## 1 The Fourier transform

Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . We define

$$\widehat{f}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x t} dx,$$

and we note that  $\hat{f}(t)$  is always in  $\mathbb{C}$ , since the integral converges absolutely. Moreover,  $\hat{f}$  is evidently an element of  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  with

$$||f||_{\infty} \le ||f||_1.$$

In functional analysis terms:  $\mathcal{F}$  defined by  $\mathcal{F}(f) = \hat{f}$  is a bounded linear operator from  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  with norm  $\leq 1$ .

Goals:

1. The Fourier transform maps  $L^1$  into, but not onto  $L^{\infty}$ . In fact, we will prove that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \widehat{f}(t) = 0$$

if  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  (compare homework 1).

2. What is the Fourier transform of a function in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ? At this point it is not even clear how to define the Fourier transform of an  $L^2$ -function!

We know that the Fourier transform can be defined on  $L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and we will use density statements to extend it from this set to all of  $L^2$ . Along the way we will see that the limit

$$\widehat{f}(t) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{-N}^{N} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x t} dx$$

exists for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and we will prove that this limit can be taken as the definition of the Fourier transform of f.

3. The exponentials  $x \mapsto e^{-2\pi i tx}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  are not elements of  $L^p$  for  $p < \infty$ . Hence the Hilbert space approach via maximal orthonormal systems cannot be used. Nonetheless,

$$\langle f,g\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)\overline{g(u)}du$$

defines a scalar product on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and this space is closed with respect to the induced norm. We shall prove that even in this situation the Fourier transform is an isometry on  $L^2$ , and that

$$\mathcal{F}(\hat{f})(x) = f(-x).$$

## 2 Basic Properties

Since we will go from  $L^1 \cap L^2$  to  $L^2$ , we start with properties of the Fourier transform on  $L^1$ . For  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\alpha, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  we note the following properties.

- 1. If  $g(x)f(x)e^{2\pi i\alpha x}$ , then  $\widehat{g}(t) = \widehat{f}(t-\alpha)$ . (Follows by direct substitution.)
- 2. If  $g(x) = f(x \alpha)$ , then  $\widehat{g}(t) = \widehat{f}(t)e^{-2\pi i\alpha t}$ .
- 3. If h = f \* g, then  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\widehat{h}(t) = \widehat{f}(t)\widehat{g}(t)$ . (Fubini and substitution)

4. If 
$$g(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$$
, then  $\widehat{g}(t) = \widehat{f}(t)$ .

- 5. If  $g(x) = f(\lambda x)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $\widehat{g}(t) = \lambda^{-1} \widehat{f}(\lambda^{-1}t)$ .
- 6. If  $g(x) = -2\pi i x f(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\widehat{f}$  is differentiable, and  $\widehat{g}(t) = \widehat{f}'(t)$ . For this part we note first that

$$\frac{\widehat{f}(s) - \widehat{f}(t)}{s - t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x t} \varphi(x, s - t) dx$$

where

$$\varphi(x,u) = \frac{e^{-2\pi i x u} - 1}{u}.$$

After multiplication and division by |x| we see that  $|\varphi(x, u)| \leq C \max(1, |x|)$ for some positive C > 0. (In fact, it is  $\leq |x|$ .) By assumption  $|xf(x)| \in L^1$ , hence we may apply dominated convergence when letting  $s \to t$  and move the limit inside the integral.

$$\lim_{u \to 0} \varphi(x, u) = -2\pi i x.$$

we obtain the stated identity.

## 3 Inversion

Consider pointwise inversion of Fourier series. We emphasize that we did not do this when talking about Fourier series since we were interested in inversion in  $L^2([0, 1])$ . What conditions are necessary to obtain pointwise that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n} c_n e^{2\pi i n x}$$

when

$$c_n = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} f(x) e^{-2\pi i n x} dx$$

is the nth Fourier coefficient? A pointwise proof requires using Fubini or uniform convergence in the double 'integral'

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \sum_{n} c_n e^{2\pi i n x} e^{-2\pi i n x} dx,$$

which is to say, we would need  $f \in L^1([0,1])$  and  $c_n \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ .

The corresponding condition for  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  would be  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , but note that the analogue of the above approach will fail since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i (x-t)u} du$$

is not a convergent integral. We need to proceed differently. What should happen is that the integral is 'equal' to the Dirac measure  $\delta$ . This suggest to employ a tool that we used now twice already, namely replacing  $\delta$  by convolution with an approximate identity, i.e., a family  $\varphi_t$  of nonnegative function with integral value 1 such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}f\ast\varphi_t=f$$

in  $L^1$ . We start with the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma for Fourier transforms. (This gives in particular that  $\mathcal{F}: L^1 \to L^\infty$  is not onto.)

