

Good Modulating Sequences for the Ergodic Hilbert Transform

Azer Akhmedov and Doğan Çömez

North Dakota State University

March 22, 2014

Set up

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a probability space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an i.m.p.t. For a function f the **ergodic Hilbert transform (eHt) of f** is

$$Hf(x) := \lim_n \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{T^k f(x)}{k}, \text{ if the limit exists.}$$

Set up

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a probability space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an i.m.p.t. For a function f the **ergodic Hilbert transform (eHt) of f** is

$$Hf(x) := \lim_n \sum_{k=-n}^n{}' \frac{T^k f(x)}{k}, \text{ if the limit exists.}$$

Given a sequence $\mathbf{a} = \{a_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$, the **modulated ergodic Hilbert transform of f by \mathbf{a}** is defined as

$$H_{\mathbf{a}}f(x) := \lim_n \sum_{k=-n}^n{}' \frac{a_k T^k f(x)}{k}.$$

Recall: For $f \in L_p$, modulated ergodic averages by \mathbf{a} is defined as

$$A_n(\mathbf{a}, f)(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k T^k f(x).$$

If $\lim_n A_n(\mathbf{a}, f)$ exists a.e. for every $f \in L_p(X)$, \mathbf{a} is called **L_p -good in the system** (X, Σ, μ) ; if this holds in every dynamical system, then \mathbf{a} is called a **universally L_p -good sequence**.

Recall: For $f \in L_p$, modulated ergodic averages by \mathbf{a} is defined as

$$A_n(\mathbf{a}, f)(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k T^k f(x).$$

If $\lim_n A_n(\mathbf{a}, f)$ exists a.e. for every $f \in L_p(X)$, \mathbf{a} is called **L_p -good in the system** (X, Σ, μ) ; if this holds in every dynamical system, then \mathbf{a} is called a **universally L_p -good sequence**.

Some universally L_p -good sequences for the ergodic averages:

Recall: For $f \in L_p$, modulated ergodic averages by \mathbf{a} is defined as

$$A_n(\mathbf{a}, f)(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k T^k f(x).$$

If $\lim_n A_n(\mathbf{a}, f)$ exists a.e. for every $f \in L_p(X)$, \mathbf{a} is called **L_p -good in the system** (X, Σ, μ) ; if this holds in every dynamical system, then \mathbf{a} is called a **universally L_p -good sequence**.

Some universally L_p -good sequences for the ergodic averages:

- Bounded Besicovitch sequences (L_1 -good) [Bellow-Losert, 1985],

Recall: For $f \in L_p$, modulated ergodic averages by \mathbf{a} is defined as

$$A_n(\mathbf{a}, f)(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k T^k f(x).$$

If $\lim_n A_n(\mathbf{a}, f)$ exists a.e. for every $f \in L_p(X)$, \mathbf{a} is called **L_p -good in the system** (X, Σ, μ) ; if this holds in every dynamical system, then \mathbf{a} is called a **universally L_p -good sequence**.

Some universally L_p -good sequences for the ergodic averages:

- Bounded Besicovitch sequences (L_1 -good) [Bellow-Losert, 1985],
- Bounded almost periodic sequences (L_1 -good) [Ç -Lin-Olsen, 1998],

Recall: For $f \in L_p$, modulated ergodic averages by \mathbf{a} is defined as

$$A_n(\mathbf{a}, f)(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k T^k f(x).$$

If $\lim_n A_n(\mathbf{a}, f)$ exists a.e. for every $f \in L_p(X)$, \mathbf{a} is called **L_p -good in the system** (X, Σ, μ) ; if this holds in every dynamical system, then \mathbf{a} is called a **universally L_p -good sequence**.

Some universally L_p -good sequences for the ergodic averages:

- Bounded Besicovitch sequences (L_1 -good) [Bellow-Losert, 1985],
- Bounded almost periodic sequences (L_1 -good) [Ç -Lin-Olsen, 1998],
- W_p -sequences (L_q -good) [Lin-Olsen-Tempelmann, 1999].

One-sided eHt of $f \in L_p$ is defined as $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{T^k f(x)}{k}$, if the series converges.

One-sided eHt of $f \in L_p$ is defined as $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{T^k f(x)}{k}$, if the series converges.

Modulated one-sided eHt of $f \in L_p$ by a complex sequence \mathbf{a} is defined as $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k T^k f(x)}{k}$, if the series converges.

