	0.905	4247
							University	4.280	0.888	35985
9. instructor provided content/materials clear/organized.	0	1	6	17	30	0	Class	4.407	0.765	54
	0.0	1.9	11.1	31.5	55.6	0.0	Department	4.567	0.762	187
							College	4.169	0.990	4248
							University	4.207	0.975	35986
10. I understood how grades were assigned in this course.	0	0	2	19	33	0	Class	4.574	0.570	54
	0.0	0.0	3.7	35.2	61.1	0.0	Department	4.701	0.564	187
							College	4.374	0.842	4246
							University	4.375	0.840	35980
11. I met/exceeded the course objectives for this course.	0	0	5	20	29	0	Class	4.444	0.664	54
	0.0	0.0	9.3	37.0	53.7	0.0	Department	4.615	0.588	187
							College	4.211	0.841	4245
							University	4.256	0.815	35946
12. instructor was available to assist students outside class.	2	1	5	15	31	0	Class	4.333	0.991	54
	3.7	1.9	9.3	27.8	57.4	0.0	Department	4.668	0.678	187
							College	4.274	0.859	4248
							University	4.287	0.855	35964
13. instructor provided feedback in a timely manner.	0	4	3	15	32	0	Class	4.389	0.899	54
	0.0	7.4	5.6	27.8	59.3	0.0	Department	4.626	0.725	187
							College	4.241	0.921	4246
							University	4.243	0.937	35967
14. instructor provided relevant feedback that helped me learn.	0	3	6	15	30	0	Class	4.333	0.890	54
	0.0	5.6	11.1	27.8	55.6	0.0	Department	4.626	0.687	187
							College	4.194	0.956	4246
							University	4.202	0.951	35948
15. instructor set + maintained high standards for students.	0	1	4	14	35	0	Class	4.537	0.719	54
	0.0	1.9	7.4	25.9	64.8	0.0	Department	4.717	0.558	187
							College	4.316	0.809	4250
							University	4.323	0.818	35966
16. physical environment was conducive to learning.	0	1	9	13	31	0	Class	4.370	0.831	54
	0.0	1.9	16.7	24.1	57.4	0.0	Department	4.602	0.730	186
							College	4.284	0.878	4215
							University	4.250	0.914	35907