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Abstract—As demand continues for circuits with higher performance, higher complexity, and decreased feature size, asynchronous (clockless) paradigms will become more widely used in the semiconductor industry, as evidenced by the International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors’ (ITRS) prediction of a likely shift from synchronous to asynchronous design styles in order to increase circuit robustness, decrease power, and alleviate many clock-related issues. ITRS predicts that asynchronous circuits will account for 19% of chip area within the next 5 years, and 30% of chip area within the next 10 years. To meet this growing industry need, students in Computer Engineering should be introduced to asynchronous circuit design to make them more marketable and more prepared for the challenges faced by the digital design community for years to come.

I. INTRODUCTION

The development of synchronous circuits currently dominates the semiconductor design industry. However, there are major limiting factors to the synchronous, clocked approach, including the increasing difficulty of clock distribution, increasing clock rates, decreasing feature size, increasing power consumption, timing closure effort, and difficulty with design reuse. Asynchronous circuits require less power, generate less noise, produce less electro-magnetic interference (EMI), and allow for easier reuse of components, compared to their synchronous counterparts, without compromising performance.

In most Computer Engineering curriculums students are only taught the synchronous, clocked paradigm, and never even touch on asynchronous digital design. Those curriculums that do mention asynchronous design do so only in passing; the students are not taught how to design asynchronous circuits. The widespread introduction of asynchronous digital design in the classroom is largely constrained by the lack of introductory educational materials. This paper presents one approach for integrating asynchronous circuit design into the undergraduate Computer Engineering curriculum, focusing on inclusion in two courses, one on Hardware Design Languages (HDLs), such as VHDL, and the other on VLSI.

The paper is organized into 5 sections. Section II presents an overview of asynchronous logic; Section III describes the asynchronous materials developed for use in undergraduate Computer Engineering courses; Section IV depicts the original VHDL and VLSI course outlines and shows how these courses have been augmented to include the asynchronous materials; and Section V presents the outcomes of the first offerings of the VHDL and VLSI courses with the asynchronous materials included, and provides conclusions and directions for future work.

II. OVERVIEW OF ASYNCHRONOUS PARADIGMS

Asynchronous circuits can be grouped into two main categories: bounded-delay and delay-insensitive models. Bounded-delay models, such as micropipelines [1], assume that delays in both gates and wires are bounded. Delays are added based on worse-case scenarios to avoid hazard conditions. This leads to extensive timing analysis of worst-case behavior to ensure correct circuit operation. On the other hand, delay-insensitive circuits assume delays in both logic elements and interconnects to be unbounded, although they assume that wire forks within basic components, such as a full adder, are isochronic [2, 3], meaning that the wire delays within a component are much less than the logic element delays within the component, which is a valid assumption even in future nanometer technologies. Wires connecting components do not have to adhere to the isochronic fork assumption. This implies the ability to operate in the presence of indefinite arrival times for the reception of inputs. Completion detection of the output signals allows for handshaking to control input wavefronts. Delay-insensitive design styles therefore require very little, if any, timing analysis to ensure correct operation (i.e., they are correct by construction), and also yield average-case performance rather than the worse-case performance of bounded-delay and traditional synchronous paradigms.

A. Delay-Insensitive Paradigms

Most delay-insensitive (DI) methods combine C-elements with Boolean gates for circuit construction. A C-element behaves as follows: when all inputs assume the same value then the output assumes this value, otherwise the output does not change. Seitz’s [4], DIMS [5], Anantharaman’s [6], Singh’s [7], and David’s [8] methods are examples of DI paradigms that only use C-elements to achieve delay-insensitivity. On the other hand, both Phased Logic [9] and

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support from the National Science Foundation under CCLI grant DUE-0536343.
NULL Convention Logic (NCL) [10] target a library of multiple gates with hysteresis state-holding functionality. Phased Logic converts a traditional synchronous gate-level circuit into a DI circuit by replacing each conventional synchronous gate with its corresponding Phased Logic gate, and then augmenting the new network with additional signals. NCL circuits are realized using 27 fundamental gates implementing the set of all functions of four or fewer variables, each with hysteresis state-holding functionality.