One-sided eHt of $f \in L_p$ is defined as $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{T^k f(x)}{k}$, if the series converges.

Modulated one-sided eHt of $f \in L_p$ by a complex sequence \mathbf{a} is defined as $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k T^k f(x)}{k}$, if the series converges.

Cohen-Lin, 2003

Let \mathbf{a} be a sequence of bounded variation. If $f \in L_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, satisfies

$$\sup_n \left\| \frac{1}{n^{1-\beta}} \sum_{k=1}^n T^k f \right\|_p = K < \infty \text{ for some } 0 < \beta \leq 1,$$

then the modulated one-sided eHt exists.

Cohen-Jones-Lin, 2004

If \mathbf{a} is a sequence satisfying

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \max_{|z|=1} \left| \frac{1}{n^{1-\beta}} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k z^k \right| = K < \infty \text{ for some } 0 < \beta \leq 1,$$

then the modulated one-sided eHt exists for all $f \in L_p$, $2 < p < \infty$.

Cohen-Jones-Lin, 2004

If \mathbf{a} is a sequence satisfying

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \max_{|z|=1} \left| \frac{1}{n^{1-\beta}} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k z^k \right| = K < \infty \text{ for some } 0 < \beta \leq 1,$$

then the modulated one-sided eHt exists for all $f \in L_p$, $2 < p < \infty$.
In particular, if $\mathbf{a} \in W_p$, $1 < p < \infty$ satisfying this rate condition,
then the modulated one-sided eHt exists for all $f \in L_q$.

Modulated Ergodic Hilbert Transform

Definition

If (X, Σ, μ, T) is an i.m.p.s., \mathbf{a} is called **L_p -good for the eHt in (X, Σ, μ)** if the modulated eHt exists a.e. for every $f \in L_p(X)$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of invertible measure preserving dynamical systems.

Modulated Ergodic Hilbert Transform

Definition

If (X, Σ, μ, T) is an i.m.p.s., \mathbf{a} is called **L_p -good for the eHt in (X, Σ, μ)** if the modulated eHt exists a.e. for every $f \in L_p(X)$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of invertible measure preserving dynamical systems.

Definition

- A sequence \mathbf{a} is **universally L_p -good for the eHt in the class \mathcal{F}** if it is L_p -good for the eHt in every dynamical system in \mathcal{F} .

Modulated Ergodic Hilbert Transform

Definition

If (X, Σ, μ, T) is an i.m.p.s., \mathbf{a} is called **L_p -good for the eHt in (X, Σ, μ)** if the modulated eHt exists a.e. for every $f \in L_p(X)$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of invertible measure preserving dynamical systems.

Definition

- A sequence \mathbf{a} is **universally L_p -good for the eHt in the class \mathcal{F}** if it is L_p -good for the eHt in every dynamical system in \mathcal{F} .
- If \mathbf{a} is L_p -good for the eHt in every dynamical system, then it is **universally L_p -good for the eHt**.

Ç , 2009

Symmetric sequences with bounded variation are universally L_1 -good for the eHt.

Ç , 2009

Symmetric sequences with bounded variation are universally L_1 -good for the eHt.

Ç , 2009

If $\mathbf{a} = \{\hat{f}_n\}$ is the sequence of Fourier coefficients of a function

Ç , 2009

Symmetric sequences with bounded variation are universally L_1 -good for the eHt.

Ç , 2009

If $\mathbf{a} = \{\hat{f}_n\}$ is the sequence of Fourier coefficients of a function

- $f \in L_p[0, 2\pi]$, $1 < p < \infty$, or

ζ , 2009

Symmetric sequences with bounded variation are universally L_1 -good for the eHt.

 ζ , 2009

If $\mathbf{a} = \{\hat{f}_n\}$ is the sequence of Fourier coefficients of a function

- $f \in L_p[0, 2\pi]$, $1 < p < \infty$, or
- $f \in L_1[0, 2\pi]$ is a Lipschitz function of order $0 < \alpha < 1$, or

Ç , 2009

Symmetric sequences with bounded variation are universally L_1 -good for the eHt.

Ç , 2009

If $\mathbf{a} = \{\hat{f}_n\}$ is the sequence of Fourier coefficients of a function

- $f \in L_p[0, 2\pi]$, $1 < p < \infty$, or
- $f \in L_1[0, 2\pi]$ is a Lipschitz function of order $0 < \alpha < 1$, or
- $f \in L_1[0, 2\pi]$ is a function of bounded variation,

Ç , 2009

Symmetric sequences with bounded variation are universally L_1 -good for the eHt.