**Theorem 1.** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\widehat{f}$  is continuous,  $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_1$  and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \widehat{f}(t) = 0$$

*Proof.* We had shown the first inequality already. To prove continuity, let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and consider a sequence  $t_n \to t$ . We have

$$\left|\widehat{f}(t) - \widehat{f}(t_n)\right| = \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)(e^{-2\pi i x t} - e^{-2\pi i x t_n})dx\right|.$$

Check assumptions of dominated convergence and pull the limit  $t_n \to t$  inside the integral.

We could prove the limit statement in the same way as the analogous statement for Fourier coefficients on the first assignment. We will give a different proof using uniform convergence of the translation operator. We note first that

$$\widehat{f}(t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-2\pi i t(x+\frac{1}{2t})}dx = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(x-\frac{1}{2t}\right)e^{-2\pi i tx}dx.$$

Hence

$$\widehat{f}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( f(x) - f\left(x - \frac{1}{2t}\right) \right) e^{-2\pi i x t} dx$$

and it follows that

$$|\widehat{f}(t)| \le \frac{1}{2} ||f - f_{1/(2t)}||_1,$$

where  $f_y(x) = f(x-y)$ . The proof is completed by the following lemma.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 1.** If  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $y \mapsto f_y$  is a uniformly continuous mapping from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

It is worthwhile to write out what this statement really means: For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that for all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$|s-t| < \delta \Longrightarrow ||f_s - f_t||_p < \varepsilon.$$

In particular, for  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|f - f_{1/(2t)}\|_1 = 0.$$

*Proof.* The crucial feature is that if  $|s-t| < \delta$ , then  $|(x-s) - (x-t)| < \delta$  for all x. To get this fact into the game, we approximate arbitrary  $L^1$  functions by continuous functions with bounded support. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exists A > 0 and continuous g supported in [-A, A] such that

$$\|f - g\|_1 < \varepsilon.$$

Uniform continuity of g implies that there exists  $\delta \in (0, A)$  such that  $|s - t| < \delta$  implies

$$|g(s) - g(t)| < (3A)^{-1/p}\varepsilon.$$

If  $|s-t| < \delta$ , then  $|(x-s) - (x-t)| < \delta$  for all x, so that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x-s) - g(x-t)|^p dx < (3A)^{-1} \varepsilon^p (2A+\delta) < \varepsilon^p,$ 

hence,

$$\|g_s - g_t\|_p < \varepsilon.$$

We note that  $||h_t||_p = ||h||_p$  for all  $h \in L^p$ . We get

$$||f_s - f_t||_p \le ||(f - g)_t||_p + ||g_s - g_t|| + ||(f - g)_s||_p < 3\varepsilon.$$

This finishes the proof.

We need a family of functions that are nonnegative, have all integral value 1, and converge to zero uniformly away from the origin. There are many choices. Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i x t} d\delta(x) = 1$$

for all t, hence a good choice for such a family has also the property that its Fourier transforms converge to 1 from below. Recall that a function  $H(\lambda t)$ has transform  $\lambda^{-1}\hat{h}(x/\lambda)$ . So we start with a function H such that  $H(\lambda t)$ goes to 1 from below as  $\lambda \to \infty$ . We will use the choice H defined by

$$H(t) = e^{-2\pi|t|}.$$

(Another popular choice is the Gaussian  $e^{-\pi x^2}$ .) We define

$$h_{\lambda}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\lambda t) e^{2\pi i t x} dt$$

and note that

$$h_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\lambda}{\pi(x^2 + \lambda^2)}.$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_{\lambda}(x) dx = 1$$

and

$$\widehat{h}_{\lambda}(t) = H(\lambda t).$$

We will use the family  $\{h_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda>0}$  as our approximate identity. (We will let  $\lambda \to 0+$ .) Since the transform of a convolution of  $L^1$  functions is the product of the transforms, we obtain for  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  that

$$(f * h_{\lambda})(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\lambda t) \widehat{f}(t) e^{2\pi i x t} dt.$$

We also have for every  $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  that is continuous at  $x_0$  that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0+} (g * h_{\lambda})(x_0) = g(x_0)$$

(The proof is the usual combination of integrals. The estimation step is simpler, since the assumptions imply that after a substitution the integrand is bounded by  $2||g||_{\infty}h_1(s)$ , which means that we may use dominated convergence to pass to the limit under the integral sign.)

