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WHAT WE LOOKED AT

Our team audited the Forest Service which included reviewing financial transactions, expenditures and blanket bond coverage.

WHAT WE FOUND

This audit did not identify any areas of concern.
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Forest Service

April 1, 2020

We are pleased to submit this audit of the North Dakota Forest Service for the biennium ended June 30, 2019. This audit resulted from the statutory responsibility of the State Auditor to audit or review each state agency once every two years. The same statute gives the State Auditor the responsibility to determine the contents of these audits.

Robyn Hoffmann, CPA, was the audit manager. Inquiries or comments relating to this audit may be directed to the audit manager by calling (701) 239-7291. We wish to express our appreciation to State Forester Claeys and his staff for the courtesy, cooperation, and assistance they provided to us during this audit.

Respectfully submitted,

/ S /

JOSHUA C. GALLION
NORTH DAKOTA STATE AUDITOR
TERMS USED IN REPORT

**Appropriation:** An amount authorized by the North Dakota Legislative Assembly to be spent for a specific purpose.

**Blanket Bond Coverage:** Insurance to state agencies for any default or wrongful act on the part of any public employee or public official.

**ConnectND:** The accounting system for North Dakota.

**Default:** Failures to do something required by duty or law.

**Emergency Commission:** Group of elected officials that have the authority to transfer or expend money appropriated by the North Dakota Legislative Assembly. Additional information can be found in N.D.C.C. Chapter 54-16.

**Internal Control:** Policies and procedures that ensure reliable financial reporting, safeguard assets, promote accountability and efficiency, and prevent fraud.

**Noncompliance:** Failure to act in accordance with a wish or command.

**North Dakota Century Code (N.D.C.C.):** Collection of all the statutes passed by the North Dakota Legislative Assembly.

**Performance Audit:** Engagements that provide objective analysis, findings, and conclusions to assist management and those charged with governance and oversight to improve program performance and operations, reduce costs, facilitate decision making, and contribute to public accountability.

**Session Laws:** Published after each regular and special legislative session and contain the laws enacted during that session.
Audit Results

STATUTORY OBJECTIVE
Are there any exceptions to report relating to statutorily required audit testing?

Statutorily required audit testing includes: performing the post audit of financial transactions, detecting and reporting any defaults, determining that expenditures have been made in accordance with law, appropriation acts, and emergency commission action, and evaluating blanket bond coverage.

CONCLUSION
No exceptions to our statutorily required audit testing were identified.

INTERNAL CONTROL
As we determined internal control was significant to this audit objective, we assessed internal control by gaining an understanding of internal control and concluded as to the adequacy of the design of internal control and whether the applicable internal controls were implemented. We also tested the operating effectiveness of those controls we considered necessary to address our audit objective. The controls assessed were generally the key controls identified during the planning phase of the engagement, which may include controls at both the entity and transaction levels. We identified key controls significant to this audit objective related to the control activities component of internal control, specifically the principles related to the design of control activities and the implementation of the same. We also identified controls relating to the monitoring component of internal control, specifically the principle of performing monitoring activities.

SCOPE
This audit of the North Dakota Forest Service is for the biennium ended June 30, 2019.

The North Dakota Forest Service has operations in the following locations. Each location was included in the audit scope:
- State Headquarters - Bottineau
- State Nursery – Towner
- North Dakota State University Campus - Fargo
- Field Offices:
  - Bottineau
  - Bismarck
  - Carrington
  - Lisbon
  - Walhalla

METHODOLOGY
To meet this objective, we:
- Performed detailed analytical procedures including computer-assisted auditing techniques. These procedures were used to identify high-risk transactions and potential problem areas for additional testing.
- Non-statistical sampling was used and the results were projected to the population. Where applicable, populations were stratified to ensure that particular groups within a population were adequately represented in the sample, and to improve efficiency by gaining greater control on the composition of the sample.
- Interviewed appropriate agency personnel.
- Received queries from the North Dakota Forest Service from the ConnectND (PeopleSoft) system for data analysis and determined completeness. Significant evidence was obtained from the queries from ConnectND.
• Inspected documentary evidence.