Ç , 2009

If $\mathbf{a} = \{\hat{f}_n\}$ is the sequence of Fourier coefficients of a function

- $f \in L_p[0, 2\pi]$, $1 < p < \infty$, or
- $f \in L_1[0, 2\pi]$ is a Lipschitz function of order $0 < \alpha < 1$, or
- $f \in L_1[0, 2\pi]$ is a function of bounded variation,

then it is universally L_1 -good for the eHt.

Remarks.

- There exists $f \in L_1[0, 2\pi]$ such that $\{\hat{f}_n\}$ is not good for the eHt.

Remarks.

- There exists $f \in L_1[0, 2\pi]$ such that $\{\hat{f}_n\}$ is not good for the eHt.
- There are bounded Besicovitch sequences that are not good for the eHt.

Remarks.

- There exists $f \in L_1[0, 2\pi]$ such that $\{\hat{f}_n\}$ is not good for the eHt.
- There are bounded Besicovitch sequences that are not good for the eHt.
- If $\mathbf{a} = \{\hat{f}_n\}$ as in 1-3 above, then it satisfies $\sum_{-n}^n |\hat{f}_n| = O(n^\beta)$ for some $0 < \beta < 1$.

Universally Good Sequences for the eHt

For $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ define

$$M_\alpha = \{\mathbf{a} = \{a_k\}_{-\infty}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C} : \sum_{k=-n}^n |a_k| = O\left(\frac{n^{\alpha-1}}{\log^\alpha n}\right)\}.$$

Universally Good Sequences for the eHt

For $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ define

$$M_\alpha = \{\mathbf{a} = \{a_k\}_{-\infty}^\infty \subset \mathbb{C} : \sum_{k=-n}^n |a_k| = O\left(\frac{n^{\alpha-1}}{\log^\alpha n}\right)\}.$$

- If $\alpha > \beta + 1$, $0 < \beta < 1$, then, for n is large enough, $n^\beta \leq \frac{n^{\alpha-1}}{\log^\alpha n}$; hence, any sequence satisfying the condition $\sum_{-n}^n |a_n| = O(n^\beta)$ belongs to M_α .

Universally Good Sequences for the eHt

For $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ define

$$M_\alpha = \{\mathbf{a} = \{a_k\}_{-\infty}^\infty \subset \mathbb{C} : \sum_{k=-n}^n |a_k| = O\left(\frac{n^{\alpha-1}}{\log^\alpha n}\right)\}.$$

- If $\alpha > \beta + 1$, $0 < \beta < 1$, then, for n is large enough, $n^\beta \leq \frac{n^{\alpha-1}}{\log^\alpha n}$; hence, any sequence satisfying the condition $\sum_{-n}^n |a_n| = O(n^\beta)$ belongs to M_α .
- If $\mathbf{a} \in M_\alpha$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k T^k f = 0$ a.e. for all $f \in L_\infty$.

A larger class of complex sequences is

For $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ define

$$A_\alpha = \{ \mathbf{a} = \{a_k\}_{-\infty}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C} : \sup_{n \geq 1} \max_{|z|=1} \frac{\log^\alpha n}{n^{\alpha-1}} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^n a_k z^k \right| = C_{\mathbf{a}} < \infty \}.$$

A larger class of complex sequences is

For $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ define

$$A_\alpha = \{ \mathbf{a} = \{a_k\}_{-\infty}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C} : \sup_{n \geq 1} \max_{|z|=1} \frac{\log^\alpha n}{n^{\alpha-1}} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^n a_k z^k \right| = C_{\mathbf{a}} < \infty \}.$$

- $\exists \mathbf{a} \in A_\alpha$ that does not belong to any M_α .

A larger class of complex sequences is

For $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ define

$$A_\alpha = \{ \mathbf{a} = \{a_k\}_{-\infty}^\infty \subset \mathbb{C} : \sup_{n \geq 1} \max_{|z|=1} \frac{\log^\alpha n}{n^{\alpha-1}} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^n a_k z^k \right| = C_{\mathbf{a}} < \infty \}.$$

- $\exists \mathbf{a} \in A_\alpha$ that does not belong to any M_α .
- If $\mathbf{a} \in A_\alpha$, $1 < \alpha < 3/2$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k T^k f = 0$ a.e. for all $f \in L_\infty$.

