**Student Court of Justice**

Sunday, November 5, 2017

7:30 PM – Heine Room

Minutes

1. Call to Order
	1. Called to order at 7:33pm
	2. Present: Melville, Pacella, Stirling, Dunwoody, Beah
	3. Absent: Garrick and McCormick
2. Additions to and Approval of the Agenda
	1. Agenda- Approved
3. Reports
	1. Melville:
		1. Nov. 13th will have a joint meeting with CSO for the purpose of review organizational constitutions.
			1. Pacella: What are we looking for with this joint CSO meeting?
			2. Melville: We will be reviewing organization constitutions to ensure they have all the required components. In addition, we will make sure Tier II organizations fit all criteria, including “openness.”
		2. Nov. 9th Court meeting for the purpose of reviewing the Election Code. Be there or be square.
		3. Court application closes on Saturday. We have one applicant at the moment.
		4. PR will meet with us Dec. 3rd about Student Body Election in the spring
		5. We will have a mock trial between Holmquist and Wenzel late November or early December.
		6. Everything on the Rockpile is either complete or in progress!
	2. Stirling: Met with local AG, Matt Hammer on the topic of FOIA. Organizations are subject to FOIA if they receive a large amount of state dollars, like a concert we had a couple years ago or large awards from a competition. Even if a FOIA request is filed, it would be so heavily redacted due to FERPA that it would be of little use.
		1. Melville: I previously assigned you to work on creating a list serv to communicate what the Court offers to the Student Body, and I think there might have been some miscommunication. It’s great that we now have our own list serv! Can you draft an email that describes everything the Court does?
			1. Morgan: Yes, and I will include a bit about FOIA.
	3. Beah: Garrick and I will be meeting this week to discuss the poster project.
4. Order of Business:
	1. CSO Model Constitution
		1. Melville: Defining active membership. "Active members shall include students who have attended 'X' number of meetings.”
			1. Pacella: How can we consider membership during an election?
			2. Stirling: Some groups have initiation meetings for new members.
		2. Pacella: With respect to active membership, we can leave it vague. In the event it becomes an issue, the Court can issue an interpretation.
	2. Rules of the Court
		1. Melville: Any additions or changes to the Rules of the Court?
		2. Pacella: Made various suggestions on the Google document.
			1. Melville: have you been able to complete the complaint and response form?
			2. Pacella: No further updates referring to the from. It will be ready for next week.
		3. Melville: Any justice can impose an injunction but said justice must consult with another justice. Melville would like to strike the consultation part.
			1. Pacella: Improper injections stand for 6 days, which is later stated in the rules of the court. So, damage from an abusive Justice would be minimal.
		4. Pacella: How do we amend or suspend the Rules of the Court, with a majority vote or a supermajority vote? I think the Court should hold itself to a higher standard than a majority vote to suspend the rules.
			1. Dunwoody: Majority vote should be fine.
			2. Melville: We will come back to this.
		5. Pacella: Rule 17 should specify a Justice having a conflict of interest. A justice may consult with the Chief Justice and recuse themselves from the case.
	3. Student Body Election Code
		1. Melville: Are the number of complaint hearings necessary? Something to think about.
		2. Pacella: Explains his corrections made in section 400 about general. elections. You can't openly campaign for senate and president at the same time, so including a provision about the ability for a presidential candidate to win a senatorial seat could lead to a possible exploitation.
		3. Melville: I finished the new Student Body Election schedule!
		4. Pacella: We only ever specifically define “neutral area” in terms of the Student Government Office. Placing such a heavy emphasis on this term could lead to some thinking there are other “neutral areas.”
			1. Melville: Redact the word "neutral area" from document.
5. Announcements and Comments
	1. Dec. 3rd after meeting court social, send suggestions for locations to McCormick
	2. Our next meeting is on November 9 from 6:30 to 7:30 PM in the Meinecke Board Room. The purpose of the meeting is to review the Election Code.
6. Review of Action Items
	1. Melville 🡪 Plan meeting with Beah about associate and assist justice.
	2. Melville 🡪 Work on Court Procedure.
	3. Pacella 🡪 Complete complaint form by November 12 meeting.
	4. Stirling 🡪 Draft Court List Serv.
	5. Beah 🡪 Set up meeting with Garrick.
	6. Justices 🡪 Send McCormick suggestions for post-Court social.
7. Adjourn
	1. Moved to adjourn: Beah
	2. Seconded: Stirling
	3. Adjourned- 8:32pm