• Tested compliance with appropriation laws and regulations.

• Where necessary, internal control was tested which included selecting representative samples to determine if controls were operating effectively, including appropriate approvals and reconciliations.

• Reviewed adequacy of blanket bond coverage by comparing coverage to state bonding guidelines.

• Performed an analysis and selected a sample of high-risk transactions, including procurement, travel expenses, and purchase cards for further testing.

**STATUTORY CRITERIA**
North Dakota Forest Service management must establish and maintain effective internal control in accordance with the North Dakota University System procedure 802.6 and the North Dakota University System Accounting Manual.

The criteria used to evaluate legislative intent are the laws as published in the North Dakota Century Code and the North Dakota Session Laws. The following areas were identified to be of higher risk of noncompliance:

• Blanket bond coverage maintained in accordance with state law and state guidelines. (N.D.C.C. 26.1-21-08, N.D.C.C. 26.1-21-10)

• Travel reimbursement in accordance with limits of state law. (N.D.C.C. 54-06-09, N.D.C.C. 44-08-03, N.D.C.C. 44-08-04)

• Goods, services, and public improvements procured in accordance with state law. (N.D.C.C. 54-44.4, N.D.C.C. 48-08-01)

• Expenses were made for a public purpose (N.D.C.C. 44-08-05.1)

**AUTHORITY AND STANDARDS**
This biennial audit of the North Dakota Forest Service has been conducted by the Office of the State Auditor pursuant to authority within North Dakota Century Code Chapter 54-10.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The criteria used to evaluate internal control is published in the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission publication Internal Control – Integrated Framework.
Responses to LAFRC Audit Questions

1. WHAT TYPE OF OPINION WAS ISSUED ON THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS?
Financial statements were not prepared by the North Dakota Forest Service in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles so an opinion is not applicable. The agency’s transactions were tested and included in the North Dakota University System’s annual financial report on which an unmodified opinion was issued.

2. WAS THERE COMPLIANCE WITH STATUTES, LAWS, RULES, AND REGULATIONS UNDER WHICH THE AGENCY WAS CREATED AND IS FUNCTIONING?
Yes.

3. WAS INTERNAL CONTROL ADEQUATE AND FUNCTIONING EFFECTIVELY?
Yes. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that were significant within the context of our audit objectives.

4. WERE THERE ANY INDICATIONS OF LACK OF EFFICIENCY IN FINANCIAL OPERATIONS AND MANAGEMENT OF THE AGENCY?
No.

5. HAS ACTION BEEN TAKEN ON FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS INCLUDED IN PRIOR AUDIT REPORTS?
There were no recommendations included in the prior audit report.

6. WAS A MANAGEMENT LETTER IssUED? IF SO, PROVIDE A SUMMARY BELOW, INCLUDING ANY RECOMMENDATIONS AND THE MANAGEMENT RESPONSES.
No, a management letter was not issued.
7. IDENTIFY ANY SIGNIFICANT CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES, ANY MANAGEMENT CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, ANY CONTINGENT LIABILITIES, OR ANY SIGNIFICANT UNUSUAL TRANSACTIONS.
There were no significant changes in accounting policies, management conflicts of interest, contingent liabilities, or significant unusual transactions identified.

8. IDENTIFY ANY SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES, THE PROCESS USED BY MANAGEMENT TO FORMULATE THE ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES, AND THE BASIS FOR THE AUDITOR’S CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THE REASONABLENESS OF THOSE ESTIMATES.
The most significant accounting estimates for the North Dakota Forest Service include the useful lives of capital assets, net pension liability and other post-employment benefits liability. Estimated useful lives are used to compute depreciation on capital assets and are based on industry standards and experience. The net pension liability and other post-employment benefits liability are based on the actuary’s calculation based on plan provisions and census date for plan participants. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop the estimated useful lives, net pension liability and other post-employment benefits liability in determining that they are reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

9. IDENTIFY ANY SIGNIFICANT AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS.
Significant audit adjustments were not necessary.