Theorem.1

If $\mathbf{a} \in M_\alpha$, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, then it is universally L_1 -good for the eHt.

Theorem.1

If $\mathbf{a} \in M_\alpha$, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, then it is universally L_1 -good for the eHt.

When $1 < \alpha < 3/2$, sequences in A_α also belong to a $M_{\alpha'}$ (for a larger α'). Hence, any $\mathbf{a} \in A_\alpha$ is also universally good for the eHt if $1 < \alpha < 3/2$. For $3/2 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ we need different arguments.

Theorem.1

If $\mathbf{a} \in M_\alpha$, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, then it is universally L_1 -good for the eHt.

When $1 < \alpha < 3/2$, sequences in A_α also belong to a $M_{\alpha'}$ (for a larger α'). Hence, any $\mathbf{a} \in A_\alpha$ is also universally good for the eHt if $1 < \alpha < 3/2$. For $3/2 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ we need different arguments.

Theorem.2

If $\mathbf{a} \in A_\alpha$, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, is a sequence L_2 -good for the ergodic averages, then it is universally L_p -good for the eHt, $2 \leq p < \infty$.

Proof of Theorem.1 (Sketch)

- By Abel's partial summation, for $f \in L_1$,

$$\sum_{-n}^{\prime n} \frac{a_k T^k f}{k} = R_n + \frac{1}{n}(S_n - S_{-n}), \text{ where } R_n = \sum_1^{n-1} \frac{S_k - S_{-k}}{k(k+1)}$$

and $S_{\mp j} = \sum_1^j a_{\mp i} T^{\mp i} f$. We have weak (1,1) maximal inequality for $\frac{1}{n} S_{\mp j}$.

Proof of Theorem.1 (Sketch)

- By Abel's partial summation, for $f \in L_1$,

$$\sum_{-n}' \frac{a_k T^k f}{k} = R_n + \frac{1}{n}(S_n - S_{-n}), \text{ where } R_n = \sum_1^{n-1} \frac{S_k - S_{-k}}{k(k+1)}$$

and $S_{\mp j} = \sum_1^j a_{\mp i} T^{\mp i} f$. We have weak (1,1) maximal inequality for $\frac{1}{n} S_{\mp j}$.

- Since $f \in L_1$ and $\mathbf{a} \in M_\alpha$, it follows that

$$\int |R_n| \leq \|f\|_1 \sum_2^n \frac{C'}{k^{3-\alpha} \log^\alpha k} \leq C \|f\|_1.$$

Proof of Theorem.1 (Sketch)

- By Abel's partial summation, for $f \in L_1$,

$$\sum'_{-n} \frac{a_k T^k f}{k} = R_n + \frac{1}{n}(S_n - S_{-n}),$$
 where $R_n = \sum_1^{n-1} \frac{S_k - S_{-k}}{k(k+1)}$
 and $S_{\mp j} = \sum_1^j a_{\mp i} T^{\mp i} f$. We have weak (1,1) maximal inequality for $\frac{1}{n} S_{\mp j}$.
- Since $f \in L_1$ and $\mathbf{a} \in M_\alpha$, it follows that

$$\int |R_n| \leq \|f\|_1 \sum_2^n \frac{C'}{k^{3-\alpha} \log^\alpha k} \leq C \|f\|_1.$$
- $h_n = \sum_1^n \frac{1}{k^2} (\sum_{-k}^k |a_j| T^j |f|) \uparrow h$ with $\int h_n \leq C \|f\|_1$; hence, by the MCT, $\int |R_n| \leq \int h_n \uparrow \int h \leq C \|f\|_1$.

Proof of Theorem.1 (Sketch)

- By Abel's partial summation, for $f \in L_1$,

$$\sum_{-n}' \frac{a_k T^k f}{k} = R_n + \frac{1}{n}(S_n - S_{-n}),$$
 where $R_n = \sum_1^{n-1} \frac{S_k - S_{-k}}{k(k+1)}$
 and $S_{\mp j} = \sum_1^j a_{\mp i} T^{\mp i} f$. We have weak (1,1) maximal inequality for $\frac{1}{n} S_{\mp j}$.
- Since $f \in L_1$ and $\mathbf{a} \in M_\alpha$, it follows that

$$\int |R_n| \leq \|f\|_1 \sum_2^n \frac{C'}{k^{3-\alpha} \log^\alpha k} \leq C \|f\|_1.$$
- $h_n = \sum_1^n \frac{1}{k^2} (\sum_{-k}^k |a_j| T^j |f|) \uparrow h$ with $\int h_n \leq C \|f\|_1$; hence, by the MCT, $\int |R_n| \leq \int h_n \uparrow \int h \leq C \|f\|_1$.
- Markov's inequality $\Rightarrow \mu(\{\sup_n |R_n| > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \|f\|_1$; and hence, we have the weak (1,1) maximal inequality for $H_a f$.

