**Student Court of Justice**

Sunday, November 12, 2017

7:30 PM – Heine Room

Agenda

1. Call to Order - 7:30 P.M.
	1. Present: Chief Justice Melville, Senior Associate Justice Stirling, Senior Associate Justice McCormick, Associate Justice Pacella, Associate Justice Garrick, Associate Justice Dunwoody, Associate Justice Beah
	2. Absent: None
2. Additions to and Approval of the Agenda
3. Good News
4. Reports
	1. Chief Justice Melville:
		1. The Court CSO combined meeting scheduled on November 13, 2017, has been cancelled.
		2. Student Body President Wenzel will join us at 7:45 P.M. as to why he believes there should be a 25-write-in vote requirement.
		3. December 3rd, 2017 Student Government will be holding a Secret Santa with a $5 maximum. Event shall occur at 6:00 PM.
		4. I have started to work on the Case Procedure for the Rules of the Court.
	2. Associate Justice Pacella:
		1. The Complaint and Respondent's document are complete, along with a procedure document for how Justices should accept complaints/evidence.
5. Order of Business:
	1. Review of Student Court Applications
	2. Student Body Election Code
		1. Location of Document Submission – SGO v. SAO
			1. Melville states the Election Code previously has indicated the Student Activities Office is the indicated location for forms to be submitted, but this have never been adhered to. Melville suggests changing the location of recipiency to the SGO.
		2. Requirements for Write-In Candidates
			1. President Wenzel was invited to provide a two-minute testimony on the merits of increasing the write-in requirement to 25 votes. An increase would help ensure consistency within Court procedure and incentivize candidates to be placed on the ballot rather than to write-in, which is arguably less difficult in the status quo.
				1. Senior Associate Justice McCormick and Associate Justice Beah agree.
				2. Associate Justice Pacella disagree due to the different level of difficulty in acquiring 25 votes; if the regular number of required votes were increased as well as the write-in candidates required votes, it would make it nearly impossible to get elected this way.
				3. Associate Justice Dunwoody calls for a motion to change it to 25 votes.

Senior Associate Justice McCormick seconds. Melville calls for a roll call vote.

Affirmation: McCormick, Beah, Dunwoody, Pacella, Stirling

Abstention: Garrick, Melville

Passes 5-0

* + 1. Student Senator Academic District Requirements
			1. Melville: Emailed and received a reply from the Registrar’s office. To answer Pacella’s question from the last meeting, it is not possible to determine what college an affected student will ultimately graduate from through Campus Connection.
			2. There are interdisciplinary colleges where the individual student decides which college they will be graduating from
				1. Melville: Should we include this within the Code?
				2. The Court feels the provision authored to remedy the above case will prove sufficient.
		2. Student Body Debates
			1. One is officially scheduled along with a back-up date.
			2. Additional piece added allowing media and Student Court to agree to hold their debates jointly
		3. Campaign Expenditures
			1. Currently the Presidential ticket is $1,500.00 whereas the Senatorial ticket is $300.00
				1. Chief Justice Melville suggests lowering the Presidential ticket to $1,000.00
				2. Items donated are included toward that amount.
			2. Discussion regarding the amounts previous candidates spent
				1. Senior Associate Justice McCormick makes a motion to lower the Presidential ticket campaign to $1,250.00
				2. Seconded by Associate Justice Garrick
				3. 6 for; 1 against
		4. Enforcement Guidelines
			1. Chief Justice Melville suggests striking "a reduction of $25.00" from Section 413, subsection A to increase the flexibility of Court
			2. Associate Justice Dunwoody suggest removing the monetary value of the punishment leaves the option to increase the punishment without directly stating it in the Code for each punishment
			3. Associate Justice Pacella believes it is unnecessary due to the wording of the section
			4. Associate Justice Garrick suggests removing the entire paragraph due to the wording in the section and the one before.
				1. Seconded by Associate Justice Dunwoody
		5. Justice Submission of Complaints
			1. Addition of a paragraph regarding Court members witnessing a violation of the Election Code
				1. Justice forfeits their position for the duration of the case and becomes the Plaintiff in the matter.
		6. Complaint Deadlines
			1. Do we need separate dates for the various deadlines?
				1. Chief Justice Melville believe two dates are not needed

Senior Associate Justice Stirling makes a motion to remove the separate dealing

Associate Justice Garrick seconds

Unanimously approved

* + 1. Student Court Social Media
			1. Chief Justice Melville believes a List Serv would be more beneficial.
			2. Associate Justice Pacella believe social media would be more beneficial as many students do not thoroughly look at their list serv email.
			3. The Court agrees these provisions on Court social media do not need to be included in the Student Body Election Code.
		2. Consideration for approval of the Election Code
			1. No objections
			2. Approved November 12, 2017
	1. Rules of the Court
		1. Justices shall continue to work on their individual assignments and taskforces.
1. Announcements and Comments
	1. There will be no Court meeting on November 19, 2017
		1. Senior Associate Justice McCormick and Associate Justice Pacella shall be attending the Student Senate meeting.
	2. Associate Justice Garrick will be turning 21 tomorrow – woohoo!
2. Review of Action Items
	1. The Election Code will be submitted to the Administrative Assistant by 11:59 PM Thursday, November 16, 2017.
	2. Melville will send out notifications regarding Court interviews.
3. Adjournment – 8:59 P.M.
	1. Motion brought before the Court by Senior Associate McCormick
		1. Seconded by Associate Justice Dunwoody