10. IDENTIFY ANY DISAGREEMENTS WITH MANAGEMENT, WHETHER OR NOT RESOLVED TO THE AUDITOR’S SATISFACTION RELATING TO A FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING, REPORTING, OR AUDITING MATTER THAT COULD BE SIGNIFICANT TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.
None.

11. IDENTIFY ANY SERIOUS DIFFICULTIES ENCOUNTERED IN PERFORMING THE AUDIT.
None.

12. IDENTIFY ANY MAJOR ISSUES DISCUSSED WITH MANAGEMENT PRIOR TO RETENTION.
This is not applicable for audits conducted by the Office of the State Auditor.

13. IDENTIFY ANY MANAGEMENT CONSULTATIONS WITH OTHER ACCOUNTANTS ABOUT AUDITING AND ACCOUNTING MATTERS.
None.

14. IDENTIFY ANY HIGH-RISK INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS CRITICAL TO OPERATIONS BASED ON THE AUDITOR’S OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THE SYSTEM TO THE AGENCY AND ITS MISSION, OR WHETHER ANY EXCEPTIONS IDENTIFIED IN THE SIX AUDIT REPORT QUESTIONS TO BE ADDRESSED BY THE AUDITORS ARE DIRECTLY RELATED TO THE OPERATIONS OF AN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEM.
ConnectND Finance, Human Resource Management System (HRMS), and Campus Solutions are high-risk information technology systems critical to the North Dakota Forest Service. No exceptions were identified related to the operations of an information technology system.
## Financial Statements
### Statement of Revenues and Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES AND OTHER ADDITIONS</th>
<th>JUNE 30, 2019</th>
<th>JUNE 30, 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal grants and contracts</td>
<td>$ 1,780,526</td>
<td>$ 1,606,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State and local grants and contracts</td>
<td>388,675</td>
<td>274,723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nongovernmental grants and contracts</td>
<td>4,752</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales and services of educational departments</td>
<td>1,352,706</td>
<td>1,037,776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State appropriations</td>
<td>2,218,029</td>
<td>2,059,387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endowment and investment income</td>
<td>3,478</td>
<td>2,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State appropriations - capital assets</td>
<td>109,743</td>
<td>8,985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gifts</td>
<td>42,479</td>
<td>39,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total revenues and other additions</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,900,388</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,029,809</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENSES AND OTHER DEDUCTIONS</th>
<th>JUNE 30, 2019</th>
<th>JUNE 30, 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and wages</td>
<td>$ 2,696,888</td>
<td>$ 2,933,980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating expenses</td>
<td>2,319,031</td>
<td>2,085,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>170,071</td>
<td>143,363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss on capital assets</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>8,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenses and other deductions</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,186,304</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,171,537</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: ConnectND Financials*
# Statement of Appropriations

For the Biennium Ended June 30, 2019

## Expenses by Line Item

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENSES BY LINE ITEM</th>
<th>FINAL APPROPRIATION</th>
<th>EXPENSES</th>
<th>UNEXPENDED APPROPRIATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Expenses</td>
<td>$ 14,958,447</td>
<td>$ 10,481,279</td>
<td>$ 4,477,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Improvements</td>
<td>118,728</td>
<td>118,728</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biennium Carryover</td>
<td>425,847</td>
<td>380,875</td>
<td>44,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 15,503,022</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 10,980,882</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 4,522,140</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Expenses by Source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXPENSES BY SOURCE</th>
<th>FINAL APPROPRIATION</th>
<th>EXPENSES</th>
<th>UNEXPENDED APPROPRIATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Fund</td>
<td>$ 4,852,274</td>
<td>$ 4,396,144</td>
<td>$ 456,130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Funds</td>
<td>10,650,748</td>
<td>6,584,738</td>
<td>4,066,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 15,503,022</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 10,980,882</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 4,522,140</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: ConnectND Financials*