Seitz’s method, Anantharaman’s approach, and DIMS require the generation of all minterms to implement a function, where a minterm is defined as the logical AND, or product, containing all input signals in either complemented or non-complemented form. While Singh’s and David’s methods do not require full minterm generation, they rely solely on C-elements for speed-independence. NCL also does not require full minterm generation and furthermore includes 27 fundamental state-holding gates for circuit design, rather than only C-elements, thus yielding a greater potential for optimization than other DI paradigms [11]. Phased Logic also does not require full minterm generation and does not rely solely on C-elements for speed-independence; however, Phased Logic circuitry is derived directly from its equivalent synchronous design, not created independently, thus it does not have the same potential for optimization as does NCL. Furthermore, the Phased Logic paradigm has been developed mainly for easing the timing constraints of synchronous designs, not for obtaining speed and power benefits [9], whereas these are main concerns of other asynchronous paradigms.

Self-timed circuits can also be designed at the transistor level as demonstrated by Martin [12]. However, automation of this method would be vastly different than that of the standard synchronous approach, since it optimizes designs at the transistor level instead of targeting a predefined set of gates, as do the previously mentioned methods. Overall, NULL Convention Logic offers the best opportunity for integrating asynchronous digital design into the predominantly synchronous semiconductor design industry for the following reasons:

1) The framework for NCL systems consists of DI combinational logic sandwiched between DI registers, as shown in Fig. 1, which is very similar to synchronous systems, such that the automated design of NCL circuits can follow the same fundamental steps as synchronous circuit design automation. This will enable the developed DI design flow to be more easily incorporated into the chip design industry, since the tools and design process will already be familiar to designers, such that the learning curve is relatively flat.

2) NCL systems are delay-insensitive, making the design process much easier to automate than other non-DI asynchronous paradigms, since minimal delay analysis is necessary to ensure correct circuit operation.

3) NCL systems have power, noise, and EMI advantages compared to synchronous circuits, performance and design reuse advantages compared to synchronous and non-DI asynchronous paradigms, and performance advantages compared to other DI paradigms, and have a number of advantages for designing complex systems, like Systems-on-Chip (SoCs), including substantially reduced crosstalk between analog and digital circuits, ease of integrating multi-rate circuits, and facilitation of component reuse and technology migration.

**B. NULL Convention Logic (NCL)**

NCL is a delay-insensitive asynchronous paradigm, which means that NCL circuits will operate correctly regardless of when circuit inputs become available; therefore NCL circuits are said to be correct-by-construction (i.e., no timing analysis is necessary for correct operation). NCL circuits utilize dual-rail or quad-rail logic to achieve delay-insensitivity. A dual-rail signal, \(D\), consists of two wires, \(D^0\) and \(D^1\), which may assume any value from the set \{DATA0, DATA1, NULL\}. The DATA0 state \((D^0 = 1, D^1 = 0)\) corresponds to a Boolean logic 0, the DATA1 state \((D^0 = 0, D^1 = 1)\) corresponds to a Boolean logic 1, and the NULL state \((D^0 = 0, D^1 = 0)\) corresponds to the empty set meaning that the value of \(D\) is not yet available. The two rails are mutually exclusive, such that both rails can never be asserted simultaneously; this state is defined as an illegal state. A quad-rail signal, \(Q\), consists of four wires, \(Q^0\), \(Q^1\), \(Q^2\), and \(Q^3\), which may assume any value from the set \{DATA0, DATA1, DATA2, DATA3, NULL\}. The DATA0 state \((Q^0 = 1, Q^1 = 0, Q^2 = 0, Q^3 = 0)\) corresponds to two Boolean logic signals, \(X\) and \(Y\), where \(X = 0\) and \(Y = 0\). The DATA1 state \((Q^0 = 0, Q^1 = 1, Q^2 = 0, Q^3 = 0)\) corresponds to \(X = 0\) and \(Y = 1\). The DATA2 state \((Q^0 = 0, Q^1 = 0, Q^2 = 1, Q^3 = 0)\) corresponds to \(X = 1\) and \(Y = 0\). The DATA3 state \((Q^0 = 0, Q^1 = 0, Q^2 = 0, Q^3 = 1)\) corresponds to \(X = 1\) and \(Y = 1\), and the NULL state \((Q^0 = 0, Q^1 = 0, Q^2 = 0, Q^3 = 0)\) corresponds to the empty set meaning that the result is not yet available. The four rails of a quad-rail NCL signal are mutually exclusive, such that no two rails can ever be asserted simultaneously; these states are defined as illegal states. Both dual-rail and quad-rail signals are space optimal 1-hot delay-insensitive codes, requiring two wires per bit.