For the next theorem we need an inequality of the following form:

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(u)d\mu(u)\right|^p \leq \int |g(u)|^p d\mu(u)$$

where  $\mu$  is a Borel measure with  $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ . This is obtained from a useful inequality for convex functions, namely Jensen's inequality:

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ . If  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  is real valued,  $\varphi : (a,b) \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex, and a < f < b on  $\Omega$ , then

$$\varphi\left(\int_{\Omega}fd\mu\right)\leq\int_{\Omega}\varphi(f(x))d\mu(x).$$

*Proof.* Some intuition first: We want to show that

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(f(x)) d\mu(x) - \varphi\left(\int_{\Omega} f d\mu\right) \ge 0.$$

Set s = f(x) and  $t = \int_{\Omega} f d\mu$ . If it were true that

$$\varphi(s) - \varphi(t) \ge 0,$$

for all s and t, then plugging in the values of s and t, integrating over x, and using that  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$  would give the claim. Evidently, this can never be true, unless  $\varphi$  is constant. However, we don't need this inequality for all s and t. We have with the above choices that

$$\int_{\Omega} (s-t)d\mu(x) = \int_{\Omega} f(x)d\mu(x) - t = 0,$$

i.e., any occurrence of s-t will not affect the inequalities that we need! This is promising since we do have the following: convexity of  $\varphi$  implies (and is in fact equivalent to) that for a < z < t < u < b we have

$$\frac{\varphi(t) - \varphi(z)}{t - z} \le \frac{\varphi(u) - \varphi(t)}{u - t}.$$

Note that for s = f(x) we have to consider the possibilities s < t and t < s. To do this, let

$$\beta = \sup_{a < z < t} \frac{\varphi(t) - \varphi(z)}{t - z},$$

and note the inequality above gives

$$\beta \le \frac{\varphi(u) - \varphi(t)}{u - t}$$

for t < u < b. Hence

$$\varphi(s) \ge \varphi(t) + \beta(t-s)$$

for any  $s \in (a, b)$ . Apply this with s = f(x). We get

$$\varphi(f(x)) - \varphi(t) - \beta(f(x) - t) \ge 0.$$

Integrate both sides with respect to  $\mu$  and note that the term with factor  $\beta$  becomes zero for our choice of t and s.

**Theorem 2.** If  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0+} \|f * h_{\lambda} - f\|_p = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and note that  $h_{\lambda} \in L^{q}(\mathbb{R})$  where  $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ . Hence by Hölder's inequality,  $f * h_{\lambda}$  is defined for every x. Since  $\int h_{\lambda} = 1$ , we get

$$f * h_{\lambda}(x) - f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(x-u) - f(x))h_{\lambda}(u)du.$$

We obtain from Jensen's inequality with  $\varphi(t) = |t|^p$  and  $d\mu(x) = h_\lambda(x)dx$  that

$$|f * h_{\lambda}(x) - f(x)|^{p} \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x-u) - f(x)|^{p} h_{\lambda}(u) du.$$

Integration in x and application of Fubini's theorem gives

$$||f * h_{\lambda} - f||_p^p \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} ||f_u - f||_p^p h_{\lambda}(u) du.$$

Define

$$g(y) = \|f_{-y} - f\|_{p}^{p}$$

and note that

$$g * h_{\lambda}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(-u)h_{\lambda}(u)du = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f_u - f\|_p^p h_{\lambda}(u)du.$$

We had shown that g is continuous and that the convolution of a continuous function g with  $h_{\lambda}$  converges to g pointwise. Since g(0) = 0, we obtain that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0+} \|f * h_{\lambda} - f\|_p = 0.$$

We are now in a position to prove the inversion theorem.

**Theorem 3.** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(t) e^{2\pi i x t} dt = f(x)$$

almost everywhere. Moreover, f is continuous and satisfies  $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} f(x) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Most of the work has been done. We start with

$$f * h_{\lambda}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\lambda t) \widehat{f}(t) e^{2\pi i x t} dt.$$

We had seen before that the left side converges in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  to f. This means that there exists a subsequence  $\lambda_n$  for which we have almost everywhere convergence to f. It follows that for almost every x

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f * h_{\lambda_n}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\lambda_n t) \widehat{f}(t) e^{2\pi i x t} dt,$$

and since  $|H| \leq 1$  and  $\widehat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , dominated convergence shows that the right hand side converges to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(t) e^{2\pi i x t} dt,$$

which gives the claimed identity. The remaining statements follow from the assumption that  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

## 4 Parseval's identity

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . There exists  $\hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that the following properties hold.

