

AN ALMOST-ORTHOGONALITY PRINCIPLE IN L^2 FOR DIRECTIONAL MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS

ANGELES ALFONSECA, FERNANDO SORIA AND ANA VARGAS

ABSTRACT. In this work we improve our result in [2]. We prove a strong-type almost-orthogonality principle for maximal functions along several directions. We use geometric methods and a covering lemma.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be a subset of $[0, \pi)$. Associated to Ω we consider the basis \mathcal{B} of all rectangles in \mathbb{R}^2 whose longest side forms an angle θ with the x-axis, for some $\theta \in \Omega$. The maximal operator associated with the set Ω is defined by

$$M_{\Omega}f(x) = \sup_{x \in R \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f(y)| dy.$$

The study of directional maximal functions began many years ago, and some particular cases were studied by Strömberg [11], Córdoba and Fefferman [5], Nagel, Stein and Wainger [9], Sjögren and Sjölin [10]. More recently, the interest on these problems was renewed with the results of Barrionuevo [3] and Katz [7, 8]. Nevertheless, only the operators associated to some particular sets Ω are well understood. Namely, the cases of lacunary sets of directions ([9] and [10]) and of finite sets [8].

In [2] we proposed a new method to study this operators. We decomposed Ω into several consecutive blocks, Ω_j . We proved an almost-orthogonality

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B25

Key words and phrases: Maximal operators, strong-type estimates.

Research partially supported by EU network ‘HARP’, and by MCyT Grant BFM2001/0189 .

principle that essentially meant that the weak L^2 -norm of M_Ω is the supremum of the norms of the operators M_{Ω_j} , plus a term associated to the sequence of end-points of the blocks. Let us explain this.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\Omega \subset [0, \pi/4)$. Let $\Omega_0 = \{\theta_1 > \theta_2 > \dots > \theta_j > \dots\}$ be an ordered subset of Ω . We take $\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ and consider, for each $j \geq 1$, sets $\Omega_j = [\theta_j, \theta_{j-1}) \cap \Omega$, such that $\theta_j \in \Omega_j$ for all j . Assume also that $\Omega = \cup \Omega_j$.

To each one of the sets Ω_j , $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we associate the corresponding basis \mathcal{B}_j , and define the maximal operators associated to the sets Ω_j by

$$M_{\Omega_j} f(x) = \sup_{x \in R \in \mathcal{B}_j} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f(y)| dy, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

In [2] we proved the following result.

Theorem 1. *There exist constants C_1 and C_2 , independent of the set Ω , such that*

$$(1) \quad \|M_\Omega\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^{2,\infty}}^2 \leq C_1 \sup_{j \geq 1} \|M_{\Omega_j}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^{2,\infty}}^2 + C_2 \|M_{\Omega_0}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^{2,\infty}}^2,$$

where $\|T\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^{2,\infty}}$ denotes the “weak type (2,2)” norm of the operator T .

The main result of this paper is the “strong type (2,2)” analogue of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *There exists a constant C independent of Ω such that*

$$(2) \quad \|M_\Omega\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq \sup_{j \geq 1} \|M_{\Omega_j}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} + C \|M_{\Omega_0}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2},$$

where $\|T\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}$ denotes the “strong type (2,2)” norm of the operator T .

The proof, presented in Section 2, relies on geometric arguments like the ones used in [2], and on a covering lemma by Carbery [4]. A version of this principle for general p , $1 < p \leq \infty$ can be found in [1].

It is worth noting that in Theorem 2, the constant multiplying the supremum of the norms of the M_{Ω_j} is 1. As we shall see, this will allow us to give

an alternative proof to the result by Katz [8]. This and other applications of Theorem 2 are presented in Section 3.

2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2.