**Fig. 1. NCL system framework:** input wavefronts are controlled by local handshaking signals and Completion Detection instead of by a global clock signal. Feedback requires at least three DI registers in the feedback loop to prevent deadlock.
NCL circuits are comprised of 27 fundamental gates, as shown in Table I, which constitute the set of all functions consisting of four or fewer variables. Since each rail of an NCL signal is considered a separate variable, a four variable function is not the same as a function of four literals, which would normally consist of eight variables. The primary type of threshold gate, shown in Fig. 2, is the \( TH_{mn} \) gate, where \( 1 \leq m \leq n \). \( TH_{mn} \) gates have \( n \) inputs. At least \( m \) of the \( n \) inputs must be asserted before the output will become asserted. In a \( TH_{mn} \) gate, each of the \( n \) inputs is connected to the rounded portion of the gate; the output emanates from the pointed end of the gate; and the gate’s threshold value, \( m \), is written inside of the gate.

**Table I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCL Gate</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{12} )</td>
<td>( A + B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{22} )</td>
<td>( AB )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{13} )</td>
<td>( A + B + C )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{23} )</td>
<td>( AB + AC + BC )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{33} )</td>
<td>( ABC )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{23w2} )</td>
<td>( A + BC )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{33w2} )</td>
<td>( AB + AC )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{14} )</td>
<td>( A + B + C + D )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{24} )</td>
<td>( AB + AC + AD + BC + BD + CD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{34} )</td>
<td>( ABCD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{44} )</td>
<td>( ABCD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{24w2} )</td>
<td>( A + BC + BD + CD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{34w2} )</td>
<td>( AB + AC + AD + BCD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{44w2} )</td>
<td>( ABC + ABD + ACD + BCD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{34w3} )</td>
<td>( A + BCD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{44w3} )</td>
<td>( AB + AC + AD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{24w22} )</td>
<td>( A + B + CD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{34w22} )</td>
<td>( AB + AC + AD + BC + BD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{44w22} )</td>
<td>( AB + ACD + BCD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{54w22} )</td>
<td>( ABC + ABD + ACD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{34w32} )</td>
<td>( AB + AC + AD + BC )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{44w32} )</td>
<td>( AB + AC + AD + BCD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{54w32} )</td>
<td>( ABC + ABD + ACD + BCD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{d0} )</td>
<td>( AB + CD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{and0} )</td>
<td>( AB + BC + AD )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( TH_{24comp} )</td>
<td>( AC + BC + AD + BD )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 2.** \( TH_{mn} \) threshold gate.

Another type of threshold gate is referred to as a weighted threshold gate, denoted as \( TH_{mn}W_{w_1}w_2\ldots w_R \). Weighted threshold gates have an integer value, \( m \geq w_R > 1 \), applied to \( inputR \). Here \( 1 \leq R < n \); where \( n \) is the number of inputs; \( m \) is the gate’s threshold; and \( w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_R \), each \( > 1 \), are the integer weights of \( input1, input2, \ldots, inputR \), respectively. For example, consider the \( TH_{34w2} \) gate, whose \( n = 4 \) inputs are labeled \( A, B, C, \) and \( D \), shown in Fig. 3. The weight of input \( A, W(A) \), is therefore 2. Since the gate’s threshold, \( m \), is 3, this implies that in order for the output to be asserted, either inputs \( B, C, \) and \( D \) must all be asserted, or input \( A \) must be asserted along with any other input, \( B, C, \) or \( D \). NCL threshold gates are designed with **hysteresis** state-holding capability, such that after the output is asserted, all inputs must be deasserted before the output will be deasserted. Hysteresis ensures a complete transition of inputs back to NULL before asserting the output associated with the next wavefront of input data. Therefore, a \( TH_{nn} \) gate is equivalent to an \( n \)-input \( C \)-element (i.e., when all inputs are asserted the output is asserted; the output then remains asserted until all inputs are deasserted, at which time the output becomes deasserted); and a \( TH_{1n} \) gate is equivalent to an \( n \)-input \( OR \) gate. NCL threshold gates may also include a reset input to initialize the output. Circuit diagrams designate resettable gates by either a \( d \) or an \( n \) appearing inside the gate, along with the gate’s threshold. \( d \) denotes the gate as being reset to logic 1; \( n \), to logic 0. These resettable gates are used in the design of DI registers.