Proof of Theorem.1 (Sketch)

- By Abel's partial summation, for $f \in L_1$,

$$\sum_{-n}'^n \frac{a_k T^k f}{k} = R_n + \frac{1}{n}(S_n - S_{-n}),$$
 where $R_n = \sum_1^{n-1} \frac{S_k - S_{-k}}{k(k+1)}$
 and $S_{\mp j} = \sum_1^j a_{\mp i} T^{\mp i} f$. We have weak (1,1) maximal inequality for $\frac{1}{n} S_{\mp j}$.
- Since $f \in L_1$ and $\mathbf{a} \in M_\alpha$, it follows that

$$\int |R_n| \leq \|f\|_1 \sum_2^n \frac{C'}{k^{3-\alpha} \log^\alpha k} \leq C \|f\|_1.$$
- $h_n = \sum_1^n \frac{1}{k^2} (\sum_{-k}^k |a_j| T^j |f|) \uparrow h$ with $\int h_n \leq C \|f\|_1$; hence, by the MCT, $\int |R_n| \leq \int h_n \uparrow \int h \leq C \|f\|_1$.
- Markov's inequality $\Rightarrow \mu(\{\sup_n |R_n| > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \|f\|_1$; and hence, we have the weak (1,1) maximal inequality for $H_a f$.
- For any $f \in L_\infty$, $H_a f$ exists. Hence, the Banach Principle implies the assertion. □

Bounded Besicovitch Sequences

Recall: There are bounded Besicovitch sequences that are not good for the eHt.

Bounded Besicovitch Sequences

Recall: There are bounded Besicovitch sequences that are not good for the eHt.

Theorem (Lacey-Terwilleger, 2008)

If $f \in L_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, then there is a set $X_f \subset X$ of probability one such that for all $x \in X_f$

$$\lim_n \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{\lambda^k T^k f(x)}{k} \text{ exists for all } |\lambda| = 1.$$

Bounded Besicovitch Sequences

Recall: There are bounded Besicovitch sequences that are not good for the eHt.

Theorem (Lacey-Terwilleger, 2008)

If $f \in L_p$, $1 < p < \infty$, then there is a set $X_f \subset X$ of probability one such that for all $x \in X_f$

$$\lim_n \sum_{k=-n}^n \frac{\lambda^k T^k f(x)}{k} \text{ exists for all } |\lambda| = 1.$$

Let \mathcal{W} denote the class of sequences induced by bounded trigonometric polynomials. Hence, if $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}$ then it is universally L_p -good, $1 < p < \infty$.

Definition

For $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, let $AB_\alpha = \{\mathbf{a} \in l_\infty : \exists \mathbf{w} \in \mathscr{W} \ni \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{w} \in A_\alpha\}$.

Definition

For $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, let $AB_\alpha = \{\mathbf{a} \in l_\infty : \exists \mathbf{w} \in \mathscr{W} \ni \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{w} \in A_\alpha\}$.

Note: If $\mathbf{a} \in l_\infty$ with $\sum_{k=-n}^n |a_k - w_k| = O(n^\beta)$ for some $\mathbf{w} \in \mathscr{W}$ and $0 < \beta < 1$ (which are good in L_1 for the eHt [Ç, 2009]), then $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{w} \in A_\alpha$, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$.

Definition

For $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, let $AB_\alpha = \{\mathbf{a} \in l_\infty : \exists \mathbf{w} \in \mathscr{W} \ni \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{w} \in A_\alpha\}$.

Note: If $\mathbf{a} \in l_\infty$ with $\sum_{k=-n}^n |a_k - w_k| = O(n^\beta)$ for some $\mathbf{w} \in \mathscr{W}$ and $0 < \beta < 1$ (which are good in L_1 for the eHt [Ç, 2009]), then $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{w} \in A_\alpha$, $1 < \alpha \leq 2$.