We first linearize the operators M_Ω and M_{Ω_j} . For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, Q_α will denote the unit cube centered at α . Given a set $\Lambda \subset [0, \pi/4)$, for each α we choose a rectangle $R_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_\Lambda$, such that $R_\alpha \supset Q_\alpha$. We define the operator T_Λ as

$$T_\Lambda f(x) = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{1}{|R_\alpha|} \left(\int_{R_\alpha} f \right) \chi_{Q_\alpha}(x).$$

By definition, one can easily see that

$$(3) \quad T_\Lambda f(x) \leq M_\Lambda f(x),$$

for any choice of rectangles $\{R_\alpha\}$. On the other hand, there is a sequence of linearized operators $\{T_\Lambda f\}$, associated to grids of smaller cubes in \mathbb{R}^2 , which converge pointwise to $M_\Lambda f$. By scaling invariance, we need only prove (2) with M_Ω replaced by T_Ω .

We shall show this using the following result, proved by Carbery in [4]. For the sake of completeness, we give the proof of this result, at the same time that we check the constants.

Theorem 3. *Let T_Λ be as above. Then T_Λ is of strong type (p, p) if and only if there exist a constant $C_{p'}$, such that for any sequence $\{\lambda_\alpha\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$, we have*

$$(4) \quad \int \left(\sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} \frac{1}{|R_{\alpha}|} \chi_{R_{\alpha}} \right)^{p'} \leq C_{p'} \sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}|^{p'}.$$

Moreover, the infimum of the constants $(C_{p'})^{1/p'}$ satisfying (4) is $\|T_\Lambda\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p}$.

PROOF: If T_Λ is of strong type (p, p) , then its adjoint T_Λ^* defined by

$$T_\Lambda^* g(x) = \sum_{\alpha} \left(\int_{Q_{\alpha}} g \right) \frac{1}{|R_{\alpha}|} \chi_{R_{\alpha}}(x),$$

is of strong type (p', p') , with the same norm. Taking $g = \sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} \chi_{Q_{\alpha}}$, we obtain (4) with $C_{p'} = \|T_{\Lambda}^*\|_{L^{p'} \rightarrow L^{p'}}^{p'} = \|T_{\Lambda}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p}^{p'}$.

Conversely, if we have (4) then, for all $h \in L^{p'}$, letting $\lambda_{\alpha} = |\int_{Q_{\alpha}} h|$, we get

$$\int |T_{\Lambda}^* h|^{p'} \leq C_{p'} \sum_{\alpha} \left| \int_{Q_{\alpha}} h \right|^{p'} \leq C_{p'} \int |h|^{p'}.$$

(Here we have used Jensen's inequality, since $|Q_{\alpha}| = 1$, and the fact that the Q_{α} have disjoint interiors). Hence, T_{Λ} is of strong type (p, p) and its norm is bounded by $(C_{p'})^{1/p'}$. \square

Let us continue with the proof of Theorem 2. We define T_{Ω} for some choice of rectangles $\{R_{\alpha}\}$. We only need to prove that inequality (4) is satisfied, with $p = 2$ and $C_2^{1/2} = \sup_{j \geq 1} \|M_{\Omega_j}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} + C \|M_{\Omega_0}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}$.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} I^2 &= \int \left(\sum_{\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha} \frac{1}{|R_{\alpha}|} \chi_{R_{\alpha}} \right)^2 = \int \left(\sum_l \sum_{\alpha: R_{\alpha} \in \Omega_l} \lambda_{\alpha} \frac{1}{|R_{\alpha}|} \chi_{R_{\alpha}} \right)^2 \\ &= \int \sum_l \left(\sum_{\alpha: R_{\alpha} \in \Omega_l} \lambda_{\alpha} \frac{1}{|R_{\alpha}|} \chi_{R_{\alpha}} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_l \sum_{j < l} \int \sum_{R_{\alpha} \in \Omega_l} \sum_{R_{\beta} \in \Omega_j} \lambda_{\alpha} \lambda_{\beta} \frac{1}{|R_{\alpha}| |R_{\beta}|} \chi_{R_{\alpha}} \chi_{R_{\beta}} \\ &= A + B. \end{aligned}$$