**Fig. 3.** \( TH_{34w2} \) threshold gate: \( Z = AB + AC + AD + BCD \).

NCL systems contain at least two DI registers, one at both the input and at the output. Two adjacent register stages interact through their request and acknowledge signals, \( K_i \) and \( K_o \), respectively, to prevent the current DATA wavefront from overwriting the previous DATA wavefront, by ensuring that the two DATA wavefronts are always separated by a NULL wavefront. The acknowledge signals are combined in the Completion Detection circuitry to produce the request signal(s) to the previous register stage. NCL registration is realized through cascaded arrangements of single-bit dual-rail registers or single-signal quad-rail registers, depicted in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively. These registers consist of \( TH_{22} \) gates that pass a DATA value at the input only when \( K_i \) is request for data (rfd) (i.e., logic 1) and likewise pass NULL only when \( K_i \) is request for null (rfn) (i.e., logic 0). They also contain a NOR gate to generate \( K_o \), which is \( rfn \) when the register output is DATA and \( rfd \) when the register output is NULL. The registers shown below are reset to NULL, since all \( TH_{22} \) gates are reset to logic 0. However, either register could be instead reset to a DATA value by replacing exactly one of the \( TH_{22n} \) gates with a \( TH_{22d} \) gate.

**Fig. 4.** Single-bit dual-rail register.
III. ASYNCHRONOUS COURSE MATERIALS

To effectively introduce asynchronous digital design into the Computer Engineering curriculum, lecture notes, example problems, group projects, and libraries of fundamental asynchronous gates and components were developed. The educational materials were developed as Modules, such that portions of the materials could be easily integrated into a variety of courses, as appropriate, to meet the needs of a diverse set of courses with different learning objectives.

A. Educational Modules

The following is the list of the specific educational modules that were developed:

1) Introduction to Asynchronous Logic: This includes a discussion of both bounded-delay and delay-insensitive asynchronous paradigms, highlighting the differences between the two and comparing each to the synchronous, clocked paradigm.

2) Introduction to NULL Convention Logic (NCL): This includes a description of dual-rail and quad-rail signaling, the 27 fundamental NCL gates, NCL registration, combinational logic, and completion detection components, and NCL DATA/NULL wavefront flow.

3) Transistor-level NCL Gate Design: This details the process for designing dual-rail NCL combinational circuits.

4) Quadr-Rail NCL Design: This details the process for designing dual-rail NCL combinational circuits.

5) NCL Throughput Optimization: This describes the NCL throughput calculation, NCL pipelining, and the NULL Cycle Reduction optimization.

6) Group Projects: This contains a number of comprehensive group projects consisting of the implementation and testing of various types of NCL arithmetic circuits, at various levels of abstraction.

All of these course modules can be downloaded from the authors’ CCLI website: http://web.umr.edu/~smithsco/CCLI_async.html. Module 1 is similar to Sections II and II.A in this paper; and Module 2 is similar to Section II.B in this paper. Modules 1 and 2 are introductory and therefore do not contain any specific example problems or exercises; they are also independent of each other, such that a broad discussion of asynchronous logic in general is not required before discussing NCL specifics. Modules 3-7 all contain an explanation of the specific topic along with a comprehensive example and exercise problems. Modules 2 and 4 are prerequisites for all subsequent modules, while Modules 3, 5, 6, and 7 are independent of each other. The comprehensive group projects in Module 8 require various other modules as prerequisites, depending on the specific project requirements and objectives.
B. Asynchronous Libraries

In order to assist students with designing and testing NCL circuits at various levels of abstraction, static NCL VHDL, transistor-level, and physical-level libraries were created. The transistor-level and physical-level libraries of the fundamental NCL gates were implemented with the Mentor Graphics CAD tools using the 0.18µm TSMC CMOS process. The VHDL library consists of a package that defines the fundamental NCL data types, a file containing the fundamental NCL gates, with delays based on the simulated physical-static NCL gates, a file containing generic versions of standard NCL registration and completion components, and a package consisting of various functions to be used in testbenches. The VHDL, transistor-level, and physical-level NCL libraries can all be downloaded from the authors’ CCLI website: http://web.umr.edu/~smithsco/CCLI_async.html.

IV. COURSE INTEGRATION

The asynchronous modules and libraries were successfully incorporated into two senior/graduate-level elective courses at University of Missouri – Rolla (UMR), Digital System Modeling with VHDL and Introduction to VLSI, in Spring Semester 2006 and Fall Semester 2006, respectively. The original schedule for the VHDL course is shown on the left-hand side of Fig. 7. This provides the students with approximately 13 weeks of topic lectures, leaving around 3 weeks for discussion of homework and project assignments and their solutions, holidays, and the midterm exam. Note that the final exam is given the week after the 16-week semester concludes. This schedule has been vetted by the primary author over the past five years and has been shown to work well. It does require the students to do a sizable amount of work; however, after successful completion of the course, students are well versed in VHDL.