- 1. If  $f \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\widehat{f}$  is the previously defined Fourier transform of f.
- 2. For every  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  the identity  $||f||_2 = ||\widehat{f}||_2$  holds.
- 3. The mapping  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  is a Hilbert space isomorphism of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  onto  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .
- 4. If

$$\varphi_A(t) = \int_{-A}^{A} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x t} dx,$$
  
$$\psi_A(x) = \int_{-A}^{A} \widehat{f}(t) e^{2\pi i x t} dt,$$

then  $\|\varphi_A - \widehat{f}\|_2 \to 0$  and  $\|\psi_A - f\|_2 \to 0$  as  $A \to \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . (So far we know that  $\widehat{f}$  is continuous, bounded, and converges to zero as  $|t| \to \infty$ . We do not yet know the inversion formula, since the transform might not be integrable.)

Our first goal is  $\|f\|_2 = \|\hat{f}\|_2$ . We recall that for  $h_1, h_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$(h_1 * h_2)^{\wedge}(t) = \widehat{h}_1(t)\widehat{h}_2(t)$$

Here's a question, whose answer contains the proof for the proposed identity: Which convolution has Fourier transform  $|\hat{f}|^2 = \hat{f}\overline{\hat{f}}$ ? Heuristically, we are going to solve the identity

$$h_1 * h_2(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(t)|^2 e^{2\pi i t x} dt, \qquad (1)$$

but of course we do not yet know that  $\widehat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

This immediately leads to the definition  $g = h_1 * h_2$ , where  $h_1(x) = f(x)$ and  $h_2(x) = \overline{f(-x)}$ . From the properties of the Fourier transform we then obtain  $\hat{h}_2(t) = \overline{\hat{f}(t)}$ , and the value of the convolution is

$$g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-u)\overline{f(-u)}du.$$

Let us collect properties of g. Fubini implies that  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , hence this is well defined. Moreover, for fixed x we have  $g(x) = \langle f, f_{-x} \rangle$ , hence Cauchy-Schwarz and using the assumption  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  implies that g is bounded. We recall that  $x \mapsto f_{-x}$  is a continuous mapping from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , and that the scalar product is continuous. Hence g is continuous. Evidently  $g(0) = ||f||_2^2$ . The question to be solved can now be reformulated as follows: Is the identity

$$g(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(t)|^2 dt$$

true?

As before, consider  $g * h_{\lambda}$ . Continuity and boundedness of g imply that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} g * h_{\lambda}(0) = g(0) = ||f||_{2}^{2}.$$

Since  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$(g * h_{\lambda})(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{g}(t) H(\lambda t) e^{2\pi i t x} dt.$$

We emphasize that for this identity we only needed that  $\hat{g}$  is bounded; we did not have to require that  $\hat{g} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . We have  $\hat{g}(t) = |\hat{f}(t)|^2 \ge 0$ . Crucial fact: if x = 0, then in order to let  $\lambda \to 0$  in the above identity we do not need to use dominated convergence, we may use monotone convergence instead. Hence

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0+} g * h_{\lambda}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(t)|^2 dt,$$

regardless of whether or not the right hand side is finite or infinite! With the previous identity for g(0) it follows now that

$$\|f\|_2 = \|f\|_2$$

and hence both sides must be finite, and in particular  $\hat{f} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

This was the major part of the proof. For the remaining pieces, let

$$Y = \{\widehat{f} : f \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})\}$$

We have shown that  $Y \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . We prove next that if  $w \perp g$  for all  $g \in Y$ , then w = 0. Note that this implies that Y is dense in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  by the decomposition for closed subspaces.

We note that  $t \mapsto h_{\lambda}(t-\alpha) \in Y$  since this is the Fourier transform of  $e^{2\pi i \alpha x} H(\lambda x)$ , which is in  $L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} h_{\lambda}(t-\alpha)\overline{w(t)}dt = 0$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . But the left side is  $h_{\lambda} * \overline{w}(\alpha)$ , and we had shown before that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \|h_{\lambda} * \overline{w} - \overline{w}\|_2 = 0.$$

Hence there exists a subsequence of  $\lambda$ 's such that the difference converges pointwise almost everywhere, hence w = 0 a.e.

Almost done: The Fourier transform provides an isometry from the dense subspace  $L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$  onto the dense subspace Y of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . By the extension theorem for isometries it follows that it extends to some isometry from  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ onto itself.

For the explicit representation it is enough to note that for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have  $\chi_{[-A,A]}f \in L^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$  for all A > 0 (and by definition  $\varphi_A = (\chi_{[-A,A]}f)^{\wedge}$ ), and then apply the previous limit relations.  $\Box$