For the first term we use (3) and Theorem 3 with $p = 2$ and $\Lambda = \Omega_l$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq \sum_l \|M_{\Omega_l}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}^2 \left(\sum_{\alpha: R_{\alpha} \in \Omega_l} |\lambda_{\alpha}|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \left(\sup_l \|M_{\Omega_l}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}^2 \right) \left(\sum_l \sum_{\alpha: R_{\alpha} \in \Omega_l} |\lambda_{\alpha}|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$(5) \quad \leq \left(\sup_l \|M_{\Omega_l}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}^2 \right) \left(\sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}|^2 \right).$$

Now we have to study B . Using the same geometric arguments as in [2], we have that there exists a constant C such that, if $R_{\alpha} \in \Omega_l$ and $R_{\beta} \in \Omega_j$ with $j < l$, then we can find certain rectangles \tilde{R}_{α}^{-} and \tilde{R}_{β}^{+} , containing R_{α} and R_{β} , respectively, pointing in the direction of θ_j and so that

$$\frac{|R_{\alpha} \cap R_{\beta}|}{|R_{\alpha}||R_{\beta}|} \leq C \frac{|\tilde{R}_{\alpha}^{-} \cap R_{\beta}|}{|\tilde{R}_{\alpha}^{-}||R_{\beta}|} + C \frac{|R_{\alpha} \cap \tilde{R}_{\beta}^{+}|}{|R_{\alpha}||\tilde{R}_{\beta}^{+}|}.$$

Observe that both \tilde{R}_{α}^{-} and \tilde{R}_{β}^{+} are rectangles of the basis \mathcal{B}_0 . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} B &\leq 2C \sum_l \sum_{j < l} \int \sum_{R_{\alpha} \in \Omega_l} \sum_{R_{\beta} \in \Omega_j} \lambda_{\alpha} \lambda_{\beta} \frac{1}{|\tilde{R}_{\alpha}^{-}||R_{\beta}|} \chi_{\tilde{R}_{\alpha}^{-}} \chi_{R_{\beta}} \\ &\quad + 2C \sum_l \sum_{j < l} \int \sum_{R_{\alpha} \in \Omega_l} \sum_{R_{\beta} \in \Omega_j} \lambda_{\alpha} \lambda_{\beta} \frac{1}{|R_{\alpha}||\tilde{R}_{\beta}^{+}|} \chi_{R_{\alpha}} \chi_{\tilde{R}_{\beta}^{+}} \\ &= B^{-} + B^{+}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall only work with the B^{-} (the other term is analogous). So,

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} B &= 2C \sum_l \sum_{j < l} \int \sum_{R_{\alpha} \in \Omega_l} \sum_{R_{\beta} \in \Omega_j} \lambda_{\alpha} \lambda_{\beta} \frac{1}{|\tilde{R}_{\alpha}^{-}||R_{\beta}|} \chi_{\tilde{R}_{\alpha}^{-}} \chi_{R_{\beta}} \\ &\leq 2C \int \left(\sum_l \sum_{R_{\alpha} \in \Omega_l} \lambda_{\alpha} \frac{\chi_{\tilde{R}_{\alpha}^{-}}}{|\tilde{R}_{\alpha}^{-}|} \right) \left(\sum_j \sum_{R_{\beta} \in \Omega_j} \lambda_{\beta} \frac{\chi_{R_{\beta}}}{|R_{\beta}|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We use Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality to bound (6) by

$$\leq 2C \left(\int \left(\sum_l \sum_{R_{\alpha} \in \Omega_l} \lambda_{\alpha} \frac{\chi_{\tilde{R}_{\alpha}^{-}}}{|\tilde{R}_{\alpha}^{-}|} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int \left(\sum_j \sum_{R_{\beta} \in \Omega_j} \lambda_{\beta} \frac{\chi_{R_{\beta}}}{|R_{\beta}|} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Now, notice that $\tilde{R}_{\alpha}^{-} \in \Omega_0$ for all α . Hence, we can majorize the first integral using again Theorem 3 and (3), and obtain

$$(7) \quad B^{-} \leq 2C \|M_{\Omega_0}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \left(\sum_{\alpha} |\lambda_{\alpha}|^2 \right)^{1/2} I,$$

and also the same bound for B^+ . Combining the bounds (5) for A and (7) for B^\pm we get

$$I^2 \leq \left(\sup_l \|M_{\Omega_l}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}^2 \right) \left(\sum_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 \right) + C \|M_{\Omega_0}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \left(\sum_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} I.$$

This implies

$$I \leq \left(\sup_l \|M_{\Omega_l}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} + C \|M_{\Omega_0}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \right) \left(\sum_\alpha |\lambda_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

By Theorem 3, this finishes the proof of Theorem 2.