To integrate the asynchronous logic material into the course, the last quarter of the original schedule was revised, as shown on the right-hand side of Fig. 7. The floating-point arithmetic and microprocessor architecture topics were replaced with the asynchronous topics; HW#5 on generic constants and generate statements was changed to instead cover Text I/O; HW#6 on the design of an IEEE single precision floating-point co-processor was switched to an assignment on NCL; and Design Project #2 on implementing a microcontroller in VHDL and Text I/O was replaced with the design of a complex generic NCL arithmetic circuit. These changes replace 3 weeks of topics with 2 2/3 weeks of asynchronous logic topics, providing an extra 1/3 week for additional explanation of the NCL assignments and solutions. Furthermore, these changes do not eliminate any key VHDL course materials; both floating-point arithmetic and RISC microcontroller architecture are covered in various other Computer Engineering courses, and were only discussed in the VHDL course so that they could be used as sample circuits to be designed using VHDL. Furthermore, since asynchronous circuits must be designed as structural models and cannot be described as behavioral or dataflow models and synthesized using current industry standard tools, the topic fits seamlessly into the discussion of generate statements, which are primarily used in structural models.

1) Introduction to Modeling with VHDL
2) Entity and Architecture Statements
3) Test Benches
4) Basic UNIX Commands and Mentor Graphics VHDL Compiler and Simulator
   HW#1: design simple behavioral, dataflow, and structural models and testbench
5) Packages, Functions, and Procedures
   HW#2: write a package including functions and procedures
6) Mealy and Moore Machines
   HW#3: Mealy and Moore machines, including design, VHDL behavioral and dataflow implementation, state minimization, and state assignment
7) Algorithmic State Machines (ASMs)
8) Mentor Graphics VHDL Synthesis Tool
   HW#4: ASM throughput capability (TPC) calculation, TPC optimization, and VHDL dataflow implementation and synthesis
   Design Project #1: design complex chip, such as Run-Length Encoder, Huffman Decoder, etc.
   Midterm Exam
9) Generic Constants and Generate Statements
   HW#5: design a generic Multiply and Accumulate unit (MAC)
   10) File I/O
   HW#5: augment the Design Project #1 testbench to read the inputs from a text file and store the outputs to a text file
11) Overview of Asynchronous Logic
12) Overview of NCL
13) Input-Completeness and Observability
14) NCL Dual-Rail Combinational Logic Design
15) NCL Pipelining Optimization
   HW#6: NCL assignment
16) Asynchronous Circuit Synthesis
   Design Project #2: design NCL generic arithmetic circuit, such as a MAC, iterative divider, greatest common divisor (GCD), etc.

Fig. 7. VHDL course schedule and changes.
1) Introduction to VLSI Systems
Lab#1: VHDL coding, synthesis, and simulation
2) CMOS Transistor Theory
3) Fabrication, Layout, and Design Rules
Lab#2: gate-level and transistor-level schematics and simulation
4) Analysis of Static Inverter
Lab#3: layout of static inverter and RC extraction
5) Design and Optimization of Static CMOS Gates
6) Introduction to NCL
7) Transistor-level design of NCL gates
8) Critical Path Delay Analysis and Transistor Sizing
9) Dynamic CMOS Circuit Design
10) Design of Flip-Flops, Latches, and Sequential Circuits
Lab#4: layout of basic static Boolean gates and static and semi-static NCL gates (NCL gates replaced flip-flops)
11) Static Timing Analysis for Sequential Circuits
12) Low Power Design
Lab#5: schematic driven layout
13) Datapath Design for Synchronous Circuits (e.g., comparators, adders, multipliers, registers, etc.)
14) Datapath Design for NCL Circuits (e.g., registration, completion, and DR and QR combinational circuits)
Lab#6: synchronous datapath design and simulation
15) Semiconductor Memories
16) Clock Distribution, PLL, Clock Skew, and Jitter
17) Floorplanning, Placement, and Routing
18) Control Unit Design
19) VLSI Testing and Design for Test
Design Project: design, layout, and simulate various NCL arithmetic circuits (e.g., quad-rail unsigned 24×8×8 MAC, dual-rail 2's complement 8×8 Booth2 multiplier, and dual-rail 2's complement 8×8 Baugh-Wooley multiplier)
20) Future Trends in VLSI Design

Fig. 8. VLSI course schedule and changes.