Non-symmetric sequences case:

Let $\mathbf{a} \in AB_\alpha$ be a non-symmetric sequence (\mathbf{a} is called **symmetric** if $a_k = a_{-k}$, $k \geq 1$) and let $\mathbf{w} = \{w_k\}$ be a trigonometric polynomial such that $\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{w} \in AB_\alpha$. Now, $a_k = a_k - w_k + w_k$, and $\{\sum_{-n}^n \frac{(a_k - w_k) T^{kf}}{k}\}_n$ converges a.e. by Theorem.2. This fact, combined with Lacey-Terwilleger Theorem, gives

Corollary

If $\mathbf{a} \in AB_\alpha$ is non-symmetric, then it is universally L_p -good for the eHt, $2 \leq p < \infty$.

Corollary

If $\mathbf{a} \in AB_\alpha$ is non-symmetric, then it is universally L_p -good for the eHt, $2 \leq p < \infty$.

Symmetric sequences case:

If $\mathbf{w} = \{\lambda^{|k|}\}$, $|\lambda| = 1$, is a non-constant (symmetric) sequence, then there exists an irrational rotation (\mathbb{T}, T) and $f \in L_1(X)$ such that $\sum_{-n}^n \frac{\lambda^{|k|} T^k f}{k}$ diverges a.e.

Corollary

If $\mathbf{a} \in AB_\alpha$ is non-symmetric, then it is universally L_p -good for the eHt, $2 \leq p < \infty$.

Symmetric sequences case:

If $\mathbf{w} = \{\lambda^{|k|}\}$, $|\lambda| = 1$, is a non-constant (symmetric) sequence, then there exists an irrational rotation (\mathbb{T}, T) and $f \in L_1(X)$ such that $\sum_{-n}^{\prime n} \frac{\lambda^{|k|} T^k f}{k}$ diverges a.e.

If (X, Σ, μ, T) is ergodic, then $L_2(X) = \kappa \oplus \kappa^\perp$, where κ is the Kronecker factor. Hence, for a bounded Besicovitch sequence \mathbf{a} and an i.e.m.p. system with $\{f \in L_2 : Tf = f\} \subset \kappa$ properly, if $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{a}) \cap \sigma(T)$, then $\lim_n \sum_{-n}^{\prime n} \frac{\lambda^{|k|} T^k f}{k}$ need not exist a.e.

Let \mathcal{F} denote the class of weakly mixing i.m.p. systems. Any $T \in \mathcal{F}$ has continuous spectrum; hence, $\kappa = \{f \in L_2 : Tf = f\}$.
Therefore, if T is weakly mixing, $\lim_n \sum_{-n}^n \frac{\lambda^{|k|} T^k f}{k}$ exists a.e. for any $f \in \kappa$. Furthermore,

Let \mathcal{F} denote the class of weakly mixing i.m.p. systems. Any $T \in \mathcal{F}$ has continuous spectrum; hence, $\kappa = \{f \in L_2 : Tf = f\}$. Therefore, if T is weakly mixing, $\lim_n \sum_{-n}^n \frac{\lambda^{|k|} T^k f}{k}$ exists a.e. for any $f \in \kappa$. Furthermore,

Theorem.3

If $\mathbf{a} \in AB_\alpha$ is a symmetric sequence, then it is universally L_2 -good for the eHt in the class \mathcal{F} .

Let \mathcal{L} denote the class of i.m.p. systems having Lebesgue spectrum. Hence, the spectral measure of any non-constant $f \in L_2$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure.

Let \mathcal{L} denote the class of i.m.p. systems having Lebesgue spectrum. Hence, the spectral measure of any non-constant $f \in L_2$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure.

Theorem.4

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}$ and \mathbf{a} be a symmetric bounded sequence which is universally L_2 -good (for the ergodic averages). Then \mathbf{a} is universally L_2 -good for the eHt in the class \mathcal{L} .

Let \mathcal{L} denote the class of i.m.p. systems having Lebesgue spectrum. Hence, the spectral measure of any non-constant $f \in L_2$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure.

Theorem.4

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}$ and \mathbf{a} be a symmetric bounded sequence which is universally L_2 -good (for the ergodic averages). Then \mathbf{a} is universally L_2 -good for the eHt in the class \mathcal{L} .

Remark. There are uniform sequences which are not good for the eHt.