□

3. SOME APPLICATIONS

As a corollary of Theorem 2, we give a simple proof of the following result by Katz [8].

Corollary 4. *There exists a constant K such that, for any set $\Omega \subset [0, \frac{\pi}{4}]$ with cardinality $N > 1$, one has*

$$(8) \quad \|M_\Omega\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq K(\log N).$$

In [2] we obtained the bound $K(\log N)^\alpha$, for some $\alpha > 1$ which depended only on the constants C_1 and C_2 in Theorem 1. Here we are able to obtain the optimal exponent $\alpha = 1$, due to the fact that we have a constant 1 in front of the term $\sup_{j \geq 1} \|M_{\Omega_j}\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2}$ in (2).

PROOF: We can assume that $N = 2^M$. We use induction on M . For $M = 1, 2$ the inequality (8) follows from the boundedness of the strong maximal function. Now assume $M \geq 3$ and that (8) is true for all sets with cardinality 2^k where $1 \leq k < M$; we may assume that K is big (indeed we shall need $K \geq 2C/\log 2$ where C is the constant in Theorem 2). If the elements of Ω are ordered, $\{\phi_1 > \phi_2 > \dots > \phi_N\}$, we define Ω_0 to be the set consisting only on ϕ_N and the middle element $\phi_{\frac{N}{2}}$. In this way, there

are only two sets Ω_1 and Ω_2 . Each one of them has $N/2$ elements. So by Theorem 2 and the induction hypothesis,

$$\|M_\Omega\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq K \log \frac{N}{2} + 2C = K \log N - K \log 2 + 2C \leq K \log N$$

since we had assumed $K \geq \frac{2C}{\log 2}$.

□

In his paper [8], Katz also proves an analogous result to (8) for the weak type of M_Ω . Namely,

$$(9) \quad \|M_\Omega\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^{2,\infty}} \leq K(\log N)^{1/2},$$

for any set $\Omega \in [0, \frac{\pi}{4})$ with cardinality N .

In [2], as a corollary of the almost-orthogonality principle (1), we showed that

$$(10) \quad \|M_\Omega\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^{2,\infty}} \leq K(\log N)^\beta,$$

for some $\beta > 1/2$ which depended on C_1 and C_2 . If we were able to prove (1) with $C_1 = 1$, the same argument of Corollary 4 would give us the optimal exponent $\beta = 1/2$. With a different argument, Anthony Carbery has shown that an improvement of (10) can be derived from a slight change in the proof of Theorem 2. We include this result here.

We need first the following weak-type analogue of Theorem 3, whose proof can be found in [4].

Theorem 5. *Let T_Λ be as in Theorem 3. Then T_Λ is of weak type $(2, 2)$ if and only if there exist a constant C_2 , such that for any $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, we have*

$$(11) \quad \int \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} \frac{1}{|R_\alpha|} \chi_{R_\alpha} \right)^2 \leq C_2(\#A).$$

Moreover, if $B_2(T_\Lambda)$ denotes the infimum of all the constants C_2 satisfying (11), then $B_2(T_\Lambda)$ is equivalent to $\|T_\Lambda\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^{2,\infty}}^2$.