The schedule for the revised VLSI course is shown in Fig. 8. This provides the students with approximately 14 weeks of topic lectures, leaving around 2 weeks for discussion of laboratory assignments and their solutions, holidays, and occasional quizzes. Note that the final exam is scheduled the week after the 16-week semester concludes, and is utilized for each group to present their semester project design. The class requires a substantial amount of laboratory work; however, after successful completion of the course, students are well versed in VLSI design using the Mentor Graphics CAD tools.

The asynchronous logic topics have been incorporated into the VLSI course by replacing previous miscellaneous lecture topics, by replacing Lab#4’s layout of a flip-flop with the layout of a static and semi-static NCL gate, and by utilizing NCL circuits for the semester’s comprehensive design project. The new semester design projects involve designing various NCL arithmetic circuits, using one of the industry-standard VLSI CAD tool suites, Mentor Graphics, throughout all steps of the design flow (i.e., starting from the high level of abstraction, behavioral modeling, down to the low level of abstraction, physical layout), and proving the functional equivalence with simulations throughout all levels of abstraction.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

A. Evaluation of Developed Materials

Modules 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7 and the VHDL library were utilized in the VHDL class; and Modules 2-6 and the VHDL, transisitor-level, and physical-level libraries were utilized in the VLSI course. Both courses also incorporated an NCL-based final project, Module 8. According to the feedback provided from UMR’s end of semester student evaluation form for both courses, the students found the asynchronous logic topics very interesting, and would have liked to have been able to spend more time on NCL. Many students also stated that the libraries were easy to use and error-free. Overall, the students performed quite well on the NCL-related assignments. For the VHDL class, the average on the asynchronous logic homework assignment was the second highest of the six homeworks (i.e., 83% verses 86%, 76%, 73%, 64%, and 44%); and the asynchronous project’s average was approximately the same as the first project (i.e., 85% verses 87%). However, this included one group of two students who decided not to complete the project because they were graduating, already had jobs, and already had enough points to pass the course, and therefore received a 31% on the partial submission and an overall grade of D in the class. Excluding this outlier boosts the asynchronous project’s average to 91%. For the VLSI course, all students successfully completed the NCL-related laboratory assignment (i.e., Lab#4); and all three NCL-based semester projects worked correctly, all resulting in a conference publication with the students as first author [13-15].

The 8 educational modules were also evaluated externally by Dr. Jia Di from the University of Arkansas; and he rated them as excellent. In fact, he is currently working on the design of an NCL 8051 microcontroller for a NASA Phase II SBIR, and has required his graduate students working on the
project to download Modules 2-5, study them, and complete the related exercise problems. Furthermore, he is utilizing the authors’ VHDL library for the NCL 8051 functional design, although he is using Cadence for the transistor-level and physical-level design. Dr. Di’s main suggestion for improvement was to implement the transistor-level and physical-level libraries in Cadence as well, such that the libraries are available for use with the three most prevalent digital design tool suites (i.e., Mentor Graphics, Synopsys, and Cadence), which are used in almost all U.S. universities. Note that the VHDL library is platform independent and is therefore already compatible with Synopsys.

B. Future Work

The authors are planning to expand upon this work through the following:

1) Develop new educational modules focusing on additional asynchronous circuit topics, such that asynchronous circuit concepts can be incorporated into a larger variety of Computer Engineering courses.

2) Develop semi-static VHDL, transistor-level, and physical-level libraries of fundamental asynchronous components, such that students can easily compare asynchronous circuits designed using static vs. semi-static gates, in terms of speed, area, and energy usage.

3) Complete the development of NCL design and optimization CAD tools, which work with the Mentor Graphics design tool suite, such that students can design and test large NCL circuits and can study the operation of the asynchronous CAD tools in the context of their synchronous counterparts.

4) Port the static and semi-static libraries to Cadence, and the NCL CAD tools to Synopsys, such that the libraries and CAD tools are available for use with the three most prevalent digital design tool suites (i.e., Mentor Graphics, Synopsys, and Cadence), which are used in almost all U.S. universities.

5) Develop an asynchronous FPGA, such that students can implement and test their asynchronous circuit designs in hardware.

6) Broadly disseminate the developed materials to faculty members at other institutions, and integrate and evaluate the materials through course offerings at numerous institutions throughout the nation.

Overall, the developed materials provide an easy way to integrate cutting-edge technology into standard educational practices to provide a low-cost, innovative addition to the Computer Engineering curriculum, in order to prepare students for the challenges faced by the digital design community for years to come.
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