Corollary 6. (*A. Carbery.*) *There exists a constant C such that for any set $\Omega \subset [0, \frac{\pi}{4})$ with cardinality $N > 1$, one has*

$$(12) \quad \|M_\Omega\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^{2,\infty}} \leq C(\log N)^{1/2}(\log \log N).$$

PROOF: Let us denote by B_N the supremum of $B_2(T_\Lambda)$, the supremum taken on all T_Λ such that the cardinality of Λ is N . Thus, we have to show

$$B_N \leq C \log N (\log \log N)^2.$$

We fix Ω of cardinality N and T_Ω . As we did in the proof of Corollary 4, we define Ω_0 as the set consisting only on the last and the middle element in Ω . Consequently, each one of the sets Ω_1 and Ω_2 has $N/2$ elements. Then, a repetition of the proof of Theorem 2 gives

$$(13) \quad \int \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} \frac{1}{|R_\alpha|} \chi_{R_\alpha} \right)^2 \leq B_{N/2} (\#A) + 2C \left(\int \left(\sum_l \sum_{R_\alpha \in \Omega_l} \frac{\chi_{\tilde{R}_\alpha^\pm}}{|\tilde{R}_\alpha^\pm|} \right)^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int \left(\sum_j \sum_{R_\beta \in \Omega_j} \frac{\chi_{R_\beta}}{|R_\beta|} \right)^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Here, instead of applying Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality in (6), we have used Hölder's inequality for some $p < 2$ (which implies $p' > 2$) that will be chosen later. Now, by Theorem 3, the right hand side of (13) is bounded by

$$(14) \quad B_{N/2} (\#A) + 2C \|M_{\Omega_0}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} (\#A)^{1/p'} \|M_\Omega\|_{L^{p'} \rightarrow L^{p'}} (\#A)^{1/p}.$$

By Corollary 4 and interpolation with L^∞ ,

$$(15) \quad \|M_\Omega\|_{L^{p'} \rightarrow L^{p'}} \leq C(\log N)^{2/p'} \leq \widehat{C},$$

for some absolute constant \widehat{C} , provided that we choose p' such that $\frac{2}{p'} = \frac{1}{\log \log N}$. Thus, (14) and (15) imply that

$$B_N \leq B_{N/2} + \widehat{C} \|M_{\Omega_0}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p}.$$

Since Ω_0 has only two elements, by Jessen-Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund theorem [6] and our choice of p' , we have

$$\|M_{\Omega_0}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq \frac{C}{(p-1)^2} \leq C(\log \log N)^2.$$

Applying now an induction argument, we easily obtain that $B_N \leq C \log N (\log \log N)^2$, and hence (12).

□

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: We would like to thank Anthony Carbery for many nice and interesting discussions on this subject and for letting us include Corollary 6 in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alfonseca, *Strong type inequalities and an almost-orthogonality principle for families of maximal operators along directions in \mathbb{R}^2* . To appear in the Journal of the London Math. Soc.
- [2] A. Alfonseca, F. Soria, A. Vargas, *A remark on maximal operators along directions in \mathbf{R}^2* . To appear in Mathematical Research Letters.
- [3] J.A. Barrionuevo, *A note on the Kakeya maximal operator*. Mathematical Research Letters, **3**, (1995) 61-65.
- [4] A. Carbery, *Covering Lemmas Revisited*. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) **31** (1988), no. 1, 145-150.
- [5] A. Córdoba, R. Fefferman, *On differentiation of integrals*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **74**, (1977), No 6, 2211-2213.
- [6] B. Jessen, J. Marcinkiewicz, A. Zygmund, *Note on the differentiability of multiple integrals*. Fund. Math. **25** (1935), 217-234.
- [7] N.H. Katz, *Remarks on maximal operators over arbitrary sets of directions*. Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), No. 6, 700-710.
- [8] N.H. Katz, *Maximal operators over arbitrary sets of directions*. Duke Math. J. **97** (1999), No. 1, 67-79.
- [9] A. Nagel, E.M. Stein, S. Wainger, *Differentiation in lacunary directions*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **75**, (1978), No 3, 1060-1062.
- [10] P. Sjögren, and P. Sjölin, *Littlewood-Paley decompositions and Fourier multipliers with singularities on certain sets*. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **31**, 1 (1981), 157-175.

- [11] J.O. Strömberg, *Maximal functions associated to rectangles with uniformly distributed directions*. *Annals of Math.* **107** (1978), 399-402.